


This book belongs to THE CAMPBELL COLLECTION purchased with the aid of The MacDonald-Stewart Foundation and The Canada Council



CAMPBELL COLLECTION





ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ ΞΥΓΓΡΑΦΗΣ Ε

.

THE FIFTH BOOK

OF

THUCYDIDES

11 201 11 21-11 21

TO DO DO MANAGEMENT

ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ ΞΥΓΓΡΑΦΗΣ

Ε

THE FIFTH BOOK

OF

THUCYDIDES

EDITED WITH NOTES

BY

C. E. GRAVES, M.A.

FELLOW AND LATE CLASSICAL LECTURER OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

.

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED ST MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON 1949

COPYRIGHT

First Edition 1891 Reprinted 1899, 1908, 1936, 1949

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

PREFACE

I owe my long-suffering publishers many apologies for the tardy appearance of this book, which was begun some years ago. It is planned on the same lines as my edition of Book IV, and is mainly indebted to the same authorities. There is however somewhat less translation given in the notes, and more discussion of the text. On this point I have felt much difficulty and hesitation. The fifth Book is generally free from great grammatical difficulties, but it presents perpetual questions of unsatisfactory reading, and almost more than any other calls for the hand of a master critic. An editor has indeed his choice of suggestions in plenty, but the choice is mostly far from tempting. And unless his confidence is grounded on great experience he would do well to keep Quintilian's words before him: 'quaedam in veteribus libris reperta mutare imperiti solent et, dunı libra-

PREFACE

riorum insectari volunt inscientiam, suam confitentur.' In points of proposed emendation I have found welcome assistance from Mr Harold N. Fowler's edition (Boston 1888). It is founded on Classen, but gives besides much recent German criticism. Notes due exclusively to Mr Fowler are marked F. I have also to thank Mr A. W. Spratt, of St Catharine's College, for thorough revision of the proofsheets and for many valuable suggestions. The grammatical work chiefly referred to is the latest edition of Goodwin's *Greek Moods and Tenses*. Some references to the sections of the earlier edition may have escaped notice, but I have tried to alter the plates throughout.

ST JOHN'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE, August, 1891.

INTRODUCTION

Is the year 424 two great blows fell upon Athens. An enterprise against Boeotia met with complete failure, ending in a disastrous defeat near Oropus. Besides this a large part of the Thrace-ward possessions fell into the hands of Brasidas, and especially Amphipolis the key of Thrace. Thus Athens was disposed to listen to terms, and as Sparta was above all anxious to recover the captives from Sphacteria, a truce for one year was concluded in 423.

The fifth Book begins with the expiration of this truce, when an expedition was made, urged by Cleon, in the hope of regaining Athenian ascendancy in Thrace. Exhausted as she must have been, Athens was able to despatch a strong force of chosen citizens, with the flower of the allies. But being, from party-intrigue or whatever cause, under the sole conduct of Cleon, the army was foredoomed to ruin. It did indeed recapture Torone, but was shattered and dispersed in the fatal battle of Amphipolis. These events occupy the first thirteen chapters of the Book, and with them concludes the first period of the war. So far, or some few chapters further, the history seems to have been completed, and to have received its final revision, after the Peloponnesian war was over.

This revision was not given to the bulk of the records in the rest of our fifth Book. Critics at any rate find them lacking in the finish which marks the first decade and the subsequent account of events in Sicily. Passages there undoubtedly are which prove a late date of composition¹, while others appear rather to embody the notes of a contemporary observer. Thus we have minuteness of detail on the one hand; and on the other harshness of language, unusual words and phrases, repetitions, corrections, and possibly interpolations. Dramatic effect is lost, because the point of view is too near the scene. Still we have no doubt in the main the history which Thucydides intended to give of the intervening time of hollow peace before the invasion of Sicily. Though full, it is monotonous and dull; a list of intrigues and counter-intrigues, state plotting against state, oligarchy and democracy threatening and countermining turn by turn. Fear and jealousy of Sparta lead to a confederacy in the Argive interest. There is however no energetic policy in Athens or in the states of Peloponnesus; and but little of personal interest, now that the chivalrous figure of Brasidas and the coarse but vigorous personality of Cleon have passed away. 'The baleful star of Alcibiades' does indeed appear above the horizon, but there is scarcely a name besides that we remember but that of the honest, blundering Agis. Nor does the historian introduce a single speech from the tenth to the end of the fourteenth year.

In 418 the Argive league was crushed at Mantinea, and Sparta regained by the signal valour of her soldiers her position of military supremacy. The description of the battle is vivid and exact, and seems to have received the writer's final touch. No less elaborate is the 'Melian dialogue,' which takes up the closing chapters of the Book. It is a kind of historical drama, in which the Athenian actors represent without disguise the cynical contempt for right which Thucydides repeatedly attributes to Athenian policy. Melos was a Dorian colony, which had been throughout independent of Athens, though taking no part against her. The Athenians make no profession of disregarded claims or injuries which called for vengeance. No law divine or human has any power to hold them back; justice, equity and mercy are but idle words. They simply parade their overpowering strength, and offer the choice of surrender or destruction. In the display of their arrogance and impiety, their reckless greed and lawless confidence, we have a fitting prologue to the tragedy of Syracuse.

θογκγδιδογ

ΞΥΓΓΡΑΦΗΣ Ε

1 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους ai μèν ἐνιαύσιοι σπονδαὶ διελέλυντο μέχρι Πυθίων. καὶ ἐν τῆ ἐκεχειρία ᾿Αθηναῖοι Δηλίους ἀνέστησαν ἐκ Δήλου, ἡγησάμενοι κατὰ παλαιάν τινα αἰτίαν οὐ καθαροὺς ὅντας

Λαιήν τινα αιτίαν ου κασαρούς ουτάς 5
2 ίερῶσθαι, καὶ ἅμα ἐλλιπὲς σφίσιν εἶναι τοῦτο τῆς καθάρσεως ῇ πρότερόν μοι δεδήλωται ὡς ἀνελόντες τὰς θήκας τῶν τεθνεώτων ὀρθῶς ἐνόμισαν ποιῆσαι. καὶ οἱ μὲν Δήλιοι ᾿Ατραμύττιον Φαρνάκου δόντος αὐτοῖς ἐν τῇ ᾿Ασίᾳ ῷκησαν, οὕτως ὡς ἕκαστος 10 ῶρμητο.

2 Κλέων δὲ ᾿Αθηναίους πείσας ἐς τὰ ἐπὶ Θράκης χωρία ἐξέπλευσε μετὰ τὴν ἐκεχειρίαν, Expedition ᾿Αθηναίων μὲν ὁπλίτας ἔχων διακοσίους the Thraceκαὶ χιλίους καὶ ἱππέας τριακοσίους, τῶν triets. δὲ ξυμμάχων πλείους, ναῦς δὲ τριάκοντα. σχῶν s δὲ ἐς Σκιώνην πρῶτον ἔτι πολιορκουμένην καὶ προσλαβῶν αὐτόθεν ὁπλίτας τῶν φρουρῶν κατέπλευσεν ἐς τὸν ¹Κωφὸν λιμένα τῶν Τορωναίων ₂ ἀπέχοντα οὐ πολὺ τῆς πόλεως. ἐκ δ' αὐτοῦ, αἰσ-

1 Κολοφωνίων

ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ

θόμενος ύπ' αὐτομόλων ὅτι οὕτε Βρασιδας ἐν τη 10 Τορώνη ούτε οι ενόντες αξιόμαχοι είεν, τη μεν στρατιά 1 τη πεζη έχώρει ές την πύλιν, ναύς δε περιέπεμψε δέκα ές τον λιμένα περιπλείν. και πρός τό περιτείχισμα πρώτον άφικνείται, δ προσπεριέβαλε τη πόλει ό Βρασίδας, έντος βουλόμενος ποιη- 15 σαι τὸ προάστειον, καὶ διελών τοῦ παλαιοῦ τείχους 3 μίαν αὐτὴν ἐποίησε πόλιν. βοηθήσαντες δὲ ἐς He recovers αὐτὸ Πασιτελίδας τε ὁ Λακεδαιμόνιος άρχων και ή παρούσα φυλακή προσβαλόντων των 'Αθηναίων ημύνοντο. και ώς εβιάζοντο καί αί νηες άμα περιέπλεον ²αί ές τον λιμένα 5 περιπεμφθείσαι, δείσας ό Πασιτελίδας μή αί τε νήες φθάσωσι λαβούσαι έρημον την πόλιν και τού τειγίσματος άλισκομένου έγκαταληφθη, απολιπών 2 αὐτὸ δρόμω ἐχώρει ἐς τὴν πόλιν. οἱ δὲ ᾿Αθηναῖοι φθάνουσιν οί τε άπο των νεων έλόντες την Τορώ- 10 νην και ό πεζίς επισπόμενος αυτοβοεί κατά τό διηρημένον του παλαιού τείχους ξυνεσπεσών. καί τούς μέν απέκτειναν τών Πελοποννησίων και Τορωναίων εύθύς έν χερσί, τούς δε ζώντας έλαβον καί 3 Πασιτελίδαν τον άρχοντα. Βρασίδας δε εβοήθει 15 μέν τη Τορώνη, αἰσθόμενος δὲ καθ' όδον ἑαλωκυΐαν άνεγώρησεν, άποσχών τεσσαράκοντα μάλιστα σταδίους μή φθάσαι έλθών. ό δε Κλέων και οί Αθηναίοι τροπαίά τε έστησαν δύο, το μέν κατά τόν λιμένα τό δέ πρός τω τειχίσματι, καί των 20 Τορωναίων γυναϊκας μέν και παίδας ήνδραπόδισαν, αύτούς δέ και Πελοποννησίους και εί τις άλλος Χαλκιδέων ήν, ξύμπαντας ές έπτακοσίους, ἀπέπεμ- $1 - \tau \hat{n}$ 2 - al

- ψαν ἐς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας · καὶ αὐτοῖς τὸ μὲν Πελοποννήσιον ὕστερον ἐν ταῖς γενομέναις σπονδαῖς ἀπῆλ- 25 θεν, τὸ δὲ ἄλλο ἐκομίσθη ὑπ' ᾿Ολυνθίων, ἀνὴρ ἀντ' ἀνδρὸς λυθείς. εἶλον δὲ καὶ Πάνακτον ᾿Αθηναίων ἐν μεθορίοις τεῖχος Βοιωτοὶ ὑπὸ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον προδοσία. καὶ ὁ μὲν Κλέων ψυλακὴν καταστησάμενος τῆς Τορώνης ἄρας περιέπλει τὸν ᾿Αθων ὡς 30 ἐπὶ τὴν ᾿Αμφίπολιν.
- Φαίαξ δε ό Έρασιστράτου τρίτος αὐτὸς Ἀθη-4 ναίων πεμπόντων ναυσί δύο ές Ίταλίαν Athenianem-καί Σικελίαν πρεσβευτής ύπο τον αυτον and Sicily. χρόνον έξέπλευσεν. Λεοντίνοι γάρ, απελθόντων Αθηναίων ἐκ Σικελίας μετά την ξύμβασιν, πολίτας 5 τε έπεγράψαντο πολλούς και ό δήμος την γήν 2 ἐπενόει ἀναδάσασθαι. οἱ δὲ δυνατοὶ αἰσθόμενοι Συρακοσίους τε έπάγονται και έκβάλλουσι τον δήμον. καί οι μεν επλανήθησαν ώς εκαστοι, οί δέ δυνατοί όμολογήσαντες Συρακοσίοις καί τήν 10 πύλιν ἐκλιπόντες καὶ ἐρημώσαντες Συρακούσας 3 έπι πολιτεία ώκησαν. και ύστερον πάλιν αὐτῶν τινες διά το μή άρέσκεσθαι άπολιπόντες έκ των Συρακουσών Φωκαίας τε της πόλεώς τι της Λεοντίνων χωρίον καλούμενον καταλαμβάνουσι καί 15 Βρικιννίας, ον έρυμα έν τη Λεοντίνη. και τών του δήμου τότε έκπεσόντων οί πολλοί ήλθον ώς αυτούς καὶ καταστάντες ἐκ τῶν τειχῶν ἐπολέμουν. ἁ πυνθανόμενοι οί 'Αθηναίοι τον Φαίακα πέμπουσιν, εί πως πείσαντες τούς σφίσιν όντας αὐτόθι ξυμμά- 20 χους καί τούς άλλους, ην δύνωνται, Σικελιώτας κοινή, ώς Συρακοσίων δύναμιν περιποιουμένων

ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ

4

έπιστρατεύσαι, διασώσειαν τὸν δημον τῶν Λεοντίs νων. δ δè Φαίαξ ἀφικόμενος τοὺς μὲν Καμαριναίους πείθει καὶ ᾿Ακραγαντίνους, ἐν δὲ Γέλα 25 άντιστάντος αύτώ του πράγματος ούκέτι έπι τους άλλους έρχεται, αἰσθόμενος οὐκ άν πείθειν αὐτούς, άλλ' άναγωρήσας διά των Σικελών ές Κατάνην, και άμα έν τη παρόδω και ές τας Βρικιννίας έλθών 5 καὶ παραθαρσύνας, ἀπέπλει. ἐν δὲ τῆ παρακομιδῆ τη ές την Σικελίαν και πάλιν άναχωρήσει και έν τη Ιταλία τισί πόλεσιν έχρημάτισε περί φιλίας τοις 'Αθηναίοις, καὶ Λοκρῶν ἐντυγχάνει τοῖς ἐκ Μεσσήνης ἐποίκοις ἐκπεπτωκόσιν, οἱ μετὰ τὴν Σικε- 5 λιωτών όμολογίαν στασιασάντων Μεσσηνίων καί έπαγαγομένων τῶν ἑτέρων Λοκρούς ἔποικοι ἐξεπέμφθησαν καὶ ἐγένετο Μεσσήνη Λοκρών τινὰ χρόνον. 2 τούτοις ούν ό Φαίαξ έντυχών¹ κομιζομένοις ούκ ήδίκησεν · έγεγένητο γάρ τοις Λοκροίς πρός αὐτόν 10 όμολογία ξυμβάσεως πέρι πρός τους Αθηναίους. μόνοι γάρ των ξυμμάχων, ότε Σικελιώται ξυνηλλάσσοντο, ούκ έσπείσαντο 'Αθηναίοις, ούδ' αν τότε, εἰ μὴ αὐτοὺς κατεῖχεν ὁ πρὸς Ἰτωνέας καὶ Μελαίους πόλεμος, όμόρους τε όντας και αποίκους. 15 και ό μεν Φαίαξ ές τας Αθήνας χρόνω ύστερον άφίκετο.

 Ο δὲ Κλέων, ὡς ἀπὸ τῆς Τορώνης τότε περιέ-Cleon advan- πλευσεν ἐπὶ τῆν ᾿Αμφίπολιν, ὅρμώμενος ακαίης ἀκτῆς Ἐἰόνος Σταγείρῷ μὲν προσβάλλει ᾿Ανδρίων ἀποικία καὶ οὐχ εἶλεν, Γαληψὸν δὲ τῆν
 2 Θασίων ἀποικίαν λαμβάνει κατὰ κράτος. καὶ ς πέμψας ὡς Περδίκκαν πρέσβεις, ὅπως παραγένοιτο

1 7015

στρατιά κατά τό ξυμμαχικόν, καί ές την Θράκην άλλους παρά Πολλήν τον 'Οδομάντων βασιλέα, άξοντα μισθού Θράκας ώς πλείστους, αυτός ήσύ-3 χαζε περιμένων έν τη Ήιόνι. Βρασίδας δε πυνθα- 10 νόμειος ταῦτα ἀντεκάθητο καὶ αὐτὸς ἐπὶ τῶ Κερδυλίω· έστι δε το χωρίον τοῦτο 'Αργιλίων επί μετεώρου πέραν τοῦ ποταμοῦ, οὐ πολὺ ἀπέχον τῆς 'Αμφιπόλεως, καὶ κατεφαίνετο πάντα αὐτόθεν, ώστε ούκ αν έλαθεν αυτόθεν όρμώμενος ό Κλέων 15 τώ στρατώ . όπερ προσεδέχετο ποιήσειν αὐτόν, έπι την Αμφίπολιν, ύπεριδόντα σφών το πλήθος, , τη παρούση στρατιά αναβήσεσθαι. αμα δè καί παρεσκευάζετο Θρậκάς τε μισθωτούς πεντακοσίους και γιλίους και τους "Ηδωνας πάντας παρακαλών, 20 πελταστάς και ίππέας · και Μυρκινίων και Χαλκιδέων χιλίους πελταστώς είχε πρός τοις έν 'Αμφιπόλει. το δ' ύπλιτικών ξύμπαν ήθροίσθη δισγίλιοι μάλιστα και ίππης "Ελληνες τριακόσιοι. τούτων Βρασίδας μέν έχων έπι Κερδυλίω έκάθητο 25 ές πεντακοσίους και χιλίους, οί δ' άλλοι έν 'Αμφι-7 πόλει μετά Κλεαρίδου έτετάχατο. ό δε Κλέων τέως μεν ήσύχαζεν, επειτα ήναγκάσθη He takes up ποιήσαι υπερ ό Βρασίδας προσεδέχετο. a position outside the τών γάρ στρατιωτών άχθομένων μέν τη έδρα, αναλογιζομένων δε την εκείνου ηγεμονίαν 5 πρός οίαν έμπειρίαν και τόλμαν μετά οίας άνεπιστημοσύνης και μαλακίας γενήσοιτο, και οικοθεν ώς άκοντες αι τώ ξυνήλθον, αισθόμενος τον θρούν, και ού βουλόμενος αύτούς δια το έν τω αύτω καθη-2 μένους βαρύνεσθαι, αναλαβών ήγεν. και έχρήσατο 10

τῷ τρόπῳ ῷπερ καὶ ἐς τὴν Πύλον εὐτυχήσας έπίστευσέ τι φρονείν ές μάχην μέν γάρ ούδέ ήλπισέν οι έπεξιέναι ουδένα, κατά θέαν δε μάλλον έφη αναβαίνειν του χωρίου, και την μείζω παρασκευήν περιέμενεν, ούχ ώς τω ασφαλεί, ήν αναγ-15 κάζηται, περισχήσων, άλλ' ώς κύκλω περιστάς 3 βία αιρήσων την πόλιν. Ελθών τε και καθίσας έπι λόφου καρτερού πρό της 'Αμφιπόλεως τον στρατόν αύτός έθεατο τό λιμνωδες του Στρυμόνος καί την θέσιν της πόλεως έπι τη Θράκη ώς έχοι. 20 άπιέναι τε ένόμιζεν, όπόταν βούληται, άμαχεί καί γάρ οὐδὲ ἐφαίνετο οὕτ' ἐπὶ τοῦ τείχους οὐδεὶς ούτε κατά πύλας έξήει, κεκλημέναι τε ήσαν πάσαι. ώστε καὶ μηχανὰς ὅτι οὐ κατῆλθεν ἔχων, ἁμαρτεῖν έδόκει έλειν γάρ άν την πόλιν διά το έρημον. 25 8 Brasidas en- ό δέ Βρασίδας εύθύς ώς είδε κινουμένους rers Ampli-polis and pre-pares to at-tack Cleon. τοῦ Κερδυλίου ἐσέργεται ἐς τὴν ᾿Αμφίτοῦ Κερδυλίου ἐσέρχεται ἐς τὴν Ἀμφίπολιν. και επέξοδον μεν και αντίταξιν ούκ εποιήσατο πρός τους 'Αθηναίους, δεδιώς την αύτου παρα- 5 σκευήν και νομίζων ύποδεεστέρους είναι, ού τώ πλήθει, αντίπαλα γάρ πως ήν, αλλα τῶ αξιώματι-2 τών γαρ 'Αθηναίων όπερ έστράτευε καθαρον έξηλθε καὶ Λημνίων καὶ Ἰμβρίων τὸ κράτιστον-τέχνη δὲ παρεσκευάζετο επιθησόμενος. εί γαρ δείξειε τοις το έναντίοις τό τε πλήθος και την όπλισιν αναγκαίαν ούσαν τών μεθ' έαυτοῦ, οὐκ αν ήγεῖτο μάλλον περιγενέσθαι η άνευ προόψεώς τε αύτων και μη άπο 3 τοῦ ὄντος καταφρονήσεως. ἀπολεξάμενος οὐν αύτος πεντήκοντα και έκατον όπλίτας και τούς 15 άλλους Κλεαρίδα προστάξας έβουλεύετο ἐπιχειρείν αἰφνιδίως, πριν ἀπελθείν τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους, οὐκ ἀν νομίζων αυτούς όμοίως απολαβειν αύθις μεμονωμένους, εί τύγοι έλθοῦσα αὐτοῖς ή βοήθεια. ξυγκαλέσας δέ τους πάντας στρατιώτας και βουλόμενος 20 παραθαρσυναί τε και την επίνοιαν φράσαι έλεγε TOLADE.

9

Ανδρες Πελοποννήσιοι, από μέν οίας χώρας ήκομεν, ὅτι ἀεὶ διὰ τὸ εὖψυχον ἐλευθέρας, Speech of Brasidas to καί ότι Δωριής μέλλετε Ιωσι μάχεσθαι, his men. ών είώθατε κρείσσους είναι, άρκείτω βραχέως δεδηλωμένον · την δε επιχείρησιν ώ τρόπω διανοουμαι 5 ποιεισθαι διδάξω, ίνα μή τό τε κατ όλίγον και μή άπαντας κινδυνεύειν ένδεες φαινόμενον άτολμίαν 2 παράσχη. τους γαρ έναντίους εικάζω, καταφρονήσει τε ήμων και ούκ αν ελπίσαντας ώς αν επεξέλθοι τις αὐτοῖς ἐς μάχην, ἀναβηναί τε πρός τὸ 10 χωρίον και νυν ατάκτως κατά θέαν τετραμμένους 3 όλιγωρείν. όστις δε τάς τοιαύτας άμαρτίας τών έναντίων κάλλιστα ίδών και αμα πρός την έαυτοῦ δύναμιν την επιχείρησιν ποιείται μη από του προφανούς μάλλον και αντιπαραταχθέντος ή έκ 15 τοῦ πρὸς τὸ παρὸν ξυμφέροντος, πλείστ' αν όρθοιτο· και τα κλέμματα ταῦτα καλλίστην δόξαν έχει à τον πολέμιον μάλιστ' άν τις απατήσας τους 4 φίλους μέγιστ' αν ώφελήσειεν. ἕως οὖν ἔτι ἀπαράσκευοι θαρσούσι και του ύπαπιέναι πλέον ή 20 τοῦ μένοντος, έξ ών ἐμοὶ φαίνονται, τὴν διάνοιαν έχουσιν, έν τω άνειμένω αυτών της γνώμης καί πριν ξυνταχθήναι μάλλον την δόξαν, έγω μεν έχων

τούς μετ' έμαυτοῦ καὶ φθάσας, ην δύνωμαι, προσ-5 πεσούμαι δρόμω κατά μέσον το στράτευμα· σύ 25 δέ, Κλεαρίδα, ύστερον, όταν έμε όρας ήδη προσκείμενον καί κατά τὸ εἰκὸς Φοβοῦντα αὐτούς, τοὺς μετά σεαυτού, τούς τ' 'Αμφιπολίτας καί τούς άλλους ξυμμάγους άγων, αιφνιδίως τὰς πύλας άνοίξας ἐπεκθείν και ἐπείγεσθαι ώς τάχιστα ξυμ- 30 μίξαι. έλπις γάρ μάλιστα αύτους ούτω φοβηθήναι· το γαρ επιον ύστερον δεινότερον τοις πολεμίοις 6 τοῦ παρόντος καὶ μαχομένου. καὶ αὐτός τε ἀνήρ άγαθός γίγνου, ώσπερ σε είκος όντα Σπαρτιάτην, και ύμεις, ω άνδρες ξύμμαχοι, άκολουθήσατε άν- 35 δρείως, και νομίσατε είναι τοῦ καλῶς πολεμεῖν τὸ έθέλειν και το αίσχύνεσθαι και τοις άρχουσι πεί-, θεσθαι, καὶ τῆδε ὑμῖν τῆ ἡμέρα ἢ ἀγαθοῖς γενομένοις έλευθερίαν τε υπάρχειν και Λακεδαιμονίων ξυμμάχοις κεκλήσθαι, ή 'Αθηναίων τε δούλοις, ήν 40 τα άριστα άνευ ανδραποδισμού ή θανατώσεως πράξητε, καὶ δουλείαν χαλεπωτέραν η πριν εἴχετε, τοις δε λοιποις "Ελλησι κωλυταίς γενέσθαι έλευθερώσεως. άλλα μήτε ύμεις μαλακισθήτε, δρώντες περί όσων ό αγών έστιν, έγώ τε δείξω ού παραι- 45 νέσαι οίός τε ών μάλλον τοις πέλας ή και αὐτὸς έργω έπεξελθείν.

10

Ο ⁶Ο μέν Βρασίδας τοσαῦτα εἰπῶν τήν τε ἔξοδον Battle of Amplipolis, Defeat of the Attenians, Death of BrasiDas and CLEON. ⁶Ο μέν Βρασίδας τοσαῦτα εἰπῶν τήν τε ἔξοδον μετὰ τοῦ Κλεαρίδα καθίστη ἐπὶ τὰς Θρακίας καλουμένας τῶν πυλῶν, ὅπως ὥσπερ εἴρητο ἐπεξίοιεν. τῷ δὲ Κλέωνι, φανε- 5 ροῦ γενομένου αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ Κερδυλίου καταβάν-

τος και έν τη πόλει, επιφανεί ούση εξωθεν, περί το ίερον της 'Αθηνάς θυομένου και ταῦτα πράσσοντος, άγγέλλεται, προύκεχωρήκει γάρ τότε κατά την θέαν, ὅτι ή τε στρατιὰ ἄπασα φανερὰ τῶν πολε- 10 μίων έν τη πόλει και ύπο τας πύλας ίππων τε πόδες πολλοί και ανθρώπων ώς εξιόντων υποφαί-3 νονται. ό δε ακούσας επήλθεν· και ώς είδεν, ου βουλόμενος μάχη διαγωνίσασθαι πρίν οί και τους βοηθούς ήκειν, και οιόμενος Φθήσεσθαι απελθών, 15 σημαίνειν τε άμα ἐκέλευεν ἀναχώρησιν καὶ παρήγγειλε τοις απιούσιν έπι το εὐώνυμον κέρας, ώσπερ , μόνον ολόν τ' ήν, υπάγειν έπι της 'Ηιόνος. ώς δ' αύτω έδόκει 'σχολή γίγνεσθαι, αύτος επιστρέψας τό δεξιόν και τα γυμνά πρός τούς πολεμίους δούς 20 άπηγε την στρατιάν. κάν τούτω Βρασίδας, ώς όρα τόν καιρόν και τό στράτευμα των Αθηναίων κινούμενον, λέγει τοις μεθ' έαυτοῦ καὶ τοις άλλοις, 5 ότι οι άνδρες ήμας ου μένουσι, δήλοι δε τών τε δοράτων τη κινήσει και των κεφαλών οίς γαρ 25 άν τουτο γίγνηται ούκ είώθασι μένειν τους έπιόντας. άλλά τάς τε πύλας τις άνοιγέτω έμοι άς είρηται και επεξίωμεν ώς τάχιστα θαρσούντες. 6 καὶ ὁ μὲν κατὰ τὰς ἐπὶ τὸ σταύρωμα πύλας καὶ τὰς πρώτας τοῦ μακροῦ τείχους τότε ὄντος ἐξελθών 30 έθει δρόμω την όδον ταύτην εύθείαν ήπερ νυν κατά το καρτερώτατον του χωρίου ίόντι τροπαίον έστηκεν, και προσβαλών τοις 'Αθηναίοις, πεφοβημένοις τε άμα τη σφετέρα αταξία και την τόλμαν αυτού έκπεπληγμένοις, κατά μέσον το στράτευμα τρέπει. 35 7 καὶ ὁ Κλεαρίδας, ὥσπερ εἴρητο, ἅμα κατὰ τὰς

1 σχολŷ

ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ

Θρακίας πύλας ἐπεξελθών τῷ στρατῷ ἐπεφέρετο. ξυνέβη τε τῷ ἀδοκήτω καὶ ἐξαπίνης ἀμφοτέρωθεν τούς 'Αθηναίους θορυβηθήναι, και το μέν ειώνυμον κέρας αὐτῶν, τὸ πρὸς τὴν ἘΗιόνα, ὅπερ δὴ καὶ 40 8 προκεχωρήκει, εὐθὺς ἀπορραγὲν ἔφυγεν· καὶ ὁ Βρασίδας ύποχωρούντος ήδη αυτού επιπαριών τώ δεξιώ τιτρώσκεται, και πεσόντα αυτόν οι μεν 'Αθηναΐοι ούκ αἰσθάνονται, οί δὲ πλησίον ἄραντες άπήνεγκαν. το δε δεξιον των Αθηναίων έμενε 45 μάλλον, και ό μέν Κλέων, ώς το πρώτον ου διενοείτο μένειν, εύθύς φεύγων και καταληφθεις ύπο 9 Μυρκινίου πελταστοῦ ἀποθνήσκει, οἱ δὲ αὐτοῦ ξυστραφέντες όπλιται ἐπὶ τὸν λόφον τόν τε Κλεαρίδαν ημύνοντο και δις ή τρις προσβαλόντα, και ού 50 πρότερον ἐνέδοσαν πρίν ή τε Μυρκινία και ή Χαλκιδική ίππος και οι πελτασται περιστάντες και 10 έσακοντίζοντες αύτους έτρεψαν. ούτω δε το στράτευμα παν ήδη των 'Αθηναίων φυγόν γαλεπώς καί πολλάς όδούς τραπόμενοι κατά όρη, όσοι μή 55 διεφθάρησαν η αυτίκα έν χερσιν η ύπο της Χαλκιδικής ίππου και τών πελταστών, οι λοιποί 11 απεκομίσθησαν ές την Ηιόνα. οι δε τον Βρασίδαν άραντες ἐκ τῆς μάχης καὶ διασώσαντες ἐς τὴν πόλιν έτι έμπνουν έσεκόμισαν και ήσθετο μέν ότι 60 νικώσιν οί μεθ' έαυτοῦ, οὐ πολὺ δὲ διαλιπών ἐτελεύτησεν. και ή άλλη στρατια αναχωρήσασα μετά τοῦ Κλεαρίδου ἐκ τῆς διώξεως νεκρούς τε 11 ἐσκύλευσε καὶ τροπαῖον ἔστησεν. μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα τόν Βρασίδαν οι ξύμμαχοι πάντες ξύν ίπλοις έπισπόμενοι δημοσία έθαψαν έν τη πόλει πρό της

νῦν ἀγορῶς οὕσης καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν οἱ ᾿Αμφιπολίται, περιέρξαντες αύτου το μνημείον, ώς ήρωί Burial of τε έντέμνουσι και τιμάς δεδώκασιν Brasidas. άγῶνας καὶ ἐτησίους θυσίας, καὶ τὴν return home. 2 αποικίαν ώς οἰκιστη προσέθεσαν, καταβαλόντες τα Αγνώνεια οικοδομήματα και αφανίσαντες εί τι μνημόσυνόν που έμελλεν αύτοῦ τῆς οἰκίσεως περιέ- 10 σεσθαι, νομίσαντες τον μέν Βρασίδαν σωτήρά τε σφών γεγενήσθαι και έν τώ παρόντι άμα την τών Λακεδαιμονίων ξυμμαγίαν Φόβω τών 'Αθηναίων θεραπεύοντες, τον δέ "Αγνωνα κατά το πολέμιον τών 'Αθηναίων ούκ αν όμοίως σφίσι ξυμφίρως ούδ' 15 3 αν ήδέως τας τιμάς έχειν. και τους νεκρούς τοις Αθηναίοις απέδοσαν. απέθανον δε Αθηναίων μεν περί έξακοσίους, τών δ' έναντίων έπτά, δια το μή έκ παρατάξεως από δε τοιαύτης ξυντυγίας καί προεκφοβήσεως την μάχην μάλλον γενέσθαι. 20 μετά δε την αναίρεσιν οι μεν επ' οίκου απέπλευσαν. οί δε μετά τοῦ Κλεαρίδου τὰ περί την 'Αμφίπολιν καθίσταντο.

12 Καὶ ὑπὸ τοὺς αὐτοὺς χρόνους τοῦ θέρους τελευτῶντος Ῥαμφίας καὶ Αὐτοχαρίδας καὶ Reinforce-Ἐπικυδίδας Λακεδαιμόνιοι ἐς τὰ ἐπὶ out to Brast-Θράκης χωρία βοήθειαν ἦγον ἐνακοσίων ὅπλιτῶν, καὶ ἀφικόμενοι ἐς Ἡράκλειαν τὴν ἐν s Ἐραχῖνι καθίσταντο ὅ τι αὐτοῖς ἐδόκει μὴ καλῶς ἔχειν. ἐνδιατριβόντων δὲ αὐτῶν ἔτυχεν ἡ μάχη αὕτη γενομένη, καὶ τὸ θέρος ἐτελεύτα.

13 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμῶνος εἰθὺς μέχρι μὲν Πιερίου τῆς Θεσσαλίας διῆλθον οἱ περὶ τὸν Ῥαμ-

φίαν, κωλυόντων δε τών Θεσσαλών και άμα Βρασίδου τεθνεώτος, ώπερ ήγον την στρα-They return to Sparta. τιάν, ἀπετράποντο ἐπ' οἴκου, νομίσαντες 5 ούδένα καιρόν είναι έτι, τών τε 'Αθηναίων ήσση άπεληλυθότων καὶ οὐκ ἀξιόχρεων αὐτῶν ὄντων δράν τι ών κάκεινος έπενόει. μάλιστα δε άπηλθον είδότες τους Λακεδαιμονίους, ότε έξήεσαν, πρός τήν 14 εἰρήνην μάλλον την γνώμην έχοντας. ξυνέβη τε εὐθὺς μετὰ τὴν ἐν Ἀμφιπόλει μάχην καὶ Reasons why both sides are την 'Ραμφίου αναχώρησιν έκ Θεσσαdisposed to peace. λίας, ώστε πολέμου μέν μηδέν έτι άψασθαι μηδετέρους, πρός δε την ειρήνην μάλλον την 5 γνώμην είχον, οί μέν 'Αθηναΐοι πληγέντες έπι τω Δηλίω και δι' όλίγου αίθις έν 'Αμφιπόλει, και ούκ έχοντες την έλπίδα της ρώμης πιστην έτι, ήπερ ού προσεδέχοντο πρότερον τὰς σπονδάς, δοκούντες τη παρούση εύτυχία καθυπέρτεροι γενή- 10 σεσθαι· καί τους ξυμμάχους άμα έδεδίεσαν σφών, μή διὰ τὰ σφάλματα ἐπαιρόμενοι ἐπὶ πλέον ἀποστώσιν, μετεμέλοντό τε ότι μετά τά έν Πύλω καλώς 2 παρασχόν ού ξυνέβησαν οί δ' αὐ Λακεδαιμόνιοι παρά γνώμην μέν αποβαίνοντος σφίσι του πολέ-15 μου, έν ώ ὤοντο όλίγων ἐτών καθαιρήσειν την τών 'Αθηναίων δύναμιν, εί την γην τέμνοιεν, περιπεσόντες δε τη εν τη νήσω ξυμφορά, οία ούπω γεγένητο τη Σπάρτη, και ληστευομένης της χώρας έκ της Πύλου και Κυθήρων, αυτομολούντων τε τών 20 Είλώτων, και αέι προσδοκίας ούσης μή τι και οί ύπομένοντες τοις έξω πίσυνοι πρός τὰ παρόντα 3 σφίσιν, ώσπερ και πρότερον, νεωτερίσωσιν. ξυνέ-

βαινε δέ και πρός τους Άργείους αυτοίς τας τριακονταέτεις σπουδάς έπ' έξόδω είναι, και άλλας 25 οι κ ήθελον σπένδεσθαι οι Άργειοι, εί μή τις αυτοίς την Κυνοσουρίαν γην αποδώσει. ωστ' αδύνατα είναι έφαίνετο Άργείοις και Άθηναίοις άμα πολεμείν. τών τε έν Πελοποννήσω πόλεων ύπώπτευόν τινας αποστήσεσθαι πρός τους Αργείους. ὅπερ καί 30 15 έγένετο. ταῦτ' οῦν ἀμφοτέροις αὐτοῖς λογιζομένοις

έδόκει ποιητέα είναι ή ξύμβασις, καί ούχ ήσσον τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις, επιθυμία monianswere τών ανδρών τών έκ της νήσου κομίσασ- sirous of re $θaι <math>
\eta \sigma av \gamma a\rho$ ci $\Sigma \pi a \rho \tau i a \tau a v τ ων$ their prison-ers captured 5 at Pylos. πρώτοι τε και όμοιως σφίσι ξυγγενείς.

The Lacedaeespecially de-

- 2 ήρξαντο μέν ουν και εύθυς μετά την άλωσιν αιτών πράσσειν, άλλ' οι 'Αθηναΐοι ούπως ήθελον εύ φερόμενοι έπι τη ίση καταλύεσθαι. σφαλέντων δε αυτών επί τῷ Δηλίω παραχρήμα οι Λακεδαι- 10 μόνιοι, γνόντες νῦν μάλλον ἁν δεξαμένους, ποιοῦνται την ένιαύσιον έκεχειρίαν, έν ή έδει ξυνιόντας καί
- 16 περί του πλείονος χρόνου βουλεύεσθαι. επειδή δε και ή έν 'Αμφιπόλει ήσσα τοις 'Αθηναίοις Pleistoanax and Nicias, έγεγένητο και έτεθνήκει Κλέων τε και who are now the leading Βρασίδας, οίπερ αμφοτέρωθεν μάλιστα menin Sparta and Athens, ήναντιούντο τη εἰρήνη, ὁ μèν διὰ τὸ εὐτυ- for peace. 5 χείν τε και τιμάσθαι έκ του πολεμείν, ό δε γενομένης ήσυχίας καταφανέστερος νομίζων αν είναι 2 κακουργών και απιστότερος διαβάλλων, τότε δε οί
 - έν έκατέρα τη πόλει σπεύδοντες τὰ μάλιστα την ήγεμονίαν, Πλειστοάναξ τε ό Παυσανίου βασιλεύς 10 Λακεδαιμονίων και Νικίας ό Νικηράτου, πλείστα

ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ

τών τότε εὐ φερόμενος ἐν στρατηγίαις, πολλώ δή 3 μάλλον προεθυμοῦντο Νικίας μὲν βουλόμενος έν ώ απαθής ήν και ήξιουτο διασώσασθαι την εύτυχίαν, και ές τε το αυτίκα πόνων πεπαῦσθαι και 15 αύτος καί τους πολίτας παύσαι, καί τω μέλλοντι χρόνω καταλιπείν όνομα ώς ούδεν σφήλας την πόλιν διεγένετο, νομίζων έκ τοῦ ἀκινδύνου τοῦτο ξυμβαίνειν και ίστις έλάχιστα τύχη αύτον παραδίδωσι, το δε ακίνδυνον την ειρήνην παρέχειν 20 4 Πλειστοάναξ δε ύπο των εχθρών διαβαλλόμενος περί της καθόδου και ές ένθυμίαν τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις αεί προβαλλόμενος ύπ' αυτών, όπότε τι πταίσειαν, ώς διά την έκείνου κάθοδον παρανομη-5 θείσαν ταῦτα ξυμβαίνοι. τὴν γὰρ πρίμαντιν τὴν 25 έν Δελφοίς έπητιώντο αυτόν πείσαι μετ' Άριστοκλέους του άδελφου, ώστε χρήσαι Λακεδαιμονίοις έπι πολύ τάδε θεωροίς άφικνουμένοις, Διός υίοῦ ήμιθέου τὸ σπέρμα ἐκ τῆς ἀλλοτρίας ἐς τὴν ἑαυτῶν άναφέρειν, εί δε μή, άργυρέα εύλάκα εύλάξειν 30 6 γρόνω δε προτρέψαι τους Λακεδαιμονίους φεύγοντα αύτον ές Λύκαιον δια την έκ της Αττικής ποτε μετά δώρων δοκούσαν άναχώρησιν, και ήμισυ τής οικίας τοῦ ίεροῦ τότε τοῦ Διὸς οικοῦντα φοβω τών Λακεδαιμονίων, έτει ένδς δέοντι είκοστώ τοις όμοί- 35 οις χοροίς καί θυσίαις καταγαγείν, ώσπερ ότε τὸ πρώτον Λακεδαίμονα κτίζοντες τούς βασιλέας 17 καθίσταντο. άχθόμενος ούν τη διαβολή ταύτη καί νομίζων έν ειρήνη μέν, ούδενος σφάλματος B.C. 421. Peace is conγιγνομένου καί άμα τών Λακεδαιμονίων eluded for fifty years.

τούς άνδρας κομιζομένων, καν αύτος τοις

14

έχθροις ανεπίληπτος είναι, πολέμου δε καθεστώτος 5 άει ανάγκην είναι τους προύχοντας από των ξυμφορών διαβάλλεσθαι, προύθυμήθη την ξύμβασιν. 2 καί τόν τε χειμώνα τουτον ήεσαν ές λόγους καί πρός τὸ ἕαρ ήδη παρασκευή τε προεπανεσείσθη άπό τών Λακεδαιμονίων περιαγγελλομένη κατά 10 πόλεις ώς έπι τειχισμόν, ὅπως οί Αθηναίοι μάλλον έσακούοιεν, και έπειδή έκ των ξυνόδων άμα πολλάς δικαιώσεις προενεγκόντων άλλήλοις ξυνεχωρείτο ώστε à έκάτεροι πολέμω έσχον αποδόντας την εἰρήνην ποιεισθαι, Νίσαιαν δ' έχειν 'Αθηναίους- 15 3 άνταπαιτούντων γαρ Πλάταιαν οί Θηβαίοι έφασαν ού βία άλλ' όμολογία, αὐτῶν προσχωρησάντων και ου προδόντων, έχειν το χωρίον, και οι Αθηναίοι τῶ αὐτῶ τρόπω τὴν Νίσαιαν-τότε δὴ παρακαλέσαντες τούς έαυτων ξυμμάγους οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι 20 και ψηφισαμένων πλήν Βοιωτών και Κορινθίων και 'Ηλείων και Μεγαρέων τών άλλων ώστε καταλύεσθαι, τούτοις δε ούκ ήρεσκε τα πρασσόμενα, ποιούνται την ξύμβασιν και έσπείσαντο πρός τους Αθηναίους και ώμοσαν, ἐκεινοί τε πρός τους Λακε- 25 δαιμονίους, τάδε.

18 Σπουδάς ἐποιήσαυτο ᾿Αθηναῖοι καὶ Λακεδαιμόνιοι καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι κατὰ τάδε, καὶ Terms and ὤμοσαυ κατὰ πόλεις. περὶ μὲυ τῶν the fity iερῶν τῶν κοινῶν, θύειν καὶ ἰέναι καὶ μαυτεύεσθαι καὶ θεωρεῖν κατὰ τὰ πάτρια τὸν βου- s λόμενον καὶ κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν ἀδεῶς. τὸ δ' ἱερὸν καὶ τὸν νεῶν τὸν ἐν Δελφοῖς τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος καὶ Δελφοὺς αὐτονόμους εἶναι καὶ αὐτο-

ΘΟΥΚΥΔΙΔΟΥ

τελείς και αυτοδίκους και αυτών και της γης της * έαυτων κατά τὰ πάτρια. ἔτη δὲ είναι τὰς σπονδάς 10 πεντήκοντα 'Αθηναίοις και τοις ξυμμάχοις τοις Αθηναίων και Λακεδαιμονίοις και τοις ξυμμάχοις τοις Λακεδαιμονίων άδόλους και άβλαβεις και κατά γην καί κατά θάλασσαν. ὅπλα δὲ μη ἐξέστω έπιφέρειν έπι πημονή μήτε Λακεδαιμονίους και 15 τούς ξυμμάχους ἐπ' ᾿Αθηναίους καὶ τοὺς ξυμμάχους μήτε 'Αθηναίους και τους ξυμμάχους έπι Λακεδαιμονίους καί τούς ξυμμάχους μήτε τέχνη μήτε 3 μηχανη μηδεμιά. ην δέ τι διάφορον η πρός άλλήλους, δικαίω χρήσθων και όρκοις καθ' ό τι αν ξυν- 20 θώνται, αποδόντων δε 'Αθηναίοις Λακεδαιμόνιοι και οι ξύμμαχοι 'Αμφίπολιν. όσας δε πόλεις παρέδοσαν Λακεδαιμόνιοι 'Αθηναίοις, έξέστω απιέναι όποι αν βούλωνται αύτούς και τα έαυτών 4 έχοντας. τὰς δὲ πόλεις φερούσας τὸν φόρον τὸν 25 έπ' 'Αριστείδου αὐτονόμους είναι. ὅπλα δὲ μή έξέστω επιφέρειν Αθηναίους μηδε τοις ξυμμάχους έπι κακώ, αποδιδόντων τον φόρον, έπειδή αί σπονδαί έγένοντο. είσι δε Άργιλος, Στάγειρος, 5 "Ακανθος, Σκώλος, "Ολυνθος, Σπάρτωλος. Ευμμά- 30 χους δ' είναι μηδετέρων, μήτε Λακεδαιμονίων μήτε 'Αθηναίων' ην δέ 'Αθηναίοι πείθωσι τάς πόλεις, βουλομένας ταύτας έξέστω ξυμμάχους ποιείσθαι αύτούς 'Αθηναίοις. Μηκυβερναίους δέ και Σαναίους και Σιγγαίους οἰκεῖν τὰς πόλεις τὰς ἑαυτῶν, 35 καθάπερ 'Ολύνθιοι καὶ 'Ακάνθιοι. ἀποδόντων δέ 'Αθηναίοις Λακεδαιμόνιοι και οι ξύμμαχοι Πάνακ-6 τον. αποδύντων δε και 'Αθηναίοι Λακεδαιμονίοις

Κορυφάσιον καὶ Κύθηρα καὶ Μεθώνην καὶ Πτελεὸν και 'Αταλάντην και τους άνδρας όσοι είσι Λακε- 40 δαιμονίων έν τῷ δημοσίω τῷ Αθηναίων ή άλλοθί 7 που όσης 'Αθηναίοι άρχουσιν έν δημοσίω· καί τούς έν Σκιώνη πολιορκουμένους Πελοποννησίων άφείναι και τούς άλλους όσοι Λακεδαιμονίων ξύμμαχοι έν Σκιώνη είσι και όσους Βρασίδας έσέπεμ- 45 ψεν και εί τις των ξυμμάχων των Λακεδαιμονίων έν Αθήναις έστιν έν τω δημοσίω ή άλλοθί που ής Αθηναίοι άρχουσιν έν δημοσίω. αποδόντων δέ και Λακεδαιμόνιοι και οι ξύμμαχοι ούστινας έχουσιν Αθηναίων και τών ξυμμάχων κατά ταὐτά. 50 8 Σκιωναίων δέ και Τορωναίων και Σερμυλίων και εί τινα άλλην πόλιν έχουσιν 'Αθηναίοι, 'Αθηναίους βουλεύεσθαι περί αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων πόλεων ό τι αν δοκή αυτοίς. όρκους δε ποιήσασθαι 'Αθηναίους πρός Λακεδαιμονίους και τους ξυμμάγους 55 κατά πόλεις. όμνύντων δε τον επιχώριον όρκον ο έκάτεροι τον μέγιστον έξ έκάστης πόλεως. ό δ' όρκος έστω όδε έμμενω ταις ξυνθήκαις και ταις σπονδαίς ταισδε δικαίως και αδόλως. έστω δέ Λακεδαιμονίοις και τοις ξυμμάχοις κατά ταὐτὰ 60 όρκος πρός Αθηναίους, τόν δε όρκον άνανεοῦσθαι 10 κατ' ένιαυτον άμφοτέρους. στήλας δε στήσαι Όλυμπίασι και Πυθοί και Ίσθμοι και έν Άθήναις έν πόλει και έν Λακεδαίμονι έν Αμυκλαίω. εί δέ τι άμνημονουσιν όποτεροιούν και ότου πέρι, 65 λόγοις δικαίοις χρωμένοις είορκον είναι άμφοτέροις ταύτη μεταθείναι όπη άν δοκή άμφοτέροις, 'Αθηναίοις και Λακεδαιμονίοις.

Άρχει δὲ τῶν σπονδῶν ἔφορος Πλειστόλας, Names of the 'Αρτεμισίου μηνὸς τετάρτη φθίνοντος, ἐν contracting parties. δὲ 'Αθήναις ἄρχων 'Αλκαῖος, 'Ελαφηβολιῶνος μηνὸς ἕκτη φθίνοντος· ὥμνυον δὲ οἴδε καὶ ἐσπένδοντο, Λακεδαιμονίων μὲν Πλειστοάναξ, ₅ 'Αγις, Πλειστόλας, Δαμάγητος, Χίονις, Μεταγένης, "Ακανθος, Δάϊθος, 'Ισχαγόρας, Φιλοχαρίδας, Ζευξίδας, "Αντιππος, Τέλλις, 'Αλκινίδας, 'Εμπεδίας,
2 Μηνᾶς, Λάφιλος· 'Αθηναίων δὲ οἴδε, Λάμπων, 'Ισθμιόνικος, Νικίας, Λάχης, Εὐθύδημος, Προκλῆς, το Πυθόδωρος, "Αγνων, Μυρτίλος, Θρασυκλῆς, Θεαγένης, 'Αριστοκράτης, 'Ιώλκιος, Τιμοκράτης, Λέων, Λάμαχος, Δημοσθένης.

20 Αυται αί σπονδαὶ ἐγένοντο τελευτώντος τοῦ Duration of the first period of the war. χειμώνος ἄμα ἦρι ἐκ Διονυσίων εὐθὺς τῶν ἀστικῶν, αὐτόδεκα ἐτῶν διελθόντων καὶ ἡμερῶν ὀλίγων παρενεγκουσῶν, ἢ ὡς τὸ

πρώτον ή ἐσβολὴ ή ἐς τὴν Αττικὴν καὶ ἡ ἀρχή 5
τοῦ πολέμου τοῦδε ἐγένετο. σκοπείτω δέ τις κατὰ τοὺς χρόνους καὶ μὴ τῶν ἑκασταχοῦ ἡ ἀρχόντων ἡ ἀπὸ τιμῆς τινὸς τὴν ἀπαρίθμησιν τῶν ὀνομάτων ἐς τὰ προγεγενημένα σημαινόντων πιστεύσας μᾶλλον. οὐ γὰρ ἀκριβές ἐστιν, οἶς καὶ ἀρχομένοις καὶ 10
μεσοῦσι καὶ ὕπως ἔτυχέ τῷ ἐπεγένετό τι. κατὰ θέρη δὲ καὶ χειμῶνας ἀριθμῶν, ὥσπερ γέγραπται, εὑρήσει, ἐξ ἡμισείας ἑκατέρου τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ τὴν δύναμιν ἔχοντος, δέκα μὲν θέρη ἴσους δὲ χειμῶνας τῷ πρώτῷ πολέμῷ τῷδε γεγενημένους.

21 Λακεδαιμόνιοι δέ, ἔλαχον γὰρ πρότεροι ἀποδιδόναι ὰ εἶχον, τούς τε ἄνδρας εἰθὺς τοὺς παρὰ

σφίσιν αίγμαλώτους άφίεσαν και πέμψαντες ές τα έπι Θράκης πρέσβεις Ίσχαγόραν The Lacedaemonian allies καί Μηνών και Φιλοχαρίδαν ἐκέλευον τον disapprove of 5 the treaty. Κλεαρίδαν την Αμφίπολιν παραδιδόναι Clearidas still holds τοις 'Αθηναίοις και τους άλλους τας σπον-Amphipolis. δάς, ώς εἴρητο ἐκάστοις, δέχεσθαι. οἱ δ' οὐκ ἤθελον, 2 νομίζοντες ούκ επιτηδείας είναι ούδε ό Κλεαρίδας παρέδωκε την πόλιν, χαριζόμενος τοις Χαλκιδεύ- 10 σιν, λέγων ώς ού δυνατός είη βία εκείνων παραδιδόναι. έλθών δε αυτός κατά τάγος μετά πρέσβεων αὐτόθεν ἀπολογησόμενός τε ἐς την Λακεδαίμονα, ήν κατηγορώσιν οι περί τον Ισχαγόραν ότι ούκ έπείθετο, καὶ ἄμα βουλόμενος εἰδέναι εἰ ἔτι μετα- 15 κινητή είη ή όμολογία, έπειδή εύρε κατειλημμένας, αύτος μέν πάλιν πεμπόντων τών Λακεδαιμονίων καί κελευόντων μάλιστα μέν και το χωρίον παραδούναι, εί δε μή, όπόσοι Πελοποννησίων ένεισιν 22 έξαγαγείν, κατά τάχος έπορεύετο. οι δε ξύμμαγοι έν τη Λακεδαίμονι ' αυτού έτυχον όντες The Lacedaemonians conκαι αυτών τους μη δεξαμένους τας σπον- clude a separate alliance δας εκέλευον οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι ποιείσθαι. with Athens. οί δε τη αντη προφάσει, ήπερ και το πρώτον 5 άπεώσαντο, ούκ έφασαν δέξασθαι, ην μή τινας δι-2 καιοτέρας τούτων ποιώνται. ώς δ' αὐτών οὐκ έσήκουον, έκείνους μέν απέπεμψαν, αυτοί δε πρός τούς Αθηναίους ξυμμαχίαν εποιούντο, νομίζοντες ήκιστα αν σφίσι τούς τε Άργείους, έπειδή ούκ 10 ήθελον 'Αμπελίδου και Λίχου ελθόντων επισπένδεσθαι, νομίσαντες αύτους άνευ 'Αθηναίων ου δεινούς είναι, και την άλλην Πελοπόννησον μάλιστ' 1 αὐτοί

ầν ήσυχάζειν· πρὸς γὰρ ầν τοὺς 'Ἀθηναίους, εἰ ἐξῆν, χωρεῖν. παρόντων οὖν πρέσβεων ἀπὸ τῶν 15 ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ γενομένων λόγων ξυνέβησαν, καὶ ἐγένοντο ὅρκοι καὶ ξυμμαχία ήδε.

23 Κατὰ τάδε ξύμμαχοι ἔσονται Λακεδαιμόνιοι Terms and conditions of alliance for fifty years. πρν γην πολέμιοι την Λακεδαιμονίων και κακῶς ποιῶσι Λακεδαιμονίους, ὦφελεῦν

'Αθηναίους Λακεδαιμονίους τρόπω όποίω αν δύνων- 5 2 ται ίσχυροτάτω κατά τὸ δυνατόν ήν δὲ δηώσαντες οιχωνται, πολεμίαν είναι ταύτην την πόλιν Λακεδαιμονίοις και 'Αθηναίοις και κακώς πάσχειν ύπο άμφοτέρων, καταλύειν δε άμα άμφω τω πόλεε. ταῦτα δ' είναι δικαίως και προθύμως και ἀδόλως. 10 και ήν τινες ές την Αθηναίων γην ίωσι πολέμιοι και κακώς ποιώσιν, 'Αθηναίους ώφελειν Λακεδαιμο-3 νίους τρίπω ότω αν δύνωνται ισχυροτάτω κατά το δυνατόν ην δε δηώσαντες οιχωνται, πολεμίαν είναι ταύτην την πόλιν Λακεδαιμονίοις και 'Αθηναίοις 15 καί κακώς πάσχειν ύπ' άμφοτέρων, καταλύειν δέ άμα άμφω τώ πόλεε. ταῦτα δ' εἶναι δικαίως καὶ προθύμως και αδόλως. ην δε ή δουλεία επανιστή-4 ται, ἐπικουρείν ᾿Αθηναίους Λακεδαιμονίοις παντί σθένει κατά τό δυνατόν. ομούνται δε ταύτα οίπερ 20 και τας άλλας σπονδάς ώμνυον έκατέρων. άνανεοῦσθαι δὲ κατ' ἐνιαυτὸν Λακεδαιμονίους μὲν ίόντας ές 'Αθήνας πρός τα Διονύσια, 'Αθηναίους δε ιόντας ές Λακεδαίμονα πρός τα Υακίνθια. 5 στήλην δε έκατέρους στήσαι την μεν έν Λακεδαί- 25 μονι παρ' Απόλλωνι έν Αμυκλαίω, την δε έν

1 'Apyelous?

'Αθήναις έν πόλει παρ' Αθηνά. ην δέ τι δοκή Λακεδαιμονίοις και Αθηναίοις προσθείναι και άφελειν περί της ξυμμαχίας, ό τι αν δοκή, εύορκον αμφοτέροις είναι.

24 Τον δε όρκον ώμνυον Λακεδαιμονίων μεν οίδε, Πλειστοάναξ, 'Αγις, Πλειστόλας, Δαμά-Names of the γητος, Χίονις, Μεταγένης, "Ακανθος, contracting parties. The Δάϊθος, Ίσχαγόρας, Φιλοχαρίδας, Ζευ- Athenians restore the Lacedaemoξίδας, "Αντιππος, 'Αλκινάδας, Τέλλις, Lacedaemo- 5 ers. Ἐμπεδίας, Μηνῶς, Λάφιλος· Ἀθηναίων δε Λάμπων, Ίσθμιόνικος, Λάγης, Νικίας, Εύθύδημος, Προκλής, Πυθόδωρος, "Αγνων, Μυρτίλος, Θρασυκλής, Θεαγένης, 'Αριστοκράτης, 'Ιώλκιος, Τιμοκράτης, Λέων, Λάμαχος, Δημοσθένης.

Αύτη ή ξυμμαγία έγένετο μετά τάς σπονδάς ού πολλώ ύστερον, και τους άνδρας τους έκ της νήσου απέδοσαν οι Αθηναΐοι τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις, και το θέρος ήργε του ένδεκάτου έτους. ταυτα δε τα δέκα έτη ό πρώτος πόλεμος ξυνεχώς γενόμενος 15 γέγραπται.

Μετά δέ τάς σπονδάς και την ξυμμαχίαν τών 25 Λακεδαιμονίων και των 'Αθηναίων, αί Fresh disέγένοντο μετά τον δεκαετή πόλεμον έπι turbances are threatened ; Πλειστόλα μέν έν Λακεδαίμονι έφόρου, and suspicions arise 'Αλκαίου δ' άρχοντος 'Αθήνησι, τοις μέν between Athens and 5 Sparta. 2 δεξαμένοις αυτάς είρηνη ήν· οι δε Κορίν-

θιοι και των έν Πελοποννήσω πόλεών τινες διεκίνουν τὰ πεπραγμένα, καὶ εὐθὺς ἄλλη ταραχή καθίστατο τών ξυμμάχων πρός την Λακεδαίμονα. καί άμα και τοις 'Αθηναίοις οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι 10 2 G. T.

10

προϊόντος τοῦ χρόνου ὕποπτοι ἐγένοντο, ἔστιν ἐν 3 οἶς οὐ ποιοῦντες ἐκ τῶν ξυγκειμένων ἂ εἴρητο. καὶ ἐπὶ ἐξ ἔτη μὲν καὶ δέκα μῆνας ἀπέσχουτο μὴ ἐπὶ τὴν ἑκατέρων γῆν στρατεῦσαι, ἔξωθεν δὲ μετ' ἀνακωχῆς οὐ βεβαίου ἔβλαπτον ἀλλήλους τὰ μάλιστα^{- 15} ἔπειτα μέντοι καὶ ἀναγκασθέντες λῦσαι τὰς μετὰ τὰ δέκα ἔτη σπονδὰς αὖθις ἐς πόλεμον φανερὸν 26 κατέστησαν. Υέγραφε δὲ καὶ ταῦτα ὁ αὐτὸς Θου-

In fact the war never really ceased. Opportunities of information possessed by Thucydides. κυδίδης 'Αθηναΐος έξης, ώς ἕκαστα ἐγένετο, κατὰ θέρη καὶ χειμῶνας, μέχρι οῦ τήν τε ἀρχὴν κατέπαυσαν τῶν Αθηναίων Λακεδαιμόνιοι καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι καὶ τὰ s μακρὰ τείχη καὶ τὸν Πειραιᾶ κατέλαβον.

2 έτη δὲ ἐς τοῦτο τὰ ξύμπαντα ἐγένετο τῷ πολέμω έπτὰ καὶ εἴκοσι. καὶ τὴν διὰ μέσου ξύμβασιν εἴ τις μή άξιώσει πόλεμον νομίζειν, ούκ όρθως δικαιώσει. τοις τε γάρ έργοις ώς διήρηται άθρείτω καί 10 εύρήσει ούκ είκος όν ειρήνην αύτην κριθηναι, έν ή ούτε απέδοσαν πάντα ουτ' απεδέξαντο αξυνέθεντο, 3 έξω τε τούτων πρός τον Μαντινικόν και Έπιδαύριον πόλεμον και ές άλλα άμφοτέροις άμαρτήματα έγένοντο, και οι έπι Θράκης ξύμμαχοι ούδεν ήσσον 15 πολέμιοι ήσαν, Βοιωτοί τε εκεχειρίαν δεχήμερον ήγον. ώστε ξύν τῷ πρώτω πολέμω τῷ δεκαετεί καὶ τῃ μετ' αὐτὸν ὑπόπτῷ ἀνακωχῃ καὶ τῷ ὕστερον έξ αύτης πολέμω ευρήσει τις τοσαύτα έτη, λογιζόμενος κατά τούς χρόνους, και ήμέρας ού πολ- 20 λάς παρενεγκούσας και τοις άπο χρησμών τι ίσχυρισαμένοις μόνον δή τουτο έχυρως ξυμβάν. 4 ἀεὶ γὰρ ἔγωγε μέμνημαι, καὶ ἀρχομένου τοῦ πολέ-

μου και μέχρι ου έτελεύτησεν, προφερόμενον ύπο πολλών ότι τρίς έννέα έτη δέοι γενέσθαι αὐτόν. 25 έπεβίων δε διά παντός αύτου, αίσθανόμενός τε τή ήλικία και προσέχων την γνώμην, όπως ακριβές τι s είσομαι· καί ξυνέβη μοι φεύγειν την έμαυτοῦ έτη είκοσι μετά την ές Αμφίπολιν στρατηγίαν, καί γενομένω παρ' αμφοτέροις τοις πράγμασι, και ούχ 30 ήσσον τοίς Πελοποννησίων δια την φυγήν, καθ' ήσυχίαν τι αὐτῶν μάλλον αἰσθέσθαι. την ούν μετά τά δέκα έτη διαφοράν τε καί ξύγχυσιν τών σπονδών και τα έπειτα ώς επολεμήθη εξηγήσομαι. Έπειδή γαρ αί πεντηκοντούτεις σπονδαί έγέ-27

νοντο καί ύστερον αί ξυμμαχίαι, καί The Corinai aπο της Πελοποννήσου πρεσβείαι, pose to form αίπερ παρεκλήθησαν ές αὐτά, ἀνεχώρουν sian league 2 έκ τής Λακεδαίμονος. και οι μέν αλλοι in opposition 5 έπ' οίκου απήλθου, Κορίνθιοι δέ ές "Αργος mon.

thians prounder ABGOS

τραπόμενοι πρώτον λόγους ποιούνται πρός τινας τών έν τέλει όντων 'Αργείων, ώς χρή, έπειδή Λακεδαιμόνιοι ούκ έπ' άγαθώ άλλ' έπι καταδουλώσει τής Πελοποννήσου σπονδάς και ξυμμαχίαν πρός 10 Αθηναίους τοὺς πριν ἐχθίστους πεποίηνται, ὁρâν τούς 'Αργείους όπως σωθήσεται ή Πελοπόννησος. καί ψηφίσασθαι την βουλομένην πόλιν των Ελλήνων, ητις αὐτόνομός τέ ἐστι καὶ δίκας ἴσας καὶ όμοίας δίδωσι, πρός Άργείους ξυμμαχίαν ποιείσ- 15 3 θαι, ώστε τη άλλήλων επιμαχείν, αποδείξαι δέ άνδρας όλίγους άρχην αύτοκράτορας, και μή πρός τόν δήμον τούς λόγους είναι, του μή καταφανείς γίγνεσθαι τούς μή πείσαντας το πλήθος έφασαν

δέ πολλούς προσχωρήσεσθαι μίσει τών Λακεδαι- 20 28 μονίων. και οι μέν Κορίνθιοι διδάξαντες ταῦτα άνεχώρησαν έπ' οίκου. οι δε των Αργείων άνδρες ακούσαντες έπειδη άνηνεγκαν τους λόγους The Argives accept the ές τε τώς άρχώς και τον δήμον, έψηφίσανproposal. το 'Αργείοι, και άνδρας είλοντο δώδεκα πρός ούς 5 τόν βουλόμενον τών Έλλήνων ξυμμαχίαν ποιείσθαι πλήν 'Αθηναίων και Λακεδαιμονίων' τούτων δέ μηδετέροις έξειναι άνευ του δήμου του 'Αργείων 2 σπείσασθαι. ἐδέξαντό τε ταῦτα οἱ ᾿Αργεῖοι μάλλον έρωντες τόν τε των Λακεδαιμονίων σφίσι πόλεμον 10 έσόμενον, έπ' έξόδω γάρ πρός αύτους αί σπονδαί ήσαν, και άμα έλπίσαντες της Πελοποννήσου 3 ήγήσεσθαι κατά γάρ τόν χρόνον τοῦτον ή τε Λακεδαίμων μάλιστα δή κακώς ήκουσε και ύπερώφθη διὰ τὰς ξυμφοράς, οι τε Άργειοι ἄριστα 15 έσχον τοις πάσιν, ού ξυναράμενοι του Άττικού πολέμου, αμφοτέροις δε μαλλον ένσπονδοι όντες έκκαρπωσάμενοι. οί μέν ουν Άργειοι ούτως ές τήν ξυμμαχίαν προσεδέχοντο τούς έθέλοντας τών 29 Έλλήνων, Μαντινής δ' αὐτοῖς καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι

The Mantineans join the confederacy, and other states are disposed to follow. αὐτῶν πρῶτοι προσεχώρησαν, δεδιότες τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους. τοῖς γὰρ Μαντινεῦσι μέρος τι τῆς ᾿Αρκαδίας κατέστραπτο ὑπήκοον, ἔτι τοῦ πρὸς ᾿Αθηναίους 5

2 πολέμου ὄντος, καὶ ἐνόμιζον οὐ περιόψεσθαι σφᾶς τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους ἄρχειν, ἐπειδὴ καὶ σχολὴν ἦγον ὥστε ἄσμενοι πρὸς τοὺς ᾿Αργείους ἐτράποντο, πόλιν τε μεγάλην νομίζοντες καὶ Λακεδαιμονίοις ἀεὶ διάφορον, δημοκρατουμένην τε ὥσπερ 10

και αυτοί. αποστάντων δε των Μαντινέων και ή 3 άλλη Πελοπόννησος ές θρούν καθίστατο, ώς καί σφίσι ποιητέον τοῦτο, νομίσαντες πλέον τέ τι είδότας μεταστήναι αὐτοὺς καὶ τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους άμα δι' έργης έχοντες, έν άλλοις τε καί ότι έν ταίς 15 σπουδαίς ταίς Αττικαίς έγέγραπτο εύορκου είναι προσθείναι και άφελειν ό τι αν αμφοίν τοιν πολέοιν , δοκή, Λακεδαιμονίοις και 'Αθηναίοις. τοῦτο γὰρ τὸ γράμμα μάλιστα την Πελοπόννησον διεθορύβει καί ές ύποψίαν καθίστη μή μετά 'Αθηναίων σφάς 20 βούλωνται Λακεδαιμόνιοι δουλώσασθαι. δίκαιον γαρ είναι πασι τοις ξυμμάχοις γεγράφθαι την μετάθεσιν. ώστε φοβούμενοι οί πολλοί ώρμηντο πρός τούς Αργείους και αύτοι εκαστοι ξυμμαχίαν ποιείσθαι. 25

30

Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε αίσθόμενοι τον θρούν τούτον έν τη Πελοποννήσω καθεστώτα, και τους Remonstran-Κορινθίους διδασκάλους τε γενομένους Lacedaemoκαί αύτους μέλλοντας σπείσασθαι πρός Corinth. το Αργος, πέμπουσι πρέσβεις ές την Κόρινθον 5 βουλόμενοι προκαταλαβείν το μέλλον, και ήτιώντο τήν τε έσήγησιν τοῦ παντός, καὶ εἰ ᾿Αργείοις, σφῶν 2 αποστάντες, ξύμμαχοι έσονται, παραβήσεσθαί τε έφασαν αύτους τους όρκους, και ήδη άδικειν ότι ου δέχονται τὰς Ἀθηναίων σπονδάς, εἰρημένον κύριον 10 είναι ό τι αν το πληθος των ξυμμάχων ψηφίσηται, 3 ην μή τι θεών η ήρώων κώλυμα η. Κορίνθιοι δέ. παρόντων σφίσι των ξυμμάχων, όσοι ούδ' αύτοι έδέξαντο τὰς σπονδάς-παρεκάλεσαν δὲ αὐτοὺς αύτοι πρέτερον-άντέλεγον τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις, ά 15

μέν ήδικούντο ού δηλούντες άντικρυς, ότι ούτε Σόλλιον σφίσιν απέλαβον παρ' 'Αθηναίων ούτε Ανακτόριον, εί τέ τι άλλο ένόμιζον έλασσοῦσθαι, πρόσχημα δε ποιούμενοι τούς επί Θράκης μή προ-4 δώσειν· ὀμόσαι γὰρ αὐτοῖς ὅρκους ἰδία τε, ὅτε μετὰ 20 Ποτιδαιατών τὸ πρώτον ἀφίσταντο, καὶ ἄλλους ύστερον. ούκουν παραβαίνειν τους των ξυμμάχων όρκους έφασαν ούκ έσιόντες ές τὰς τῶν Ἀθηναίων σπονδάς θεών γάρ πίστεις όμόσαντες έκείνοις ούκ 5 αν ευορκείν προδιδόντες αυτούς. ειρήσθαι δ' ότι 25 ήν μή θεών ή ήρώων κώλυμα η φαίνεσθαι ουν σφίσι κώλυμα θείον τοῦτο. καὶ περὶ μὲν τῶν παλαιών όρκων τοσαύτα είπον, περί δε της Αργείας ξυμμαχίας μετά των φίλων βουλευσάμενοι ποιή-6 σειν ό τι αν δίκαιον ή. και οι μέν Λακεδαιμονίων 30 πρέσβεις ανεχάρησαν έπ' οίκου, έτυχον δε παρόντες έν Κορίνθω και 'Αργείων πρέσβεις, οι έκέλευον τούς Κορινθίους ίέναι ές την ξυμμαχίαν και μή μέλλειν οι δε ές τον ύστερον ξύλλογον αὐτοῖς τον παρά σφίσι προείπον ήκειν. 35

31 [°]Ηλθε δὲ καὶ 'Ηλείων πρεσβεία εὐθὺς καὶ Elis and Cocinth join the Argive alliance. τες, καθάπερ προείρητο, 'Αργείων ξύμμαχοι ἐγένοντο. διαφερόμενοι γὰρ ἐτύγχανον τοῖς s

Λακεδαιμονίοις περὶ Λεπρέου. πολέμου γὰρ γενομένου ποτὲ πρὸς ᾿Αρκάδων τινὰς Λεπρεάταις, καὶ ἘΗλείων παρακληθέντων ὑπὸ Λεπρεατῶν ἐς ξυμμαχίαν ἐπὶ τῷ ἡμισεία τῆς γῆς καὶ καταλυσάντων τὸν πίλεμον, ἘΗλεῖοι τὴν γῆν νεμομένοις αὐτοῖς τοῖς 10

Λεπρεάταις τάλαντον έταξαν τῷ Διὶ τῷ Όλυμπίω 3 αποφέρειν. και μέγρι του Αττικού πολέμου απέφερον' έπειτα παυσαμένων δια πρόφασιν του πολέμου, οί 'Ηλείοι έπηνάγκαζον' οί δ' έτράποντο πρός τούς Λακεδαιμονίους. και δίκης Λακεδαιμονίοις 15 έπιτραπείσης, ύποτοπήσαντες οι 'Ηλείοι μή ίσον έξειν, ανέντες την έπιτροπήν Λεπρεατών την γην ι έτεμον. οί δε Λακεδαιμόνιοι οιδεν ήσσον εδίκασαν αὐτονόμους είναι Λεπρεάτας, καὶ ἀδικεῖν ἘΗλείους. καί ώς ούκ έμμεινάντων τη έπιτροπη φρουράν 20 όπλιτών έσέπεμψαν ές Λέπρεον. οι δε Ήλειοι, νομίζοντες πόλιν σφών άφεστηκυίαν δέξασθαι τούς Λακεδαιμονίους και την ξυνθήκην προφέροντες, έν ή είρητο, ά έχοντες ές τον Αττικόν πόλεμον καθίσταντό τινες, ταῦτα ἔχοντας καὶ ἐξελθεῖν, ὡς οὐκ 25 ίσον έχοντες αφίστανται πρός τους Άργείους και s την ξυμμαχίαν, ώσπερ προείρητο, και ούτοι έποιήσαντο. έγένοντο δε και οι Κορίνθιοι εύθυς μετ' έκείνους και οι έπι Θράκης Χαλκιδής Άργείων ξύμμαχοι. Βοιωτοί δε καί Μεγαρής το αὐτο λέγον- 30 τες ήσύχαζον περιορώμενοι ύπο των Λακεδαιμονίων, καί νομίζοντες σφίσι την Αργείων δημοκρατίαν αύτοις όλιγαρχουμένοις ήσσον ξύμφορον είναι της Λακεδαιμονίων πολιτείας.

32

Περί δὲ τοὺς αὐτοὺς χρόνους τοῦ θέρους τούτου Σκιωναίους μὲν Ἀθηναῖοι ἐκπολιορκήσαν- Capture of Scione. Τε τες ἀπέκτειναν τοὺς ἡβῶντας, παῖδας δὲ gea refuses to revolt from καὶ γυναῖκας ἡνδραπόδισαν καὶ τὴν γῆν Πλαταιεῦσιν ἔδοσαν νέμεσθαι, Δηλίους δὲ κατή- s γαγον πάλιν ἐς Δῆλον, ἐνθυμούμενοι τάς τε ἐν ταῖς

μάχαις ξυμφοράς και τοῦ ἐν Δελφοῖς θεοῦ χρήσαν-2 τος. καὶ Φωκής καὶ Λοκροὶ ήρξαντο πολεμείν. καὶ Κορίνθιοι καὶ ᾿Αργεῖοι ἤδη ξύμμαχοι ὄντες έρχονται ές Τεγέαν ἀποστήσοντες Λακεδαιμονίων, 10 όρωντες μέγα μέρος ὄν, καὶ εἰ σφίσι προσγένοιτο 3 νομίζοντες απασαν αν έχειν Πελοπόννησον. ώς δέ ούδεν αν έφασαν εναντιωθήναι οι Τεγεάται Λακεδαιμονίοις, οί Κορίνθιοι μέχρι τούτου προθύμως πράσσοντες άνείσαν της φιλονεικίας και ώρρώδη-15 σαν μή ούδεις σφίσιν έτι των άλλων προσχωρή. όμως δε ελθόντες ές τούς Βοιωτούς εδέοντο σφών τε και 'Αργείων γίγνεσθαι ξυμμάχους και τάλλα 4 κοινή πράσσειν· τάς τε δεχημέρους επισπονδάς, αί ήσαν 'Αθηναίοις καὶ Βοιωτοίς πρὸς ἀλλήλους 20 ού πολλώ ύστερον γενόμεναι τούτων τών πεντηκονταετίδων σπονδών, ἐκέλευον οί Κορίνθιοι τούς Βοιωτούς ακολουθήσαντας 'Αθήναζε και σφίσι ποιήσαι, ώσπερ Βοιωτοί είχον, μή δεχομένων δέ Αθηναίων ἀπειπεῖν τὴν ἐκεχειρίαν καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν 25 5 μή σπένδεσθαι άνευ αυτών. Βοιωτοί δε δεομένων τών Κορινθίων περί μέν της Αργείων ξυμμαχίας έπισχείν αύτους έκέλευον, έλθόντες δε 'Αθήναζε μετά Κορινθίων ούχ εύροντο τάς δεχημέρους σπονδάς, άλλ' απεκρίναντο οι 'Αθηναίοι Κορινθίοις 30 είναι σπονδάς, είπερ Λακεδαιμονίων είσι ξύμμαχοι. Βοιωτοί μέν ούν ούδέν μάλλον απείπον τας δεχημέρους, άξιούντων και αιτιωμένων Κορινθίων ξυνθέσθαι σφίσιν Κορινθίοις δε άνακωχή άσπονδος ήν πρός 'Αθηναίους.

33 Λακεδαιμόνιοι δὲ τοῦ αὐτοῦ θέρους πανδημεὶ

 $\mathbf{28}$

έστράτευσαν, Πλειστοάνακτος τοῦ Παυσανίου Λακεδαιμονίων βασιλέως ήγου- nian expedi-tion into Arμένου, τής Άρκαδίας ές Παρρασίους,

Lacedaemocadia,

Μαντινέων ύπηκόους όντας, κατά στάσιν έπικαλε- 5 σαμένων σφάς, άμα δε και το έν Κυψέλοις τείγος άναιρήσοντες, ήν δύνωνται, ο έτείχισαν Μαντινής καί αὐτοί ἐφρούρουν, ἐν τη Παρρασική κείμενον 2 έπι τη Σκιρίτιδι της Λακωνικής. και οι μέν Λακεδαιμόνιοι την γην των Παρρασίων έδήουν, οί δέ 10 Μαντινής την πόλιν Άργείοις φύλαξι παραδόντες αύτοι την ξυμμαγίαν έφρούρουν αδύνατοι δ' όντες διασώσαι τό τε έν Κυψέλοις τείχος και τας έν Παρρασίοις πόλεις απηλθον. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δέ τούς τε Παρρασίους αὐτονόμους ποιήσαντες καὶ τὸ 15 τείχος καθελόντες ανεχώρησαν έπ' οίκου.

Καὶ τοῦ αὐτοῦ θέρους, ἤδη ἡκόντων αὐτοῖς τῶν 34 άπο Θράκης μετά Βρασίδου έξελθόντων στρατιωτών, ούς ό Κλεαρίδας μετά τας ment of the σπονδάς ἐκόμισεν, οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι έψη- served under Brasidas. φίσαντο τούς μέν μετά Βρασίδου Είλω- Treatment o τας μαχεσαμένους έλευθέρους είναι και from Sphacteria. οίκειν όπου αν βούλωνται, και ύστερον

Enfranchise-Helots who Treatment of recovered

ού πολλώ αύτους μετά τών νεοδαμωδών ές Λέπρεον κατέστησαν, κείμενον έπι της Λακωνικής και της 2'Ηλείας, όντες ήδη διάφοροι 'Ηλείοις' τους δ' έκ 10 τής νήσου ληφθέντας σφών και τα όπλα παραδόντας, δείσαντες μή τι δια την ξυμφοράν νομίσαντες έλασσωθήσεσθαι και όντες επίτιμοι νεωτερίσωσιν, ήδη και άρχάς τινας έχοντας ατίμους εποίησαν, άτιμίαν δε τοιάνδε ώστε μήτε άρχειν μήτε πριαμέ-15

νους τι ή πωλοῦντας κυρίους είναι. ὕστερον δὲ αῦθις χρόνω ἐπίτιμοι ἐγένοντο.

35 Τοῦ δ' αὐτοῦ θέρους καὶ Θύσσον τὴν ἐν ¹τ $\hat{\eta}$ Growing suspicions between Athens ξύμμαχον.

and Sparta. Καί τὸ θέρος τοῦτο πῶν ἐπιμιξίαι μέν ήσαν τοις Αθηναίοις και Πελοποννησίοις, 5 ύπώπτευον δε άλλήλους εύθυς μετά τας σπονδάς οί τε 'Αθηναΐοι και Λακεδαιμόνιοι κατά την τών 2 χωρίων άλλήλοις ούκ απόδοσιν. την γαρ 'Αμφίπολιν πρότεροι λαχόντες οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι αποδιδόναι και τα άλλα ούκ αποδεδώκεσαν, ούδε τούς 10 έπι Θράκης παρείχου ξυμμάχους τάς σπουδάς δεχομένους ούδε Βοιωτούς ούδε Κορινθίους, λέγοντες αεί ώς μετ' 'Αθηναίων τούτους, ήν μη'θέλωσι, κοινή αναγκάσουσιν χρόνους τε προύθεντο άνευ ξυγγραφής έν οίς χρήν τους μη έσιοντας άμφοτέ-15 3 ροις πολεμίους είναι. τούτων ουν όρωντες οι 'Αθηναίοι ούδεν έργω γιγνόμενον ύπετόπευον τούς Λακεδαιμονίους μηδέν δίκαιον διανοείσθαι, ώστε ούτε Πύλον απαιτούντων αυτών απεδίδοσαν, αλλά καί τούς έκ της νήσου δεσμώτας μετεμέλοντο άπο- 20 δεδωκότες, τά τε άλλα χωρία είχον, μένοντες έως 4 σφίσι κάκεινοι ποιήσειαν τὰ εἰρημένα. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δέ τά μέν δυνατά έφασαν πεποιηκέναι τούς γαρ παρά σφίσι δεσμώτας όντας Αθηναίων άποδούναι καί τούς έπι Θράκης στρατιώτας ἀπαγαγείν 25 και εί του άλλου εγκρατεις ήσαν. 'Αμφιπόλεως δε 5 οὐκ ἔφασαν κρατεῖν ὥστε παραδοῦναι, Βοιωτούς δὲ πειράσεσθαι και Κορινθίους ές τας σπονδάς έσα-

30

 $1 \tau \hat{\omega}$

γαγείν και Πάνακτον απολαβείν και 'Αθηναίων όσοι ήσαν έν Βοιωτοῖς αἰχμάλωτοι κομιεῖν. Πύλον 30 μέντοι ήξίουν σφίσιν αποδούναι εί δε μή, Μεσσηνίους τε καί τους Είλωτας έξαγαγείν, ώσπερ καί αύτοι τους άπό Θράκης, 'Αθηναίους δε φρουρείν το ο γωρίου αὐτούς, εἰ βούλουται. πολλάκις δέ καὶ πολλών λόγων γενομένων έν τῷ θέρει τούτω έπει- 35 σαν τούς 'Αθηναίους ώστε έξαγαγείν έκ Πύλου Μεσσηνίους και τους άλλους Είλωτάς τε και όσοι ηύτομολήκεσαν έκ της Λακωνικής · και κατώκισαν αύτούς έν Κρανίοις της Κεφαλληνίας το μέν ούν θέρος τοῦτο ήσυχία ην καὶ ἔφοδοι παρ' ἀλλήλους. 36 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμώνος - ἔτυχον γάρ

έφοροι έτεροι καί ούκ έφ' ών αί σπονδαί έγένοντο άρχουτες ήδη καί τινες αυτών Spartan και έναντίοι σπονδαίς-έλθουσων πρεσβειών από της ξυμμαχίδος και παρόντων Αθηναίων καὶ Βοιωτών καὶ Κορινθίων καί πολλά έν άλλήλοις είποντων καί

The new ephors intrigue with Corinth and Boeotia, pro-posing to ef- 5 fect an alliance with Argos.

ούδεν ξυμβάντων, ώς απήεσαν έπ' οίκου, τοις Βοιωτοίς και Κορινθίοις Κλεόβουλος και Ξενάρης, ούτοι οίπερ των έφόρων έβούλοντο μάλιστα διαλύ- 10 σαι τάς σπονδάς, λόγους ποιοῦνται ίδίους, παραινούντες ότι μάλιστα ταυτά τε γιγνώσκειν καί πειράσθαι Βοιωτούς, 'Αργείων γενομένους πρώτον αύτούς ξυμμάχους, αύθις μετά Βοιωτών Αργείους · Λακεδαιμονίοις ποιήσαι ξυμμάχους· ούτω γάρ 15 ήκιστ' αν αναγκασθήναι Βοιωτούς ές τας Αττικάς σπονδάς έσελθειν έλέσθαι γάρ Λακεδαιμονίους πρό της 'Αθηναίων έχθρας και διαλύσεως τών

σπονδών 'Αργείους σφίσι φίλους και ξυμμάχους 3 γενέσθαι. το γάρ Άργος άει ηπίσταντο έπιθυ-20 μούντας τούς Λακεδαιμονίους καλώς σφίσι φίλιον γενέσθαι, ήγούμενοι τον έξω Πελοποννήσου πίλεμον βάω αν είναι. το μέντοι Πάνακτον εδέοντο Βοιωτούς όπως παραδώσουσι Λακεδαιμονίοις, ίνα άντ' αύτοῦ Πύλον, ην δύνωνται, ἀπολαβόντες ῥậον 25 37 καθιστώνται 'Αθηναίοις ές πόλεμον. και οί μεν Βοιωτοί καί Κορίνθιοι ταῦτα ἐπεσταλ-The Boeotians are μένοι από τε τοῦ Ξενάρους καὶ Κλεοβούfavourably disposed. λου καί όσοι φίλοι ήσαν αυτοίς των Λακεδαιμονίων ώστε απαγγείλαι επί τα κοινά, ε έκάτεροι άνεχώρουν. Άργείων δε δίο άνδρες της άρχης της μεγίστης έπετήρουν απιόντας αύτους καθ' όδον και ξυγγενόμενοι ές λόγους ήλθον, εί πως οί Βοιωτοί σφίσι ξύμμαχοι γένοιντο ώσπερ Κορίν-2 θιοι και 'Ηλείοι και Μαντινής νομίζειν γαρ άν 10 τούτου προχωρήσαντος ραδίως ήδη και πολεμείν καί σπένδεσθαι καί πρός Λακεδαιμονίους, εί βούλοιντο, κοινώ λόγω χρωμένους, καί εί τινα πρός άλλον δέοι. τοις δε τών Βοιωτών πρέσβεσιν 3 ακούουσιν ήρεσκεν κατά τύχην γάρ έδέοντο τού- 15 των ώνπερ και οι έκ της Λακεδαίμονος αὐτοῖς φίλοι ἐπεστάλκεσαν. και οι των Αργείων ἄνδρες ώς ήσθαντο αὐτοὺς δεχομένους τὸν λόγον, εἰπόντες ότι πρέσβεις πέμψουσιν ές Βοιωτούς απήλθον. άφικόμενοι δε οί Βοιωτοί άπήγγειλαν τοις βοιω- 20 τάρχαις τά τε έκ της Λακεδαίμονος και τα άπο τών ξυγγενομένων 'Αργείων · καί οί βοιωτάρχαι ήρέσκοντό τε καὶ πολλῷ προθυμότεροι ήσαν, ὅτι

αμφοτέρωθεν ξυνεβεβήκει αυτοίς τούς τε φίλους τών Λακεδαιμονίων τών αύτών δείσθαι και τούς 25 · Apyelous ès τὰ δμοία σπεύδειν. καὶ οὐ πολλώ ύστερον πρέσβεις παρήσαν Αργείων τὰ εἰρημένα προκαλούμενοι και αυτούς απέπεμψαν επαινέσαντες τούς λόγους οι βοιωτάργαι και πρέσβεις ύποσχόμενοι αποστελείν περί της ξυμμαχίας ές "Αργος. Έν δε τούτω έδόκει πρώτον τοις βοιωτάρχαις 38 καὶ Κορινθίοις καὶ Μεγαρεῦσι καὶ τοῖς The matter άπο Θράκης πρέσβεσιν ομόσαι όρκους through. άλλήλοις, ή μήν έν τε τώ παρατυχόντι άμυνειν τώ δεομένω και μή πολεμήσειν τω μηδε ξυμβήσεσ- 5 2 θαι άνευ κοινής γνώμης, και ούτως ήδη τους Βοιωτούς και Μεγαρέας-το γαρ αυτό εποίουν-πρός τούς 'Αργείους σπένδεσθαι. πρίν δε τούς όρκους γενέσθαι οι βοιωτάρχαι εκοίνωσαν ταις τέσσαρσι βουλαίς τών Βοιωτών ταῦτα, αἴπερ ἄπαν τὸ κῦρος 10 έχουσιν, και παρήνουν γενέσθαι όρκους ταις πόλεσιν, όσαι βούλονται έπ' ώφελία σφίσι ξυνομνύναι. 3 οί δ' έν ταις βουλαις τών Βοιωτών όντες ού προσδέχονται τον λίγον, δεδιότες μη έναντία Λακεδαιμονίοις ποιήσωσι, τοις ἐκείνων ἀφεστῶσι Κοριν-15 + θίοις ξυνομνύντες ου γαρ είπον αυτοίς οι βοιωτάρχαι τὰ ἐκ τῆς Λακεδαίμονος, ὅτι τῶν τε ἐφόρων Κλεόβουλος και Ξενάρης και οι φίλοι παραινούσιν 'Αργείων πρώτον καὶ Κορινθίων γενομένους ξυμμάχους ύστερον μετά των Λακεδαιμονίων γίγνεσθαι, 20 οιόμενοι την βουλήν, κάν μη είπωσιν, ούκ άλλα ψηφιείσθαι ή à σφίσι προδιαγνόντες παραινούσιν. s ώς δè ἀντέστη τὸ πρâγμα, οἱ μèν Κορίνθιοι καὶ οἱ

ἀπὸ Θράκης πρέσβεις ἄπρακτοι ἀπῆλθον, οἱ δὲ βοιωτάρχαι μέλλοντες πρότερον, εἰ ταῦτα ἔπεισαι, 25 καὶ τὴν ξυμμαχίαν πειράσεσθαι πρὸς ᾿Αργείους ποιεῖν, οὐκέτι ἐσήνεγκαν περὶ ᾿Αργείων ἐς τὰς βουλάς, οὐδὲ ἐς τὸ ᾿Αργος τοὺς πρέσβεις οῦς ὑπέσχοντο ἔπεμπον, ἀμέλεια δέ τις ἐνῆν καὶ διατριβὴ τῶν πάντων. 30

39 Καὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ χειμῶνι τούτῷ Μηκύβερναν 'Ολύνθιοι 'Αθηναίων φρουρούντων ἐπιδραμόντες εἶλον.

Μετά δε ταῦτα-έγίγνοντο γάρ ἀεὶ λόγοι τοῖς Alliance con- τε 'Αθηναίοις και Λακεδαιμονίοις περίών 5 cluded beείχον άλλήλων-έλπίζοντες οι Λακεδαιtween Lacedaemon and Boeotia. μόνιοι, εί Πάνακτον 'Αθηναΐοι παρά Βοιωτών ἀπολάβοιεν, κομίσασθαι ἀν αὐτοὶ Πύλον, λθον ές τούς Βοιωτούς πρεσβευόμενοι και έδέοντο σφίσι Πάνακτόν τε καί τους 'Αθηναίων δεσμώτας 10 παραδούναι, ίν' άντ' αυτών Πύλον κομίσωνται. 2 οί δè Βοιωτοί οὐκ ἔφασαν ἀποδώσειν, ἡν μή σφίσι Ευμμαχίαν ίδίαν ποιήσωνται ώσπερ 'Αθηναίοις. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε είδότες μεν ότι άδικήσουσιν Άθηναίους, εἰρημένον ἀνευ ἀλλήλων μήτε σπένδεσθαί 15 τω μήτε πολεμείν, βουλόμενοι δε το Πάνακτον παραλαβείν ώς την Πύλον άντ' αὐτοῦ κομιούμενοι καὶ άμα τών ξυγχέαι σπευδίντων τὰς σπονδὰς προθυμουμένων τα ές Βοιωτούς, εποιήσαντο την ξυμμαχίαν τοῦ χειμώνος τελευτώντος ήδη καὶ πρός 20 έαρ και το Πάνακτον εύθυς καθηρείτο. και ένδέκατον έτος τώ πολέμω έτελεύτα.

40 "Αμα δε τῷ ἦρι εὐθὺς τοῦ ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους

οί 'Αργείοι, ώς οι τε πρέσβεις τών Βοιωτών ούς έφασαν πέμψειν ούχ ήκον, το τε Argives, fear-Πάνακτον ήσθοντο καθαιρούμενον καί ξυμμαχίαν ίδίαν γεγενημένην τοις Βοιωτοίς πρός τούς Λακεδαιμονίους, έδεισαν

B.C. 420. The ing to be left without allies, apply to Lacedaemon for terms of 5 truce.

μή μονωθώσι και ές Λακεδαιμονίους πάσα ή ξυμ-2 μαχία χωρήση. τούς γάρ Βοιωτούς ώοντο πεπείσθαι ύπό Λακεδαιμονίων τό τε Πάνακτον καθελείν καί ές τὰς Αθηναίων σπονδάς ἐσιέναι, τούς τε 10 Αθηναίους είδέναι ταῦτα, ώστε οὐδὲ πρός Αθηναίους έτι σφίσιν είναι ξυμμαχίαν ποιήσασθαι, πρότερον έλπίζοντες έκ των διαφορών, εί μη μείνειαν αύτοις αί πρός Λακεδαιμονίους σπονδαί, τοις 3 γοῦν ᾿Αθηναίοις ξύμμαχοι ἔσεσθαι. ἀποροῦντες 15 ούν ταῦτα οἱ ᾿Αργείοι καὶ φοβούμενοι μη Λακεδαιμονίοις και Τεγεάταις, Βοιωτοίς και 'Αθηναίοις άμα πολεμώσιν, πρότερον οὐ δεχόμενοι τὰς Λακεδαιμονίων σπονδάς, άλλ' έν φρονήματι όντες τής Πελοποννήσου ήγήσεσθαι, έπεμπον ώς έδύναντο 20 τάχιστα ές την Λακεδαίμονα πρέσβεις Εύστροφον και Αίσωνα, οι έδόκουν προσφιλέστατοι αύτοις είναι, ήγούμενοι έκ των παρόντων κράτιστα πρός Λακεδαιμονίους σπονδάς ποιησάμενοι, όπη αν ξυγ-41 χωρή, ήσυγίαν έχειν. και οι πρέσβεις άφικόμενοι αὐτῶν λόγους ἐποιοῦντο πρός τοὺς Λακε- Preliminaries of peace δαιμονίους, έφ' ώ αν σφίσιν αι σπονδαι settled between Sparta γίγνοιντο. και το μέν πρώτον οι 'Ap- and Argos. γείοι ήξίουν δίκης έπιτροπήν σφίσι γενέσθαι ή ές 5

πόλιν τινά ή ίδιώτην περί της Κυνοσουρίας γής, ής ἀεὶ πέρι διαφέρονται μεθορίας οὕσης—ἔχει δὲ

έν αίτή Θυρέαν και 'Ανθήνην πόλιν, νέμονται δ' 2 αὐτὴν Λακεδαιμόνιοι... ἔπειτα δ' οὐκ ἐώντων Λακεδαιμονίων μεμνήσθαι περί αὐτής, άλλ' εἰ βούλον- 10 ται σπένδεσθαι ώσπερ πρότερον, έτοιμοι είναι, οί Αργείοι πρέσβεις τάδε ύμως ἐπηγάγοντο τούς Λακεδαιμονίους ξυγχωρήσαι, έν μέν τω παρόντι σπουδάς ποιήσασθαι έτη πεντήκοντα, έξειναι δ' όποτεροισούν προκαλεσαμένοις, μήτε νόσου ούσης 15 μήτε πολέμου Λακεδαίμονι καὶ "Αργει, διαμάχεσθαι περί της γής ταύτης, ώσπερ και πρότερόν ποτε, ότε αύτοι έκάτεροι ήξίωσαν νικάν, διώκειν δε μή έξειναι περαιτέρω τών πρός "Αργος και Λακεδαί-3 μονα όρων. τοις δε Λακεδαιμονίοις το μεν πρώτον 20 έδόκει μωρία είναι ταῦτα, ἔπειτα-ἐπεθύμουν γάρ τό Αργος πάντως φίλιον έχειν-ξυνεχώρησαν έφ' οίς ήξίουν και ξυνεγράψαντο. ἐκέλευον δ' οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι, πρίν τέλος τι αυτών έχειν, ές το 'Αργος πρώτον ἐπαναχωρήσαντας αὐτοὺς δείξαι τῷ 25 πλήθει, και ην αρέσκοντα ή, ήκειν ές τα 'Υακίνθια 42 τούς όρκους ποιησομένους. και οι μεν ανεχώρη-. Indignation $\sigma a \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\tau \hat{\phi}$ $\chi \rho \delta \nu \phi$ $\tau o \dot{\tau} \tau \phi$, $\dot{\phi}$ o $\dot{\epsilon}$ 'A ρ γείοι ταῦτα ἔπρασσον, οἱ πρέσβεις τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων, 'Ανδρομένης και Φαίδιμος και 'Αντιμενίδας, ούς έδει το Πάνακτον και τους άνδρας 5 τούς παρά Βοιωτών παραλαβόντας 'Αθηναίοις άποδούναι, τὸ μέν Πάνακτον ὑπὸ τῶν Βοιωτῶν 2 αὐτῶν καθηρημένον εὖρον, ἐπὶ προφάσει ὡς ἦσάν ποτε 'Αθηναίοις και Βοιωτοις έκ διαφοράς περί αύτου ύρκοι παλαιοί μηδετέρους οικείν το χωρίον 10 άλλά κοινή νέμειν, τούς δ' άνδρας ούς είχον αίχμαλώτους Βοιωτοί 'Αθηναίων, παραλαβόντες οί περί τον 'Ανδρομένην ἐκόμισαν τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις καὶ ἀπέδοσαν, τοῦ τε Πανάκτου τὴν καθαίρεσιν ἕλεγον αὐτοῖς, νομίζοντες καὶ τοῦτο ἀποδιδόναι· πολέμιον 15 γὰρ οὐκέτι ἐν αὐτῷ 'Αθηναίοις οἰκήσειν οὐδένα. 3 λεγομένων δὲ τούτων οἱ 'Αθηναίοι δεινὰ ἐποίουν, νομίζοντες ἀδικεῖσθαι ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων τοῦ τε Πανάκτου τῆ καθαιρέσει, Ὁ ἔδει ὀρθὸν παραδοῦναι, καὶ πυνθανόμενοι ὅτι καὶ Βοιωτοῖς ἰδία ξυμμαχίαν 20 πεποίηνται, φάσκοντες πρότερον κοινῆ τοὺς μὴ δεχομένους τὰς σπονδὰς προσαναγκάσειν. τά τε ἄλλα ἐσκόπουν ὅσα ἐξελελοίπεσαν τῆς ξυνθήκης καὶ ἐνόμιζον ἐξηπατῆσθαι, ὥστε χαλεπῶς πρὸς τοὺς πρέσβεις ἀποκρινάμενοι ἀπέπεμψαν.

43 Κατά τοιαύτην δή διαφοράν όντων τών Λακεδαιμονίων πρός τους 'Αθηναίους, οί έν Αιcibiades ταις 'Αθήναις αύ βουλόμενοι λύσαι τας alliance between Athens σπουδάς εύθις ένέκειντο. ήσαν δε άλλοι and Argos. τε και 'Αλκιβιάδης ό Κλεινίου, άνηρ ήλικία μέν ών 5 έτι τότε νέος ώς έν άλλη πόλει, άξιώματι δε προ-2 γόνων τιμώμενος φ έδόκει μέν και άμεινον είναι πρός τούς 'Αργείους μάλλον χωρείν, ού μέντοι άλλά καί φρονήματι φιλονεικών ήναντιούτο, ότι Λακεδαιμόνιοι δια Νικίου και Λάγητος έπραξαν 10 τάς σπουδάς, αὐτὸν κατά τε την νεότητα ὑπεριδόντες καὶ κατὰ τὴν παλαιὰν προξενίαν ποτὲ οὖσαν οὐ τιμήσαντες, ήν του πάππου απειπόντος αυτός τους έκ της νήσου αυτών αιχμαλώτους θεραπεύων διε-3 νοείτο άνανεώσασθαι. πανταχόθεν τε νομίζων 15 έλασσοῦσθαι τό τε πρώτον ἀντεῖπεν, οὐ βεβαίους

φάσκων είναι Λακεδαιμονίους, άλλ' ίνα 'Αργείους σφίσι σπεισάμενοι έξέλωσι και αθεις έπ' 'Αθηναίους μόνους ίωσι, τούτου ένεκα σπένδεσθαι αὐτούς. και τότε, έπειδη ή διαφορά έγεγένητο, πέμπει 20 εύθύς ές *Αργος ίδία, κελεύων ώς τάχιστα έπι την ξυμμαχίαν προκαλουμένους ήκειν μετά Μαντινέων καὶ ἘΗλείων, ὡς καιροῦ ὄντος καὶ αὐτὸς ξυμπράξων 44 τα μάλιστα. οί δε 'Αργείοι ακούσαντες της τε

Argive ambassadors sent to Athens. Laenvoys also arrive.

άγγελίας και έπειδή έγνωσαν ού μετ' 'Αθηναίων πραχθείσαν την τών Βοιωτών cedaemonian ξυμμαχίαν, άλλ' ές διαφοράν μεγάλην καθεστώτας αὐτοὺς πρὸς τοὺς Λακεδαι- 5 μονίους, τών μέν έν Λακεδαίμονι πρέσβεων, οί σφίσι περί των σπονδών έτυχον απόντες, ημέλουν, πρός δε τούς 'Αθηναίους μάλλον την γνώμην είχον, νομίζοντες πόλιν τε σφίσι φιλίαν από παλαιού καί δημοκρατουμένην, ώσπερ και αυτοί, και δύναμιν 10 μεγάλην έχουσαν την κατά θάλασσαν ξυμπυλεμή-2 σειν σφίσιν, ήν καθιστώνται ές πόλεμον. έπεμπον ούν εύθύς πρέσβεις ώς τούς 'Αθηναίους περί τής ξυμμαχίας Ευνεπρεσβεύοντο δε και οι 'Ηλείοι και Μαντινής. αφίκοντο δε και Λακεδαιμονίων 15 πρέσβεις κατά τάχος, δοκούντες επιτήδειοι είναι τοις 'Αθηναίοις, Φιλοχαρίδας και Λέων και "Ενδιος, δείσαντες μή τήν τε ξυμμαχίαν δργιζόμενοι πρός τούς 'Αργείους ποιήσωνται, και άμα Πύλον άπαιτήσοντες άντι Πανάκτου, και περί της Βοιωτών 20 ξυμμαγίας απολογησόμενοι ός ούκ έπι κακώ τών 45 'Αθηναίων εποιήσαντο. και λεγοντες εν τη βουλή περί τε τούτων και ώς αυτοκράτορες ήκουσι

περί πάντων ξυμβήναι των διαφόρων, τον Artifice of Alcibiades to 'Aλκιβιάδην έφόβουν μη και ην ές τον prejudice the people δήμον ταῦτα λέγωσιν, ἐπαγάγωνται τὸ against the Lacedaemoπλήθος και άπωσθή ή Άργείων ξυμμαnians.

- 2 γία. μηγανώται δε πρός αύτούς τοιόνδε τι ό 'Αλκιβιάδης' τους Λακεδαιμονίους πείθει, πίστιν αύτοις δούς, ην μη όμολογήσωσιν έν τω δήμω αύτοκράτορες ήκειν, Πύλον τε αύτοις αποδώσειν- 10 πείσειν γαρ αύτις Αθηναίους, ώσπερ και νυν άντι-3 λέγειν-καί τάλλα ξυναλλάξειν. βουλόμενος δέ αύτους Νικίου τε αποστήσαι ταῦτα ἐπρασσε, καὶ όπως έν τῷ δήμω διαβαλών αὐτοὺς ὡς οὐδέν ἀληθές έν νώ έχουσιν ούδε λέγουσιν οιδέποτε ταύτά, 15 τούς 'Αργείους και 'Ηλείους και Μαντινέας ξυμμά-
- + χους ποιήση. και έγένετο ούτως. έπειδή γαρ ές τόν δήμον παρελθόντες και έπερωτώμενοι ούκ έφασαν, ώσπερ έν τη βουλή, αὐτοκράτορες ηκειν, οί Αθηναίοι οὐκέτι ήνείχοντο, ἀλλὰ τοῦ Ἀλκιβιάδου 20 πολλώ μάλλον ή πρότερον καταβοώντος τών Λακεδαιμονίων έσήκουόν τε και έτοιμοι ήσαν είθυς παραγαγόντες τους 'Αργείους και τοις μετ' αύτων ξυμμάγους ποιείσθαι σεισμού δε γενομένου. πρίν τι έπικυρωθήναι, ή έκκλησία αύτη άνεβλήθη. 46 Τŷ δ' ύστεραία ἐκκλησία ὁ Νικίας, καίπερ τῶν

Λακεδαιμονίων αὐτῶν ήπατημένων και The Atheαυτός έξηπατημένος περί του μη αυτοκρά- of the efforts τορας όμολογήσαι ήκειν, όμως τοις Λακε- conclude an δαιμονίοις έφη χρήναι φίλους μάλλον γίγνεσθαι, και έπισχόντας τά πρίς Άργείους πέμψαι έτι ώς αύτούς και είδέναι ό τι δια-

nians, in spite of Nicias, alliance with Argos, Man- 5 tines and Elis.

νοοῦνται, λέγων ἐν μὲν τῷ σφετέρω καλῷ ἐν δὲ τῷ 2 ἐκείνων ἀπρεπεῖ τὸν πόλεμον ἀναβάλλεσθαι· σφίσι μέν γάρ εὐ έστώτων τῶν πραγμάτων ώς ἐπὶ πλεῖσ- 10 τον άριστον είναι διασώσασθαι την εύπραγίαν, έκείνοις δε δυστυχοῦσιν ὅτι τάχιστα εὕρημα είναι διακινδυνεύσαι. έπεισέ τε πέμψαι πρέσβεις, ών καί αὐτὸς ήν, κελεύσοντας Λακεδαιμονίους, εἴ τι δίκαιον διανοοῦνται, Πάνακτόν τε ὀρθὸν ἀποδιδόναι 15 καὶ 'Αμφίπολιν, καὶ τὴν Βοιωτῶν ξυμμαχίαν ἀνεῖναι, ην μη ές τάς σπονδάς έσίωσι, καθάπερ είρητο 3 άνευ άλλήλων μηδενί ξυμβαίνειν. είπειν τε έκέλευον ότι καί σφείς, εί έβούλοντο άδικείν, ήδη αν Αργείους ξυμμάχους πεποιήσθαι, ώς παρείναι γ' 20 αύτους αύτου τούτου ένεκα. ει τέ τι άλλο ένεκάλουν, πάντα επιστείλαντες απέπεμψαν τούς περί τον Νικίαν πρέσβεις. και άφικομένων αυτών και άπαγγειλάντων τά τε άλλα και τέλος ειπόντων ότι εί μη την ξυμμαχίαν ανήσουσι Βοιωτοίς μη έσιου- 25 σιν ές τάς σπουδάς, ποιήσονται και αυτοι Άργείους και τούς μετ' αὐτῶν ξυμμάχους, τὴν μέν ξυμμαχίαν οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι Βοιωτοΐς ούκ έφασαν άνήσειν, έπικρατούντων τών περί τον Ξενάρη τον έφορον ταῦτα γίγνεσθαι, καὶ ὅσοι ἄλλοι τῆς αὐτῆς γνώμης 30 ήσαν, τούς δέ όρκους δεομένου Νικίου άνενεώσαντο. 5 έφοβείτο γάρ μη πάντα άτελη έχων άπέλθη καί διαβληθή, όπερ και έγένετο, αίτιος δοκών είναι τών πρός Λακεδαιμονίους σπονδών. άναχωρήσαντός τε αύτοῦ ώς ήκουσαν οι 'Αθηναίοι οὐδέν ἐκ τής 35 Λακεδαίμονος πεπραγμένον, εὐθὺς δι' ὀργῆς είχον, και νομίζοντες άδικεισθαι, έτυχον γάρ παρόντες οί

'Αργεῖοι καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι, παραγαγόντος 'Αλκιβιάδου, ἐποιήσαντο σπονδὶς καὶ ξυμμαχίαν πρὸς αὐτοὺς τήνδε.

Σπουδάς εποιήσαντο εκατόν Αθηναίοι έτη καί 47 Άργείοι καὶ Μαντινής καὶ Ἡλείοι ὑπερ Terms and σφῶν αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων,ῶν ἄρχου- the alliance. σιν έκάτεροι, άδόλους και άβλαβείς και κατά γην 2 και κατά θάλασσαν. ὅπλα δὲ μη ἐξέστω ἐπιφέρειν 5 έπι πημονή μήτε 'Αργείους και 'Ηλείους και Μαντινέας καί τους ξυμμάχους έπι 'Αθηναίους καί τούς ξυμμάχους, ών άρχουσιν 'Αθηναίοι, μήτε Αθηναίους και τους ξυμμάχους έπι Αργείους και Ήλείους καὶ Μαντινέας καὶ τοὺς ξυμμάχους τέχνη 10 3 μηδε μηχανή μηδεμιά. κατά τάδε ξυμμάχους είναι 'Αθηναίους και 'Αργείους και 'Ηλείους και Μαντινέας έκατον έτη ην πολέμιοι ίωσιν ές την γην την Αθηναίων, βοηθείν Αργείους και Ηλείους καί Μαντινέας 'Αθήναζε, καθ' ό τι αν έπαγγέλ-15 λωσιν 'Αθηναίοι, τρόπω όποίω άν δύνωνται ίσχυρο-, τάτω κατά το δυνατόν· ήν δε δηώσαντες οίχωνται, πολεμίαν είναι ταύτην την πόλιν Αργείοις καί Μαντινεύσι και 'Ηλείοις και 'Αθηναίοις και κακώς πάσχειν ύπό πασών τών πόλεων τούτων κατα- 20 λύειν δε μή εξείναι τον πόλεμον προς ταύτην την πόλιν μηδεμιά των πόλεων, ην μη άπάσαις δοκή. 5 βοηθείν δε και Αθηναίους ές Αργος και Μαντίνειαν καί 'Ηλιν, ήν πολέμιοι ίωσιν έπι την γην την 'Ηλείων ή την Μαντινέων ή την Αργείων, καθ 0 25 τι αν έπαγγέλλωσιν αι πόλεις αυται, τρόπω όποίω 6 αν δύνωνται ισχυροτάτω κατά το δυνατόν ήν δε

δηώσαντες οίχωνται, πολεμίαν είναι ταύτην την πόλιν 'Αθηναίοις και 'Αργείοις και Μαντινεύσι καὶ ἘΗλείοις καὶ κακῶς πάσχειν ὑπὸ πασῶν τού- 30 των τών πόλεων καταλύειν δε μη εξειναι τον πόλεμον πρός ταύτην την πόλιν, ην μη άπάσαις 7 δοκή ταις πόλεσιν. ὅπλα δὲ μή ἐάν ἔχοντας διϊέναι ἐπὶ πολέμω διὰ τῆς γῆς τῆς σφετέρας αὐτῶν καί των ξυμμάχων, ών αν άρχωσιν έκαστοι, μηδέ 35 κατά θάλασσαν, ην μη ψηφισαμένων τών πόλεων άπασών την δίοδον είναι, 'Αθηναίων και 'Αργείων 8 καὶ Μαντινέων καὶ ἘΗλείων. τοῦς δὲ βοηθοῦσιν ή πόλις ή πέμπουσα παρεχέτω μέχρι μέν τριάκοντα ήμερών σίτον, έπην έλθη ές την πόλιν την έπαγ- 40 γείλασαν βοηθείν, και άπιουσι κατά ταυτά ήν δε. πλέονα βούλωνται χρόνον τη στρατιά χρησθαι, ή πόλις ή μεταπεμψαμένη διδότω σίτον, τώ μέν όπλίτη και ψιλώ και τοξότη τρεις όβολους Αίγιναίους της ήμέρας έκάστης, τώ δ' ίππει δραχμήν 45 9 Αιγιναίαν. ή δε πόλις ή μεταπεμψαμένη την ήγεμονίαν έχέτω, όταν έν τη αύτης ό πόλεμος ή. ήν δέ ποι δόξη ταις πόλεσι κοινή στρατεύεσθαι, τό ίσον της ήγεμονίας μετείναι πάσαις ταις πόλεσιν. 'Ομόσαι δέ τώς σπονδάς 'Αθηναίους μέν ύπέρ 50

τε σφών αὐτών καὶ τών ξυμμάχων, ᾿Αργεῖοι δὲ καὶ Μαντινῆς καὶ ἘΗλεῖοι καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι τοὐτων κατὰ πόλεις ὀμνύντων. ἀμνύντων δὲ τὸν ἐπιχώριον ὅρκου ἕκαστοι τὸν μέγιστον κατὰ ἱερών τελείων. ὁ δὲ ὅρκος ἔστω ὅδε ἐμμενῶ τῆ ξυμ- 55 μαχία κατὰ τὰ ξυγκείμενα δικαίως καὶ ἀβλαβῶς καὶ ἀδόλως καὶ οὐ παραβήσομαι τέχνη οὐδὲ

μηχανή ούδεμιά. όμνύντων δε 'Αθήνησι μέν ή βουλή και αί ένδημοι άρχαι, έξορκούντων δε οί 11 πρυτάνεις· έν "Αργει δε ή βουλή και οι όγδοήκοντα 60 καί αι αρτύναι, έξορκούντων δε οι ογδοήκοντα εν δε Μαντινεία οι δημιουργοί και ή βουλή και αί άλλαι άρχαί, έξορκούντων δε οί θεωροί και οι πολέμαργοι έν δέ "Ηλιδι οι δημιουργοί και οι τά τέλη έχοντες και οι έξακόσιοι, έξορκούντων δε οι δη-65 12 μιουργοί και οι θεσμοφύλακες. ανανεούσθαι δέ τούς όρκους 'Αθηναίους μέν ίόντας ές 'Ηλιν καί ές Μαντίνειαν και ές "Αργος τριάκοντα ήμέραις προ Όλυμπίων, Άργείους δε και Ήλείους και Μαντινέας ίόντας 'Αθήναζε δέκα ήμέραις πρό Παναθη- 70 13 ναίων τών μεγάλων. τάς δε ξυνθήκας τάς περί τών σπονδών και τών όρκων και της ξυμμαχίας άναγράψαι έν στήλη λιθίνη 'Αθηναίους μέν έν πόλει, 'Αργείους δε έν άγορα έν του 'Απόλλωνος τώ ίερω, Μαντινέας δε έν του Διζς τω ίερω έν τη 75 άγορά καταθέντων δε και Όλυμπίασι στήλην χαλκήν κοινή 'Ολυμπίοις τοις νυνί. έαν δέ τι δοκή άμεινον είναι ταις πόλεσι ταύταις προσθείναι πρός τοις ξυγκειμένοις, ό τι αν δύξη ταις πόλεσιν άπάσαις κοινή βουλευομέναις, τοῦτο κύριον είναι. 48

Αί μὲν σπονδαὶ καὶ ai ξυμμαχίαι οῦτως ἐγένοντο, καὶ ai τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων καὶ ᾿Αθηναίων The Corinοῦκ ἀπείρηντο τούτου ἕνεκα οὐδ' ὑφ' ἐτέἰρίση, and ρων. Κορίνθιοι δέ, ᾿Αργείων ὄντες ξύμ- wards Sparμαχοι, οὖκ ἐσῆλθον ἐς aὐτάς, ἀλλὰ καὶ γενομένης πρὸ τούτου ἘΝλείοις καὶ ᾿Αργείοις καὶ Μαντινεῦσι ξυμμαχίας τοῦς αὐτοῦς πολεμεῖν καὶ

5

1 pl

εἰρήνην ἄγειν, οὐ ξυνώμοσαν, ἀρκεῖν δ' ἔφασαν σφίσι την πρώτην γενομένην έπιμαχίαν, άλλήλοις βοηθείν, ξυνεπιστρατεύειν δε μηδενί. οι μεν 10 Κορίνθιοι ούτως απέστησαν των ξυμμάχων καί πρός τούς Λακεδαιμονίους πάλιν τήν γνώμην είχον.

49

'Ολύμπια δ' έγένετο τοῦ θέρους τούτου, οἶς 'Ανδροσθένης 'Αρκάς παγκράτιον το πρώτον Exclusion of the Lacedaeένίκα και Λακεδαιμόνιοι του ίερου ύπο monians from the 'Ηλείων είρχθησαν ώστε μή θύειν μηδ' Olympian festival. άγωνίζεσθαι, ούκ έκτίνοντες την δίκην 5 αὐτοῖς, ην ἐν τῷ Όλυμπιακῷ νόμω 'Ηλεῖοι κατεδικάσαντο αὐτῶν, φάσκοντες (ἐς) σφᾶς ἐπὶ Φύρκον τε τείχος όπλα έπενεγκείν και ές Λέπρεον αύτών όπλίτας έν ταῖς Όλυμπιακαῖς σπονδαῖς ἐσπέμψαι. 2 ή δε καταδίκη δισχίλιαι μναι ήσαν, κατά τόν 10 όπλίτην έκαστον δύο μναι, ώσπερ ό νόμος έχει. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε πρέσβεις πέμψαντες άντέλεγον μή δικαίως σφών καταδεδικάσθαι, λέγοντες μή έπηγγέλθαι πω ές Λακεδαίμονα τὰς σπονδάς, ὅτ΄ 3 ἐσέπεμψαν τους ὅπλίτας. ἘΗλεῖοι δὲ τὴν παρ' 15 αύτοις έκεχειρίαν ήδη έφασαν είναι-πρώτοις γάρ σφίσιν αὐτοῖς ἐπαγγέλλουσιν-καὶ ήσυχαζόντων σφών και ού προσδεχομένων, ώς έν σπονδαίς, αύτούς λαθείν άδικήσαντας. οί δε Λακεδαιμόνιοι ύπελάμβανον ου χρεών είναι αύτους έπαγγείλαι έτι 20 ές Λακεδαίμονα, εί άδικειν γε ήδη ένόμιζον αύτούς. άλλ' ούχ ώς νομίζοντας τοῦτο δρώσαι, καὶ ὅπλα 4 ούδαμόσε έτι αύτοις επενεγκείν. 'Ηλείοι δε του

αύτου λόγου είχοντο, ώς μέν ούκ αδικούσι μή αν

πεισθήναι, εί δε βούλονται σφίσι Λέπρεον αποδού- 25 ναι, τό τε αύτων μέρος αφιέναι του αργυρίου και δ 50 τώ θεώ γίγνεται αὐτοι ὑπερ ἐκείνων ἐκτίσειν. ὡς δ' ούκ έσήκουον, αύθις τάδε ήξίουν, Λεπρεον μέν μή αποδούναι, εί μή βούλονται, αναβάντας δε έπι τον βωμον του Διός του Όλυμπίου, επειδή προθυμούνται χρήσθαι τῷ ίερῷ, ἀπομόσαι ἐναντίον τῶν s Έλλήνων ή μήν αποδώσειν ύστερον την καταδίκην. 2 ώς δε ούδε ταῦτα ήθελον. Λακεδαιμόνιοι μεν είργοντο τοῦ ίεροῦ, θυσίας καὶ ἀγώνων, καὶ οἴκοι έθυον, οί δὲ ἄλλοι "Ελληνες έθεώρουν πλην Λεπρεατών. όμως δε οι Ήλειοι δεδιύτες μη βία θύσωσι, 10 ξύν ὅπλοις τών νεωτέρων φυλακήν είχον. ήλθυν δέ αὐτοῖς καὶ ᾿Αργεῖοι καὶ Μαντινής, χίλιοι ἐκατέρων, και 'Αθηναίων ίππης, οι έν 'Αργει ύπέμενον την 3 έορτήν. δέος δ' έγένετο τη πανηγύρει μέγα μη ξύν όπλοις έλθωσιν οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι, άλλως τε καί 15 έπειδή και Λίγας ό Άρκεσιλάου, Λακεδαιμόνιος, έν τω άγωνι ύπο των βαβδούχων πληγάς έλαβεν, ότι νικώντος τοῦ ἑαυτοῦ ζεύγους καὶ ἀνακηρυχθέντος Βοιωτών δημοσίου κατά την ούκ έξουσίαν της άγωνίσεως, προελθών ές τον άγωνα άνέδησε του * ήνίοχον, βουλόμενος δηλώσαι ότι έαυτου ήν το άρμα ώστε πολλώ δη μάλλον επεφόβηντο πάντες 4 και έδόκει τι νέον έσεσθαι. οι μέντοι Λακεδαιμόνιοι ήσύχασάν τε και ή έορτη αυτοίς ούτω διηλθεν. ές δε Κόρινθον μετά τὰ Όλύμπια Αργείοί τε καί 25 οί ξύμμαχοι άφίκοντο δεησόμενοι αὐτῶν παρά σφάς έλθειν. και Λακεδαιμονίων πρέσβεις έτυχον παρόντες, καί πολλών λόγων γενομένων τέλος

ούδεν επράχθη, αλλα σεισμοῦ γενομένου διελύθησαν ἕκαστοι ἐπ' οἴκου. καὶ τὸ θέρος ἐτελεύτα. 30

Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμώνος 'Ηρακλεώταις 51 τοις έν Τραχινι μάχη έγένετο πρός Αίνια-Heraclea in Trachis attacked by the vas kai $\Delta \delta \lambda \sigma \pi as$ kai $M \eta \lambda i \epsilon as$ kai $\Theta \epsilon \sigma$ neighbouring σαλών τινας. προσοικούντα γάρ τὰ ἔθνη tribes. ταῦτα τŷ πόλει πολέμια ην' οὐ γάρ ἐπ' ἄλλη τινὶ s 2 γη ή τη τούτων το χωρίον ετειχίσθη. και εύθύς τε καθισταμένη τη πόλει ήναντιούντο, ές όσον έδύναντο φθείροντες, και τότε τη μάχη ενίκησαν τους Ηρακλεώτας, και Ξενάρης ό Κνίδιος Λακεδαιμόνιος άρχων αυτών απέθανε, διεφθάρησαν δέ 10 καὶ ἄλλοι τῶν Ἡρακλεωτῶν. καὶ ὁ χειμών έτελεύτα καί δωδέκατον έτος τῷ πολέμω ἐτελεύτα. Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους εὐθὺς ἀρχομένου 52

n.c. 419. Heraclea occupied by the Boeotians. Alcibiades goes into Peloponnesus. τὴν Ἡράκλειαν, ώς μετὰ τὴν μάχην κακῶς ἐφθείρετο, Βοιωτοὶ παρέλαβον, καὶ Ἡγησιππίδαν τὸν Λακεδαιμόνιον ὡς οὐ καλῶς ἄρχοντα ἐξέπεμψαν. δείσαντες δὲ παρέ- ₅ λαβον τὸ χωρίον μὴ Λακεδαιμονίων τὰ

κατά Πελοπόννησον θορυβουμένων 'Αθηναίοι λάβωσιν· Λακεδαιμόνιοι μέντοι ώργίζοντο αὐτοῖς.

Καὶ τοῦ αὐτοῦ θέρους ᾿Αλκιβιάδης ὁ Κλεινίου, στρατηγὸς ῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, ᾿Αργείων καὶ τῶν ξυμμά- 10 χων ξυμπρασσόντων, ἐλθών ἐς Πελοπόννησον μετ' ὀλίγων ᾿Αθηναίων ὑπλιτῶν καὶ τοξοτῶν καὶ τῶν αὐτόθεν ξυμμάχων παραλαβών, τά τε ἄλλα ξυγκαθίστη περὶ τὴν ξυμμαχίαν διαπορευόμενος Πελοπόννησον τῆ στρατιậ, καὶ Πατρέας τε τείχη καθεῖ- 15 ναι ἔπεισεν ἐς θάλασσαν καὶ αὐτὸς ἕτερον διενοεῖτο τειχίσαι ἐπὶ τῷ Ῥίῳ τῷ ᾿Λχαῖκῷ. Κορίνθιοι δὲ καὶ Σικυώνιοι καὶ οἶς ἦν ἐν βλάβῃ τειχισθὲν βοηθήσαντες διεκώλυσαν.

- 53 Τοῦ δ' αὐτοῦ θέρους Ἐπιδαυρίοις καὶ Ἀργείοις πόλεμος ἐγένετο, προφάσει μὲν περὶ τοῦ θύματος τοῦ Ἀπόλλωνος τοῦ Πυθαέως, ὃ tween Argos and Epidauδέον ἀπαγαγεῖν οὐκ ἀπέπεμπον ὑπὲρ βοταμίων Ἐπιδαύριοι —κυριώτατοι δὲ τοῦ ἱεροῦ s
 - 2 ήσαν 'Αργείοι ἐδόκει δὲ καὶ ἄνευ τῆς αἰτίας τὴν Ἐπίδαυρον τῷ τε ᾿Αλκιβιάδῃ καὶ τοῖς ᾿Αργείοις προσλαβεῖν, ἡν δύνωνται, τῆς τε Κορίνθου ἕνεκα ἡσυχίας καὶ ἐκ τῆς Αἰγίνης βραχυτέραν ἔσεσθαι τὴν βοήθειαν ἡ Σκύλλαιον περιπλεῖν τοῖς ᾿Αθηναί- 10 οις. παρεσκευάζοντο οὖν οἱ ᾿Αργεῖοι ὡς αὐτοὶ ἐς τὴν Ἐπίδαυρον διὰ τοῦ θύματος τὴν ἔσπραξιν
- 54 έσβαλοῦντες. ἐξεστράτευσαν δὲ καὶ οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι κατὰ τοὺς αὐτοὺς χρίνους πανδημεὶ The Argives ἐς Λεῦκτρα τῆς ἑαυτῶν μεθορίας πρὸς τὸ invade the territory of Λύκαιον, ᾿Αγιδος τοῦ ᾿Αρχιδάμου βατιλέως ἡγουμένου ἤδει δὲ οὐδεὶς ὅποι στρατεύουσιν, 5
 - οὐδὲ aἱ πίλεις ἐξ ών ἐπέμφθησαν. ὡς δ` aὐτοῖς τὰ διaβaτήριa θυομένοις οὐ προὐχώρει, aὐτοί τε ἀπῆλθον ἐπ' οἴκου καὶ τοῖς ξυμμάχοις περιήγγει-λαν μετὰ τὸν μέλλοντα Καρνεῖος δ' ἦν μήν, ίερο-μήνια Δωριεῦσι παρασκευάζεσθαι ὡς στρατευ- 10
 σομένους. ᾿Αργεῖοι δ' ἀναχωρησάντων αὐτῶν τοῦ πρὸ τοῦ Καρνείου μηνὸς ἐξελθόντες τετριίοι φθί-
 - νουτος, καὶ ἄγουτες τὴν ἡμέραν ταύτην πάντα τὸν χρόνον, ἐσέβαλον ἐς τὴν Ἐπιδαυρίαν καὶ ἐδήουν. Ἐπιδαύριοι δὲ τοὺς ξυμμάχους ἐπεκαλοῦντο ŵν 15

τινες οί μέν τον μήνα προύφασίσαντο, οί δε και ές 55 μεθορίαν της Ἐπιδαυρίας ἐλθόντες ήσύχαζον. καὶ καθ' δν χρόνον έν τη Ἐπιδαύρω οί ᾿Αργείοι ήσαν ές Μαντίνειαν πρεσβείαι από των πόλεων ξυνήλθον, 'Αθηναίων παρακαλεσάντων. και γιγνομένων λόγων Ευφαμίδας ό Κορίνθιος ούκ έφη τους λόγους 5 τοις έργοις ύμολογειν. σφεις μέν γάρ περί ειρήνης ξυγκαθήσθαι, τούς δ' Ἐπιδαυρίους καὶ τοὺς ξυμμάχους καί τους 'Αργείους μεθ' όπλων άντιτετάχθαι. 2 διαλύσαι ούν πρώτον χρήναι ἀφ' ἐκατέρων ἐλθόντας τά στρατόπεδα και ούτω πάλιν λέγειν περί της 10 ειρήνης. και πεισθέντες ώχοντο και τους Άργείους απήγαγον έκ της Έπιδαυρίας. ύστερον δέ ές το αύτο ξυνελθόντες ούδ' ώς έδυνήθησαν ξυμβήναι, 3 άλλ' οί 'Αργείοι πάλιν ές την' Επιδαυρίαν έσέβαλον καί έδήουν. έξεστράτευσαν δε καί οι Λακεδαι-15 μόνιοι ές Καρύας, και ώς οιδ' ένταθθα τα διαβατήρια αὐτοῖς ἐγένετο, ἐπανεχώρησαν. ᾿Αργεῖοι δέ, τεμόντες της Έπιδαυρίας ώς το τρίτον μέρος, άπηλθον έπ' οικου. και Αθηναίων αύτοις χίλιοι έβοήθησαν όπλιται και Άλκιβιάδης στρατηγός 20 πυθόμενοι' τούς Λακεδαιμονίους έξεστρατεῦσθαι, καί ώς ούδεν έτι αυτών έδει, απηλθον. και το θέρος ούτω διηλθεν.

48

56

Desultory warfare be-

rus.

tween Argos and Epidau-

2 'Αργείοι δ' έλθύντες παρ' 'Αθηναίους έπεκάλουν 5

Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμώνος Λακεδαιμόνιοι,

λαθόντες 'Αθηναίους, φρουρούς τε τρια-

κοσίους και 'Αγησιππίδαν άρχοντα κατά

θάλασσαν ές Ἐπίδαυρον ἐσέπεμψαν.

έκάστους μή έαν πολεμίους διιέναι, έάσειαν κατά θάλασσαν παραπλεύσαι και εί μη κάκειτοι ές Πύλον κομιούσιν έπι Λακεδαιμονίους τους Μεσση-3 νίους και Είλωτας, άδικήσεσθαι αυτοί. 'Αθηναίοι 10 δέ, 'Αλκιβιάδου πείσαντος, τη μέν Λακωνική στήλη υπέγραψαν ότι ούκ ενέμειναν οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι τοις όρκοις, ές δε Πύλον εκόμισαν τους έκ Κρανίων Είλωτας ληίζεσθαι, τὰ δ' άλλα ήσυγαζον. 4 τον δε γειμώνα τούτον πολεμούντων 'Αργείων και 15 Έπιδαυρίων μάχη μέν οὐδεμία ἐγένετο ἐκ παρασκευής, ενέδραι δε και καταδρομαί, εν αίς ώς 5 τύχοιεν έκατέρων τινές διεφθείροντο και τελευτώντος του χειμώνος πρός έαρ ήδη κλίμακας έχοντες οι 'Αργείοι ήλθον έπι την Έπίδαυρον, ώς 20 έρήμου ούσης διά τον πόλεμον βία αιρήσοντες. και απρακτοι απηλθον. και ό χειμών ετελεύτα και τρίτον και δέκατον έτος τω πολέμω έτελεύτα. 57 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους μεσοῦντος Λακεδαιμόνιοι, ώς αιτοίς οι τε Ἐπιδαύριοι B.c. 418. The ξύμμαχοι όντες έταλαιπώρουν και τάλλα Lacedaemoέν τη Πελοπωννήσω τὰ μèν ἀφειστήκει τὰ Agis invade full force. δ' ου καλώς είχεν, νομίσαντες, εί μη προ-5 καταλήψονται έν τάχει, έπὶ πλέον χωρήσεσθαι αὐτά, ἐστράτευον αὐτοὶ καὶ οἱ Είλωτες πανδημεὶ έπ' Άργος ήγειτο δε 'Αγις ό 'Αρχιδάμου, Λακε-2 δαιμονίων βασιλεύς. Ευνεστράτευον δ' αύτοις Τεγεάται και όσοι άλλοι 'Αρκάδων Λακεδαιμονίοις 10 ξύμμαγοι ήσαν. οίδ' έκ της άλλης Πελοποννήσου ξύμμαχοι καί οί έξωθεν ές Φλιούντα ξυνελέγοντο, Βοιωτοί μέν πεντακισχίλιοι όπλιται και τοσούτοι

ψιλοί καὶ ίππῆς πεντακόσιοι καὶ ἄμιπποι ἴσοι, Κορίνθιοι δὲ δισχίλιοι ὁπλῖται, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι ὡς 15 ἕκαστοι, Φλιάσιοι δὲ πανστρατιậ, ὅτι ἐν τῇ ἐκείνων ἦν τὸ στράτευμα.

58 'Αργείοι δε προαισθόμενοι 'τό τε πρώτον την Movements of Agis and his allies. παρασκευήν τών Λακεδαιμονίων και έπειδή ές των Φλιούντα βουλόμενοι τοις άλλοις προσμίξαι έχώρουν, τότε δη έξεστράτευσαν και αυτοί · έβοήθησαν δ' αυτοίς και Μαντινής 5 έχοντες τούς σφετέρους ξυμμάχους και Ήλείων 2 τρισχίλιοι όπλιται. και προϊόντες απαντώσι τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις έν Μεθυδρίω της 'Αρκαδίας καί καταλαμβάνουσιν έκάτεροι λόφον. και οί μέν Αργείοι ώς μεμονωμένοις τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις 10 παρεσκευάζοντο μάχεσθαι, ό δε 'Αγις της νυκτός άναστήσας τον στρατον και λαθών έπορεύετο ές 3 Φλιούντα παρά τούς άλλους ξυμμάχους. και οί Αργείοι αἰσθόμενοι άμα ἕφ ἐχώρουν πρῶτον μὲν ές "Αργος, έπειτα ή προσεδέχοντο τούς Λακεδαι- 15 μονίους μετά τών ξυμμάχων καταβήσεσθαι, την κατά Νεμέαν όδόν. 'Αγις δε ταύτην μέν, ήν προσεδέχοντο, οὐκ ἐτράπετο, παραγγείλας δὲ τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις και 'Αρκάσι και 'Επιδαυρίοις άλλην έχώρησε χαλεπήν, και κατέβη ές το 'Αρ-20 4 γείων πεδίον· και Κορίνθιοι και Πελληνής και Φλιάσιοι ² ὄρθιον έτέραν ἐπορεύοντο· τοῖς δὲ Βοιωτοίς και Μεγαρεύσι και Σικυωνίοις εἴρητο τὴν ἐπί Νεμέας όδον καταβαίνειν, ή οι Αργείοι ἐκάθηντο, όπως, εί οι Άργείοι έπι σφάς ίόντες ές το πεδίον 25 βοηθοίεν, έφεπόμενοι τοις ίπποις χρώντο.

1 τότε

2 δρθριοι

Και ό μεν ούτω διατάξας και έσβαλών ές το 59 πεδίον έδήου Σάμινθόν τε και άλλα· οί An engagement immiδε 'Αργείοι γνόντες έβοήθουν ήμέρας ήδη nent. Two έκ της Νεμέας, και περιτυχόντες τώ tiate with Φλιασίων και Κορινθίων στρατοπέδω Agis. 5 τών μέν Φλιασίων όλίγους απέκτειναν, ύπό δε τών Κορινθίων αύτοι ου πολλώ πλείους διεφθάρησαν. 2 και οί Βοιωτοι και οί Μεγαρής και οι Σικυώνιοι έγώρουν, ώσπερ είρητο αὐτοῖς, ἐπὶ τῆς Νεμέας, καὶ τούς 'Αργείους οὐκέτι κατέλαβον, ἀλλὰ καταβάν- 10 τες, ώς έώρων τὰ έαυτῶν δηούμενα, ές μάχην παρετάσσοντο. άντιπαρεσκευάζοντο δε και οι Λακε-3 δαιμόνιοι. έν μέσω δε απειλημμένοι ήσαν οί Αργείοι έκ μέν γάρ του πεδίου οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι είργον τής πόλεως και οι μετ' αυτών, καθύπερθεν 15 δέ Κορίνθιοι και Φλιάσιοι και Πελληνής, το δέ πρός Νεμέας Βοιωτοί και Σικυώνιοι και Μεγαρής. ίπποι δε αυτοίς ου παρήσαν ου γάρ πω οι Άθη-+ ναίοι μόνοι των ξυμμάχων ήκον. το μέν ούν πλήθος τῶν Ἀργείων καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων οὐχ οὕτω 20 δεινόν το παρόν ένόμιζον, άλλ' έν καλώ έδόκει ή μάχη έσεσθαι, και τούς Λακεδαιμονίους απειληφέs vai èv τη αύτων τε και πρός τη πόλει. των δè Αργείων δύο άνδρες, Θράσυλλός τε, των πέντε στρατηγών είς ών, και 'Αλκίφρων, πρόξενος Λακε- 25 δαιμονίων, ήδη τών στρατοπέδων όσον ου ξυνιόντων προσελθόντες "Αγιδι διελεγέσθην μή ποιείν μάχην" έτοίμους γάρ είναι Άργείους δίκας δούναι καί δέξασθαι ίσας και όμοίας, εί τι επικαλουσιν Αργείοις Λακεδαιμόνιοι, και το λοιπον ειρήνην 30

60 άγειν σπονδάς ποιησαμένους. και οί μεν ταυτα ειπόντες των Άργείων αφ' έαυτων και Agis grants ού τοῦ πλήθους κελεύσαντος είπον καὶ a truce and withdraws ό 'Αγις δεξάμενος τους λόγους αιτός, his army. Disgust of both sides at καί ου μετά των πλειόνων ουδέ αυτός 5 the arrangement. βουλευσάμενος άλλ' ή ένι άνδρι κοινώσας τών έν τέλει ξυστρατευομένων, σπένδεται τέσσαρας μήνας έν οις έδει έπιτελέσαι αυτούς τα δηθέντα. 2 και απήγαγε τον στρατίν εύθύς, ούδενι φράσας τών άλλων ξυμμάχων. οί δε Λακεδαιμόνιοι και οί 10 ξύμμαχοι είποντο μέν ώς ήγειτο δια τον νόμον, έν αίτία δ' είχον κατ' άλλήλους πολλη τον 'Αγιν, νομίζοντες έν καλώ παρατυχόν σφίσι ξυμβαλείν και πανταχόθεν αὐτῶν ἀποκεκλημένων και ὑπο ίππέων και πεζών οὐδεν δράσαντες άξιον της 15 3 παρασκευί,ς απιέναι. στρατόπεδον γαρ δή τοῦτο κάλλιστον Ελληνικόν τών μέχρι τοῦδε ξυνήλθεν. ώφθη δε μάλιστα έως έτι ην άθρόον έν Νεμέα, έν ώ Λακεδαιμόνιοί τε πανστρατιά ήσαν και 'Αρκάδες καί Βοιωτοί και Κορίνθιοι και Σικυώνιοι καί 20 Πελληνής και Φλιάσιοι και Μεγαρής, και ούτοι πάντες λογάδες αφ' έκάστων, αξιόμαχοι δοκούντες είναι ού τη 'Αργείων μόνον ξυμμαχία άλλά καί Α άλλη έτι προσγενομένη. το μέν ούν στρατόπεδον ούτως έν αιτία έχοντες τον Αγιν άνεχώρουν τε καί 25 διελύθησαν έπ' οίκου έκαστοι 'Αργείοι δέ καί αύτοι έτι έν πολλώ πλείονι αιτία είχον τους σπεισαμένους άνευ τοῦ πλήθους, νομίζοντες κάκεινοι μή αν σφίσι ποτε κάλλιον παρασχόν Λακεδαιμοενίους διαπεφευγέναι πρός τε γάρ τη σφετέρα 30

πόλει καὶ μετὰ πολλών καὶ ἀγαθών ξυμμάχων τὸν ἀγώνα ἀν γίγνεσθαι. τόν τε Θράσυλλον ἀναχωρήσαντες ἐν τῷ Χαράδρῷ, οὖπερ τὰς ἀπὸ στρατείας δίκας πρὶν ἐσιέναι κρίνουσιν, ἦρξαντο λεύειν. ὁ δὲ καταφυγών ἐπὶ τὸν βωμὸν περιγίγνεται· τὰ 35 μέντοι χρήματα ἐδήμευσαν αὐτοῦ.

61 Μετά δέ τοῦτο 'Αθηναίων βοηθησάντων χιλίων όπλιτών καὶ τριακοσίων ἰππέων, ών The Atheniέστρατήγουν Λάχης και Νικόστρατος, οί ans and al-Αργείοι- όμως γάρ τάς σπουδάς ώκνουν Arcadia and reduce Orλύσαι πρός τούς Λακεδαιμονίους-άπιέchomenos. 5 ναι ἐκέλευον αὐτοὺς καὶ πρός τὸν δημον οὐ προσήγου βουλομένους χρηματίσαι, πριν ή Μαντινής και 'Ηλείοι, έτι γάρ παρήσαν, κατηνάγκασαν δεό-2 μενοι. καί έλεγον οί 'Αθηναΐοι, 'Αλκιβιάδου πρεσβευτού παρόντος, έν τε τοις Αργείοις και ξυμμά- 10 χοις ταῦτα, ὅτι οὐκ ὀρθώς αί σπονδαὶ ἄνευ τῶν άλλων ξυμμάχων και γένοιντο, και νυν, έν καιρώ γὰρ παρείναι σφείς, ἅπτεσθαι χρήναι τοῦ πολέμου. 3 και πείσαντες έκ των λόγων τους ξυμμάχους εύθυς έχώρουν έπι 'Ορχομενόν τόν 'Αρκαδικόν πάντες 15 πλήν 'Αργείων' ούτοι δε όμως και πεισθέντες ύπελείποντο πρώτον, έπειτα δ' ύστερον και ούτοι ήλθον. και προσκαθεζόμενοι τον Ορχομενόν πάντες έπολιόρκουν και προσβολάς έποιούντο, βουλόμενοι άλλως τε προσγενέσθαι σφίσι καί 20 όμηροι ἐκ τῆς ᾿Αρκαδίας ἦσαν αὐτόθι ὑπὸ Λακεδαι-4 μονίων κείμενοι. οί δε 'Ορχομένιοι δείσαντες την τε τοῦ τείχους ἀσθένειαν καὶ τοῦ στρατοῦ τὸ πλήθος καί ώς ουδείς αυτοίς εβοήθει, μή προαπόλων-G.T. 3

ται, ξυνέβησαν ώστε ξύμμαχοί τε είναι και 25 όμήρους σφών τε αυτών δοῦναι Μαντινεῦσι και 62 οῦς κατέθεντο Λακεδαιμόνιοι παραδοῦναι. μετὰ They prepare δὲ τοῦτο ἔχοντες ἤδη τὸν ᾿Ορχομενὸν to attack Tegea. ἐβουλεύοντο οἱ ξύμμαχοι ἐφ' ὅ τι χρὴ πρώτον ἰέναι τῶν λοιπῶν. και ᾿Ηλεῖοι μὲν ἐπὶ Λέπρεον ἐκέλευον, Μαντινῆς δὲ ἐπὶ Τεγέαν· καὶ s προσέθεντο οἱ ᾿Αργεῖοι και ᾿Αθηναῖοι τοῦς Μαντινεῦσἰν. καὶ οἱ μὲν ἘΗλεῖοι ὀργισθέντες ὅτι οὐκ ἐπὶ Λέπρεον ἐψηφίσαντο ἀνεχώρησαν ἐπ' οἴκου, οἱ δὲ ἄλλοι ξύμμαχοι παρεσκευάζοντο ἐν τῆ Μαντινεία ώς ἐπὶ Τέγεαν ἰόντες. καί τινες αὐτοῦς καὶ αὐτῶν 10 Γεγεατῶν ἐν τῆ πίλει ἐνεδίδοσαν τὰ πράγματα.

63

Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε έπειδη άνεχώρησαν έξ Αργους τάς τετραμήνους σπονδάς ποιησάμενοι, Proceedings at Lacedae-'Αγιν έν μεγάλη αιτία είχον ου χειρωσάspect of Agis. μενου σφίσιν Άργος, παρασχου καλώς ώς ούπω πρότερον αὐτοὶ ἐνόμιζον ἀθρόους γάρ s τοσούτους ξυμμάχους και τοιούτους ου ράδιον είναι 2 λαβείν. ἐπειδή δὲ καὶ περὶ ἘΟρχομενοῦ ἡγγέλλετο έαλωκέναι, πολλώ δή μάλλον έχαλέπαινον καί έβούλευον εύθύς ύπ' όργης παρά τον τρόπον τον έαυτών, ώς χρή τήν τε οἰκίαν αὐτοῦ κατασκάψαι 10 3 και δέκα μυριάσι δραχμών ζημιώσαι. ό δε παρητείτο μηδέν τοίτων δράν, έργω γάρ άγαθω ρύσεσθαι τάς αἰτίας στρατευσάμενος, η τότε ποιείν αὐτοὺς ὄ τι βούλονται. οί δε την μεν ζημίαν και την κατασκαφήν έπέσχον, νύμον δε έθεντο έν τώ παρόντι, 15 δς ούπω πρότερον έγένετο αύτοις · δέκα γάρ άνδρας Σπαρτιατών προσείλοντο αύτώ ξυμβούλους, άνευ

ών μή κύριον είναι απάγειν στρατιάν έκ τής 64 πόλεως. έν τούτω δ' άφικνείται αύτοις The Laceάγγελία παρά των έπιτηδείων έκ Τεγέας daemonians invade the territory of ότι, εί μη παρέσονται έν τάχει, αποστή-Mantinea, bearing of σεται αυτών Τέγεα πρός Αργείους και the attack on Tezes. τούς ξυμμάχους και όσον ούκ άφέστηκεν. 5 ένταῦθα δη βοήθεια τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων γίγνεται αύτών τε καί τών Είλώτων πανδημεί όξεια και οία ούπω πρότερον. έχώρουν δε ές Όρέσθειον τής 2 Maivalías και τοις μέν Αρκάδων σφετέροις ούσι ξυμμάχοις προείπον άθροισθείσιν ίέναι κατά πόδας 10 αυτών ές Τέγεαν, αυτοί δε μέχρι μεν του Όρεσθείου πάντες ελθόντες, εκείθεν δε το έκτον μέρος σφών αὐτών ἀποπέμψαντες ἐπ' οἴκου, ἐν ώ τὸ πρεσβύτερίν τε και το νεώτερον ήν, ώστε τα οίκοι φρουρείν, τώ λοιπώ στρατεύματι άφικνοῦνται ές 15 3 Τέγεαν. και ου πολλώ ύστερον οι ξύμμαγοι απ' Άρκάδων παρήσαν. πέμπουσι δε και ές την Κόρινθον κιὶ Βοιωτούς καὶ Φωκέας καὶ Λοκρούς, βοηθείν κελεύοντες κατά τάχος ές Μαντίνειαν. άλλά τοις μέν έξ όλίγου τε έγίγνετο και ου βάδιον 20 ήν μη άθρόοις και άλλήλους περιμείνασι διελθείν τήν πολεμίαν Ευνέκληε γάρ διά μέσου όμως δέ 1 ήπείγοντο. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε αναλαβόντες τους παρόντας 'Αρκάδων ξυμμάγους έσέβαλον ές την Μαντινικήν, και στρατοπεδευσάμενοι πρός τώ 25 Ηρακλείω εδήουν την γην.

65 Οί δ' 'Αργείοι και οι ξύμμαχοι ώς είδον αὐτούς, καταλαβόντες χωρίον ἐρυμνον και δυσπρόσοδον παρετάξαντο ὡς ἐς μάχην. και οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι

2 εύθύς αύτοις έπήεσαν και μέχρι μεν λίθου και άκοντίου βολής έχώρησαν, έπειτα τών 5 Battle declined by πρεσβυτέρων τις "Αγιδι ἐπεβίησεν, δρών Agis, who draws the enemy from πρός χωρίον καρτερόν ίόντας σφάς, ότι their position. διανοείται κακών κακώ ίασθαι, δηλών τής έξ Αργους έπαιτίου άναχωρήσεως την παρούσαν άκαιρον προθυμίαν ανάληψιν βουλομένην είναι. 10 3 ο δέ, είτε και δια το έπιβόημα είτε και αυτώ άλλο τι ή κατά το αυτό δόξαν έξαίφνης πάλιν το στράτέυμα κατά τάχος πρίν ξυμμίξαι απήγεν. καί άφικόμενος πρός την Τεγεάτιν το ύδωρ έξέτρεπεν ές την Μαντινικήν, περί ούπερ ώς τά πολλά βλάπ- 15 τοντος όποτέρωσε αν έσπίπτη Μαντινής και Τεγεά-4 ται πολεμούσιν. έβούλετο δε τούς από του λόφου βοηθούντας έπι την του ύδατος έκτροπήν, έπειδάν πύθωνται, καταβιβάσαι τους Άργείους και τούς ξυμμάχους, καὶ ἐν τῷ ὑμαλῷ τὴν μάχην ποιεῖσθαι. 20 s καὶ ὁ μὲν τὴν ἡμέραν ταύτην μείνας αὐτοῦ περὶ τὸ ύδωρ έξέτρεπεν οίδ' Αργείοι και οι ξύμμαχοι το μέν πρώτον καταπλαγέντες τη έξ ολίγου αιφνιδίω 6 αὐτῶν ἀναχωρήσει οὐκ είχον ὅ τι εἰκάσωσιν· εἶτ΄ έπειδή αναγωρούντες έκεινοί τε απέκρυψαν καί 25 σφείς ήσύχαζον και ούκ έπηκολούθουν, ένταῦθα τούς έαυτων στρατηγούς αύθις έν αιτία είχον, τό τε πρότερον καλώς ληφθέντας πρός "Αργει Λακεδαιμονίους αφεθήναι, και νυν ότι αποδιδράσκοντας ούδεις επιδιώκει, άλλά καθ ήσυγίαν οι μεν σώζον- 30 1 ται σφείς δέ προδίδονται. οί δέ στρατηγοί έθορυβήθησαν μέν το παραυτίκα, ύστερον δε απάγουσιν αύτούς από τοῦ λόφου καὶ προελθόντες ἐς τὸ

όμαλὸν ἐστρατοπεδεύσαντο ὡς ἰόντες ἐπὶ τοὺς πολεμίους. 35

Τη δ' ύστεραία οι τε 'Αργείοι και οι ξύμμαχοι 66 ξυνετάξαντο, ώς εμελλον μαχείσθαι, ην Engagement περιτύχωσιν οί τε Λακεδαιμόνιοι άπο Lacedaemonian formaτου ύδατος πρός το Ηράκλειον πάλιν ές tion. το αύτο στρατόπεδον ίοντες όρωσι δι' ολίγου τους 5 έναντίους έν τάξει τε ήδη πάντας και άπο του λόφου προεληλυθότας. μάλιστα δή Λακεδαιμόνιοι, ές δ εμεμνηντο, εν τούτω τω καιρώ εξεπλάγησαν. 2 διά βραχείας γάρ μελλήσεως ή παρασκευή αὐτοῖς έγίγνετο, και εύθυς ύπο σπουδής καθίσταντο ές 10 κόσμον τον έαυτών, "Αγιδος του βασιλέως έκαστα 3 έξηγουμένου κατά τέν νόμον. βασιλέως γάρ άγουτος ύπ' έκείνου πάντα άρχεται, και τοις μέν πολεμάρχοις αὐτὸς φράζει τὸ δέον, οἱ δὲ τοῖς λοχαγοίς, ἐκείνοι δέ τοίς πεντηκοντήρσιν, αύθις δ' ούτοι 15 τοις ένωμοτάρχαις και ούτοι τη ένωμοτία. και αί παραγγέλσεις, ήν τι βοίλωνται, κατά τὰ αὐτὰ γωρούσι και ταγείαι επέργονται σχεδόν γάρ τι παν πλήν όλίγου το στρατίπεδον τών Λακεδαιμονίων άργοντες άργόντων είσι, και το έπιμελές 20 67 του δρωμένου πολλοίς προσήκει. τίτε δε κέρας μέν ευώνυμον Σκιρίται αυτοίς καθίσ- Order of battle on both ταντο, αεί ταύτην την τάξιν μόνοι Λακε- sides. δαιμονίων έπι σφών αὐτών ἔχοντες παρά δ' αὐτοῖς οί έπι Θράκης Βρασίδειοι στρατιώται και νεοδα- 5 2 μώδεις μετ' αὐτῶν Επειτ' ήδη Λακεδαιμόνιοι αὐτοὶ έξης καθίστασαν τους λόχους και παρ' αὐτους Άρκάδων Ήραιής, μετά δε τούτους Μαινάλιοι, καί

57

ἐπὶ τῷ δεξιῷ κέρҳ Τεγεᾶται καὶ Λακεδαιμονίων ολίγοι, τὸ ἔσχατον ἔχοντες, καὶ οἱ ἱππῆς αὐτῶν ἐφ' 10 3 ἑκατέρῷ τῷ κέρҳ. Λακεδαιμόνιοι μὲν οὕτως ἐτάξαντο· οἱ δ' ἐναντίοι αὐτοῖς δεξιὸν μὲν κέρας Μαντινῆς εἶχον, ὅτι ἐν τῆ ἐκείνων τὸ ἔργον ἐγίγνετο, παρὰ δ' αὐτοὺς οἱ ξύμμαχοι ᾿Αρκάδων ἦσαν, ἔπειτα ᾿Αργείων οἱ χίλιοι λογάδες, οἶς ἡ πόλις ἐκ 15 πολλοῦ ἄσκησιν τῶν ἐς τὸν πόλεμον δημοσίҳ παρεῖχεν, καὶ ἐχόμενοι αὐτῶν οἱ ἄλλοι ᾿Αργεῖοι, καὶ μετ' αὐτοὺς οἱ ξύμμαχοι αὐτῶν, Κλεωναῖοι καὶ ᾿Ορνεᾶται, ἔπειτα ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἔσχατοι τὸ εὐώνυμον κέρας ἔχοντες καὶ ἱππῆς μετ' αὐτῶν οἱ οἰκεῖοι.

Τάξις μέν ήδε και παρασκευή άμφοτέρων ήν, το 68 Rough com-putation of the numbers. ζον έφάνη. ἀριθμον δε γράψαι, ή καθ' έκάστους έκατέρων ή ξύμπαντας, ούκ αν έδυνάμην άκριβώς το μέν γάρ Λακεδαιμονίων πλήθος διά 5 τής πολιτείας το κρυπτον ήγνοείτο, τών δ' αὐ δια το ανθρώπειον κομπώδες ές τα οικεία πλήθη ήπισ-2 τείτο. έκ μέντοι τοιούδε λογισμού έξεστί τω σκοπείν το Λακεδαιμονίων τότε παραγενόμενον πλήθος. λόχοι μέν γαρ έμάχοντο έπτα άνευ Σκι- 10 ριτών, όντων έξακοσίων, έν δε εκάστω λόχω πεντηκοστύες ήσαν τέσσαρες καὶ ἐν τῆ πεντηκοστύϊ 3 ένωμοτίαι τέσσαρες, τής τε ένωμοτίας έμάχοντο έν τῷ πρώτῷ ζυγῷ τέσσαρες · ἐπὶ δὲ βάθος ἐτάξαντο μέν ού πάντες όμοίως, άλλ' ώς λοχαγός έκαστος 15 έβούλετο, έπι παν δε κατέστησαν επι όκτώ. παρά δέ άπαν πλήν Σκιριτών τετρακόσιοι καί δυοίν δέοντες πεντήκοντα άνδρες ή πρώτη τάξις ήν.

69 Ἐπεὶ δὲ ξυνιέναι ἔμελλον ἤδη, ἐνταῦθα καὶ παραινέσεις καθ' έκάστους ίπο των Addresses of οικείων στρατηγών τοιαίδε έγίγνοντο. the generals. Μαντινεῦσι μέν ὅτι ὑπέρ τε πατρίδος ἡ μάχη ἔσται και ύπερ άρχης ώμα και δουλείας, την μέν μη πει- 5 ρασαμένοις άφαιρεθήναι, τής δε μή αύθις πειράσ-2 θαι· 'Αργείοις δε ύπερ της τε παλαιάς ήγεμονίας καί τής έν Πελοποννήσω ποτε ίσομοιρίας μη διά παντός στερισκομένους ανέχεσθαι, και άνδρας άμα έχθρούς και αστυγείτονας ύπερ πολλών άδικη-10 μάτων ἀμύνασθαι· τοῖς δὲ ᾿Αθηναίοις καλὸν είναι μετά πολλών και άγαθών ξυμμάχων άγωνιζομένους μηδενός λείπεσθαι, και ότι έν Πελοποννήσω Λακεδαιμονίους νικήσαντες τήν τε άρχην βεβαιοτέραν και μείζω έξουσιν, και ου μή ποτέ τις αυτοίς 15 3 άλλος ές την γην έλθη. τοις μέν 'Αργείοις καί ξυμμάχοις τοιαύτα παρηνέθη, Λακεδαιμόνιοι δέ καθ' έκάστους τε και μετά των πολεμικών νόμων έν σφίσιν αύτοις ών ηπίσταντο την παρακέλευσιν τής μνήμης άγαθοίς ούσιν έποιούντο, είδότες έργων 20 έκ πολλού μελέτην πλείω σώζουσαν ή λόγων δι 70 όλίγου καλώς βηθείσαν παραίνεσιν. και μετά ταῦτα ή ξύνοδος ην, Αργεῖοι μέν καὶ οί Battle of ξύμμαχοι εντόνως και όργη χωρουντες, Victory of Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε βραδέως και ύπο αυλη- tans. τών πολλών ¹νόμω έγκαθεστώτων, ου του θείου s χάριν, άλλ' ίνα όμαλώς μετά ρυθμού βαίνοντες προέλθοιεν και μή διασπασθείη αὐτοῖς ή τάξις, όπερ φιλεί τὰ μεγάλα στρατόπεδα ἐν ταις προσόδοις ποιείν.

1 νόμου

71 Ξυνιόντων δ' έτι 'Αγις ό βασιλεύς τοιόνδε έβουλεύσατο δράσαι. τὰ στρατόπεδα ποιεί μέν καὶ ἄπαντα τοῦτο · ἐπὶ τὰ δεξιὰ κέρατα αὐτῶν ἐν ταις ξυνόδοις μάλλον έξωθειται, και περιίσχουσι κατά τὸ τῶν ἐναντίων εὐώνυμον ἀμφότεροι τῷ 5 δεξιώ, διά το φοβουμένους προστέλλειν τά γυμνά έκαστον ώς μάλιστα τη του έν δεξιά παρατεταγμένου ασπίδι, και νομίζειν την πυκνότητα της ξυγ-2 κλήσεως εὐσκεπαστότατον εἶναι καὶ ἡγεῖται μέν της αίτίας ταύτης ό πρωτοστάτης του δεξιού κέρως, 10 προθυμούμενος έξαλλάσσειν άει των έναντίων την έαυτοῦ γύμνωσιν, ἕπονται δὲ διὰ τὸν αὐτὸν φόβον και οι άλλοι. και τότε περιέσχον μέν οι Μαντινής πολύ τώ κέρα τών Σκιριτών, έτι δε πλέον οί Λακεδαιμίνιοι και Τεγεάται τών 'Αθηναίων, όσω 15 3 μείζον το στράτευμα είχον. δείσας δε Άγις μή σφών κυκλωθή τὸ εὐώνυμον, καὶ νομίσας ἄγαν περιέχειν τούς Μαντινέας, τοις μέν Σκιρίταις καί Βρασιδείοις έσήμηνεν έπεξαγαγόντας από σφών έξισωσαι τοις Μαντινεύσιν, ές δε το διάκενον τούτο 20 παρήγγελλεν από τοῦ δεξιοῦ κέρως δύο λόχους τῶν πολεμάρχων Ίππονοίδα και Αριστοκλεί έχουσι παρελθείν και έσβαλόντας πληρώσαι, νομίζων τώ θ' έαυτών δεξιώ έτι περιουσίαν έσεσθαι και τό κατά τους Μαντινέας βεβαιότερον τετάξεσθαι. 72 ξυνέβη ούν αὐτώ, ἅτε ἐν αὐτῆ τῆ ἐφόδω καὶ ἐξ όλίγου παραγγείλαντι, τόν τε 'Αριστοκλέα και τόν Ιππονοίδαν μή θελήσαι παρελθείν, άλλά και διά τοῦτο τὸ αἰτίαμα ὕστερον Φεύγειν ἐκ Σπάρτης, δόξαντας μαλακισθήναι, και τους πολεμίους φθάσαι 5

τη προσμίζει, και κελείσαντος αυτού, έπι τούς Σκιρίτας ώς ου παρήλθον οι λόγοι, πάλιν αυ σφίσι προσμίζαι, μή δυνηθήναι έτι μηδε τούτους 2 ξυγκλήσαι. άλλά μάλιστα δή κατά πάντα τή έμπειρία Λακεδαιμόνιοι έλασσωθέντες τότε τη 10 ανδρία έδειξαν ούχ ήσσον περιγενόμενοι. έπειδή γάρ έν χερσίν έγίγνοντο τοις έναντίοις, το μέν τών Μαντινέων δεξιόν τρέπει αὐτῶν τοὺς Σκιρίτας καὶ τούς Βρασιδείους, και έσπεσόντες οι Μαντινής και οί ξύμμαχοι αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν Αργείων οἱ χίλιοι 15 λογάδες κατά το διάκενον και ου ξυγκλησθέν τους Λακεδαιμονίους διέφθειρον και κυκλωσάμενοι έτρεψαν καί έξέωσαν ές τάς άμάξας καί τών πρεσβυτέρων των επιτεταγμένων απέκτεινάν 3 τινας. και ταύτη μέν ήσσωντο οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι 20 τῷ δ' ἄλλω στρατοπέδω, καὶ μάλιστα τῷ μέσω. ήπερ ό βασιλεύς Άγις ήν και περί αὐτὸν οί τριακόσιοι ίππης καλούμενοι, προσπεσόντες τών τε Αργείων τοις πρεσβυτέροις και πέντε λόχοις ώνομασμένοις και Κλεωναίοις και Όριεάταις και 25 Αθηναίων τοις παρατεταγμένοις έτρεψαν ούδε ές γείρας τούς πολλούς ύπομείναντας, άλλ' ώς έπήεσαν οι Λακεδαιμόνιοι, εύθύς ενδόντας και έστιν ούς και καταπατηθέντας του μή φθήναι την έγ-73 κατάληψιν. ώς δε ταύτη ενεδεδώκει το των Άργείων καὶ ξυμμάχων στράτευμα, παρερρήγνυντο ήδη άμα και έφ' έκάτερα, και άμα το δεξιον των Λακεδαιμονίων καὶ Τεγεατῶν ἐκυκλοῦτο τῶ περιέχοντι σφών τους Αθηναίους και άμφοτέρωθεν αύ- 5 τούς κίνδυνος περιειστήκει, τη μέν κυκλουμένους τη

61

2 δε ήδη ήσσημένους. καὶ μάλιστ' αν τοῦ στρατεύματος έταλαιπώρησαν, εί μή οι ίππης παρόντες αύτοις ώφέλιμοι ήσαν. και ξυνέβη τον Αγιν, ώς ήσθετο τὸ εὐώνυμον σφῶν πονοῦν τὸ κατὰ τούς 10 Μαντινέας καὶ τῶν Ἀργείων τοὺς χιλίους, παραγγείλαι παντί τώ στρατεύματι χωρήσαι έπι τό 3 νικώμενον. και γενομένου τούτου οι μέν Αθηναίοι έν τούτω, ώς παρήλθε και εξέκλινεν από σφών το στράτευμα, καθ' ήσυχίαν έσώθησαν καὶ τῶν ᾿Αρ- 15 4 γείων μετ' αὐτῶν τὸ ήσσηθέν· οἱ δὲ Μαντινής καὶ οί ξύμμαχοι και των Αργείων οι λογάδες οὐκέτι πρός τὸ ἐγκεῖσθαι τοῖς ἐναντίοις τὴν γνώμην εἶχον, άλλ' όρώντες τούς τε σφετέρους νενικημένους καί τούς Λακεδαιμονίους έπιφερομένους ές φυγήν έτρά- 20 5 ποντο. καί τών μέν Μαντινέων καί πλείους διεφθάρησαν, τών δε 'Αργείων λογάδων το πολύ έσώθη. ή μέντοι φυγή και αποχώρησις ου βίαιος ούδε μακρά ήν οί γάρ Λακεδαιμόνιοι μέχρι μεν τοῦ τρέψαι χρονίους τὰς μάχας καὶ βεβαίους τώ 25 μένειν ποιούνται, τρέψαντες δε βραχείας και ούκ έπι πολύ τάς διώξεις.

74 Καὶ ἡ μὲν μάχη τοιαύτη καὶ ὅτι ἐγγίτατα Loss on both τούτων ἐγένετο, πλείστου δὴ χρόνου μέsides. γίστη δὴ τῶν Ἐλληνικῶν καὶ ὑπὸ ἀξιολογωτάτων πόλεων ξυνελθοῦσα. οἱ δὲ Λακεδαιμόνιοι προθέμενοι τῶν πολεμίων νεκρῶν τὰ ὅπλα 5 τροπαῖον εὐθὺς ἴστασαν καὶ τοὺς νεκροὺς ἐσκύλευον καὶ τοὺς αὐτῶν ἀνείλοντο καὶ ἀπήγαγον ἐς Τέγεαν, οἱπερ ἐτάφησαν, καὶ τοὺς τῶν πολεμίων ὑποσπόν-2 δους ἀπέδοσαν. ἀπέθανον δὲ ᾿Αργείων μὲν καὶ Ορνεατών καὶ Κλεωναίων ἐπτακόσιοι, Μαντινέων 10 δὲ διακόσιοι, καὶ ᾿Αθηναίων ξὺν Αἰγινήταις διακόσιοι καὶ οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἀμφότεροι. Λακεδαιμονίων δὲ οἱ μὲν ξύμμαχοι οὐκ ἐταλαιπώρησαν ὥστε καὶ ἀξιόλογόν τι ἀπογενέσθαι ἀὐτῶν δὲ χαλεπὸν μὲν ἦν τὴν ἀλήθειαν πυθέσθαι, ἐλέγοντο 15 δὲ περὶ τριακοσίους ἀποθανεῖν.

75 Τῆς δὲ μάχης μελλούσης ἔσεσθαι καὶ Πλειστοάναξ ὁ ἕτερος βασιλεὺς ἔχων τούς τε Moral effect σί the Spartar νέοτος. Τhe Athenans and μέχρι μὲν Τεγέας ἀφίκετο, πυθόallies attack μενος δὲ τὴν νίκην ἀπεχώρησεν. καὶ Epidaurus τοὺς ἀπὸ Κορίνθου καὶ ἔξω Ἱσθμοῦ ξυμμάχους ἀπέστρεψαν πέμψαντες οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι, καὶ αὐτοὶ ἀναχωρήσαντες καὶ τοὺς ξυμμάχους ἀφέντες, Κάρνεια γὰρ αὐτοῖς ἐτύγχανον ὄντα, τὴν ἑορτὴν ἤγον. καὶ τὴν ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων τότε ἐπιφεροιο μένην αἰτίαν ἔς τε μαλακίαν διὰ τὴν ἐν τῆ νήσω ξυμφορὰν καὶ ἐς τὴν ἄλλην ἀβουλίαν τε καὶ βραδυτῆτα ἑνὶ ἔργω τούτω ἀπελύσαντο, τύχη μέν, ὡς ἐδόκουν, κακιζόμενοι, γνώμη δὲ οἱ αὐτοὶ ἔτι ὅντες.

3 Τŷ δὲ προτέρα ἡμέρα ξυνέβη τŷς μάχης ταύτης 15 καὶ τοὺς Ἐπιδαυρίους πανδημεὶ ἐσβαλεῖν ἐς τὴν ᾿Αργείαν ὡς ἐρῆμον οἶσαν καὶ τοὺς ὑπολοίπους φύλακας τῶν ᾿Αργείων ἐξελθόντων ¹διαφθεῖραι 4 πολλούς. καὶ ἘΗλείων τρισχιλίων ὑπλιτῶν βοηθησάντων Μαντινεῦσιν ὕστερον τῆς μάχης καὶ 20 ᾿Αθηναίων χιλίων πρὸς τοῦς προτέροις, ἐστράτευσαν ἅπαντες οἱ ξύμμαχοι οὖτοι εὐθὺς ἐπὶ Ἐπίδαυρον, ἕως οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι Κάρνεια ἦγον, καὶ διε-

63

5 λόμενοι τὴν πόλιν περιετείχιζον. καὶ οἱ μὲν ἄλλοι ἐξεπαύσαντο, ᾿Λθηναῖοι δέ, ὥσπερ προσε- 25 τάχθησαν, τὴν ἄκραν τὸ Ἡραῖον εὐθὺς ἐξειργάσαντο. καὶ ἐν τούτῷ ξυγκαταλιπόντες ἅπαντες τῷ τειχίσματι φρουρῶν ἀνεχώρησαν κατὰ πόλεις ἕκαστοι. καὶ τὸ θέρος ἐτελεύτα.

76 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμώνος ἀρχομένου εὐθὺς οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι, έπειδη τὰ Κάρνεια The Lacedaemonians ofήγαγον, έξεστράτευσαν, και άφικόμενοι fer terms to Argos. ές Τέγεαν λόγους προύπεμπον ές τὸ Αργος Ευμβατηρίους. ήσαν δε αύτοις πρότερον 5 τε άνδρες επιτήδειοι καί βουλόμενοι τον δήμον τον έν "Αργει καταλύσαι, και έπειδη ή μάχη έγεγένητο, πολλώ μάλλον έδύναντο πείθειν τους πολλούς ές 2 την όμολογίαν. έβούλοντο δε πρώτον σπονδάς ποιήσαντες πρός τούς Λακεδαιμονίους, αύθις ύστε- 10 ρον καί ξυμμαγίαν, και ούτως ήδη τω δήμω επιτίθεσθαι. καὶ ἀφικνεῖται πρόξενος ῶν ᾿Αργείων Λίχας ό 'Αρκεσιλάου παρά των Λακεδαιμονίων δύο λόγω φέρων ές το "Αργος, τον μεν καθ' ό τι εί βούλονται πολεμείν, τόν δ' ώς εί εἰρήνην ἄγειν. 15 3 και γενομένης πολλής αντιλογίας, έτυχε γαρ και ό 'Αλκιβιάδης παρών, οι άνδρες οι τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις πράσσοντες, ήδη και έκ του φανερού τολμώντες, έπεισαν τούς 'Αργείους προσδέξασθαι τόν ξυμβατήριον λόγον. έστι δε όδε.

77 Καττάδε δοκεί τậ ἐκκλησία τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων Terms of treaty between Lacedaemon and Argos. τώς ἄνδρας τοῦς Μαιναλίοις, καὶ τῶς ἄν-

δρας τώς έν Μαντινεία τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις άποδι- 5 δόντας, και έξ Ἐπιδαύρω ἐκβώντας και τὸ τείχος 2 άναιροῦντας. aỉ δέ κα μή εἴκωντι τοὶ ᾿Αθηναῖοι έξ Ἐπιδαύρω, πολεμίους είμεν τοῖς ᾿Αργείοις καὶ τοίς Λακεδαιμονίοις και τοίς των Λακεδαιμονίων ξυμμάχοις και τοις των Αργείων ξυμμάχοις. και 10 αι τινα τοι Λακεδαιμόνιοι παίδα έχοντι, αποδόμεν ταις πολίεσι πάσαις. περί δὲ τῶ σιῶ σύματος είμεν λην τοις Έπιδαυρίοις όρκον, δόμεν δε αυτούς 3 ομόσαι. τὰς δὲ πόλιας τὰς ἐν Πελοποννάσω, καὶ μικράς και μεγάλας, αυτονόμους είμεν πάσας καττά 15 πάτρια. αί δέ κα των έκτος Πελοποννάσου τις έπι ταν Πελοπόννασον γαν ίη έπι κακώ, αλεξέμεναι αμόθι βουλευσαμένους, όπα κα δικαιότατα 4 δοκή τοις Πελοποννασίοις. όσοι δ' έκτος Πελοποννάσω των Λακεδαιμονίων ξύμμαχοί έντι, έν τώ 20 αὐτῷ ἐσσοῦνται ἐν τῷπερ καὶ τοὶ τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων και τοι των Αργείων ξύμμαχοί έντι, ταν αύτων έχοντες. επιδείξαντας δε τοις ξυμμάχοις ξυμβαλέσθαι, αι κα αυτοίς δοκή. αι δέ τι δοκή τοις ξυμμάχοις, οίκαδ' απιάλλην.

Τούτον μέν τον λόγον προσεδέξαντο πρώτον οί 78 Αργείοι, καὶ τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων τὸ στρά-The Argives throw up the τευμα ανεχώρησεν έκ της Τεγέας έπ' Athenian alliance, and οίκου μετά δε τούτο επιμιξίας οίσης contract an alliance with ήδη παρ' άλλήλους, ού πολλώ ύστερον Sparta. 5 έπραξαν αθθις οι αυτοί άνδρες ώστε την Μαντινέων και την 'Αθηναίων και 'Ηλείων ξυμμαγίαν άφέντας 'Αργείους σπονδάς και ξυμμαχίαν ποιησασθαι πρός Λακεδαιμονίους. και έγένοντο αίδε.

- Καττάδε έδοξε τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις και Άργεί-79 οις σπονδώς και ξυμμαχίαν είμεν πεντή-Terms and conditions of κοντα έτη, έπι τοις ίσοις και όμοίοις alliance between Laceδίκας διδόντας καττά πάτρια ται δέ daemon and Argos. άλλαι πόλιες ταὶ ἐν Πελοποννάσω κοι- 5 νανεόντων ταν σπονδαν και ταν ξυμμαχιαν αυτόνομοι καί αὐτοπόλιες, τὰν αύτῶν ἔχοντες, καττὰ 2 πάτρια δίκας διδόντες τας ίσας και όμοίας. όσοι δε έξω Πελοποννάσω Λακεδαιμονίοις ξύμμαχοί έντι έν τοίς αύτοις έσσουνται τοισπερ και τοι 10 Λακεδαιμόνιοι και τοι των Αργείων ξύμμαχοι έν τῷ αὐτῷ ἐσσοῦνται τῷπερ καὶ τοὶ ᾿Αργεῖοι, τὰν αίτων έχοντες. αί δέ ποι στρατείας δέη κοινας, βουλεύεσθαι Λακεδαιμονίως και 'Αργείως όπα 3 κα δικαιότατα κρίναντας τοις ξυμμάχοις. αι δέ 15 τινι τῶν πολίων ή ἀμφίλογα, ή τῶν ἐντὸς ή τῶν έκτος Πελοποννάσου, αίτε περί όρων αίτε περί άλλου τινός, διακριθήμεν. αι δέ τις των ξυμμάγων πόλις πόλει έρίζοι, ές πόλιν έλθειν άν τινα ίσαν ἀμφοῖν ταῖς πολίεσι δοκείοι. 1τώς δ' ἔτας 20 καττὰ πάτρια δικάζεσθαι.
- 80 Αίμèν σπονδαὶ καὶ ή ξυμμαχία αὕτη ἐγεγένητο καὶ ὁπόσα ἀλλήλων πολέμῷ ἢ εἴ τι ἄλλο τωτes to Perdiceas. The perdiceas τιθέμενοι ἐψηφίσαντο κήρυκα καὶ

alie up their πρεσβείαν παρ' Αθηναίων μή προσδέ- 5 χεσθαι, ήν μη ἐκ Πελοποννήσου ἐξίωσι τὰ τείχη ἐκλιπόντες, καὶ μη ξυμβαίνειν τω μηδὲ 2 πολεμεῖν ἀλλ' ή ἅμα. καὶ τά τε ἄλλα θυμῶ ἔφερον καὶ ἐς τὰ ἐπὶ Θράκης χωρία καὶ ώς Περδίκ-¹ τοῦς δὲ ξταις

καν έπεμψαν αμφότεροι πρέσβεις, και ανέπεισαν 10 Περδίκκαν ξυνομόσαι σφίσιν. ου μέντοι εύθύς γε άπέστη τών Αθηναίων, άλλά διενοείτο, ότι καί τούς 'Αργείους έώρα ήν δε και αυτός το άρχαιον έξ Αργους. και τοις Χαλκιδεύσι τούς τε παλαιούς όρκους άνενεώσαντο και άλλους ώμοσαν. 15 3 ἔπεμψαν δὲ καὶ παρὰ τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους οἱ ᾿Αργείοι πρέσβεις, τὸ ἐξ Ἐπιδαύρου τείχος κελεύοντες έκλιπείν. οί δ' όρωντες όλίγοι πρός πλείους όντες τούς ξυμφύλακας έπεμψαν Δημοσθένην τούς σφετέρους έξάξοντα. ό δε ἀφικόμενος καὶ ἀγῶνά 20 τινα πρόφασιν γυμνικόν έξω τοῦ φρουρίου ποιήσας, ώς έξηλθε το άλλο 'φρουρικόν, απέκλησε τας πύλας και ύστερον Έπιδαυρίοις ανανεωσάμενοι τάς σπονδάς αύτοι οι Αθηναίοι απέδοσαν το τεί-

81 χισμα. μετά δε την των Αργείων απόστασιν έκ τής ξυμμαχίας και οί Μαντινής, effect an oliτό μέν πρώτου αντέχοντες, έπειτ' ού δυ- volution in Sicyon and νάμενοι άνευ των Άργείων, ξυνέβησαν Argos.

καί αύτοι τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις και την άρχην s 2 άφείσαν τών πόλεων και Λακεδαιμόνιοι και Αργείοι, χίλιοι έκάτεροι, ξυστρατεύσαντες, τά τ' έν Σικυώνι ές όλίγους μάλλον κατέστησαν αύτοί οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι έλθόντες και μετ' έκεινα ξυναμφότεροι ήδη και τον έν Αργει δήμου κατέλυσαν, 10 και όλιγαρχία επιτηδεία τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις κατέστη. και πρός έαρ ήδη ταῦτα ήν τοῦ χειμώνος λήγοντος, και τέταρτον και δέκατον έτος τώ πολέμω έτελεύτα.

82

Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους Διῆς τε οἱ ἐν "Αθφ 1 φρούριον

άπέστησαν 'Αθηναίων πρός Χαλκιδέας, και Λακεδαιμόνιοι τὰ έν 'Αχαία οὐκ ἐπιτηδείως B.C. 417. revolution in πρότερον έχοντα καθίσταντο. και 'Αρ-Arcos. Long walls begun. γείων δ δήμος κατ' όλ (200) του στάνατά γείων ό δήμος κατ' όλίγον ξυνιστάμενός τε 5 και άναθαρσήσας επέθεντο τοις όλίγοις, τηρήσαντες αύτας τας γυμνοπαιδίας των Λακεδαιμονίων. 2 καὶ μάχης γενομένης ἐν τῷ πόλει ἐπεκράτησεν ό δήμος, και τους μέν απέκτεινε τους δε εξήλασεν. οί δε Λακεδαιμόνιοι, έως μεν αύτούς μετεπέμποντο 10 οί φίλοι, ούκ ήλθον έκ πλείονος, άναβαλόμενοι δέ 3 τώς γυμνοπαιδίας έβοήθουν. και έν Τεγέα πυθόμενοι ότι νενίκηνται οι όλίγοι, προελθείν μέν οὐκέτι ήθέλησαν δεομένων των διαπεφευγότων, άναχωρήσαντες δε έπ' οίκου τάς γυμνοπαιδίας ήγον. και 15 ύστερον έλθόντων πρέσβεων από τε των έν τη πόλει αγγέλων και των έξω Αργείων, παρόντων τε τών ξυμμάχων και δηθέντων πολλών αφ' έκατέρων, έγνωσαν μέν άδικειν τούς έν τη πόλει και έδοξεν αύτοις στρατεύειν ές "Αργος, διατριβαί δε καί μελ- 20 « λήσεις έγίγνοντο. δ δε δημος των Αργείων έν τούτω, φοβούμενος τούς Λακεδαιμονίους και την τών 'Αθηναίων ξυμμαχίαν πάλιν προσαγόμενός τε και νομίζων μέγιστον [αν]σφας ώφελήσειν, τειχίζει μακρά τείχη ές θάλασσαν, Όπως, ην της γης είρ-25 γωνται, ή κατά θάλασσαν σφάς μετά τών Άθηναίων ἐπαγωγή των ἐπιτηδείων ώφελη. ξυνήδεσαν δέ τον τειχισμον και των έν Πελοποννήσω τινές πόλεων. και οι μεν Αργείοι πανδημεί, και αυτοί καί γυναίκες και οικέται, ετείχιζον και έκ των 30 'Αθηνών αὐτοῖς ἡλθον τέκτονες καὶ λιθουργοί. καὶ τὸ θέρος ἐτελεύτα.

- Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου γειμώνος Λακεδαιμόνιοι, 83 ώς ήσθοντο τειχιζόντων, έστράτευσαν ές Walls deτο Αργος αι τοί τε και οι ξύμμαχοι πλην the spartans. Κορινθίων υπήρχε δέ τι αυτοίς και έκ operations against Perτοῦ Αργους αὐτόθεν πρασσόμενον. ήγε diceas. 5 δέ την στρατιάν 'Αγις ό 'Αρχιδάμου, Λακεδαι-2 μονίων βασιλεύς. και τά μέν έκ της πόλεως δοκούντα προϊπάρχειν ου προύχώρησεν έτι τα δέ οίκοδομούμενα τείχη έλόντες και καταβαλόντες και 'Υσιάς χωρίον της 'Αργείας λαβόντες και τούς 10 έλευθέρους απαντας ούς έλαβον αποκτείναντες 3 άνεγώρησαν και διελύθησαν κατά πόλεις. έστράτευσαν δε μετά τοῦτο καὶ ᾿Αργεῖοι ἐς τὴν Φλιασίαν και δηώσαντες απηλθον, ότι σφών τους φυγάδας ύπεδέχοντο οί γαρ πολλοί αὐτῶν ἐνταῦθα κατώ- 15 4 κηντο. κατέκλησαν δε τοῦ αὐτοῦ χειμῶνος καὶ Μακεδονίας 'Αθηναΐοι Περδίκκαν, ἐπικαλοῦντες τήν τε πρός 'Αργείους και Λακεδαιμονίους γενομένην Ευνωμοσίαν και ότι παρασκευασαμένων αυτών στρατιάν άγειν έπι Χαλκιδέας τους έπι Θράκης 20 και 'Αμφίπολιν, Νικίου τοῦ Νικηράτου στρατηγούντος, έψευστο τήν ξυμμαχίαν και ή στρατιά μάλιστα διελύθη έκείνου απάραντος πολέμιος ουν ήν. και ό χειμών έτελεύτα ούτος και πέμπτον και δέκατον έτος τώ πολέμω έτελεύτα. 25
- 84 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους `Αλκιβιάδης τε πλεύσας ἐς *Αργος ναυσὶν εἴκοσιν `Αργείων τοὺς δοκοῦντας ἔτι ὑπόπτους εἶναι καὶ τὰ Λακεδαι-

B.C. 416. Ex- κατέθεντο αὐτοὺς ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐς τὰς ἐγγὺς s pedition of 2 the Atheni- νήσους ὦν ἦοςυν ο νοῦς ἀ μονίων φρονείν έλαβε, τριακοσίους ανδρας, καί ans against νήσον 'Αθηναίοι έστράτευσαν ναυσίν MELOS. έαυτών μέν τριάκοντα, Χίαις δε έξ, Λεσβίαις δε δυοίν, και όπλίταις έαυτων μέν διακοσίοις και γιλίοις και τοξόταις τριακοσίοις και ίπποτοξόταις 10 είκοσι, τών δε ξυμμάχων και νησιωτών όπλίταις 3 μάλιστα πεντακοσίοις και χιλίοις. οί δε Μήλιοι Λακεδαιμονίων μέν είσιν αποικοι, τών δ' Αθηναίων ούκ ήθελον ύπακούειν, ώσπερ οί άλλοι νησιώται, άλλά το μέν πρώτον ούδετέρων όντες ήσύχαζον, 15 έπειτα, ώς αὐτοὺς ἡνάγκαζον οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι δηοῦντες + τήν γήν, ές πόλεμον φανερίν κατέστησαν. στρατοπεδευσάμενοι ούν ές την γην αύτων τη παρασκευή ταύτη οι στρατηγοί, Κλεομήδης τε ό Αυκομήδους καί Τισίας ό Τισιμάχου, πρίν αδικείν τι τής 20 γής, λόγους πρώτον ποιησομένους ἔπεμψαν πρέσβεις. ούς οι Μήλιοι πρός μέν το πλήθος ούκ ήγαγον, έν δε ταις άρχαις και τοις όλίγοις λέγειν 85 ἐκέλευον περί ῶν ήκουσιν. οί δὲ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων MELOS. Dis- πρέσβεις έλεγον τοιάδε· Ἐπειδή οὐ πρός cussion beτο πλήθος οι λόγοι γίγνονται, ύπως δή tween the Athenian enμή ξυνεχεί ρήσει οι πολλοι έπαγωγά voys and Melian commisκαι ανέλεγκτα έσάπαξ ακούσαντες ήμών 5 sioners. άπατηθώσιν, γιγνώσκομεν γάρ ότι τοῦτο φρονεί ύμων ή ές τους όλίγους άγωγή, ύμεις οι καθήμενοι 2 έτι ασφαλέστερον ποιήσατε. καθ' έκαστον γαρ καί μηδ' ύμεις ένι λόγω, άλλά πρός το μή δοκούν έπιτηδείως λέγεσθαι εύθύς ύπολαμβάνοντες κρί- 10

νετε. καὶ πρῶτον, εἰ ἀρέσκει ὡς λέγομεν εἰπατε. 86 οἱ δὲ τῶν Μηλίων ξύνεδροι ἀπεκρίναντο· Ἡ μὲν ἐπιείκεια τοῦ διδάσκειν καθ' ἡσυχίαν ἀλλήλους οὐ ψέγεται, τὰ δὲ τοῦ πολέμου παρόντα ἦδη καὶ οὐ μέλλοντα διαφέροντα αὐτοῦ φαίνεται. ὅρῶμεν γὰρ αὐτούς τε κριτὰς ἥκοντας ὑμῶς τῶν λεχθησο- s μένων, καὶ τὴν τελευτὴν ἐξ αὐτοῦ κατὰ τὸ εἰκὸς περιγενομένοις μὲν τῷ δικαίῷ, καὶ δι' αὐτὸ μὴ ἐνδοῦσι, πόλεμον ἡμῖν φέρουσαν, πεισθεῖσι δὲ δουλείαν.

- 87 ΑΘ. Εἰ μὲν τοίνυν ὑπονοίας τῶν μελλόντων λογιούμενοι ἡ ἄλλο τι ξυνήκετε ἡ ἐκ τῶν παρόντων καὶ ῶν ὁρᾶτε περὶ σωτηρίας βουλεύσοντες τῆ πόλει, παυοίμεθ ἄν· εἰ δ' ἐπὶ τοῦτο, λέγοιμεν ἄν.
- 88 ΜΗΛ. Εἰκὸς μέν καὶ ξυγγνώμη ἐν τῷ τοιῷδε καθεστῶτας ἐπὶ πολλὰ καὶ λέγοντας καὶ δοκοῦντας τρέπεσθαι· ή μέντοι ξύνοδος καὶ περὶ σωτηρίας ἥδε πάρεστι, καὶ ὁ λόγος ῷ προκαλεῖσθε τρόπῳ, εἰ δοκεῖ, γιγνέσθω.

89 ΑΘ. 'Ημεῖς τοίνυν οὔτε αὐτοὶ μετ' ὀνομάτων καλûν, ὡς ἡ δικαίως τὸν Μῆδον καταλύσαντες ἄρχομεν, ἡ ἀδικούμενοι νῦν ἐπεξερχόμεθα, λόγων μῆκος ἄπιστον παρέξομεν, οὕθ' ὑμῶς ἀξιοῦμεν ἡ ὅτι Λακεδαιμονίων ἄποικοι ὄντες οὐ ξυνεστρατεύ- 5 σατε ἡ ὡς ἡμῶς οὐδὲν ἠδικήκατε λέγοντας οἴεσθαι πείσειν, τὰ δυνατὰ δ' ἐξ ὡν ἑκάτεροι ἀληθῶς φρο-νοῦμεν διαπράσεσθαι, ἐπισταμένους πρὸς εἰδότας, ὅτι δίκαια μὲν ἐν τῷ ἀνθρωπείφ λόγφ ἀπὸ τῆς ἴσης ἀνάγκης κρίνεται, δυνατὰ δὲ οἱ προὕχοντες πράσ- 20 σουσι καὶ οἱ ἀσθενεῖς ξυγχωροῦσιν.

90 ΜΗΛ. ^{1*}Ηι μέν δὴ νομίζομέν γε, χρήσιμον ἀνάγκη γάρ, ἐπειδὴ ὑμεῖς οὕτω παρὰ τὸ δίκαιον τὸ ξυμφέρον λέγειν ὑπέθεσθε—μὴ καταλύειν ὑμᾶς τὸ κοινὸν ἀγαθόν, ἀλλὰ τῷ ἀεὶ ἐν κινδύνῷ γιγνομένῷ εἶναι τὰ εἰκότα καὶ δίκαια, καί τι καὶ ἐντὸς τοῦ s ἀκριβοῦς ²πείσαντά τινα ὡφεληθῆναι. καὶ πρὸς ὑμῶν οὐχ ἦσσον τοῦτο, ὅσῷ καὶ ἐπὶ μεγίστῃ τιμωρίҳ σφαλέντες ἂν τοῦς ἀλλοις παράδειγμα γένοισθε.

- 91 AΘ. 'Ημεῖς δὲ τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀρχῆς, ἡν καὶ παυθῆ, οὐκ ἀθυμοῦμεν τὴν τελευτήν οὐ γὰρ οἱ ἀρχοντες ἄλλων, ὥσπερ καὶ Λακεδαιμόνιοι, οὕτοι δεινοὶ τοῖς νικηθεῖσιν čστι δὲ οὐ πρὸς Λακεδαιμονίους ἡμῖν ὁ ἀγών ἀλλ' ἡν οἱ ὑπήκοοί που τῶν s
 - 2 ἀρξάντων αὐτοὶ ἐπιθέμενοι κρατήσωσιν. καὶ περὶ μὲν τούτου ἡμῖν ἀφείσθω κινδυνεύεσθαι· ὡς δὲ ἐπ' ὡφελία τε πάρεσμεν τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀρχῆς καὶ ἐπὶ σωτηρία νῦν τοὺς λόγους ἐροῦμεν τῆς ὑμετέρας πόλεως, ταῦτα δηλώσομεν, βουλόμενοι ἀπόνως μὲν 10 ὑμῶν ἄρξαι, χρησίμως δ' ὑμᾶς ἀμφοτέροις σωθῆναι.
- 92 ΜΗΛ. Καὶ πῶς χρήσιμον ἂν ξυμβαίη ἡμῖν δουλεῦσαι, ὥσπερ καὶ ὑμῖν ἄρξαι;
- 93 AO. "Οτι ύμιν μέν πρό τοῦ τὰ δεινότατα παθειν ὑπακοῦσαι ἂν γένοιτο, ἡμεις δὲ μὴ διαφθείραντες ὑμᾶς κερδαίνοιμεν ἄν.
- 94 ΜΗΛ. "Ωστε δὲ ήσυχίαν ἄγοντας ήμῶς φίλους μὲν εἶναι ἀντὶ πολεμίων, ξυμμάχους δὲ μηδετέρων, οὖκ ἂν ⁸δέξαισθε;
- 95 ΑΘ. Ού γὰρ τοσοῦτον ἡμᾶς βλάπτει ἡ ἔχθρα ὑμῶν ὅσον ἡ φιλία μὲν ἀσθενείας, τὸ δὲ μῖσος δυνάμεως παράδειγμα τοῖς ἀρχομένοις δηλούμενον.

² πείσοντα

3 δέξοισθε

- 96 ΜΗΛ. Σκοποῦσι δ' ὑμῶν οὕτως οἱ ὑπήκοοι τὸ εἰκός, ῶστε τοὑς τε μὴ προσήκοντας, καὶ ὅσοι ἄποικοι ὄντες οἱ πολλοὶ καὶ ἀποσταντες τινὲς κεχείρωνται, ἐς τὸ αὐτὸ τιθέασιν;
- 97 ΑΘ. Δικαιώματι γὰρ οὐδετέρους ἐλλείπειν ήγοῦνται, κατὰ δύναμιν δὲ τοὺς μὲν περιγίγνεσθαι, ήμᾶς δε φόβῷ οὐκ ἐπιέναι ὅστε ἔξω καὶ τοῦ πλεόνων ἄρξαι καὶ τὸ ἀσφαλὲς ήμῖν διὰ τὸ καταστραφῆναι ἀν παράσχοιτε, ἄλλως τε καὶ νησιῶται s ναυκρατόρων, καὶ ἀσθενέστεροι ἑτέρων ἕντες, εἰ μὴ περιγένοισθε.
- 98 ΜΗΛ. 'Εν δ' ἐκείνῷ οὐ νομίζετε ἀσφάλειαν; δεῖ γὰρ aὖ καὶ ἐνταῦθα, ὥσπερ ὑμεῖς τῶν δικαίων λόγων ἡμῶς ἐκβιβάσαντες τῷ ὑμετέρῷ ξυμφόρῷ ὑπακούειν πείθετε, καὶ ἡμῶς τὸ ἡμῖν χρήσιμον διδάσκοντας, εἰ τυγχάνει καὶ ὑμῖν τὸ αὐτὸ ξυμβαῖ- 5 νον, πειρῶσθαι πείθειν. ὅσοι γὰρ νῦν μηδετέροις ξυμμαχοῦσιν, πῶς οὐ πολεμώσεσθε αὐτούς, ὅταν ἐς τάδε βλέψαντες ἡγήσωνταί ποτε ὑμῶς καὶ ἐπὶ σφῶς ἥξειν; κἀν τούτῷ τί ἄλλο ἡ τοὺς μὲν ὑπάρχοντας πολεμίους μεγαλύνετε, τοὺς δὲ μηδὲ μελλή- 10 σοντας γενέσθαι ἅκοντας ἐπάγεσθε;
- 99 ΑΘ. Οὐ γὰρ νομίζομεν ἡμῖν τούτους δεινοτέρους, ὅσοι ἠπειρῶταί που ὅντες τῷ ἐλευθέρῷ πολλὴν τὴν διαμέλλησιν τῆς πρὸς ἡμᾶς ψυλακῆς ποιήσονται, ἀλλὰ τοὺς νησιώτας τέ που ἀνάρκτους ὥσπερ ὑμᾶς, καὶ τοὺς ἤδη τῆς ἀρχῆς τῷ ἀναγκαίῷ ₅ παροξυνομένους. οὖτοι γὰρ πλεῖστ' ἂν τῷ ἀλογίστῷ ἐπιτρέψαντες σφᾶς τε αὐτοις και ἡμᾶς ἐς προὖπτον κίνδυνον καταστήσειαν.

- 100 ΜΗΛ. ^{*}Η που ἄρα, εἰ τοσαύτην γε ὑμεῖς τε μὴ παυθῆναι ἀρχῆς καὶ οἱ δουλεύοντες ἤδη ἀπαλλαγῆναι τὴν παρακινδύνευσιν ποιοῦνται, ἡμῖν γε τοῖς ἔτι ἐλευθέροις πολλὴ κακότης καὶ δειλία μὴ πῶν πρὸ τοῦ δουλεῦσαι ἐπεξελθεῖν.
- 101 ΑΘ. Οὔκ, ἤν γε σωφρόνως βουλεύησθε οὐ γὰρ περὶ ἀνδραγαθίας ὁ ἀγών ἀπὸ τοῦ ἴσου ὑμῖν μὴ αἰσχύνην ὀφλεῖν, περὶ δὲ σωτηρίας μᾶλλον ἡ βουλὴ πρὸς τοὺς κρείσσονας πολλῷ μὴ ἀνθίστασθαι.
- 102 ΜΗΛ. 'Αλλ' ἐπιστάμεθα τὰ τῶν πολέμων ἔστιν ὅτε κοινοτέρας τὰς τύχας λαμβάνοντα ἡ κατὰ τὸ διαφέρον ἑκατέρων πλήθος. καὶ ἡμῖν τὸ μὲν εἶξαι εὐθὺς ἀνέλπιστον, μετὰ δὲ τοῦ δρωμένου ἔτι καὶ στῆναι ἐλπὶς ὀρθῶς.
- 103 ΑΘ. Ἐλπὶς δέ, κινδύνῷ παραμύθιον οὖσα, τοὺς μὲν ἀπὸ περιουσίας χρωμένους αὐτῆ κἂν βλάψῃ οὐ καθεῖλεν τοῖς δ' ἐς ἄπαν τὸ ὑπάρχον ἀιαρριπτοῦσι, δάπανος γὰρ φύσει, ἄμα τε γιγνώσκεται σφαλέντων καὶ ἐν ὅτῷ ἔτι φυλάξεταί τις s 2 αὐτὴν γνωρισθεῖσαν οὐκ ἐλλείπει. Ὁ ὑμεῖς ἀσθενεῖς τε καὶ ἐπὶ ῥοπῆς μιᾶς ὄντες μὴ βούλεσθε παθεῖν, μηδὲ ὁμοιωθῆναι τοῖς πολλοῖς, οἶς παρὸν ἀνθρωπείως ἔτι σώζεσθαι, ἐπειδὰν πιεζομένους αὐτοὺς ἐπιλίπωσιν αἱ φανεραὶ ἐλπίδες, ἐπὶ τὰς ιο ἀφανεῖς καθίστανται, μαντικήν τε καὶ χρησμοὺς καὶ ὅσα τοιαῦτα μετ' ἐλπίδων λυμαίνεται.
- 104 ΜΗΛ. Χαλεπόν μέν και ήμεις, εἶ ἴστε, νομίζομεν πρός δύναμίν τε την ύμετέραν και την τύχην, εἰ μη ἀπό τοῦ ἴσου ἔσται, ἀγωνίζεσθαι· ὅμως δὲ

πιστεύομεν τῆ μὲν τύχῃ ἐκ τοῦ θείου μὴ ἐλασσώσεσθαι, ὅτι ὅσιοι πρὸς οὐ δικαίους ἱστάμεθα, τῆς 5 δὲ δυνάμεως τῷ ἐλλείποντι τὴν Λακεδαιμονίων ἡμῖν ξυμμαχίαν προσέσεσθαι, ἀνάγκην ἔχουσαν, καὶ εἰ μή του ἄλλου, τῆς γε ξυγγενείας ἕνεκα καὶ αἰσχύνῃ βοηθεῖν. καὶ οὐ παντάπασιν οὕτως ἀλόγως θρασυνόμεθα.

ΑΘ. Της μέν τοίνυν πρός το θείον εύμενείας 105 ούδ' ήμεις οιόμεθα λελείψεσθαι ούδεν γαρ έξω της ανθρωπείας των μέν ές το θείον νομίσεως των δ' ές σφας αύτους βουλήσεως δικαιούμεν ή πράσσομεν. ήγούμεθα γάρ τό τε θείον δόξη το άνθρά- 5 πειόν τε σαφώς δια παντός ύπο φύσεως άναγκαίας 2 ού αν κρατή αρχειν και ήμεις ούτε θέντες τον νόμον ούτε κειμένω πρώτοι χρησάμενοι, όντα δέ παραλαβόντες και έσόμενον ές άει καταλείψοντες χρώμεθα αὐτῶ, εἰδότες καὶ ὑμᾶς αν καὶ ἄλλους ἐν 10 τή αὐτή δυνάμει ήμιν γενομένους δρώντας αν αὐτό. καί πρός μέν το θείον ούτως έκ του εἰκότος ού φοβούμεθα ελασσώσεσθαι της δε ές Λακεδαιμονίους δέξης, ήν διά το αίσχρον δή βοηθήσειν ύμιν πιστεύετε αύτούς, μακαρίσαντες ύμων τό 15 3 απειρόκακον ού ζηλούμεν το άφρον. Λακεδαιμόνιοι γάρ πρώς σφώς μέν αυτούς και τα έπιγώρια νόμιμα πλείστα άρετή χρώνται πρός δέ τούς άλλους πολλά άν τις έχων είπειν ώς προσφέρονται ξυνελών μάλιστ' άν δηλώσειεν, ότι επιφανέστατα 20 ών ίσμεν τα μέν ήδέα καλά νομίζουσι, τά δέ ξυμφέροντα δίκαια. καίτοι ου πρός της ύμετέρας νυν άλόγου σωτηρίας ή τοιαύτη διάνοια.

106 ΜΗΛ. Ἡμεῖς δὲ κατ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο ἦδη καὶ μάλιστα πιστεύομεν τῷ ξυμφέροντι αὐτῶν, Μηλίους ἀποίκους ὄντας μὴ βουλήσεσθαι προδόντας τοῖς μὲν εὖνοις τῶν Ἐλλήνων ἀπίστους καταστῆναι, τοῖς δὲ πολεμίοις ὠφελίμους.

5

- 107 AΘ. Οὔκουν οἴεσθε τὸ ξυμφέρον μεν μετ ἀσφαλείας εἶναι, τὸ δὲ δίκαιον καὶ καλὸν μετὰ κινδύνου δρᾶσθαι· Ὁ Λακεδαιμόνιοι ἤκιστα ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὐ τολμῶσιν.
- 108 ΜΗΛ. 'Αλλά καὶ τοὺς κινδύνους τε ήμῶν ἕνεκα μᾶλλον ήγούμεθ' ἀν ἐγχειρίσασθαι αὐτούς, καὶ βεβαιοτέρους ἡ ἐς ἄλλους νομιεῖν, ὕσῷ πρὸς μὲν τὰ ἔργα τῆς Πελοποννήσου ἐγγὺς κείμεθα, τῆς δὲ γνώμης τῷ ξυγγενεῖ πιστότεροι ἑτέρων ἐσμέν. 5
- 109 ΑΘ. Τὸ δ ἐχυρόν γε τοῖς ξυναγωνιουμένοις οὐ τὸ εὔνουν τῶν ἐπικαλεσαμένων φαίνεται, ἀλλ' ἡν τῶν ἔργων τις δυνάμει πολὺ προὕχη ὅ Λακεδαιμόνιοι καὶ πλεῖόν τι τῶν ἄλλων σκοποῦσιν. τῆς γοῦν οἰκείας παρασκευῆς ἀπιστία καὶ μετὰ s ξυμμάχων πολλῶν τοῖς πέλας ἐπέρχονται, ὥστε οὐκ εἰκὸς ἐς νῆσόν γε αὐτοὺς ἡμῶν ναυκρατόρων ὄντων περαιωθῆναι.
- 110 ΜΗΛ. Οί δὲ καὶ ἄλλους ἁν ἔχοιεν πέμψαι πολὺ δὲ τὸ Κρητικὸν πέλαγος, δι' οῦ τῶν κρατοὑντων ἀπορώτερος ἡ λῆψις ἢ τῶν λαθεῖν βουλομένων ἡ σωτηρία. καὶ εἰ τοῦδε σφάλλοιντο, τράποιντ' ἁν καὶ ἐς τὴν γῆν ὑμῶν καὶ ἐπὶ τοὺς λοιποὺς τῶν s ξυμμάχων, ὅσους μὴ Βρασιδας ἐπῆλθεν· καὶ οὐ περὶ τῆς μὴ προσηκούσης μᾶλλον ἢ τῆς οἰκειοτέρας ξυμμαχίδος τε καὶ γῆς ὁ πόνος ὑμῖν ἔσται.

111 ΑΘ. Τούτων μέν και πεπειραμένοις άν τι γένοιτο και ύμιν, και ούκ ανεπιστήμοσιν ότι ούδ' από μιάς πώποτε πολιορκίας 'Αθηναΐοι δι' άλλων φόβον ἀπεχώρησαν. ἐνθυμούμεθα δὲ ὅτι φήσαντες περί σωτηρίας βουλεύσειν οὐδεν έν τοσούτω λόγω 5 ειρήκατε, ώ άνθρωποι αν πιστεύσαντες νομίσειαν 2 σωθήσεσθαι, άλλ' ύμων τα μεν ισχυρότατα έλπιζόμενα μέλλεται, τὰ δ' ὑπάρχοντα βραγέα πρός τὰ ήδη ἀντιτεταγμένα περιγίγνεσθαι. πολλήν τε άλογίαν της διανοίας παρέχετε, εἰ μή μεταστησά- 10 μενοι έτι ήμας άλλο τι τωνδε σωφρονέστερον 3 γνώσεσθε. ου γάρ δή έπί γε την έν τοις αίσχροις καί προύπτοις κινδύνοις πλείστα διαφθείρουσαν άνθρώπους αίσχύνην τρέψεσθε. πολλοΐς γάρ προορωμένοις έτι, ές οία φέρονται, τὸ αἰσχρόν 15 καλούμενον ονόματος έπαγωγού δυνάμει έπεσπάσατο, ήσσηθείσι τοῦ ῥήματος, ἔργω ξυμφοραίς άνηκέστοις έκόντας περιπεσείν, και αισγύνην αίσχίω μετ' άνοίας ή τύχης προσλαβείν. δ ύμεις, ήν εὐ βουλεύησθε, φυλάξεσθε, καὶ οὐκ ἀπρεπές 20 νομιείτε πόλεώς τε τής μεγίστης ήσσασθαι μέτρια προκαλουμένης, ξυμμάχους γενέσθαι έχοντας την ύμετέραν αυτών ύποτελείς, και δοθείσης αίρέσεως πολέμου πέρι και ασφαλείας, μη τα εχείρω φιλονεικήσαι ώς οίτινες τοις μέν ίσοις 25 μή είκουσι, τοις δε κρείσσοσι καλώς προσφέρονται, πρός δε τούς ήσσους μέτριοί είσιν, πλείστ' αν ορθοίντο. σκοπείτε ούν και μεταστάντων ήμων και ένθυμεισθε πολλάκις, ύτι περί πατρίδος βουλεύεσθε, [ην] μιώς πέρι και ές 30 μίαν βουλὴν τυχοῦσάν τε καὶ μὴ κατορθώσασαν ¹[ἔσται].

112 Καὶ οἱ μέν ᾿Αθηναῖοι μετεχώρησαν ἐκ τῶν The Melians λόγων οί δε Μήλιοι κατά σφάς αὐτούς reject the Athenian de- γενόμενοι, ώς έδοξεν αὐτοῖς παραπλήσια mands, καὶ ἀντέλεγον, ἀπεκρίναντο τάδε. Οὕτε άλλα δοκεί ήμιν ή άπερ και το πρώτον, ώ 'Αθη- 5 ναΐοι, οὔτ' ἐν ὀλίγω χρόνω πόλεως ἑπτακόσια έτη ήδη οίκουμένης την έλευθερίαν άφαιρησόμεθα, 2 άλλά τη τε μέχρι τοῦδε σωζούση τύχη ἐκ τοῦ θείου αὐτὴν καὶ τῇ ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ Λακεδαιμονίων τιμωρία πιστεύοντες πειρασόμεθα σώ- 10 ζεσθαι. προκαλούμεθα δε ύμας φίλοι μεν είναι. πολέμιοι δε μηδετέροις, και έκ τής γής ήμων αναγωρήσαι, σπονδάς ποιησαμένους αίτινες δοκούσιν 113 επιτήδειοι είναι αμφοτέροις. οι μεν δή Μήλιοι τοσαῦτα ἀπεκρίναντο · οἱ δὲ ᾿Αθηναῖοι διαλυόμενοι ήδη έκ των λόγων έφασαν 'Αλλ' ούν μόνοι γε άπο τούτων των βουλευμάτων, ώς ήμιν δοκείτε, τα μέν μέλλοντα των δρωμένων σαφέστερα κρίνετε, τά δέ άφανή τώ βούλεσθαι ώς γιγνόμενα ήδη θεασθε. καὶ Λακεδαιμονίοις καὶ τύχῃ καὶ ἐλπίσι πλεῖστον δή παραβεβλημένοι καὶ πιστεύσαντες πλείστον καί σφαλήσεσθε.

114 Καὶ οἱ μὲν ᾿Αθηναίων πρέσβεις ἀνεχώρησαν ἐς Melos block. τὸ στράτευμα · οἱ δὲ στρατηγοὶ αὐτῶν, aded. ὡς οὐδὲν ὑπήκουον οἱ Μήλιοι, πρὸς πόλεμον εὐθὺς ἐτρέποντο, καὶ διελόμενοι κατὰ πόλεις περιετείχισαν κύκλῷ τοὺς Μηλίους. καὶ ὕστερον 5 ¹ ἴστατε φυλακήν σφών τε αὐτών καὶ τών ξυμμάχων καταλιπόντες οί ᾿Αθηναῖοι καὶ κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν ἀνεχώρησαν τῷ πλείονι τοῦ στρατοῦ. οἱ δὲ λειπόμενοι παραμένοντες ἐπολιόρκουν τὸ χωρίον.

115 Καὶ ᾿Αργείοι κατὰ τὸν χρόνον τὸν αὐτὸν ἐσβαλόντες ές την Φλιασίαν και λοχισθέντες Operations in Peloponύπό τε Φλιασίων και των σφετέρων φυ- nesus γάδων διεφθάρησαν ώς ογδοήκοντα. και οί έκ της Πύλου 'Αθηναίοι Λακεδαιμονίων πολλήν λείαν 5 έλαβον και Λακεδαιμόνιοι δι' αυτό τάς μεν σπονδάς ούδ' ώς άφέντες έπολέμουν αυτοίς, εκήρυξαν δε εί τις βούλεται παρά σφών 'Αθηναίους ληίζεσθαι. 2 και Κορίνθιοι έπολέμησαν ιδίων τινών διαφορών ένεκα τοις Αθηναίοις · οι δ' άλλοι Πελοποννήσιοι 10 ήσύγαζον. είλον δε και οι Μήλιοι των Αθηναίων τοῦ περιτειχίσματος τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν προσβαλόντες νυκτός, και άνδρας τε απέκτειναν και έσενεγκάμενοι σιτόν τε καί ζσα πλειστα έδύναντο χρήσιμα άναχωρήσαντες ήσύχαζον, και οι Άθη- 15 ναίοι άμεινον την φυλακήν το έπειτα παρεσκευάζοντο. και το θέρος έτελεύτα.

116 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμῶνος Λακεδαιμόνιοι μελλήσαντες ἐς τὴν ᾿Αργείαν στρατεύειν, Melos surres ἀς αὐτοῖς τὰ διαβατήρια ἰερὰ ἐν τοῖς renders. ὑρίοις οὐκ ἐγίγνετο, ἀνεχώρησαν. καὶ ᾿Αργεῖοι διὰ τὴν ἐκείνων μέλλησιν τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει τινὰς s ὑποτοπήσαντες τοὺς μὲν ξυνέλαβον, οἱ δ' αὐτοὺς ² καὶ διέφυγον. καὶ οἱ Μήλιοι περὶ τοὺς αὐθις καθ' ἕτερόν τι τοῦ περιτειχίσματος

79

IO

είλον τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, παρόντων οὐ πολλῶν τῶν φυλάκων. καὶ ἐλθούσης στρατιᾶς ὕστερον ἐκ τῶν 10 ᾿Αθηνῶν ἄλλης, ὡς ταῦτα ἐγίγνετο, ἡς ἦρχε Φιλοκράτης ὁ Δημέου, καὶ κατὰ κράτος ἤδη πολιορκούμενοι, γενομένης καὶ προδοσίας τινὸς ἀφ' ἑαυτῶν, ξυνεχώρησαν τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις ὥστ' ἐκείνους περὶ αὐτῶν βουλεῦσαι. οἱ δὲ ἀπέκτειναν Μηλίων ὅσους 15 ἡβῶντας ἔλαβον, παῖδας δὲ καὶ γυναῖκας ἠυδραπόδισαν. τὸ δὲ χωρίον αὐτοὶ ¹ῷκησαν, ἀποίκους ὕστερον πεντακοσίους πέμψαντες.

¹ ῷκισαν

NOTES

CHAPTER I

1. $\tau \circ \tilde{v} \delta \tilde{t} \dots \theta \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \circ v s$ —the same words with which the third and fourth books begin; see note on iv. 1, 1. ai $\mu \ell v$ —answered by $\delta \ell$ at the beginning of chapter 2, the rest of this chapter being parenthetical. A second protasis to the same $\delta \ell$ occurs below in line 9, $\delta \ell \mu \ell \nu \Delta \eta \lambda \omega \iota$. Krüger however considers that this first $\mu \ell \nu$ is answered by $\kappa \alpha i$ in the next clause, as in chapter 71, 1, where $\pi \circ \iota \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu \ell \nu \kappa \alpha i$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ is followed by $\kappa \alpha i \tau \delta \tau \epsilon$.

2. $\delta_{i\epsilon\lambda\ell\lambda\nu\nu\tau\sigma}$ had come to an end' or 'was ipso facto at an end', the pluperfect denoting the termination of the treaty and the state of things resulting, as in iv. 16, 3, $\tau i \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda i \sigma \theta a t$ $\tau \dot{a}_{s} \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \dot{a}_{s}$. For the terms of the year's truce see iv. 117-119.

The words $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota \Pi \nu \theta (\omega \nu \text{ define the time when the treaty actually ended, '(after lasting) till the Pythian games'. For this force of <math>\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota cf.$ i. 71, 3, $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota \tau \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon \delta \rho f \sigma \delta \omega \nu \mu \delta \nu \eta$ $\beta \rho a \delta \nu \tau \eta s$ 'let this be the limit of your inaction': so i. 51, 2, $\eta \nu \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \chi i a \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau a \epsilon s \nu \omega \tau a \epsilon (1 \text{ lasted till night and then ended': iii. 108 (fin.), <math>\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau a \delta \psi \epsilon$: iv. 43, 4, $\eta \sigma \tau \delta \sigma \iota s \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \tau \sigma \delta \tau$.

Poppo and others take the sense of the clause to be that 'the truce was ended (and a state of war followed) till the Pythian games'; but no warlike operations are recorded, nor any hint given of the war beginning again; and on the contrary it seems clear that Cleon's expedition was not allowed to start till after the sacred season.

Other editors think that a nondescript state of things, neither peace nor war, is meant, which followed the expiration of the treaty.

There seems some justice in Classen's view, that Thucydides had intended at first to write only at $\mu \delta \nu \dots \delta \epsilon \lambda \delta \mu \nu r \sigma$. $K\lambda \delta \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \dots \pi \tau \lambda$. and then had inserted a note of time and the account of the cleansing of Delos.

It is ascertained by an inscription that the Pythian games were held in the Delphian month Bucatius, which corresponded to Metageitnion at Athens, and to part of our August and September (see Classen and Jowett). The year's truce therefore which formally expired in Elaphebolion (March—April) was informally prolonged because of the approach of the Pythian festival.

3. $i\nu \tau \hat{\eta} i\kappa \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho l q$ —the year's truce is called $\dot{\eta} i\kappa \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho l a$ in iv. 119 (fin.), 122, 1, and 123, 1, and this might be the meaning here. Most editors however take it to denote either the informal truce after the regular expiration of the treaty, or the sacred truce of the Pythian festival.

ib. $\Delta\eta\lambda$ (ous division of the product of the prod

5. où kaθapoùs övras—the participial construction gives the principal thing in the clause, the suspected impurity of the Delians when their island was consecrated: cf. i. 20, 2, "Iππαρχον οίονται τύραννον ὄντα ἀποθανεῖν, 'think that Hipparchus who was slain was tyrant'.

7. $\frac{n}{2}$ —'in which', in construction a sort of instrumental dative (or perhaps rather dative of 'occasion when', like ofs ch. 49, 1) with $dve\lambda \delta v res$: see ch. 7, 10. In sentences of this character, with a participle and a verb, the Greek idiom generally differs from the English, and the construction is determined by the participle, especially if it is closer to the dependent word, as it is here to $\frac{n}{2}$. We should say, 'which they thought they had properly carried out by removing the sepulchres of the dead'.

ib. **πρότερον**—the former purification was in 426. It is described in iii. 104, where a still earlier purification by Peisistratus is mentioned, and an account given of the ancient Delian festivals: see also i. 8, 1. The $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$ are mentioned in both passages.

Άτραμύττιον—(οr -ειον) acc. governed by ψκησαν: i. 8,
 τ às πλείσται τῶν νήσων ψκησαν. At(d)ramyttium was on the

coast of Mysia near Lesbos. Pharnaces (ii. 67, 1) appears to have been satrap of the district near the Hellespont, in which he was succeeded by his son Pharnabazus (viii. 6, 1).

11. $\Im \rho \mu \eta \tau \sigma$ —this form, which is a virtual imperfect, is used with words of motion, as in iv. 48, 6, and 74, 1 with $l \sigma a$: or of impulse of mind, as in iv. 27, 4, $\dot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \mu \ell \nu \sigma v \sigma \tau \tilde{\gamma} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$: \dot{v}_1 , $\sigma, l, \sigma \tau \rho \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \mu \eta \nu \tau \sigma$. Whichever is the literal force here, the sense is that the Delians did not migrate in a body, but as each chose to go. In ch. 32, 5 we find the Delians restored to Delos, but others were still at Adramyttium 10 years later (viii. 108, 3).

CHAPTER II

1. 'Abyvalous $\pi\epsilon \sigma \sigma s$ -these words show that Cleon alone had the courage and statesmanship to urge the necessity of recovering Amphipolis and the other revolted towns as a matter of vital importance. By his influence in the assembly the expedition was decreed. But what is to be said of Nicias, and the other home authorities, who allowed him to conduct singlehanded an enterprise of such moment? At Pylos he had a thoroughly competent colleague in Demosthenes. Now 1200 men at arms, and 300 cavalry, the flower of the Athenian troops, besides a large force of allies, are entrusted to his sole command. The general assembly very possibly believed that Cleon might succeed as he had done at Pylos, but the strategi could be under no such delusion. They knew that he had no military skill or experience, they knew that he had to encounter Brasidas, and their imbecility or their party-hatred sacrificed an Athenian army and lost the Thrace-ward possessions.

5. $\sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon}_{5}$ - 'landing, putting in at'; so iv. 42, 2, $\ell \sigma \chi \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon}_{5}$ $\tau \delta \nu a \dot{\epsilon}_{13} a \dot{\delta} \nu$. $\ell \tau \pi \sigma \delta \iota o \rho \kappa o \omega \mu \ell \sigma \eta \nu$ -Scione was completely invested by the end of the summer before; see iv. 133 (fin.). The long duration of the blockade became proverbial; cf. Ar. Vesp. 209 (exhibited in 422),

> ή μοι κρείττον ήν τηρείν Σκιώνην άντι τοῦδε τοῦ πατρός.

8. Kuφðv λιμένα—a conjectural alteration of the manuscript reading Koλoφωνίων λιμένα, which is unintelligible, unless it possibly denoted a name derived from some resemblance in appearance. $\kappa \omega \phi \delta s \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu = \delta \kappa \lambda \upsilon \sigma \tau \sigma s$, silent, as in Xen. Hel. ii. 4, 31. Strabo speaks of a $\kappa \omega \phi \delta s \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ near Torone; and a harbour south of the city is still called Kufo; see Jowett's note.

ib. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Top $\omega \nu a(\omega \nu$ —ambiguously placed (ch. 29, 23). Poppo and Krüger connect it with $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \epsilon$, Classen with $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \nu a$. The latter way of taking it seems right, as it gives the explanation that the harbour in question was in the territory of Torone. Torone had been taken by Brasidas in 424 (iv. 110—116).

9. **alσθόμενοs** $\dot{v}\pi'$ **aὐτομόλων**—the quasi-passive force of *alσθόμενos*= 'informed by', seems sufficient to justify $\dot{v}\pi \delta$, which is read in all the manuscripts. Krüger would read $\dot{a}\pi \delta$.

10. ἐν τῆ Τορώνη—sc. $\epsilon i\eta$, a very awkward ellipse. Krüger notes that ἀξιόμαχος is not found in classical Greek writers besides Thucydides and Herodotus.

12. $\xi \tau \eta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu - \xi s$ here denotes approach, not entrance; so ii. 18, 1, $\dot{a} \delta / \kappa \epsilon \tau \delta \epsilon s$ Oluón $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$. The harbour here spoken of is different from the $\kappa \omega \phi \delta s \lambda \iota \mu \eta \nu$. For the infinitive $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \delta \epsilon v$ (ϵ iv. 132, 3, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \dot{a} \pi \rho \dot{a} \gamma \mu a \tau a$: see Goodwin § 97.

14. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\epsilon(\chi\iota\sigma\mu\alpha - \pi\epsilon_{\ell}\iota\tau\epsilon\iota\chi ij\omega$ and its compounds are commonly used by Thucydides of the works of a besieger, not of defensive fortifications ($\tau\epsiloni\chi$ os, $\tau\epsiloni\chi$ $\iota\sigma\mua$, $\pi\epsilon\rhoi\beta$ olos): see ch. 115, 12, etc. Possibly therefore $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\epsiloni\chi\iota\sigma\mua$ ought to be read, or $\tau\epsiloni\chi$ $\iota\sigma\mua$ as in the next chapter, line 8. In Ar. Av. 551 however $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\tau\epsilon\iota\chi ij\epsilon\iota\nu$ is used of defensive lines. $\pi\circ\eta\sigma\alpha\iota$ -i. 109, 3, τ às $\mu\alpha$'s $\epsilon\pii$ $\tau\circ$ 0 $\xi\eta\rho$ 0° $\epsilon\pi\circ\eta\sigma\epsilon$: vi. 67, 2, τ o's $\sigma\kappa\epsilon\nuo\phi$ ρ ous $\epsilon\nu\tau$ o's τ o' $\tau\omega$ r ϵ π out σ or.

16. $\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu\tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$ —'making a breach *in*', so as to open a free passage between the city and the suburb. $\tau\epsilon\dot{\iota}\chi\sigma\sigma$ is partitive genitive, as in ii. 75, 4, $\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\sigma\tau\epsilon\tau\tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon}\tau\epsilon\dot{\iota}\chi\sigma\sigma$. In iv. 111, 2 we have $\dot{\eta}\pi\upsilon\lambda$'s $\delta\iota\dot{\eta}\rho\eta\tau\sigma$, 'had been forced open' or 'broken through'. Note the demonstrative form which the second clause of the relative sentence assumes, as in ch. 5, 8: cf. note on iv. 67, 1, $\ddot{\sigma}\theta\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\iota\rho\theta\epsilon\upsilon\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\iota\chi\eta\kappa$ at $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\chi\epsilon\nu$.

17. αὐτήν—agreeing with πόλιν by attraction; the whole space enclosed is meant.

CHAPTER-III

1. is airó—the new $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon l \chi \iota \sigma \mu a$ or outwork. Haouréll-Sas—in iv. 132 (fin.), according to the manuscripts, the Lacedaemonian commandant is called Epitelidas, a name which most editors alter to Pasitelidas on the strength of the present chapter.

4. $i\beta_{i\alpha}$ (note) passive; iv. 10, 3 (note). Note in this sentence the different force of the imperfects and aorists.

5. at is rov $\lambda \iota \mu i \nu a$ is read by Poppo and Classen, with one manuscript. If at be omitted we have the sense 'after being sent round'. $i \nu a \lambda \eta \phi \theta \eta$ -sc. autos. For the word cf. iv. 116, 1, ogous $i \nu a \beta \epsilon$ dikpleuper.

9. of 'Aθηναΐοι—resolved into o' τε ἀπό...καὶ ὁ πεζ's, ϕθάvour being connected both with ἐλόντες and ξυνεσπεσών, while ἐπυπόμενος is a predicate in agreement with πεζός. According to this view αὐτοβοεί goes with ξυνεσπεσών: Krüger however puts a comma after the word, connecting it with the preceding ἐλόντες. ὁ πεζός—sc. στρατός: iv. 25, 3. αὐτοβοεί—primo clamore atque impetu (Poppo); usually with ἐλεῖν, as ii. 81, 3, αὐτοβοεί τὴν πόλιν ἐλεῖν. Here ξυνεσπεσών implies forcing the passage. The Athenians at the first onset broke into the city pell mell with the enemy: cf. vi. 100, 2, καὶ αὐτοῦς ξυνεσέπεσον οἱ διώκοντες. ἐν χερσί—iv. 43, 2, ἦν ἡ μάχη ἐν χεροὶ πῶσα.

15. $i\beta\sigma\eta\theta\epsilon\mu$ -note the force of the imperfect 'de consilio et conatu opitulandi' (Poppo). We are not told where Brasidas was when Cleon's expedition arrived. $d\pi\sigma\sigma\chi\omega\nu$ contains a *negative* idea of failure or hindrance, and is therefore constructed with $\mu\dot{\eta}$ following.

19. τροπαΐα δύο—one for each branch of the service. γυναϊκας—for the omission of the article in regular phrases of frequent occurrence, see note on iv. 18, 3, πόλεως τε κ.τ.λ. In this particular phrase παΐδες και γυναϊκες is the more usual order.

22. καl εί τις—so iii. 35, καl εί τις άλλος, after two accusatives. $l_{\mathbf{S}}$ τὰς ᾿Αθήνας—the more usual Thucydidean form, not ᾿Αθήναζε: see note on iv. 21, 3.

24. avrois—the defenders of Torone generally. avrois is the common Thucydidean initial dative, in construction lossely connected with $d\pi\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$, but in sense concerned with both the following clauses: 'as for them', 'this befell them' etc.: cf. i. 48, 3, Kopublois $\delta\epsilon \tau \delta \mu\epsilon\nu$ $\delta\epsilon\xii\delta\nu$ $\kappa\epsilon\rhoas$ at $M\epsilon\gamma a\rho i\delta\epsilon s v \eta\epsilon s$ $i\chi_{0\nu}$, $\kappa a\tau\lambda$ $\delta\epsilon \tau \delta \mu d\sigma \sigma \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Arnold takes avrois to denote the Athenians, meaning that 'they afterwards lost their captives', but this part of the sentence seems entirely to refer to the Toroneans.

ib. το Πελοποννήσιον—so iv. 61, 2, οἰ μèν Δωριῆς...το δὲ Xaλκιδικόν. Note what we may call the resolved apposition ἀτὴρ ἀντ' ἀνδρός λυθείς: so ii. 103, 1, οἰ ἀτὴρ ἀντ' ἀνδρός ἐλύθησαν. γενομέναις—see ch. 18 sq.

27. $\epsilon i \lambda o v \delta \epsilon$ -note the breaking up of this sentence, so that the emphasis naturally falls on the more important words, while the rhythm is duly balanced.

G.T.

30. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\pi\lambda\epsilon\iota$ —by this use of the imperfect Thucydides leaves Cleon on his way to Amphipolis, and passes to another subject.

CHAPTER 1V

A summary of events in Sicily, which has not been mentioned since iv. 65.

1. $\Phi a(a\xi$ —Phaeax is mentioned by Plutarch, Alc. 13, as a contemporary and opponent of Alcibiades: Ar. Eq. 1377, $\sigma o\phi \delta s \gamma^2 \delta \Phi a(a\xi \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \hat{\omega} s \tau^2 o \dot{\kappa} \dot{a} \pi \ell \partial a \nu \epsilon$.

ib. τρίτος αυτός—i. 46, πέμπτος αυτός: so Hdt. iv. 113, δευτέρην αυτήν, 'with one companion'. 'Αθηναίων πεμπόντων cf. ch. 39, 2: i. 89, 2, Σηστόν έπολιόρκουν Μήδων έχόντων, etc.

5. μετά την ξύμβασιν—the general peace made by the Sicilian Greeks, two years before, on the advice of Hermocrates (iv. 65). πολίταs ἐπεγράψαντο— 'enrolled many new citizens'; cf. ascribere, ascriptus. For έπί in composition implying sequence and addition, see note on iv. 36, 2.

7. *ineview dvabárarbau*-'contemplated a redistribution of the land'. 'So when an additional number of citizens was wanted at Cyrene, settlers were invited from all parts of Greece, *ini* $\gamma \hat{\gamma}_i$ *ivabarµµ* (Hdt. iv. 159)'. It was regarded as a revolutionary measure, as shown in Arnold's interesting note, from which this is quoted. Arnold assumes that the *ager publicus* of the state is meant, but Grote doubts if there would be such lands belonging to a state like Leontini (ch. 57, p. 123).

ib. oi δυνατοί—here the oligarchical or aristocratical party, who were threatened with the loss of some of their possessions or holdings; i. 24, 3, $\delta \delta \eta \mu os \, \epsilon \xi \epsilon \delta i \omega \xi \epsilon \tau o ds \delta v v a \tau o ds$. In ii. 65, 1, of δυνατοί is used to denote men of wealth and position, as contrasted with the $\delta \eta \mu os$ or mass of the people, without implying political partizanship. alorθόμενοι—sc. the matter; cf. note on iv. 14, 1, γνώντεs. ἐπάγονται—so ii. 2, 2, ἐπηγάγοντο.

9. **\dot{\omega}s \ddot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\sigma\iota**—so i. 3, 4 etc.: see note on iv. 32, 2. $\dot{\epsilon}p\eta$ - $\mu\dot{\omega}\sigma\alpha\sigma\tau\epsilons$ —'abandoning'; Aesch. Ag. 1070, $\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu\delta'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta\mu\dot{\omega}\sigma\alpha\sigma'$ $\delta\chi\sigma\nu$. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota$ $\pi\sigma\lambda\iota\tau\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$ —'on condition of receiving citizenship'; ch. 31, 9: for $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota$ implying conditions of. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota$ $\tau\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\delta\epsilon$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$, $\ddot{\phi}$, etc.: also Hdt. cited on line 7.

15. καταλαμβάνουσι—occupant; iv. 1, 1, note. δv —agreeing with the predicate; i. 96, 2, Έλληνοταμίαι κατέστη άρχή. τότε='as related'; ch. 6, 1, etc.

18. καταστάντες — probably to be connected with $\frac{i}{\pi\sigma\lambda}\frac{i}{\mu\sigma\nu\nu}$, of 'settling down to' a course of warfare: cf. i. 59, 2, καταστάντες $\frac{i}{\pi\sigma\lambda}\frac{i}{\mu}\frac{i}{\mu}\frac{i}{\nu}$ so ii. 1. In i. 49, 2, however, καταστάντες $\frac{i}{\mu}\frac{i}{\lambda}\frac{i}{\nu}\frac{i}{\tau}$ so is used of soldiers who were 'firmly posted' on shipboard. Some editors therefore take the meaning here to be, 'when they had established themselves'. $\frac{i}{\kappa}\frac{i}{\tau}\frac{i}{\nu}\frac{i}{\tau}\frac{i}{\tau}\frac{i}{\tau}$ (Classen).

20. $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \sigma \nu s$ —we find in iii. 86 that the Chalcidian cities and the Dorian colony of Camarina were in alliance with Leontini and joined in appealing to Athens. Eukeluiras— Greek colonists, as opposed to the $\Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda o \iota$, the general name for the non-Greek inhabitants: cf. vi. 2, 5. κοινή is to be taken with $\epsilon i \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \delta \sigma \alpha \iota$.

26. ἀντιστάντος...πράγματος — 80 ch. 38, 23, ώς ἀντέστη τὸ πράγμα, went against them '. πράγμα has no doubt the notion of political intrigue which is so often conveyed by πράσσω: e.g. i. 128, 3, πρὸς βασιλέα πράγματα πράσσειν.

ib. οὐκέτι— = he gave up his intention. $i\pi$ (—in a friendly sense; iv. 85, 3, $\epsilon\pi i$ οὖς πρώτον ήλθον. For inf. with alσθόμενος cf. vi. 59, 3, alσθανόμενος...δύνασθαι.

28. $\delta\iota \tau \omega \nu \Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ —through the interior; the $\Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \ell$ occupied the central and northern parts of the island. $\pi a \rho a$ - $\partial a \rho \sigma' \nu u s$ —'reassured'; iv. 115, 1: viii. 77. We are not told what became of this garrison. It was probably reduced and oxpelled by the Syraeusans; cf. vi. 6, 1, $\epsilon \ell \Sigma u \rho a \kappa \delta \sigma \iota \sigma \ell \kappa \sigma \nu \tau \ell \nu \sigma \nu s$ are a drug of the syraeusans.

CHAPTER V

1. τη̂ παρακομιδη̂ κ.τ.λ.—the article applies to both substantives, which are equivalent to 'on his way to Sicily and back'; cf. i. 120, 2, την κατακομιδήν...καl πάλιν *ἀ*ντίληψ*ψ*= exchange of exports and imports.

 κα(—'also', with έν τη Ίταλία. ἐχρημάτισε—'treated', only here with the dative (Classen): i. 87, 4, έφ' ἄπερ ηλθον χρηματίσαντε, Φιλίας τοῦς Άθηναίοις=πρός τούς: iv. 22, 1, ξυνέδρους σφίσυν ἐλέσθαι: ib. infr. δλίγους ξύνεδραι γίγνεσθαι.

4. $\tau \sigma i s$ is Meror $\eta \nu \eta s$ —the definite article is explained by what follows: the circumstances have not been mentioned before. The Epizephyrian Locrians had joined in occupying Messene in 425 (iv. 1, 1), but only with a naval force. Messene from the earliest days had been a centre of faction; see the account of it in vi. 4. $\epsilon \pi o (\kappa o \iota s - \cdot s e t t e r s' or colonists; ii. 27, 1, αύτων πεμψαντας εποίκους έχειν.$

5. ἐκπεπτωκόσιν—part of the epithet, but placed after the substantive, as is not uncommon, especially with participles; cf. ch. 34, 6: i. 11, 3. ὁμολογίαν=ξύμβασν ch. 4, 5. καὶ ἐγέ-νετο—the second division of the relative construction, put in demonstrative form; see note on ch. 2, 16.

9. κομιζομένοις—'on their way' (back to Locri); so i. 52, 3, κομισθήσονται, etc. The manuscripts have τοῖς κομιζομένοις, 'those namely etc.', with προςκομιζομένοις as a correction in one. Bekker suggests ἀποκομιζομένοις. Classen would omit both words, as being an interpolation. For other instances of an article which we might at first sight think better away, cf. iv. 46, 3, τους ἐλθόντας, and Jowett's note on iv. 33, 2, οἰ ὑποστρέφοντες.

10. τοῖς Λοκροῖς πρὸς αὐτόν—render 'between him and the Locrians'; see iv. 80, 2, note, and Shilleto on i. 17, 1.

14. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \nu - \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi \omega = 'to constrain' or 'press hard on';$ $i. 103, 3, Kopivilioi autou's <math>\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon i \chi \circ \nu$: iv. 92, 5, $\epsilon l \omega \ell a \alpha \kappa \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi \epsilon \nu$. For 'Iravias kal Medalovs, unknown people, Classen adopts 'Irmwurda's kal Medalovs, from names of places found in Strabo. 'Irwwie's seems the right form (F.). Note the definite article with $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ s$, as in line 4; though the war has not been mentioned before.

CHAPTER VI.

1. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ —see the end of ch. 3. $\Sigma \tau a \gamma \epsilon \delta \rho \omega$ —Stageirus joined Brasidas soon after Acanthus, iv. 88: for Galepsus see iv. 107, 2. The article with $\delta \pi \sigma \omega \epsilon \delta a r$ Thucydidean mannerism, sometimes implying 'the well-known' or 'the before-named' colony; but often used with no especial definitive force.

6. Περδίκκαν—this fickle prince had made peace with the Athenians two years before, after quarrelling with Brasidas, iv. 132, 1. κατά τὸ ξυμμαχικόν—so i. 107 fin.: ii. 22, 2, κατὰ τὸ παλαιὸν ξυμμαχικόν.

άξοντα—'who was to bring': Classen approves the suggestion άξοντας, referring to the envoys; cf. ch. 80, 20: ch. 84,
 21. For μισθοῦ cf. iv. 124, 4, μισθοῦ μέλλοντες ἤκειν.

11. καl αὐτόs = 'on his side'; the words belong to ἀντεκάθητο or strictly to ἐκάθητο only: cf. iv. 124, 3, ἀντεπαγαγόντες καl αὐτοί: so ch. 8, 2.

'Αργιλίων—see iv. 103, 2. For the genitive cf. iv. 41,
 ξστι ή Πύλος τῆς Μεσσηνίδος ποτὲ οὔσης γῆς. Xen. Anab. v.

5, 7, Korúwpa $\eta \nu \Sigma \iota \nu \omega \pi \epsilon \omega \nu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu$ —i.e. the side opposite Amphipolis, which lay to the east of the Strymon in a bend of the river; see iv. 102, 2.

15. $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\sigma}\theta\epsilon\nu$ —the second $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\sigma}\theta\epsilon\nu$ if genuine goes with $o\dot{v}\kappa$ $\dot{a}\nu$ $\delta\lambda a\theta\epsilon\nu$. It is however a mere repetition, and probably ought to be omitted or altered to $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu$. Classen adopts the alteration, for which there is some slight manuscript authority.

16. ὅπερ...ἀναβήσεσθαι—ἐπὶ τὴν πόλιν is to be taken with ἀναβήσεσθαι: the construction being appositional and explanatory of ὅπερ ποιήσειν: iv. 125, 1, ὅπερ φιλεῖ...ἐκπλήγνισθαι: so iii. 59, 3. ὑπεριδόντα: vi. 104, 4, ὑπερεῖδε τὸ πλῆθος τῶν νεῶν. πλῆθος—of small numbers, as in iv. 10, 2, ἀντιπάλους τῷ ἡμετέρφ πλήθει.

19. παρεσκευάζετο—this governs Θρίκαs, and παρακαλών = ούς παρεκάλει: see note on iv. 48, 3, παραιρήματα ποιοῦντες = παραιρήμασιν ἁ ἐποίουν. Edones are mentioned in iv. 109, 3, as dwelling on the peninsula of Acte: in i. 100, 3 as once holding Ἐννέα ὁδοί (Amphipolis).

21. Mupkuvlav—Myrcinus was an Edonian town (iv. 107, 3), N. of Amphipolis. $\xi \dot{\nu} \mu \pi a \nu$ —predicate, 'in all'. For $\delta \iota \sigma \chi \iota$ - $\lambda \iota o \iota$ cf. Xen. Cyr. ii. 1, 25, $\dot{\eta}$ dè rațis $\dot{\eta} r$ ékardor árdpes. The $i \pi \pi \eta s$ "Ellaques were probably the Chalcidians, as we see from ch. 10, 51. For ès cf. iv. 48, 1, ès éż $\eta \kappa o r \pi$ élador $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon \iota$ partes.

 μετὰ Κλεαρίδου-Clearidas was sent out from Sparta and appointed governor of Amphipolis the year before, iv. 132,
 For the Ionic 3rd plural ἐτετάχατο (=ντο) see note on iv. 31, 2, ὥδε γὰρ διετετάχατο.

CHAPTER VII

2. $\tau \ell \omega s \mu \ell v$ —followed by $\ell \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ without $\delta \ell$, as is often the case with $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \circ v \mu \ell v$: vi. 61, 6, $\tau \ell \omega s \mu \ell v$... $\omega s \delta \ell$.

 τη ἕδρα—so ii. 18, 3, ἐν τη καθέδρα, of the delay of Archidamus at Oenoe: Hdt. ix. 41, περιημέκτεε τη ἐδρη, 'was galled at remaining inactive'.

6. πρὸς οἴαν...μετά οἴας – so vii. 75, 6, ἀπὸ οἴας...ἐς οἴαν: cf. Soph. El. 750, ἀνωλόλυξε τὸν νεανίαν, ol' ἔργα δράσας οἶα λαγχάνει κακά. οἴκοθεν stands first for the sake of emphasis. Several editors approve of Dobree's alteration of ξυνῆλθον into ξυνεξῆλθον. ἀνεπιστημοσύνης – incompetence'; only here in Thucydides, though the adjective is found more than once.

aἰσθόμενος τὸν θροῦν-so iv. 66, 2: viii. 79, 1 etc.: cf.
 ch. 30, 1. διὰ τὸ...καθημένους-a confusion between the par-

ticipial construction and $\delta i \dot{a} \tau \dot{o}$ with the infinitive; so iv. 63, 1, $\delta i \dot{a} \tau \dot{a} \ldots \pi a \rho \dot{b} \tau r a z$: in viii. 105, 2, most manuscripts have $\delta i \dot{a} \tau \dot{a} \ldots \delta i \dot{a} \tau \dot{a} \dot{a} \tau \dot{\rho}$ as forming one expression 'owing to their (being) stationary', like $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \sigma \dot{c} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$

10. ἀναλαβών—ch. 64, 23, ἀναλαβώντες τοὺς ξυμμάχους. Here it means calling his men to their ranks and setting his army in motion.

ib. $\epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma a \tau \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ —'he adopted the principle the success of which at Pylos gave him confidence in his ability'. He prepared, that is, for a general assault upon the city at all points. $\tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ is then explained by what follows, and denotes the plan of attack. Many editors take $\tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ of Cleon's 'temper of mind' and rash confidence; but would not this suggest the imperfect rather than $\epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma a \tau o$? The sense is certainly good, and the aorist might imply a sudden fit of rashness. $\varphi \pi \varphi$ is an instrumental dative, or dative of accompaniment, with $\epsilon \delta \tau \nu \chi \eta \sigma a$ s? cf. ch. 1, 7, and for dat. ch. 14, 9.

11. is $\tau_1 \nu \Pi \nu \Lambda \nu$ —the preposition is is very loosely used by Thucydides to denote relation of any kind. Here it means the operations at or 'in respect of' Pylos, or Pylos is used in a general sense and includes Sphacteria. $\phi \rho \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \iota$ —'to have intelligence'; vi. 89, 6, oi $\phi \rho \rho \nu \nu \nu \tau \iota$ is $\lambda \delta \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau \iota$) ($\nu \delta \delta \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau$.

13. $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \ell \alpha v$ —'to reconnoitre'; this phrase, like some of the rest of the sentence, sounds like a contemptuous reminiscence of Cleon's actual words; cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} \tau \sigma$ infr. and ch. 10, 9.

14. $\tau_1 \nu \mu \epsilon t \omega$ —as opposed to his $\pi a \rho o \delta \sigma a \sigma \tau \rho a \tau (a, ch. 6, 18:$ see the beginning of ch. 6. τ_{μ} $\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon t$ —with the certainty of success which a superior force would ensure: a sort of instrumental dative. Arnold compares vi. 55, 3, $\pi o \lambda \lambda \phi \tau \phi \pi \epsilon \rho t$ - $\delta \sigma \tau \sigma o \delta a \sigma \phi a \lambda o \delta s \kappa a \tau \epsilon \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ —here 'to gain the superiority' as in viii. 105, 1, $\tau \phi \epsilon \rho \tau \omega \sigma \lambda \delta \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \chi \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$. In ch. 71 and elsewhere it is used of outflanking or extending beyond an enemy's force. $\beta \ell q$ a $\ell \rho \epsilon v$ is the regular phrase for taking by assault, as opposed to a siege.

17. ἐλθών τε—'so having come'. ἐπὶ λόφου—see ch. 10, 32. τὸ λιμνῶδες—cf. iv. 108, 1, ἀνωθεν μεγάλης οὄσης ἐπὶ πολὺ λίμνης τοῦ ποταμοῦ. It was this lake which helped to make Amphipolis of such importance as the key of the Thrace-ward district. ἐπὶ τῆ Θρακη—'over against' or 'commanding Thrace': iv. 14, fin. ἐμενον κατὰ χώραν ἐπὶ τῆ Πύλφ. 22. καl γἀρ οὐδέ—the negatives here require attention: οὐδέ, 'also not', joins the whole sentence to what has gone before, while οῦτε...οῦτε connect ἐπὶ τοῦ τείχουs and κατὰ πύλαs ἐξήει, the final verb ἐξήει being irregularly written instead of ἐξιών. See note on iv. 114, 3, οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐπὶ δουλεία οὐδἕ κ.τ.λ., where there is no correspondence between οὐδέ...οὐδέ.

24. $\mu\eta\chi\alpha\nu\dot{\alpha}s$ —nsed especially of scaling-ladders, according to Poppo. $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ —this reading is probably right, and means 'landed', referring to Cleon's arrival at Eion; cf. Eur. Iph. T. 39, ôs $\dot{\alpha}\kappa$ $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\gamma\dot{\eta}\nu$. This view is approved by Grote and Shilleto. The meaning is that Cleon regretted that he had not brought his own siege appliances, instead of waiting till they could be made, or furnished by the allies who were expected. Such things were soon constructed: thus the Spartans sent round the coast $\dot{\epsilon}\tau l \dot{\xi} \dot{\iota} \lambda a \dot{\epsilon} s \mu\eta\chi\alpha\sigma\dot{s}$ as soon as they resolved to attack Pylos (iv. 13, 1).

Arnold takes $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ to mean that Cleon had descended from the $\lambda \delta \phi \sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \delta s$ towards the city with a part of his forces. No such movement however is mentioned. Poppo approves of $d \omega \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ (sc. from Eion), which Classen adopts. This reading has some support from the fact that some inferior manuscripts have $d \pi \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$. Krüger suggests $\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$.

CHAPTER VIII

2. Kal airós—see note on ch. 6, 11. The words do not necessarily imply a corresponding descent from anywhere on the part of the Athenians, but simply show that Brasilas by coming down made a counter-movement on his part.

δεδιώς— 'mistrusting', 'having misgivings about'; cf.
 ch. 61, 22, δείσαντες. So μέμφομαι is sometimes used, e.g.
 Hdt. i. 77, μεμφθεἰς κατὰ τὸ πληθος τὸ ἐωυτοῦ στράτευμα.

6. ὑποδεεστέρους—sc. τοὺς ἐαυτοῦ, a sense easily supplied; possibly however ὑποδεέστερος should be read. ἀντίπαλα, according to the scholiast, agrees with ἐκάτερα τὰ στρατεύματα. Poppo and Classen however take it to mean 'things were fairly matched', comparing iv. 117, 2, ἀντίπαλα καταστήσαντος: vii. 13, 2, ἐς ἀντίπαλα καθεστήκαμεν. This neuter phraseology is common, e.g. ii. 56, 1 ἐτοῦμα ἦν: iii. 88, 1, ἀδύνατα ἦν, etc. ἀξιώματι—'quality' (Jowett).

8. καθαρόν—the idea of καθαρόs is 'clear' or 'cleared'. As applied to troops it means either (1) 'picked men', clear of inefficients, or (2) according to the scholiast = $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}r$ $\tau\hat{\omega}r$ $\tau\hat{\omega}r$ $\tau\hat{\omega}r$, 'of pure Athenian blood', with no admixture of aliens. In Hdt. i. 211, we have $\tau o\hat{v} \kappa a \theta a \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$, 'the effective force', contrasted with $\tau o \hat{v} \dot{a} \chi \rho \eta \tilde{c} v$: and in Hdt. iv. 135, $\tau \delta \kappa a \theta a \rho \delta \nu$ $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$ is contrasted with sick troops left behind. Classen also quotes from Plut. Aem. Paul. ch. 6, $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu T \hat{\omega} \nu M \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \delta \nu \omega \nu$ $a \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa a \dot{\eta} \lambda \kappa k a \sigma a \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu$. These passages are in favour of (1).

9. $\Lambda \eta \mu \nu (\omega \nu \kappa a)$ 'Iµβρ($\omega \nu$ —cf. iv. 28, 4, where we find Lemnians and Imbrians chosen by Cleon for his expedition to Pylos. 'Lemnos had been taken and colonized by Miltiades a few years before the battle of Marathon (Hdt. vi. 140). Imbros was, I suspect, colonized also by him about the same time' (Arnold on vii. 57, 2).

10. ἐπιθησόμενος—to be connected with $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$: the participle is joined to the verb without ώς, as in ii. 91, 1, παρεσκευάζοντο ἀμυνούμενοι, etc.

11. ἀναγκαίαν—' make-shift', such as ἀνάγκη compelled him to use: i. 61, 2, ξυμμαχία ἀναγκαία: vi. 37, 2, ἀναγκαία παρασκευή.

12. où $\kappa ~ \delta \nu ~ \eta \gamma \epsilon i \tau \circ \kappa . \tau . \lambda . - ' (thinking that) he would be less$ likely to succeed than if he came upon them before there hadbeen time to observe him, and when as yet they had no real $grounds for their contempt of him' (Jowett). où <math>\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda ov =$ $\eta \sigma \sigma ov$, the usual litotes. $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu$ is the objective genitive, referring to $\tau o v s \ \mu \epsilon \theta'$ $\epsilon a v \tau o v$, and dependent on the active words $\pi \rho o \delta \psi \epsilon \omega s$ and $\kappa a \tau a \phi \rho o v \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$. In the last clause $\mu \eta$ is a redundant negation, the negative form of the sentence being already determined by $\delta \nu \epsilon v$.

13. $d\pi\delta \tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$ $\delta\nu\tau\sigma s$ —the preposition denotes the source or ground of the contempt which the enemy would feel, if they saw Brasidas' actual force; cf. i. 91, 6, $d\pi\delta d\nu\tau\iota\pi\delta\lambda o\nu\pi\alpha\rho a\sigma\kappa\epsilon\nu\eta s$, 'starting from, i.e. backed by equal defences': iv. 18, 2 $d\pi\delta$ $\tau\omega\nu d\epsilon l \dot{\upsilon}\pi\alpha\rho\chi\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu \gamma\nu\omega\mu\eta \sigma\phi\alpha\lambda\epsilon\nu\tau\epsilon s$. Somewhat similar in origin are various adverbial phrases with $d\pi\delta$, e.g. in the following chapter, line 14.

Classen and others restrict the force of $a\nu\epsilon\nu$ to the first clause, and make $\kappa ara\phi\rho\rho\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\omega$ s dependent on $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\phi}$, taking the whole clause as equivalent to $\epsilon l \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \tau\rho\hat{v}$ $\delta\nu\tau\sigmas$ $\kappa ara\phi\rho\rho\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iotaa\nu$ 'if the enemy should not despise their real weakness'. But, not to insist on the co-relation of the nouns coupled by $\tau\epsilon$ and κal , $\tau\dot{\sigma}$ $\delta\nu$ is a strange expression for 'their real strength', though $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\sigma} \ \tau\rho\hat{v}$ $\delta\nu\tau\sigma s$ is a reasonable adverbial phrase. Moreover such a construction would refer to the main subject of the sentence, and would imply contempt felt by Brasidas for the enemy, a meaning which makes nouscuse of the passage.

CHAPTER VIII (B.C. 422)

14. ἀπολεξάμενος—so iv. 9, 2, with αὐτός. προστάξας—vi. 42, 1, στρατηγῷ προστεταγμένοι. ἀπολαβεῖν—' cut off', or catch in an isolated state; iv. 14, 2, ol ἅνδρες ἀπελαμβάνοντο.

CHAPTER IX

1. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\sigma}$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ oras—the protasis introduced by $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ contrasts generally the Lacedaemonians with their Ionian foes, the apodosis with $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ deals with the particular enterprise which Brasidas was about to attempt. $\delta\tau\mu$... $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\nu\theta\dot{\epsilon}\rho\alpha$ s—sc. $\eta\kappa\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\sigma}\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\alpha$ s, the construction of the previous clause being repeated.

 δια τὸ εὕψυχον -· 'owing to its courage'; ii. 39, 2, πιστεύοντες τῷ εἰψίχω: so εἰψυχία, i. 84, 3; i. 121, 3. ὅτι Δωριῆς... "Ιωσι -- cf. vi. 77, 1, οὐκ Ἰωνες τάδε εἰσιν...ἀλλὰ Δωριῆς ἐλεύθερω. Poppo on i. 124, 1 eites other similar passages.

 άρκείτω βραχέως—' let a brief statement of this suffice'. The perfect participle implies that the fact is to be considered settled once for all.

5. The set δt in contrast. For the word of. 1.33, 3, δs the interface interface interface is often used in the sense of attacking.

6. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau' \delta \lambda(\gamma o \nu)$ —the reading is not certain. The best manuscripts have $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon$, which leaves the sentence without a nominative to $\pi \alpha \rho d \sigma \chi \eta$. Poppo and others read $\tau \delta$ $\tau \epsilon$: Classen considers the connexion of $\tau \epsilon$ and $\kappa \alpha t$ out of place here, and reads $\tau \varphi (=\tau \iota \nu t) \tau \delta \kappa \alpha \tau' \delta \lambda(\gamma o \nu)$. For $\kappa \alpha \tau' \delta \lambda(\gamma o \nu)$, 'in small divisions', see note on iv. 10, 3. $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon s$ —lit. 'deficient', i. e. a disadvantage.

9. ούκ αν ελπίσαντας—' because they never could have expected'; taking άν with the participle. Possibly however it is merely anticipatory, and belongs to the following subordinate construction where it is repeated; cf. Eur. Med. 941, ούκ οδό αν el πείσαιμ. The following aorist optative with ώς άν is a rare instance : ελπίζων ώς with the future indicative occurs viii. 54, 1: so Eur. El. 919, ήλπισας ώς έξεις: cf. ii. 42, 5, πενίας ελπίδ....ώς κάν πλουτήσειαν. We have a similarly formed sentence in ii. 93, 2, ούτε προσδοκία οὐδεμία, μη άν ποτε οἱ πολέμωι έζαπιναίως οῦτως ἐπιπλεύσειαν.

11. κατά θέαν—see ch. 7, 13. With the whole phrase we may compare ii. 40, 1, πρός έργα τετραμμένοις.

13. καl άμα—connecting $\mathbf{i}\delta\omega\nu$ with πρὸs τὴν ἐαυτοῦ δύναμιν. πρός—'looking to, having regard to', i.e. making the most of the force at his disposal. Brasidas did not feel strong enough to fight a pitched battle.

14. $d\pi \partial \tau \sigma \hat{v}...d\nu \tau i \pi a \rho a \tau a \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o s$ by way of counterarray'. The use of the neuter participle for an abstract substantive, or as equivalent to $\tau \delta$ with the infinitive, is peculiar to Thucydides among prose writers; e.g. i. 36, 1, $\tau \partial \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \partial a \delta \tau \sigma \sigma \delta$ $\ldots \tau \delta \delta \epsilon \ \theta a \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\nu} r$: i. 142, 4, $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} r \tau$, 'in the want of practice': ch. 102, 4, $\mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \tau \sigma \delta \delta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon' \nu \sigma v \dot{\omega} \mu \eta s \tau \delta \mu \eta$ $\nu \kappa \eta \theta \epsilon' \nu \eta \delta \tau$, 2, is somewhat different: cf. $\tau \delta \pi \sigma \theta \sigma \hat{\nu} r$, Soph. *Phill*, 674; *Track.* 196. See Goodwin § 108; Madv. § 180.

17. κλέμματα—' stratagems'; Dem. de Cor. 236, κλέμμα Φιλίππου, 'a trick of Philip's'. $\xi_{\chi \epsilon \iota} = {}^{\circ} \text{ bring' or 'involve': cf.}$ note on iv. 1, 1. \mathring{a} is cognate accusative after $\grave{a}\pi a \tau \acute{\eta} \sigma a s$: cf. Soph. Aj. 2, πεῖρἀν τιν' ἀρπάσαι. $\mathring{a}ν$ is repeated as in i. 36, 3: iv. 18, 3, etc.

21. $\xi \delta v \ell \mu ol \phi a(vov \pi a - ' from what they clearly look like to me'; the personal construction, such as is usual with <math>\delta o \kappa \hat{a}$, $\delta \epsilon o \mu a \epsilon a$. Polit. 280 B, our $\epsilon \sigma \sigma v \tau \sigma \hat{s} \lambda \epsilon \chi$ - $\theta \epsilon \hat{c} \sigma v$, $\delta s \phi a \ell v \epsilon$.

23. **δόξαν**—'ideas', lit. 'expectation'; as in the well-known passage ii. 42 (fin.), $d\mu a d\kappa \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \delta \delta \xi \eta s$. Brasidas means that the Athenians' ideas would be all abroad, in the confusion caused by a sudden attack.

27. τολς μετὰ σταυτοῦ—see the end of ch. 6 for the amount of the force under Brasidas and Clearidas.

30. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \kappa \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu \dots \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \pi \epsilon \epsilon i \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \mathfrak{a} \iota$ —the only instance in Thucydides of the infinitive used for the 2nd person imperative. The construction, which has the subject in the nominative, is chiefly epic (Goodwin, § 101). The word $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \kappa \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$ is used in iv. 34, 1, of soldiers in the field meeting the desultory attacks of light troops.

φοβηθήναι—note the use of the aorist with ἐλπίς: iv.
 9, 2 note. το...ἐπιόν—the usual collective neuter, like ὅπλιτικόν, ch. 6, 23: cf. ii. 45, 1, φθόνος τοῦς ζώσι πρὸς τὸ ἀντίπαλον.

36. voµíσaτε είναι τοῦ κ.τ.λ.—it is possible in this sentence to make aiσχύνεσθαι and πείθεσθαι dependent on $tθ\partial$ ειν, in which case τό should be omitted before aiσχύνεσθαι. More probably however the qualities of a good soldier are expressed by three coordinate infinitives. Classen makes this sense clearer by reading νοµίσατε τρία εἶναι (with Stahl), in accordance with the scholiast's explanation, ἐκ τριῶν γίγνεται τὸ καλῶν πολεµεῖν. In similar sententious phrases a number is often named, e.g. i. 74, 1, τρία τὰ ὡφελιµώτατα παρεσχόµεθα, followed by three accusatives. Classen also repeats τό with πείθεσθαι, as being necessary for a clear coordinate sense.

ib. τὸ ἐθέλειν—' readiness', 'alacrity'.—alσχύνεσθαι—like aiσχυνή, 'self respect', 'a sense of honour': ii. 43, 1, έν τοῖs ἕργοις alσχυνόμενοι. alδωś is used in the same way; see i. 84, 3, alδωs σωφροσύνης πλείστον μετέχει alσχύνης δὲ εὐψυχία: cf. Hom. 11. v. 531, alδομένων ἀνδρῶν πλέονες σόοι ἡὲ πέφανται.

38. $\hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma a \theta o \hat{s} \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ —this sentence is complicated by the addition of accessory matter subordinate to the main ideas. Removing these accretions the outline stands thus :— $(\nu o \mu l \sigma a \tau \epsilon)$ $\hat{\eta}$ eleveleplan $\tau \epsilon$ $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon v$ rad $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \mu o \nu l o \pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \sigma \epsilon$, $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \Lambda \eta \sigma \theta a \mu$, $\tau o \hat{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \sigma \sigma \delta \hat{s}^* E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma a$, $\dot{\eta}' \Lambda \theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \delta o \dot{\iota} \lambda \sigma s$ ($\kappa \kappa \Lambda \eta \sigma \theta a \mu$), $\tau o \hat{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \sigma \sigma \delta \hat{s}^* E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \sigma \tau a \hat{s}$ yeréson $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \epsilon \omega s$. The choice put forward is between two pairs of things, all of which are in construction expressed as subjects of $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon v$. In the second half of the sentence the order is inverted by the figure called *chiasmus*.

ib. ἀγαθοῖς γενομένοις— 'if you quit yourselves like men'. The speaker omits the offensive suggestion of κακοῖς γενόμενοις or the like in the corresponding clause. κεκλῆσθαι= 'the title of': ii. 37, 1, δημοκρατία κέκληται: iii. 82, 7, ῥαον κακοῦργοι ὅντες δέξιοι κέκληνται. The perfect tense denotes that the name is permanently acquired.

40. 'Aθηναίων τε δούλοις—τε is omitted in some manuscripts. If we retain it, we must suppose that a corresponding καί was intended, but owing to the insertion of additional clauses τοῦς δὲ λοιποῦς is written instead. With δούλοις understand κεκλήσθαι. It is possible to supply γενέσθαι, but the sense is not so forcible or the rhythm of the sentence so good.

ib. η̂ν τὰ ἀριστα...πράξητε—'if you are most fortunate': superlative of εδ πράσσειν: vii. 71, 1, μη χείρω πράζωσι. Krüger quotes an instance of the adjective thus used from Xen. Anab. vi. 2, 8, πολλά καὶ ἀγαθὰ πράττειν. ἅνευ κ.τλ.—explanatory of $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\check{\alpha}\rho_{i\sigma\tau\alpha}$. $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\alpha\pi\sigma\delta\iota\sigma\mu\circ\hat{\nu}$ —selling or carrying off into slavery, while $\delta\sigma\nu\lambda\epsilon\iota\alpha$ is merely a general though invidious word for subjugation of any kind. As Arnold says, ' $\delta\sigma\hat{\nu}\lambda\sigma$ s is the general term, applying equally to political and domestic slavery; $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\alpha\pi\sigma\delta\sigma\nu$ applies exclusively to the latter'. $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\alpha\pi\sigma\circ$ $\delta\iota\sigma\mu\delta\varsigma$, like the following forms in $\omega\sigma\iota\varsigma$, is active in force. $\partial\alpha\mu\dot{\omega}\tau_{\delta}$ is not found elsewhere till Plutarch.

42. **κal δουλείαν**—this clause is loosely connected with the last but one. In construction δουλείαν is either to be taken with $i\pi a \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, in conformity to the main outline of the sentence, or it is a cognate accusative connected with δούλοις, as if δουλεύουσι (dative participle) had been written. In illustration of the latter view Jowett cites Plato, Rep. 579D, έστιν άρα ο τῷ δντι τύρανος τῷ δυτι δούλος τὰς μεγίστας θωπείας καὶ δουλείας. To this we may add the construction of ἀτιμίαν after ἀτίμους ἐποίησαν in ch. 34, 15.

47. $\epsilon_{\rho\gamma\psi}$ —'in deed', opposed to $\pi a \rho a \nu \epsilon \sigma a$. It is not governed by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} r$, which does not take the dative in the sense of 'carrying out' or 'prosecuting' a plan, but either stands absolutely as here, or takes the accusative. See note on iv. 14, 3, $\tau_{\Omega}^{\circ} \pi a \rho o \omega \sigma \eta \tau \delta \chi \eta$ $\omega_{s} \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, which is similar in construction to the present passage.

CHAPTER X

1. $\delta \mu \ell \nu$ —note the force of the following imperfects, and of the coordinate construction with $\mu \ell \nu$ and $\delta \ell$. While Brasidas, having made his speech, is now getting ready to sally out, Cleon is told of a movement on the enemy's part.

3. **K** λ εαρίδα—Doric genitive; ch. 25, 2, $\epsilon \pi i$ Π λ ειστόλα: so i. 103, 2, τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ 'Ιθωμήτα. In ch. 6, 27 we have K λ εσρίδου. $\epsilon \pi i$ τὰς Θρακίας—the Thracian gates seem to have led out on the north-eastern side of the town near the shore of the lake. The accusative with $\epsilon \pi i$ denotes the quarter or direction in which the troops under Clearidas were appointed to serve. We may compare such phrases as καθιστάμαι επί ἀρχήν, etc.

5. $i\pi\epsilon\xi$ ($in\epsilon\nu$ —here $i\pi i$ in composition probably denotes the attack to be made by a *reserve* force, though it may simply mean 'sally out to attack'.

ib. τ $\hat{\varphi}$ δ $\hat{\epsilon}$ Κλέωνι—cf. iv. 93, 2, τ $\hat{\varphi}$ δ $\hat{\epsilon}$ Ιπποκράτει...ώς αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$ $\hat{\eta}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\theta\eta$. φανεροῦ γευρμένου—with this are connected καταβάντος and the two following present participles. For the construction cf. Hdt. v. 26, ἀπικόμενοι φανεροί είσιν: so Ar. Vesp. 735, δ $\hat{\eta}$ λός έστιν εῦ ποιῶν: the adjective with είμι being constructed like the corresponding verb. 8. 'Aθηνâs—from contracted nom. 'Aθηνâ='Aθηνala: see Lid. and Scott. θυομένου—the middle is used of the general who took the auspices by causing victims to be slain; so ch. 54, 7. This use of the word is common in Herodotus and Xenophon. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a - \tau a \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau h \varepsilon \xi \delta \delta v$ (Poppo): but according to Krüger and others referring to $\theta v \circ \mu \epsilon v \circ \omega$ and denoting the ceremonial accompaniments of the sacrifice.

9. $\tau \acute{\sigma} \tau \leftarrow$ -referring, as does $\tau i \nu \ \theta \acute{e} x$, to what has been already said in ch. 7, 21. $\ddot{\pi} \pi \alpha \sigma a$ is emphatic, for Cleon thought the city was feebly guarded; see the latter part of ch. 7.

11. $i\pi\dot{\sigma}\,\dot{\tau}\dot{\sigma}$... $i\pi\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}i\nu\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ —the meaning is not perfectly clear, for $i\pi\dot{\sigma}$ may mean either 'under' or 'close up to', and similarly $i\pi\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}i\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ may mean either 'apparere sub' or 'subapparere'. To translate 'The feet of horses and men are to be seen under the gate' gives a good sense; for the Athenians, as shown in Arnold's note, might have got up close to the walls, and the roadway being worn hollow there would be a space at the bottom of the gates. For the accusative cf. ii. 17, 1, $\tau\dot{\sigma}$ II $\epsilon\lambda\alpha\sigma\gamma\mu\alpha\dot{\nu}$ $\tau\dot{\sigma}\,\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\sigma}\,\dot{\tau}\mu\,\dot{\alpha}\kappa\rho\dot{\sigma}\sigma\lambda\mu$. On the other hand the rendering 'there are signs of', etc. gives a more feeble conclusion of the sentence, especially after $i\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha...\phi\alpha\nu\rho\dot{\alpha}$ in the preceding clause, and is therefore, I think, less desirable, though quite consistent with the Greek. With this latter view it has been rather oddly suggested that $\pi\dot{o}\dot{\delta}\epsilons$ may mean the sound of horses' hoofs.

13. $\ell \pi \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ - 'came up'. $\pi \rho l \nu ... \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ - ' $\pi \rho l \nu$ with the infinitive after a negative is rare in the Attic poets, but more frequent in Attic prose' (Goodwin § 106, 2): so i. 63, 2, où $\pi \rho l \nu$ rácz $\epsilon \iota \nu$, $d\lambda \lambda'$ $\ell \pi \epsilon \delta \eta'$ $\ell \nu \tau \varphi' \ell_{I} \gamma \varphi' \ell_{I} \varphi \iota \omega \ell_{I} \ell_{I}$: i. 39, 2, etc. Note the dative of with $\eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, a construction not uncommon with $\ell \lambda \theta \ell \iota \nu$.

 σημαίνειν...άναχώρησιν—editors give no exact parallel to this cognate construction. The nearest is from Xen. Anab. iv. 3, 29, έπειδάν ὁ σαλπιγκτής σημήνη τὸ πολεμικόν.

ib. $\pi a \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma \hat{s} a \pi \iota \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \iota - \dot{\gamma} passed the word to the retiring force'. Classen reads <math>\pi a \rho \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, with some manuscript authority. $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, like $\sigma \eta \mu a t r \omega$, is a technical word for giving military orders; so $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \sigma \epsilon s$, ch. 66, 15. We might rather expect $a \pi \iota \sigma \hat{\sigma} \sigma s$ without $\tau \sigma \hat{s}$; see however note on ch. 5, 9. of $a \pi \sigma \ell \sigma r \sigma s$ are the troops who had begun to carry out the order of $a \pi a \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma s$.

17. $t π t τ δ εύώνυμον---with <math>i π d \gamma e v$. The following note of Arnold's shows how things stood. 'The army was drawn up in line fronting Amphipolis, and as the left was nearest Eion.

the movement of retreat would naturally begin with that part of the army. Meantime the right should have maintained their position, and continued to face the enemy, in order to check pursuit till the other part of the army was fairly on its march to Eion'.

18. ὑπάγειν—of an orderly and deliberate retreat: so iv. 126, 6, κόσμ φ καl τάξει ὑπαγαγόντες. Eion was on the left bank of the river, like Amphipolis.

19. $\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta' \gamma (\gamma v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota - if the nominative <math>\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta'$ is right, we must compare such phrases (mostly negative) as $o \dot{v} \kappa \ \dot{u}^{c} \dot{\varphi}$ $\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta' (\ell \sigma \tau)$. $\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta' \gamma v \epsilon \tau a \iota n v$ thus means 'a man finds he has (lit. gets) time', $\gamma (\gamma v \epsilon \tau a \iota n stead of \epsilon \sigma \tau t implying a change$ $in the look of circumstances: so iv. 68, 5, <math>\dot{a}\sigma\phi \dot{a}\lambda c a \dot{\delta}\epsilon \ \dot{a}\dot{v}\tau o \tilde{s}$ $\mu \hat{a}\lambda \lambda \sigma' \dot{\epsilon} \gamma (\gamma v \epsilon \tau \sigma \tau \eta \tilde{s} \dot{a} v o l \xi \epsilon \omega s$. The meaning then is that Cleon no longer thought it necessary for the right wing to stand fast in order to cover the retreat, but supposed that the whole army might be safely withdrawn.

σχολ $\hat{\eta}$ —'at leisure', i.e. in a slow and dilatory manner, has some manuscript authority, and is read by Krüger and Classen. It would mean that Cleon became uneasy and impatient at the time taken to carry out his orders, and so made a premature movement which proved disastrous. The subject of γ*lγνεσθαι* is then to be supplied from the context; as in ch. 64, 20. For the adverbial use of σχολ $\hat{\eta}$ cf. iii. 46, 2, el τὸ αὐτὸ δύναται σχολ $\hat{\eta}$ καl τάχυ ξυμβ $\hat{\eta}$ να.

20. $\ell\pi\iota\sigma\tau\rho\ell\psi as \tau \delta \delta\epsilon\xi\iota\delta\nu$ —the Athenians were fronting the town in line, looking west; they now faced to the left, and the line became a column heading southwards towards Eion. The right flank, which was not covered by the shield, was thus exposed to attack. For the military usage of $\ell\pi\iota\sigma\tau\rho\ell\phi\omega$ and $\ell\pi\iota$ - $\sigma\tau\rho\phi\phi\eta$ see ii. 90, 3, $\ell\pi\iota\sigma\tau\rho\ell\psi arres \tau \deltas \nu\alpha\deltas$: cf. Soph. Ocd. Col. 1045, $\deltaata\nu \, dn\delta\rho\omega \, \ell\pi\iota\sigma\tau\rho\phi\phi ds$. We have $\tau d \gamma \nu\mu\nu d$ in ch. 71, 6, also $\gamma\ell\mu\nu\omega\sigma sis$ ib. line 12: cf. iii. 23, 4, $\ell\sigma\eta\kappa\delta\nu\tau\iota\varsigma\sigma\nu$ is τd , $\gamma\nu\mu\nu d$.

24. $\delta \tau \iota$ —introducing the actual words; so i. 137, 4, $\epsilon \delta \eta \hbar v \delta'$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \eta \delta \tau \iota$, $\Theta \epsilon \mu \sigma \tau \sigma \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, $\ddot{\eta} \kappa w \pi a \rho \lambda \sigma \epsilon'$: so iv, 38, 2. For $\mu \ell v \circ v \sigma \iota$ Krüger reads $\mu e v o \partial \sigma \iota$, but the present seems more forcible and appropriate. Krüger also reads $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \iota \delta \eta$ and objects to $\tau \sigma \delta \lambda$ $\delta \tau \kappa \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$, and probably a gloss. In similar sentences however $\delta \ell$ often introduces an explanation or reason for a preceding statement. And $\ell \pi \iota \delta \nu \tau \sigma$ has considerable force, viz. that 'a sudden onset' would be likely to rout unsteady troops like the Athenians. 27. $dvoiy\epsilon \tau \omega - dvoiy\omega$ is the usual Thucydidean form; iv. 68, 3; iv. 74, 1, etc. The imperative use of τvs commonly implies 'any one (every one) concerned', as in ch. 20, 6; but the usage here is slightly different. $ds \epsilon for \tau u - sc. dvoiyeur.$

29. τας έπι το σταύρωμα πύλας-Thucydides does not tell us what this σταύρωμα was; but Grote's view is probably right. that it was an outwork constructed by Brasidas to secure the bridge over the Strymon. We learn from iv. 102, 2, that Amphipolis stood on a peninsula and was fortified by a wall from a point in the river's course above the city to a point below. In iv. 103, 4 we find that the bridge, which seems undoubtedly to have been below the city, was some distance from the fortress, and was not then connected with it by walls. Brasidas however had now held Amphipolis for eighteen months, and would no doubt have secured his hold on the bridge, the possession of which was of vital importance. He appears to have constructed a palisade, extending from a point in the city walls, and touching the river at some point below the bridge, which was thus brought within the line of defence. It is plain from the first part of chapter 8 that Brasidas had full command over crossing the river when he pleased.

The 'gate to the stockade' then led first into the space enclosed between the original wall and the new outwork; while the 'first gate in the long wall' was above the starting point of the stockade, and led directly out. The words $\tau \circ \tau \circ \sigma \circ s \circ w$ that the works had been altered when Thucydides wrote.

31. $\tau \eta \nu$ ó8 o'v raúruv eilei av—for this accusative 'of the space traversed' cf. Eur. Med. 384, κράτιστα την εύθείαν (sc. όδον πορεύεσθαι). ταύτην denotes the road at the place spoken of, further explained by $\eta \pi \epsilon \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. oùros thus used is often to be rendered 'that'. eilei av is predicate; lit. 'taking it straight'. The meaning is that Brasidas led his men straight up towards the ridge on which Cleon was posted; see ch. 7, 18. τδ καρτερώτατον seems to be the steepest part of the ascent to tuis ridge, which connected the hill on which Amphipolis stood with the higher eminence of Mount Pangaeus to the east of the eity.

32. ίδντι-so i. 24, 1, Έπίδαμνός έστι πόλις έν δεξιά έσπλέοντι τόν Ίδνιον κόλπον.

38. $\xi vvi\beta\eta \tau \epsilon$ —'and so it fell out'. This phrase, as Classen points out, is used of 'various concurrent circumstances', as in ch. 14, 1, etc. Here however it rather sums up and states the general result of 'concurrent circumstances', as phrases with $\tau\epsilon$ are commonly used to conclude an account; e.g. iv. 26, 5, $\pi a \nu \tau l \tau \epsilon \tau p \sigma \pi \varphi$ example the conclude an account; ib. Kal $\xi \xi a \pi i \nu \eta s$ —probably to be taken with $\tau \hat{\varphi}$, though the connexion of an adverb with an adjective is certainly awkward. Poppo therefore proposes, with some manuscript authority, to leave out kal and to take $\xi \xi a \pi i \nu \eta s$ with the following infinitive, comparing iv. 36, 2, where $\xi \xi a \pi i \nu \eta s$ and $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $d\delta \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \varphi$ occur in the same clause.

42. $i\pi\iota\pi\alpha\rho\iota\omega\nu$ —with the dative this word implies passing along to attack; $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\dot{a}\omega\nu$ $i\pi\epsilon\tau\ell\theta\epsilon\tau\sigma$ $\tau\hat{\omega}\delta\dot{e}i\hat{\omega}$ (schol.). In iv. 94, 2, the same word is used with the accusative of passing along the lines of a friendly army: so vi. 67, 4, with $i\kappa\alpha\sigma\taua$. In iv. 108, 3, and vii. 76, we find it without a case following. Similarly $i\pi\epsilon\iota\mu\mu$ and $i\pi\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$ with the dative commonly denote hostile approach, but not so with the accusative. In Xenophon $i\pi\iota\pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\iota\mu\mu$ is used of light troops advancing parallel to a marching army.

43. $\pi\epsilon\sigma\acute{o}\taua$ advin ----Classen notes that this and viii. 102, 1, are the only passages where the aorist participle is used with $al\sigma\theta\acute{a}ro\mu a$ to denote what has just happened. In 24 passages the present or perfect participle is found. See also ch. 30, 3.

45. $\xi \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \nu -$ several MSS. have $\xi \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, which is defended by Arnold as being answered by kai $\eta \mu \nu \nu \sigma \nu \sigma$, and giving the sense 'the right wing not only kept its ground, but, though Cleon himself fled, and was killed, the soldiers formed in a ring and repulsed Clearidas in two or three attacks'. In favour of this view may be alleged the well known rule that in sentences coordinately constructed with $\mu \epsilon \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon$ the clause with $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ($\delta \mu \epsilon \nu K \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$) is often subordinate in sense. See note on iv. 80, 3, $\pi \rho \kappa \rho \mu \sigma \nu \tau s \delta \delta \sigma \chi c \lambda lows, of <math>\mu \epsilon \nu \dots ol \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.

48. of δt avroû $\xi v \sigma \tau \rho a \phi t \nu \tau \epsilon s$ —the subject of this clause is of $a \delta \tau \circ 0$ $\delta \pi \lambda t \tau a$, and the words $\xi v \sigma \tau \rho a \phi t \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \star t \tau \delta \nu \lambda \delta \phi \upsilon \nu$, 'rallying, or closing together on the hill', are in apposition. $\xi v - \sigma \tau \rho a \phi t \nu \tau \epsilon s$ is put out of its grammatical place for the sake of the rhythm of the sentence, as noted on iv. 24, 2, $\delta \rho \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \delta s \mu \ell \nu$ $\pi a \rho \delta \nu \sigma a \delta \lambda t \gamma a s \nu \alpha \delta s$, where $\delta \lambda t \gamma a s$ is the predicate. Compare the order in ch. 41, 1, of $\pi \rho \ell \sigma \beta \epsilon s \delta \phi \kappa \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o a \delta \tau \delta \nu$.

the of Mediaeu

CAMPBELL

meaning 'on the spot' gives an excellent sense, contrasting the right wing, which stood its ground, with the left, which had retreated.

50. κal δls η τρίς—so i. 82, 3, διελθόντων έτῶν κal δύο κal τριῶν. With this emphatic use of κal may be compared κal ärarres, etc. Similar to it is the Tacitean use of quoque for even, lit. 'not only...but also'.

53. οῦτω δέ—Classen reads Krüger's suggestion οῦτω δή, the nsual phrase for tum demum, e.g. i. 131, 1, οῦτω δὴ οὐκέτι ἐπέσχον. τὸ στράτευμα, the subject of the sentence, is resolved by partial apposition into δσοι μή...οl λοιποί: cf. iv. 68, 2, ol φρουροί... ἡμύνοντο δλίγοι...οl δὲ πλείους.

54. $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega}_s$ —this adverb is used emphatically of a disastrous or hard-pressed retreat; iii. 23, 4, $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega}_s$ kal $\beta \iota a \iota \omega_s$: iv. 25, 6, $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega}_s$ $\delta \pi \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \sigma s$. For iv $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i$ see ch. 3, 14.

58. of δt rov Brasilar ... treteiringer—there is a singular beauty in the simple form of this sentence, especially in the closing cadence. The sound of treteirnger recalls $d\pi\eta\lambda\lambda d\gamma\eta\sigma ar$ in the funeral speech of Pericles (ii. 42 fin.), and may be added to the reasons for there taking $d\pi\eta\lambda\lambda d\gamma\eta\sigma ar$ absolutely, 'they passed away'.

νικώσι—' are victorious'; for this use of the present
 cf. ἀδικώ, φεύγω, etc.; see Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, § 10,
 n. 5.

63. vexpois—the article is omitted with respois i. 54, 4: iv. 14, 4, etc.: so often with words which are repeatedly found in a particular context, as mailes sal yuraines (of a captured town), $\mu toor$ (of an army), etc. Such words get in fact a definite force of their own, and can dispense with the article. See note on molecus iv. 18, 2, and $\mu toor$ iv. 31, 2.

CHAPTER XI

3. EQuyav—it appears from Xen. Hel. vii. 3, 12 that this was the special honour of founders and benefactors of cities.

The historian says of Euphron, a military adventurer of Sicyon, ol πολίται αύτοῦ ὡs ἀνδρα ἀγαθὸν ἐθαψάν τε ἐν τŷ ἀγορậ... καl ώς ἀρχηγέτην τῆς πόλεως σέβονται. To show the rarity of such honours Arnold cites Cic. Ep. Fam. iv. 12, 3, where we find the Athenians refusing a place of sepulture within their walls to M. Marcellus, 'quod religione se impediri dicerent; neque tamen id antea cuiquam concesserant'.

5. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\rho\xiav\tau\epsilons$ —elsewhere Thucydides uses the forms of $\epsilon t\rho\gamma\omega$, and one manuscript here has $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\rho\xiav\tau\epsilons$. $\xi v v\epsilon\rho\xi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ is found Soph. Aj. 593.

6. $\ell \nu \tau \ell \mu \nu o \nu \sigma \iota - \ell \nu \tau \ell \mu \nu \omega$, according to the scholiast, like $\ell \nu \tau o \mu a$ and $\ell \nu a \gamma t \zeta \omega$, is properly used of offerings to the dead, or to the powers below, as opposed to sacrifices to the gods above. In the latter case the victim's throat was held up and pierced; in the former its head was struck off on the ground. The present $\ell \nu \tau \ell \mu \nu o \nu \sigma \tau$ and perfect $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa a \sigma \tau$ imply a custom still continuing. Classen considers the style of expression appropriate to a writer who was connected with the neighbourhood, as we know that Thucydides was (iv. 105, 1).

8. προσέθεσαν-thus making Brasidas their tutelary hero.

9. τα 'Αγνώνεια οἰκοδομήματα—public buildings which commemorated Hagnon's name as founder. These would include a shrine in his honour, if he was dead. He may however have been still alive, as it was only 15 years since he established the city (iv. 102, 3). In 429 we find him in Thrace (ii. 95, 3). The name of Hagnon occurs in ch. 19, 2, and ch. 24, 1, among the Athenian signatories, and in viii. 68, 4, we have a Hagnon mentioned as the father of Theramencs.

10. et $\tau_1 \mu \nu \eta \mu 5 \sigma \nu \nu \sigma \nu - \epsilon$ si quod aliud deductionis eius monumentum superfuturum erat': cf. Hdt. i. 185, $\mu \nu \eta \mu \delta \sigma \nu \sigma a$ $\epsilon \lambda \pi e \sigma$, of material works executed by queen Nitoeris. abroù $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s oktores—abroù refers to the preceding adjective 'A $\gamma \nu \omega \nu \epsilon a$. The actual construction of the genitives is open to some doubt. Probably abroû depends on oktorews, the more emphatic word standing first. It is however quite possible to take abroû as dependent directly on $\mu \nu \eta \mu \delta \sigma \nu \nu \rho$, in which case $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s oktorews is added, as a 'genitive of further definition' and depends on the combined $\mu \nu \eta \mu \delta \sigma \nu \rho$.

11. $\sigma\omega\tau_{h}\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ —the two main divisions of the sentence are $\tau\delta\nu\mu\delta\nu$ Bpasicar... $\tau\delta\nu\delta\delta^*A\gamma\nu\omega\nu\alpha$. The first of these divisions is expanded by a subordinate $\tau\epsilon$ and κal , connecting the two ideas which led the citizens thus to honour Brasidas; gratitude to Brasidas himself, and a desire at the same time to court the

favour of Sparta. The construction as usual is modified by putting in a fresh governing participle; cf. ch. 28, 10, δρώντες τόν τε πόλεμον ἐσόμενον, καὶ ἅμα ἐλπίσαντες τῆς Πελοποννήσω ἡγήσεσθα.

14. τό πολέμιον τών—' their hostility to Athens': in iii. 56, 2, τ $\hat{\psi}$ έκείνων πολεμί ψ is 'their hostile feelings'.

15. $\delta\mu olus$ —probably 'as formerly': so i. 99, 2, $\eta\sigma ar$ observes index in $\eta\delta\sigma r\eta$ approximation. Krüger however understands 'as Brasidas'. $\eta\delta\delta exp-sc. \sigma\phi for$, according to the scholiast; but possibly $\lambda\gamma rume$, for Hagnon, whether alive or dead, would be dishonoured in his sacred character of founder by the homage of a revolted town.

18. $i\pi\tau\dot{a}$ -'so in the great battle of Corinth, fought A.C. 394, only eight Lacedaemonians were killed (Xen. *Hel.* iv. 3. 1). For such was the Spartan skill and discipline that, till their ranks were broken, they fought almost without risk' (Arnold).

19. $\tau \sigma \iota a \dot{v} \tau \eta s$ —referring to the description already given. $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \phi \phi \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega s$ is active in force. The word seems to occur nowhere else in classical Greek: $\phi \phi \beta \eta \sigma \omega s$ is not found and $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$ - $\phi \dot{\delta} \beta \eta \sigma \omega s$ has no classical authority.

 καθίσταντο—as usual, of political arrangements. For the imperfect, see note on ch. 3, 30.

CHAPTER XII

2. 'Paµ ϕ (as—father of the Clearchus of Xenophon's Anabasis. In viii. 8, 2, and 39, 2, we find Clearchus holding a command in the Hellespont. $\eta\gamma\sigma\nu$ —'were on their way with'. An intended reinforcement had miscarried the year before owing to the influence of Perdiccas with the Thessalian chiefs (iv. 132, 2).

5. & Hράκλειαν—the Lacedaemonian colony and place of arms at the foot of mount Octa : cf. iii. 93, 94: iv, 78, note.

CHAPTER XIII

2. $\Pi\iota\epsilon\rho\iotaov$ —unknown; Arnold supposes it to be 'a town of Thessaly, not far from Metropolis, and from the road leading from Pellinaeum and Athamania to Larissa'. Livy speaks of Piera (xxxii. 15) and Pieria (xxxvi. 14), for which Pialia or Cieria, the names of known places, have been proposed as emendations.

ib. οί περί-including Rhamphias himself; so iv. 33, 1, οί περί τόν 'Επιτάδαν: viii. 56, 1, οί περί τόν Πείσανδρον πρέσβεις.

3. κωλυόντων τῶν Θεσσαλῶν—the Thessalians, at the instigation of Perdiccas, had hindered Ischagoras from bringing reinforcements the year before (iv. 132, 2); and Brasidas was obliged to pass through Thessaly by stratagem in 424 (iv. 78).

ib. καl ắμα—giving an important reason, which is further emphasized by $\tilde{\phi}\pi\epsilon\rho$. $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\sigma\eta$ —'on, by reason of, being defeated', dative of the efficient cause.

8. $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}i\nu\sigma s$ —'he on his part'; lit. 'they themselves were incompetent to carry out the plans which he also had in contemplation'. The κai emphasizes $\epsilon\kappa\dot{\epsilon}i\nu\sigma s$ by a co-ordination which is really false in sense. Jowett compares iv. 62, 3, $\tau_{\mu}\omega\rho ia$ $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\epsilon\dot{\sigma}\tau\nu\chi\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}$ $\delta\iota\kappa ai\omega s$ $\tilde{\sigma}\tau$ κal $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\lambda\pi$, and says 'in Greek the word κai commonly adheres to the standard of comparison (cf. $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ κal), in English the corresponding word adheres to the person or thing compared'.

9. $\tau\eta\nu$ elp $\eta\nu\eta\nu$ —the article denotes the peace which was thought of and discussed.

CHAPTER XIV

 ξυνέβη...ώστε—so Hdt. iii. 14, συνήνεικε ώστε with inf. in a similar sense. Classen also compares i. 28, 3, έτοιμοι ώστε:
 11, 9, δεηθέντες ώστε, and other like instances. Only one clause is affected by ώστε, after which the general story goes on with the indicative: 50 viii. 5, 2, αναπείθεται Αγις ώστε Εύβοίας μέν πέρι έπισχείν, τοῖς δὲ Λεσβίοις παρεσκεύαζε τὴν ἀπόστασιν.

The subject of ϵ_{XOV} is resolved into two divisions, of μ^{ϵ_V} 'A $\theta\eta\nu\alpha_{100...0i}$ d' a Aacebacubroo (line 14), and the construction is continued, chiefly in participial clauses, passing into the indicative towards the end of each division.

6. $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\epsilon\tau$ es—of a severe blow: iv. 108, 5, ϵr roîs Bouvroîs $r\epsilon\omega\sigma rl \pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\mu\epsilon r\omega r$, of the same defeat at Delium. $\epsilon\pi l \tau \tilde{\omega}$ $\Delta\eta\lambda\epsilon \omega$ —the battle of Delium was fought in the winter of 424: it is described in iv. 96. It was followed by the reduction of the fort which the Athenians had occupied (iv. 100). For the use of $\epsilon\pi t$ for in or at cf. ch. 15, 10.

 τήν έλπίδα τῆς ρώμης— their confidence in their strength'; cf. ii. 89, 8, καταλῦσαι Πελοποντησίων τὴν έλπίδα τοῦ ναυτικοῦ.

ρώμη is here used of material power, as in iv. 18, 2, διά την παρούσαν νῶν βώμην πόλεως: so vii. 63 (fin.) opposed to ἀσθέγεια, καὶ μετὰ ἀσθεγείας καὶ ξυμφορῶν ή ὑμετέρα ἐπιστήμη κρείσσων ἐστιν ἐτέρας εἰστιχοίσης βώμης. In iv. 29, 2, καὶ ἀστῷ βώμην ή νῆσος ἐμπρησθείσα παρέσχε, it denotes mental confidence: so vii. 18, 1, τοῖς Λακεδαμωνίως ἐγεγένητό τις ῥώμη. There are besides two well-known passages where the word occurs, ii. 43, 3, ὁ μετὰ ῥώμη καὶ τὸ σῶμα. In both of these passages Liddell and Scott take ῥώμη in the sense of physical vigour, while Krüger understands it to mean spirit and confidence.

The passive of ρώσνυμι seems generally used of eagerness and confidence: e.g. ii. 8, 1, ξρρωντο ές τον πόλεμον: iv. 72, 1, πολλφ μαλλοτ ξρρώσθησαν: vi. 17, 6, εί πάνυ ξρρωνται: so ξπέρρωσε, 'reencouraged', iv. 36, 2.

9. $\eta\pi\epsilon\rho$ —for the dative cf. $\epsilon\lambda\pi i\delta\epsilon$ ii. 42, 5: ii. 44, 3. $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ —see iv. 21 and 41. $\tau\eta\pi\alpha\rho\sigma\sigma\eta$ $\epsilon\sigma\tau\nu\chi\epsilon\alpha$ —cf. iv. 14, 3, $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\delta$ - $\mu\epsilon\sigma\sigma\tau\eta\pi\alpha\rho\sigma\sigma\tau\chi\sigma\tau\chi\sigma\lambda$ is $\epsilon\pi i\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\sigma}\tau\sigma\sigma\epsilon\pi\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\epsilon\hat{\sigma}\sigma$, where Classen takes the dative as not governed by $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\hat{\sigma}\nu$, a view which the present phrase confirms.

11. $\delta \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma a v$ —this form occurs iv. 55, 3; and as a var. lect. for $\delta \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma a v$ iv. 117, 2. In several passages in other authors it is the manuscript reading. Grammatical authority is however in favour of $\delta \delta \delta \iota \sigma a v$: see Veitch's Irregular Verbs, under $\delta \iota \omega$.

13. µereperorto-this word is usually constructed with a

participle, as in ch. 35, 20: iv. 27, 2, $\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\mu\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu\tau\sigma\tau\lambda s\,\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\lambda\lambda s\,\sigma\dot{v}$ $\delta\epsilon\xi\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma o.$ Here $\delta\tau\iota$ is used, probably to avoid the juxtaposition of two participles. $\kappa\alpha\lambda\omega s\,\pi\alpha\alpha\sigma\chi\delta\nu$ —so i. 120, 3, $\epsilon\delta$ $\pi\alpha\alpha\sigma\sigma\chi\delta\nu \epsilon\kappa\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\sigma\nu\pi\delta\mu\nu$ $\xi\nu\mu\beta\beta\mu\alpha$: iv. 85, 2, $\delta\tau\epsilon\pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\sigma\chi\epsilon\nu$.

14. of **5'** as AakeSaupóvioi—see iv. 41 and 55, where the despondency of the Spartans at this time is described in very similar language. For the mixture of participial constructions in this part of the sentence cf. iv. 8, 3, $\delta i a \tau a \chi \ell \omega r \epsilon \ell \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \ell \nu \sigma r a n d the passages there cited.$

16. $\partial \lambda (\gamma \omega \nu \ \epsilon \tau \ \tilde{\omega} \nu - \ ' within a few years': ch. 74, 2.$

18. iν τ η ν η σ ψ—the usual expression for Sphacteria, as in ch. 15, 4. γεγένητο—vii. 18, 2, iγεγ ένητο.

19. $\lambda_{\Pi}\sigma\tau\epsilon\nuo\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$ s—see iv. 41 and 54 seq. προσδοκίαs... $\mu\eta'-\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\sigma\kappa la$ here implies fear or suspicion, and accordingly is followed by $\mu\eta$: so ii. 93, 2. Similarly $\mu\eta'$ follows $i\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma\pi\omega$, ii. 13, 1, and $i\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\omega$, iii. 53, 2. $\tau\sigma\delta\epsilon$ ξ_{Δ} —so iv. 66, 2, ol $\phi\lambda\omega\alpha$ $\tau\omega\nu$ ξ_{Δ} . $\pi(\sigma\nu\nu\sigmas)$ is found in ii. 89, 4, $\tau\eta$ $\delta\nu\nu d\mu\epsilon\iota$ $\pi l\sigma\nu\nu\sigma\iota$, and vi. 2, 6: but not elsewhere in Attic prose. It is used by Herodotus, and by the poets.

22. πρός τὰ παρόντα—cf. iv. 80, 1, μή τι πρὸς τὰ παρόντα τῆς Πύλου ἐχομένης νεωτερίσωσιν. ὥσπερ καὶ πρότερον refers to the rising of the Helots in 465 (i. 101 seq.).

23. $\xi uv \beta a uv =$ see note on ch. 10, 38. $\tau \rho ta \kappa ov \tau a \ell \tau e s$ most manuscripts have this form or $\tau \rho ta \kappa ov \tau a e \tau e s$. Classen reads $\tau \rho ta \kappa ov \tau o u \tau e s$ with one manuscript, on the analogy of i. 23, 4, and other passages where that form occurs. There are however instances of the resolved form in Xenophon: and Thucydides has $\pi e v \tau \eta \kappa ov \tau a e \tau \ell \delta w$ in ch. 32, 22. It is in fact impracticable to insist on absolute uniformity even in the same author.

25. ℓm^2 έξόδ φ —the same expression is used in ch. 28, 11; so that the truce lasted at any rate till 421.

26. el µή τις—so iv. 68, 5, el µη πείσεταί τις: see note on ch. 10, 27. την Κυνοσουρίαν γήν—on the borders of Argos and Laconia, iv. 56, 3: see also ch. 41, 6. Another form is Kuvoupla (Hdt. viii. 73 etc.), which is also read here in some manuscripts.

27. ώστ' ἀδύνατα—i.e. therefore they must make terms with Athens, as difficulties impended on the side of Argos. There is a similar condensation of the logical conclusion with $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ at the end of iv. 85. For the neuter plural dourara cf. note on iv. 1, 2, dourara ηr .

30. ὅπερ και έγένετο-see ch. 29 seq.

CHAPTER XV

ούχ ήσσον—the usual litotes for 'especially'; ii. 52,
 triese δ' αύτούς...και ούχ ήσσον τούς έπελθόντας.

ib. ξπιθυμία τῶν ἀνδρῶν...κομίσασθαι—the infinitive is added in explanation of the genitive. Poppo compares Plat. Crito 14 A, ούδ' ἐπιθυμία σε άλλης πόλεως ούδ' άλλων νόμων ξλαβες είδέναι: Xen. Cyrop. v. 2. 31, οὐ δύναμαι ἐννοῆσαι ἀσφαλεστέραν εἰδέναι: Xen. Cyrop. v. 2. 31, οὐ δύναμαι ἐννοῆσαι ἀσφαλεστέραν εἰδέναι: Xen. Cyrop. v. 2. 31, οὐ δύναμαι ἐννοῆσαι ἀσφαλεστέραν εἰδέναι: Xen. Cyrop. v. 2. 31, οὐ δύναμαι ἐννοῆσαι ἀσφαλεστέραν εἰδέναι: Xen. Cyrop. v. 2. 31, οὐ δύναμαι ἐννοῆσαι ἀσφαλεστέραν κομίαασθαι. It is a pregnant use of the preposition implying getting back the men who had been taken in the island: cf. ch. 34, 10, τοὐς ἐκ τῆς νήσου ληφθέντας.

 οἱ Σπαρτιάται αὐτῶν—' those of them who were Spartans', about 120 in number, iv. 38, 4. For the partitive genitive cf. iv. 61, 2, οἰ Δωριῆς ἡμῶν: iv. 126, 3, τοῖς Μακεδόσιν αὐτῶν.

6. $\pi p \hat{\omega} \tau ol \tau \in \kappa. \pi. \lambda.$ —'either chief men or no less intimately connected with them', i.e. with the home authorities, who were conducting the negotiations. For the half technical use of $\pi p \hat{\omega} \tau oi$: f. iv. 105, 1, $\delta \dot{\omega} \pi a \sigma \theta a i$ $\epsilon \tau \tau oi$: $\pi p \dot{\omega} \tau oi$: 132, 2, $\chi p \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon v \sigma i$: $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \sigma oi$: At Sparta the word seems to have denoted some definite rank, though we do not know what.

τε and καί in this sentence have, I believe, a disjunctive force as inii. 42, 2, πρώτη τε μηνύουσα και τελευταία βεβαιοῦσα. όμοίως then means, not 'all equally', but 'as much as the πρώτοι themselves'; while σφίσι refers to the Lacedaemonian government, the main subject of the whole sentence according to sense if not in actual construction.

The words as they stand will fairly bear a satisfactory sense; but many editors believe that there is something wrong in the text, while some consider that $\delta\mu\sigma\delta\omega s$ is a corruption of some form denoting the $\delta\mu\sigma\delta\sigma c$ or 'peers', who were the leading caste among the free Spartans. Reiske accordingly proposes $\delta\mu\sigma\delta\omega r$, and Bekker $\delta\mu\sigma\delta\sigma s$, 'related to them (who were) their peers', $\sigma\phi|\sigma\iota\nu$ referring to $\Sigma\pi\alpha\rho\tau\iota\ddot{\alpha}\tau a\iota$. Krüger suggests $\tau\omega\nu$ $\delta\mu\sigma|\omega\nu$ 'belonging to the peers', leaving out $\sigma\phi|\sigma\iota$ $\xi\nu\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon$'s as an interpolated gloss. Stahl prefers $\delta\mu\sigma$ oor $\sigma\phi|\sigma\iota$ $\xi\nu\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon$'s = $\dot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ $\dot{\mu}\sigma\delta\iota$ $\delta\nu\tau\epsilon$ s.

Plutarch (Nic. 10) says of $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ Πύλου κομισθέντες ήσαν έξ οίκων τε πρώτων της Σπάρτης και φίλους και ξυγγενεῖς τοὺς δυνατωτάτους έχοντες, but these last words are a mere paraphrase, and do not help us to determine the reading in Thucydides. They are at any rate not sufficient to justify what Classen proposes to read, πρωτοί τε και οίκοις ἐπιφανέσι ξυγγενεῖς.

7. $\eta \rho \xi a \nu \tau o$ —see iv. 15 seq. The negotiations were broken off by the overbearing conduct and rough behaviour of Cleon, but it must be remembered that the Spartans are not recorded to have offered any terms which the Athenians could fairly be expected to agree to. $o \ddot{\upsilon} \pi \omega s$ —the reading of the best manuscripts, eited from this passage by the grammarians Photius and Suidas. $o \ddot{\upsilon} \pi \omega$ has also good manuscript authority.

8. $\epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \rho \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ i$ —so ch. 16, 12: ii. 60, 2, $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s \phi \epsilon \rho \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$. $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ denotes movement, as we say 'going on well', and speak of 'a prosperous course'. $\epsilon \pi l \tau \hat{\eta}$ to η —so i. 27, 1, $\epsilon \pi l \tau \hat{\eta}$ $t \sigma \eta$ kal $\delta \mu o l a$. Such feminine phrases are common; see note on $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \mu a \nu r l a s$, 33, 2.

11. μ â λ ov åv δ eţaµévous—several manuscripts have åv $\dot{\epsilon}v$ δ eξoµ $\dot{\epsilon}v$ ous: some omit åv, or read $\dot{\epsilon}v$ δ eξaµ $\dot{\epsilon}v$ ous. There are undoubtedly instances, such as vi. 20, 1, and vii. 67 (fin.), in which the manuscript authority is in favour of $\dot{a}v$ with the future participle; while in others the future infinitive is found with $\dot{a}v$, as in ii. 80 (fin.) and viii. 25 (fin.) In such cases the manuscript reading was generally retained by the older editors, but modern critics omit $\dot{a}v$ or change the future into the aorist.

12. την ένιαύστον έκεχειρίαν—see iv. 117 seq. $\xi\delta\epsilon\iota$ —i.e. as was then arranged; the imperfect refers to the time when the treaty was made. τοῦ πλείονος χρόνου—see note on iv. 30 (fin.), περί τοῦ πλέονος.

CHAPTER XVI

4. aμφοτέρωθεν-at Athens and Sparta.

5. ήναντιοῦντο—so Plut. Nic. 9, οι μάλιστα προσπολεμοῦντες τŷ εἰρήνῃ τῆς Ἐλλάδος Κλέων και Βρασίδας ἦσαν, ῶν ὁ πόλεμος τοῦ μὲν ἀπέκρυπτε τὴν κακίαν τοῦ δὲ τὴν ἀρετὴν ἐκόσμει. 6. $\delta \delta \lambda \dots \delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$ and the other because he fancied that in quiet times his requeries would be more transparent and his slanders less credible' (Jowett). No doubt Cleon had been the main promoter of war since the affair of Sphacteria; but, according to the historian's own testimony, it is the grossest injustice to ascribe the policy which he advocated to personal motives only and those of the lowest kind.

8. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon'$ then, I say'; an instance of $\delta \epsilon'$ used resumptively after a parenthetical interruption: so i. 11, 1, $\delta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \delta j \delta \delta \ldots$, $\phi a lvor \tau a \cdot \delta' o \delta \delta' \epsilon' \tau \tau a \delta \theta a \kappa, \tau \cdot \lambda$. There is a slight manuscript authority for $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta j$, which is adopted by Krüger.

ib. ol $i\nu$ —these two words are found in three manuscripts, and are read by most editors. Classen however omits them, and takes $i\kappa arc \rho a \tau \hat{y} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon a$ governed by $\sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$, comparing Eur. Iph. T. 579, $\dot{\nu} \mu \nu \tau' \delta \nu \eta \sigma \iota r$, $\dot{\omega} \xi \ell \nu a$, $\sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon'$, and $\kappa \pi a \iota a$, $\sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$; means 'anxiously promoting', 'eager for'; vi. 10, 2, $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho \nu \delta \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \delta \sigma \iota \epsilon'$, $\delta \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon'$. There seems however no other instance of a substantive thus governed without a dative. This is in favour of Classen's view. I should incline to retain of and omit $\ell \nu$.

10. $\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu\nu\nu/a\nu$ —this word is open to suspicion, as it is not elsewhere used of the civil ascendancy of a statesman in his own city, which would be the meaning here required. It denotes (1) the command in war, as in iv. 91, 2, $\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu\nu\delta as$ $\sigma\delta\sigma\eta s$ $a\dot{\sigma}\sigma\vartheta$: vii. 15, 2, $\pi\sigma\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu\nu\delta as$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{a}\sigma\delta\eta\sigma a$: (2) the sovereign leadership held by a state such as Sparta or Athens. Such leadership would be attained by war rather than by peace; nor were Pleistoanax and Nicias the men to promote the supremacy of their respective countries. It has been suggested that it means a joint leadership of Greece by Athens and Sparta; but to give this force, some qualifying word, such as $\kappa\alpha\nu\dot{\mu}\nu$, would be required. Some editors therefore propose $\dot{\rho}\mu\dot{\sigma}\alpha\alpha x$, $\dot{\rho}\mu\partial\alpha\gamma/a\nu$, or some similar word, which gives good sense and agrees with ch. 17, 8, $\pi\rho\alpha\vartheta\vartheta\nu\mu\dot{\eta}\vartheta\eta$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\dot{\xi}\mu\betaa\sigma\mu$.

Classen, following Stahl, takes a different view, and reads $\mu d\lambda \sigma r' a \upsilon r \dot{\gamma} v$ (sc. $\epsilon l \rho \dot{\gamma} v \eta \upsilon$). He supposes that this had been corrupted into $\mu \dot{a}\lambda \sigma ra \tau \dot{\gamma} v$, and then a substantive conjecturally supplied. Jowett suggests omitting of ϵv and referring $r \dot{\sigma} r \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \dot{\gamma} v \mu o \nu la v$ to Cleon and Brasidas, not to Pleistoanax and Nicias, 'these (Cleon and Brasidas) being at that time the two great champions for the supremacy of their respective states'. The apodosis then begins at II $\lambda \epsilon_{i\sigma \tau \sigma} \dot{a} \tau s$. This gives an intelligible sense to $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon_{i\sigma} \omega \sigma i a \tau$, but the position of the clause is very awkward, and it seems clear that $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ ought to begin the apodosis.

11. $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0} \tau_{a}$ —cognate or determinant accusative with $\phi_{ec}\phi_{lexvos}$. $\epsilon_{v}^{i} \phi_{ec}\phi_{lexvos}$ —ch. 15, 9. Nicias was indeed the only Athenian general of the day who had not met with some great disaster. Demosthenes had been totally defeated in Actolia in 426. The commanders of the Sicilian expedition had been compelled to withdraw ignominiously in 424. In the same year Hippocrates was defeated and slain at Delium, and Thucy-dides lost Amphipolis. Cleon had perished at Amphipolis in 422.

14. η_{5}^{i} :00ro—either (1) absolutely 'was held in honour', or (2) 'was so esteemed', referring to $4\pi a \theta_{13}^{i} \tilde{\eta}\nu$. In support of the former view editors cite Porson on Eur. Hec. 319, $\tau \dot{\nu} \mu \beta \sigma \nu$ $\delta \dot{\delta} \beta \sigma \nu \delta \phi (\mu \sigma \rho \nu \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \partial \nu \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \delta a.$

I incline however to (2), for the use of $d\xi\iota\omega$ meaning simply 'to honour', though found in the tragedians, seems not to occur elsewhere in Attic prose; and by rendering the words 'was so accounted' we get an excellent sense. It was his reputation as a successful general as well as his actual success which Nicias was anxious to preserve; and $\eta\xi\iota\omega\sigma\tau$ with this meaning is answered by $\kappa ara\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \iota$ or out a ship with this meaning is answered by $\kappa ara\lambda\iota\pi\epsilon \iota$ or out a below, just as $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \pi a \delta \sigma d a corresponds to <math>\dot{a} \pi a \theta \eta_{5} \eta \nu$. So Demosthenes (Lept. 4S2) says of Chabrias, $\delta \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ $\kappa a \iota \omega \nu \dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a \tau \eta \gamma \delta \dot{a} \pi \omega \tau \omega \nu$.

ib. $\delta_{ia\sigma}\omega\sigma_{a\sigma}$ the aorist implies securing his good fortune by one definite act, such as the conclusion of peace.

15. πεπαῦσθαι καὶ αὐτός—i.e. καὶ αὐτός πεπαῦσθαι, or rather παῦσαι is added by a slight change of construction to govern πολίτας. See iii. 67, 6, ἀμύνατε καὶ τῷ νόμῷ καὶ ἡμῦν..ἀνταπόδοτε χάρυ, where other instances are cited by Poppo.

17. καταλιπείν όνομα – cf. vi. 33, 6, τοῖς ἐπιβουλευθεῖσιν ὄνομα καταλείπουσιν: vi. 16, 5, προσποίησιν ξυγγενείας καταλιπόντας. διεγένετο – a second compound with διά, emphasizing the fact that Nicias did not retain his good fortune 'throughout his career': cf. Ar. Av. 45, ὅπου καθιδρυθέντε διαγενοίμεθ' άν.

19. καl δστις—lit. 'and (falls to a man) who', etc. Far harsher constructions with δστις are not uncommon: see instances cited on iii. 45, 5, πολλής εψηθείας, δστις σίεται: iv. 18, 2, σωφρίνων δὲ ἀνδρῶν, σίτινες... εθεντο.

Thucydides puts similar words in Nicias' mouth at the time of the Sicilian expedition, vi. 23, 3, $\ddot{\sigma}$ $\tau\iota$ $\epsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\chi\sigma\tau a$ $\tau\hat{y}$ $\tau\dot{y}\chi\eta$ mapabols $\epsilon\mu au\tau \delta\nu$ $\beta o\lambda\mu a\iota$ $\epsilon\kappa\pi\lambda\epsilon\bar{\nu}\iota$. 'We can hardly suppose', says Professor Jowett, 'that Thucydides wrote these words without intending silently to recall to the reader's mind the singular contrast between the hopes of mortals and their final destinies, or without a recollection of the old Greek saying, that no man could be called happy before he died. He who desires only peace may be the author of war; he who aims only at the safety of the state may, by the irony of fortune, be the prime mover in its destruction.'

22. ἐς ἐνθυμίαν...προβαλλόμενος—lit. 'put forward as a matter of (religions) anxiety', explained further by ώς δια κ.r.λ. The personal construction may be illustrated by such expressions as Ar. Nub. 1241, Ζεὐς γέλοιος όμνύμενος, 'it is absurd to swear by Zeus'.

The form $\ell \nu \theta \nu \mu \ell a$ seems not found elsewhere in classical Greek. The adjective $\ell \nu \theta \nu \mu \mu \sigma$ is however common, e.g. vii. 50, 4, $\ell \nu \theta \delta \mu \mu \sigma \nu \sigma$ or $\delta \nu \mu \sigma \nu \sigma$ is soph. Ocd. Tyr. 739, $\tau \ell \delta' \epsilon \sigma \tau \ell$ or $\tau \sigma \delta \tau'$ $\ell \theta \delta \mu \mu \sigma \nu \sigma$ is inilarly $\ell \nu \theta \nu \mu \rho \delta \sigma \theta a \epsilon$ is used of laying a thing to heart, as in ch. 32, 6.

23. $\delta\pi\delta\tau\epsilon$ τι πταίσειαν—for the construction cf. iv. 18, 3, ελάχιστα πταίοντες.

24. $\pi a p a voun \vartheta e i \sigma a v --- 'illegally effected'. This construc$ tion is best explained as the passive equivalent of a cognate $accusative with the active. We say <math>d\partial_{ik}\partial_{i} r o i \tau o, '1 \text{ commit} a$ $wrong in this', and in the passive this becomes <math>\tau o i \tau \sigma d\partial_{ik} e i \tau a,$ 'this is a wrong act'. The participle here has its predicative force, as in iii. 20, 1, $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma (\tau \varphi e \pi i \lambda \pi i \sigma \tau \tau)$, 'they suffered from failure of the corn'. Classen takes it merely as part of the epithet, comparing for its position such passages as iii. 56, 1, $\kappa a \tau d \tau \sigma \pi a \sigma i v \delta \mu \sigma \sigma i \pi a \tau d \tau \sigma \pi a \sigma i v e e e note on iv. 87, 2, <math>\tau o \hat{i} \hat{s} \, d \sigma \dot{v} \, \mu \omega \sigma \chi \rho \eta \mu a \sigma i \phi e \rho o \mu e rous$ $\pi a \rho' 'A \partial \eta valoos.$

25. την πρόμαντιν—so Hdt. vi. 66, Περίαλλαν την πρόμαντιν άναπείθει: id. vii. 111, πρόμαντις δε ή χρέουσα, κατά περ έν Δελφοΐσι.

26. $\pi\epsilon i\sigma a \ldots ... \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dots so$ iii. 70, 3, $\pi\epsilon l \theta \epsilon \iota \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \bar{\varphi} \nu \delta \mu \varphi \chi p \eta \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota : ii.2, 4, oùx é \pi\epsilon i \theta o \pi \tau \delta \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon e v \theta v \delta k f p y ou f \chi \epsilon \sigma d a \iota : so ii. 101, 3, with a vare l \theta e \tau a ... This construction connects two i deas less immediately than if the simple infinitive were employed. The meaning here is that the result of their persuasion (or bribery) was that the priestess gave oracles in their interest. We have a double construction with <math>\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ in viii. 45, 3, robs $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho d \rho \chi ous to a trade the double construction with <math>\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ in viii. 45, 3, robs $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho d \rho \chi ous to a couple.$

28. $i\pi \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{1}$ of extent of time, as in iv. 72, 2, where see note. $\theta \exp \sigma is i \phi i x v \sigma u \ell \ell v \sigma s^{-1}$ when they came on the public behalf to consult the oracle'. 'On a former occasion, when the Pythoness was bribed by the Alemaeonidae to inculcate on the Spartans the duty of delivering Athens from the Pisistratidae, Herodotus says, that she repeated this charge not only to the $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho ol$, who came on the public behalf, but also to any Lacedaemonian who consulted the oracle on his own private affairs. The duties of $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho ol$ at Sparta were performed by the four $\Pi i \theta_{i} a_{i}$, two being nominated by each of the kings, who were maintained with the kings at the public expense, and who together with them read the answers which the oracle returned. See Hdt, vi. 57: Xen. Rep. Lac. 15' (Arnold).

ib. $\Delta \iota \delta s \, \upsilon \iota \delta \hat{v} \, \eta \iota \, \theta \, \ell ov -$ 'the Heraclidae at Sparta were believed to hold the kingly power by an inalienable right, derived from the original compact made between their ancestors and the Dorians, when they jointly invaded Peloponnesus' (Arnold).

30. $dva\phi \ell\rho \epsilon \iota v - i. e. \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota v. \chi \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ and similar words, like other words of commanding or warning, commonly take the present or a orist infinitive, in the sense of bidding or of oracular intimation. Sometimes, as in the next clause, when promise or prediction is especially implied, we have the future: ii. 102, 4, $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau a (\lambda \lambda \kappa \mu \alpha \omega \omega \tau t \sigma ' A \pi \delta \lambda \omega \tau a \dot{\iota} \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta \nu$ $\gamma \eta \nu \chi \rho \eta \sigma a otk \epsilon \iota v i. 118, 3, d \nu \epsilon \dot{\iota} \epsilon \nu \sigma \delta \tau \kappa \eta \nu \xi \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a : see$ Good win's Moods and Tenses, § 98

ib. ἀργυρέα εὐλάκα εὐλάξειν—meaning either that they should be obliged to purchase their corn at a great price, or that they should find agriculture costly and unprofitable. εὐλάκα is said to be an old Laconian word for a ploughshare, and εὐλάξειν to be equivalent to ἀρόσειν. Neither noun nor verb are found elsewhere.

31. $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\rho\ell\psi a\iota$ —the run of the sentence seems in favour of taking this as following $d\sigma\tau\epsilon$ and, like $\chi\rho\eta\sigma a\iota$, referring to the $\pi\rho\ell\mu a\tau rs$. Grammatically it might depend on $\ell\pi\eta\tau\iota\partial\nu\tau\sigma$, and correspond to $\pi\epsilon\tilde{\sigma}\sigma\iota$ in construction. The following $a\vartheta$ - $\tau\delta\nu$ would then refer to Pleistoanax, the primary subject, according to the rule set forth by Poppo on i. 17, 1; see note on iv. 50 (fm.).

ib. $\phi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\sigma\nu\tau a...\dot{\epsilon}s-\phi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ often means ' to be in exile', as in this passage, and in ch. 26, 23. It still however retains the construction of a verb of motion, and is followed by $\dot{\epsilon}s$ rather than by $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$. $\Lambda\dot{\nu}\kappaa\iota\sigma\nu$ -ch. 54, 3, $\pi\rho\deltas$ $\tau\delta$ $\Lambda\dot{\nu}\kappaa\iota\sigma\nu$, the only other place in Thucydides where the word occurs. Lycaeus was a mountain in Arcadia, with a temple of Ze δ s $\Lambda\dot{\nu}\kappaa\iota\sigmas$.

διλ την...άναχώρησιν—this was in 445, (i. 114, 3: ii. 21,
 μετά δώρων δοκοῦσαν—' propter suspicionem acceptae ob discessum pecuniae': so ii. 21, 1, διὸ δη καὶ ἡ ψυγη αὐτῷ ἐγένετο

έκ Σπάρτης δόξαντι χρήμασι πεισθήναι την ἀναχώρησιν. δοκείν in the sense of 'to be reputed' is often used like videor, to imply a judicial decision: δοκ $\tilde{\omega}$ ='I am held (guilty)', ξδοξα 'I was found (guilty)': ef. eh. 72, 5, δόξαντας μαλαικθήναι.

There is some curtness in the use of $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ $\delta\dot{\omega}\rho\omega\nu$ to mean 'effected by bribery', and the reading is not free from doubt. Most manuscripts have $\delta\delta\kappa\eta\sigma\iota\nu$ followed by $\xi\omegas$. Suidas interprets $\delta\dot{\omega}\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\dot{\kappa}\eta\sigma\iota\nu$ as equivalent to $\delta\omega\rhoo\delta\sigma\kappala\nu$, and some commentators propose $\delta\dot{\omega}\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\omegas$ in the same sense. There is however no sign of the existence of $\delta\delta\kappa\eta\sigma\iotas$ in the sense of 'receiving' connected with $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\mu\alpha\iota$: while it occurs several times in Thucydides as derived from $\delta\omega\rho\delta\delta\sigma\kappa\ddot{\epsilon}\nu$, though it is not found in the lexicons; and possibly $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ $\delta\omega\rhoo\delta\delta\kappa\eta\sigma\iota\nu$ or $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ $\delta\omega\rho\omega\delta\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\epsilon\omegas$ is the true reading, or else, as Stahl reads, $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ $\delta\dot{\omega}\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\omegas$, 'with the imputation of bribery'. Classen suggests, $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ $\omega\omega\rho\omega\delta\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\epsilon\omegas$ $\delta\sigma\kappa\dot{v}\sigma\alpha\mu$ $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime}\alpha_{\prime}\omega_{\prime}\sigma\mu$

33. $\tilde{\eta}\mu\sigma\nu$ $\tau\eta$ s oixías—these words are governed directly by oixo $\tilde{\nu}\tau a$, and $\tau\sigma\tilde{v}$ is predicative: it is the partitive genitive, 'belonging to the temple'. Half of the house in which he lived at this time was in the sacred precinct of Zeus. 'The reason was, that he might be in sanctuary at an instant's notice, and yet might be able to perform some of the common offices of life without profanation, which could not have been the case had the whole dwelling been within the precinct' (Arnold). In the same way Pausanias, when threatened with arrest, fied to the temple of Athene of the Brazen House, ral is alknow av $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma a} \delta \eta \nu \tau\sigma \tilde{v}$ is $\rho \delta \tilde{v} \nu \tau \delta \delta \omega \nu ... \eta \sigma i \chi_a zer$ (i. 134, 2).

34. $\phi \delta \beta \varphi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ —many manuscripts have $\phi \delta \beta \varphi \tau \hat{\varphi}$, but $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is the usual form, as in ch. 11, 13.

35. tra_{ta} —this was in 426 or thereabouts, for the retreat for which he was banished was in 445; see line 32. During his banishment his son Pausanias, a minor, was king (iii. 26, 2). rous faoriléas—Eurysthenes and Procles, twin sons of Aristodemus; see Hdt. vi. 52.

THUCYDIDES V

CHAPTER XVII

 Δνεπίληπτος - 'not open to attack, or censure': Eur. Or. 922, ἀνεπίληπτον (var. lect.) ήσκηκὼς βίον: Xen. Anab. vii.
 6, 37, ἔξεστιν ἀνεπιλήπτως πορεύεσθαι: cf. Soph. Ant. 406, ἐπίληπτος ήρθη: and see ἐπιλαμβάνω.

6. ἀπὸ τῶν ξυμφορῶν — ἀπό, 'from', denotes the origin and cause: ii. 25, 2, ἀπὸ τούτου τοῦ τολμήματος ἐπηνέθη.

7. προύθυμήθη την ξύμβασιν—the cognate construction (τι, τοῦτο etc.) is here extended to the substantive: so viii. 90, 2, την δμολογίαν προύθυμοῦντο: viii. 1, 1, τοῖς ξυμπροθυμηθεῖσι τὸν ἕκπλουν.

8. καl τόν τε—the punctuation in the text is that of Poppo and Krüger, according to which τόν τε χειμώνα georar is answered by κal πρόs τὸ ἐαρ παρασκευή τε προεπανεσείσθη...κal ἐπειδή. 'The final result (τότε δή) was due first of all to the threatening attitude of the Lacedaemonians; secondly, to the circumstance that the commissioners of both parties were just then finding, after many disputes, a possible basis of agreement' (Jowett). The second division of this sentence is inconveniently long and complicated, and possibly Classen is right in putting a stop after πρόs τὸ ἔαρ ἤδη (sc. ğerav ἐs λόγουs), and beginning a fresh sentence with παρασκευή τε.

9. πρός τό έαρ—so ch. 39, 20. $\eta \delta \eta$ —ch. 59, 3, $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho as \eta \delta \eta$: i. 30 (fin.) $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \nu os \eta \delta \eta$. προεπανεσείσθη = προηπειλήθη, as explained by the scholiast, 'there were threatening demonstrations of an expedition on the part of Lacedaemon'. It is a metaphor from brandishing a weapon at a foe; cf. iv. 126, 4, $\eta \epsilon \pi a \nu a \sigma \epsilon \sigma s \sigma \omega \nu \epsilon \pi \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \nu a \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu a \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta s$: see $\epsilon \pi a \nu a - \sigma \epsilon \omega$.

10. ἀπό—' on the side of '; iii. 65, 5, γνώμαι ἀφ' ἐκάστων έλέγοντο. περιαγγελλομένη—ii. 85, 2, ναῦς περιήγγελλον κατὰ πόλεις: see note on iv. 8, 2. περιαγγελλω in this sense takes either the infinitive, or an accusative of the force demanded.

11. $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ int reixisplóv—several manuscripts have $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ intreixisplóv, and Arnold therefore approves of $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ is intreixisplóv, comparing i. 50, 5, where $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ is $i\pi/\pi\lambda_{0}vv$ is an accepted emendation of the manuscript reading $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ intreixisplove. Intreixisploys is no doubt a suitable word: it occurs in i. 122, 1, intreixisploys $\tau_{\widehat{T}}^{\hat{\sigma}}$ xdspa: and we have intreixis in i. 142, 1. The simple form reixisplo showever seems used of the construction of hose tile works in general, as in viii. 34, 2, mapeorsevic/over is rows.

τειχισμών. Poppo accordingly retains τειχισμών in his text, and is followed by modern editors.

12. $\[a\mu a - rightly taken by Jowett as connecting <math>\xi_{i\nu\epsilon\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\bar{i}\tau\sigma} \]$ with $\pi_{\rho\sigma\epsilon\pi\mu\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\bar{i}\sigma\theta\eta}$.

13. δικαιώσεις—'claims'or 'demands' professedly grounded on justice; i. 141, 1, ή τε μεγίστη και έλαχίστη δικαίωσι. προεγεγκόντων—this sentence has two instances of the genitive absolute with subject understood. προφέρω, active, iii. 64, 1: middle, iii. 59, 2: passive, ch. 26, 24.

ib. ξυνεχωρείτο—Classen notes that this is a solitary instance of the passive of this word used impersonally. The imperfect, as he also points out, denotes the slow and difficult settlement of satisfactory terms. For ωστε, of conditions, cf. iv. 46, 2, ωστε λελύσθαι τὰς σπονδάς: Goodwin § 587, 2.

14. $\emph{expov}_{won'}$; \emph{exp}_{vav} 'keep'. \emph{avr}_{wv} -explained by the preceding $11\lambda \acute{a}\tau a\iota av$: so in iv. 1, 1, $a\dot{v}\tau \acute{\omega} v \acute{a}\pi a\gamma a\gamma o\mu \acute{e}v \omega v$ follows $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma' \acute{n} \eta v \kappa a\tau \epsilon \lambda a\beta ov$. Plataea surrendered in 427: the city was destroyed and the land occupied by the Thebans (iii. 52 sq.).

18. oi 'A $\theta\eta\nu$ aiot...Ní σ ata ν —sc. $\xi\chi\epsilon\omega$ $\xi\phi$ a σ ar: see iv. 69. The Athenian claim to Nisaea rested on the same grounds as the Theban claim to Plataea, both places having been compelled by famine to agree to terms.

19. $\pi a paka \lambda i \sigma a \nu res = i. 67, 1, \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa i \lambda o \nu is \tau i \nu \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a l \mu o \nu a \tau o \nu s \xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi o v s. \pi \lambda i \nu Bo (\omega \tau a \nu - the allies generally regarded with suspicion a private arrangement between Athens and Sparta; while the Boeotians were unwilling to restore Panactum, and the Megarians resented the Athenian occupation of Nisaea. In chapters 30 and 31 we find the particular grounds of dissatisfaction on the part of Corinth and Elis.$

22. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ —added to define further the subject of $\psi \eta \phi_{i\sigma\sigma} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$, all the other states but those mentioned. $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau \sigma \iota s$ refers to the states in question. $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ —cf. vi. 88, 9, $\psi \eta \phi_{i\sigma} \dot{\sigma} \mu \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota$

26. τάδε—'as follows'; cf. 76, 20: iv. 117 fin. γίγνεται εκεχειρία...ήδε.

CHAPTER XVIII

The provisions of the treaty now concluded should be compared with the year's truce made the year before as recorded in iv. 118. 'The fifty years' peace is based not, like the treaty which preceded it, upon the principle of *uit possidetis*, but on that of compensation. As in the preliminary treaty, the right of access to the Delphic oracle is maintained for all Hellenes; and a clause is inserted guaranteeing the Delphians their independence. Great concessions are made by Sparta to Athens, chiefly in return for the Spartan captives' (Jowett).

The language of the treaty is sometimes awkward in expression, but the meaning is clear throughout. The main clauses have the usual infinitive construction alternating with the imperative.

2. καl oi ξόμμαχοι—the allies of Sparta alone are mentioned, as in lines 55 and 61. The Athenians seem to have acted independently. On the other hand we have in iv. 119, 1, ξυνέθεντο Λακεδαιμόνιοι καl ol ξύμμαχοι 'Αθηναίοιs καl τοῦς ξυμμάχοιs: cf. ch. 47, 3.

4. **KOUNDY**—the KOUND LEPA are spoken of iii. 57, 1. They were 'those of Delphi, Olympia, Nemea, and the Isthmian Neptune, at which the four great national festivals of games were celebrated; that of Jupiter at Dodona, possibly of Abae in Phocis, and any others at which oracles were delivered' (Arnold).

ib. Kal léval—apparently, as Arnold points out, a provision for the safe conduct of worshippers on their way to the temples, as well as in performing their religious offices when there. The position of the words after $\theta \delta \epsilon w$ is awkward, and they are bracketed as doubtful by Krüger and Classen. Arnold however justly observes that in all formal instruments many words are inserted to prevent the possibility of evasion, which in ordinary language would be deemed superfluous.

5. $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\nu$ —to go, or send envoys to the games: viii. 10, 1, $\tau\dot{a}$ "Io $\theta\mu\mu a$ $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\prime\gamma\nu\epsilon\tau\sigma$ kal ol 'A $\theta\eta\nu a\hat{c}oi$ $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\sigma\nu\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}s$ airá. 'The exclusion from the games was considered an especial grievance, as it implied an unworthiness in the persons excluded to appear before the god in whose honour the festival was celebrated: see ch. 50, 7; and Livy ii. 37, 38' (Arnold).

7. τ) iepóv—the whole of the sacred precincts; see note on iv. 90, 2. aυτονόμους $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.—'independent as regards laws and imposts and jurisdiction'. In 449 the Athenians had placed the temple in the hands of the Phocians (i. 112, 5). The genitive $a\dot{v}r\dot{a}v$ depends on the idea of 'control over', which is implied in the preceding adjectives, especially $a\dot{v}rov b\mu ovs$.

15. ἐπl πημονη—so ch. 47, 6; an old and poetic word, used in the language of treaties by Thucydides. In line 28 we have ἐπl κακῷ. μήτε τέχνη κ.τ.λ.—ch. 47, 10 and 57: Dem. Timocr. 747 (150), οὐδὲ δῶρα δέξομαι οὕτε τέχνη οὕτε μηχανη οὐδεμία, from the oath taken by the ἡλιασταί.

19. $\tau_i \, \delta_{ia} \phi_{opov}$ 'any difference or disputed point', as in the passages cited in the next note, and others quoted by Krüger on i. 56, 1, $\gamma_{eve\sigma \sigma ai} \, \delta_{id} \phi_{opor}$. We have the singular in vii. 55, 2, $\epsilon \pi_{eve\gamma \kappa cir} \tau_i \tau_i \delta_{id} \phi_{opor}$, where the word probably means a 'cause of dissension'. In vii. 75, 7, it means a reverse.

20. $\delta\iota\kappa alg$ —some few MSS. have $\delta\iota\kappa als$, which we should rather expect, comparing i. 78, 3, rà δè διάφορα δίκη λύεσθαι: i. 140, 5, δίκαι των διαφόρων άλλήλοις διδόναι και δέχεσθαι. δίκαιον means what is in accordance with justice, a just principle, point, or consideration, or as we say 'a right'; so iii. 54. 1, παρεχόμενοι å έχομεν δίκαια. The sense here required is 'just or legal course of procedure', and it is so taken by Krüger and Classen. I am not without doubt whether the word will bear this meaning, but I have not taken on myself to alter the text.

23. $\pi a \rho (\delta o \sigma a \nu - the a orist seems to take the restoration$ as virtually carried into effect. We might expect or as an $<math>\pi a \rho a \delta \omega a c$: cf. $\dot{e} \gamma \dot{e} \rho \omega r \sigma$, line 20. It is not clear whether the provisions of this clause extend to Amphipolis as well. $\pi a \rho a - \delta \iota \delta \dot{\sigma} \omega \iota$ to hand over' is a more general word than $\dot{a} \pi o \delta \iota \delta \dot{\sigma} \omega \iota$. The latter seems to be used of the places the actual possession of which was of primary importance.

25. $\phi \epsilon \rho o \dot{\sigma} \sigma s$ —'on condition of paying'. $\tau \dot{o} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi' A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon' \delta co \phi \dot{\delta} \rho o v$ —the $\phi \delta \rho o s$ was the money payment furnished by the allies instead of ships for carrying on the war against the Persian power; see i. 96—99. Its original amount was 460 talents (i. 96, 3). By 431 it averaged 600 talents (ii. 13, 3), 'not probably by an increase of the rate imposed upon the allies, but from the extension of the tribute to new cities and by the commutation of ships for money'. See Jowett's note, for inscriptions etc. relating to the tribute. There seems reason to believe that the assessment had been doubled in 425, though according to the orators this was done by Alcibiades.

G. T.

28. $\dot{a}πo\delta\iota\delta \dot{o}ν των$ —'provided they pay'. For the force of the compound cf. ch. 53, 4. $\dot{\epsilon}π\epsilon\iota\delta \dot{\eta}$ —'after the conclusion of treaty'; i. 6, 3, οὐ πολύς χρόνος έπειδὴ ἐπαύσαντο.

29. $\epsilon l \sigma l \delta t$ "Apy($\lambda o \kappa. \tau. \lambda$.—these are cities friendly to Sparta, and entitled to her protection. The defection of Argilus, Acanthus and Stageirus to Brasidas is related in iv. 88 and 103, 3. Scolus is not mentioned elsewhere by Thucydides. It appears to have been one $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l$ "O $\lambda \nu \nu \theta o \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, and probably, like Olynthus and Spartolus, revolted from Athens at the beginning of the war; see i. 58, 1.

34. $a\dot{v}\tau o\dot{s}$ -singularly awkward. It is commonly taken as the subject of $\pi acia\sigma \theta a$, referring to the Athenians, while 'A $\theta\eta\nu a(os = \dot{\epsilon} avrois$. Krüger proposes to alter $a\dot{v}\tau o\dot{s}$ into $a\dot{v}\tau - \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} avs$, or omit it; in this case 'A $\theta\eta\nu a\dot{\epsilon} avs$ would depend on $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\sigma}\tau \omega$, which certainly seems the most natural construction. The best way seems to take $\beta ov\lambda o\mu\dot{\epsilon} vas$ ra $\dot{v}\tau as$ as a sort of pendent construction and $a\dot{v}\tau o\dot{s}$ as the object of $\pi acica\theta a$, denoting the inhabitants of the cities. The combination in line 51 is somewhat similar. See too iii. 79, 3, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \tau \dot{\eta}\nu \pi \dot{\alpha}\lambda \omega$, $v\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\nu\mu a\lambda\lambda o\nu \dot{\epsilon}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\pi \lambda \epsilon o\nu$, $\kappa ai\pi\epsilon\rho \dot{\epsilon}\nu \pi o\lambda\lambda \hat{\eta} \tau a\rho a\chi \hat{\eta} \kappa aid \phi \dot{\delta}\phi \dot{\omega} \tau ras.$ It has also been proposed to put the comma before $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\omega$, thus connecting $\beta ou\lambda o\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu a \tau a\dot{\nu}\tau a \dot{\omega} \dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}' \lambda \theta\eta\mu a lous$, 'the Athenians independently may make them their allies': the accusative as in line 27.

ib. **Μηκυβερναίους** κ.τ.λ.—these appear to be cities which had remained faithful to Athens. Sane had held out against Brasidas (iv. 109, 3); Mecyberna was afterwards taken by the Olynthians (ch. 39, 1). Singi is not elsewhere mentioned by Thucydides. It appears from Hdt. (vii. 122) to have been in Sithonia. These places may have shown signs of disaffection to Athens; or this may be a stipulation on the Athenian side to secure them against hostile neighbours (Jowett).

37. Πάνακτον—ch. 3, 27. Κορυφάσιον—the Spartan name for Pylos (iv. 3, 2). Κύθηρα—iv. 53 sq. Μεθώνην—iv. 45, 2, note (where the orthography of the word is discussed). **Πτελε**όν has not been mentioned before. There were four or five places so named. Pliny speaks of one in Boeotia, while Strabo places another on the confines of Messenia and Elis. 'Αταλάντη was a small island off the coast of Locri occupied by the Athenians in 431 (ii. 32).

41. ἐν τῶ δημοσίω—i.e. in prison, said to be a Laconian

expression, Xen. Hel. vii. 4, 36. The captives from the island are especially meant. $\delta\sigma\eta_S$ —sc. $\gamma\eta_S$ or $\chi\omega_{pas}$, as in line 47.

45. Βρασίδας έσεπεμψεν-see iv. 123, 4.

51. $\Sigma \kappa \iota \omega \nu a \ell \omega \nu \delta \mathfrak{k} \kappa.\tau. \lambda.$ —these were revolted cities now in the power of Athens. Scione was closely blockaded (iv, 133, 4), while Torone had been retaken by Cleon (ch. 3). $\Sigma \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \cdot \lambda \ell \omega \nu$ —mentioned in i. 65, 2 as friendly to Athens; no revolt is recorded. Classen reads $\Sigma \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \lambda \iota \omega \nu$, on the ground that the town was called $\Sigma \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \lambda \ell \omega$ and the people $\Sigma \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \lambda \ell \eta \nu$.

ib. et $\tau_{\nu\alpha}$ all η_{ν} —e.g. Mende, which had been recovered in 423 (iv. 129 sq.). The construction is interrupted by the clause with et $\tau_{\nu\alpha}$, and $\pi_{\epsilon\rho}$ as τ_{ν} , etc. added by a slight anacolution.

57. τὸν μέγιστον—so ch. 47, 54: iv. 85, 6, ὅρκοις καταλαβῶν τοῖς μεγίστοις. ἐξ ἐκάστης πόλεως—for έξ Classen adopts the emendation ἐπτακαίδεκα, which would be denoted by i_{i} , this being the number of signatories to the treaty on each side.

61. $\tau^{2}\nu$ & öpkov dvaveoû $\sigma^{0}a_{1}$... 'this arose partly from the feeling that all laws and public acts required to be solemnly confirmed from time to time, to prevent them from becoming obsolete, and partly lest the succeeding magistrates might think themselves not bound by the acts of their predecessors, unless they themselves incurred the obligation. So the Veientines are said to have attacked Servius Tullius, on the ground that their treaty with his predecessor Tarquinius Priscus did not extend to him '(Arnold).

64. ἐν πόλα—ch. 23, 27: ch. 47, 74. The acropolis is meant, cf. ii. 15 fin. καλείται δὲ ἡ ἀκρ5πολις μέχρι τοῦδε ἔτι ὑπ' ᾿Αθηναίων πόλιs. There is no article, as the word acquires the force of a proper name; cf. ch. 10, 63.

ib. $\ell \nu' A \mu \nu \kappa \lambda a \ell \omega$ —the temple of Apollo at Amyclae, stated by Polybius to be twenty stadia from Sparta. So in iv. 133, 2, the temple of Hera is said to be $\ell \nu '' A \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$, though it was forty stadia from the city.

65. και ότου πέρι—= ότουοῦν, or else connected by καί with εί τι, ἀμνημονοῦσιν being supplied again.

THUCYDIDES V

CHAPTER XIX

 $\vec{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\iota$ —cf. iv. 118, 8, $\vec{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\nu$ $\delta\epsilon$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\delta\epsilon$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu$, 'this day to begin it'. In giving the date the year is here put first and forms the subject of $\vec{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\iota$, $\vec{\epsilon}\phi\rho\rho\sigma$ II $\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\lambda}\lambda$ as being 'the year of Pleistolas' office'. Then comes the month, and then the day. Comparing the passage cited from Book iv. we find the same variation of two days at Athens and Sparta, while here Elaphebolion corresponds to Artemisius instead of to Gerastius (see note on iv. 119, 1).

CHAPTER XX

2. ἐκ Διονυσίων εὐθύς—'immediately after'; i. 120, 3, ἐκ πολέμου πάλιν ξυμβῆναι. The City or great Dionysia were held about the end of March.

4. $\kappa al...\pi ap \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa o \nu \sigma \hat{o} \nu - \cdot$ with a variation of a few days'. κal here has probably the corrective force noticed on iv. 35, 3, and is equivalent to 'in fact', 'that is to say'. The time that the war lasted was a few days more than ten years, reckoning from the attack on Plateae (ii. 2 sq.); and somewhat less than ten years, counting from the time when the Spartans first took the field with the intention of invading Attica (Arnold).

For $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \omega \nu$, in the sense of varying, cf. ch. 26, 21. A few instances of the same usage are cited from late Greek writers.

ib. η' -dependent on the sense implied in $\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\theta\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$, as if $\upsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ had been written.

 κατά τοὺς χρόνους—i.e. the half-yearly periods by which the writer reckons, as explained below, line 12. See also ch. 26, 20.

7. kal $\mu \eta'$ —' and not in accordance with the enumeration of the names of those who, in each several state, either were archons or from holding some office served as a mark for past events', i.e. whose names were used to date by. Thus in Sparta the years were marked by the names of the Ephors, in Argos by the year of office of the priestess of Hera. The order of this sentence is very awkward, the words $\tau \eta \nu$ $a\pi \alpha \rho (\theta \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \nu o \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$, which depend on $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{a}$, being apparently postponed in order to give the most important words an antithetical prominence. But probably $\tau \eta \nu \dot{a} \pi \alpha \rho (\theta \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \nu \rho \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ onght to be transposed and placed either immediately after $\mu \eta$, or at the end of the sentence after $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \iota - \nu \dot{o} \nu \tau \omega$.

Corresponding to η apxóvτων we have η aπό τιμη̂s τινόs σημαινόντων. σημαίνειν έs is 'to furnish a mark as regards'; cf. ii. 8, 3, έδόκει έπὶ τοῖs μέλλουει γενήσεσθαι σημῆναι=σημεῖον είναι: so σημαίνει stands absolutely in ii. 43, 3. Classen however suggests that és should here be omitted. πιστεύσαs μάλλον is added for the sake of emphasis, without affecting the construction.

10. $d\kappa\rho_1\beta\epsilon_5$ —in its strict sense, implying exactness of detail. ols $\kappa\alpha_1 \kappa.r.\lambda$ —an indirect construction, dependent on $d\kappa\rho_1\beta\epsilon_5$. 'For whether an event occurred in the beginning, or the middle, or whatever might be the exact point, of a magistrate's term of office is left uncertain by such a mode of reckoning' (Jowett). Classen however takes the clause of $\gamma d\rho$ $d\kappa\rho_1\beta\epsilon_5$ as parenthetical 'this gives no exactness': and makes $ols = \delta r_{er}$ robros. κal here has a disjunctive force, as noted on ch. 15, 6.

13. $\xi_i \eta_{\mu\nu\sigma\epsilonias}$ —' as each (of these periods) has the value of the year in half-measure', i.e. is equivalent to half a year. With $\eta_{\mu\prime\sigma\epsilon\iotaa}$ 'half', $\mu_{\sigma}\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ is probabily understood: cf. Dem. Fuls. leg. 431, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\eta_{\mu\prime\sigma\epsilon\iotaa} \chi_{\rho\eta\sigma\tau\delta\nu} \epsilon^{i\nu}\alpha\iota$.' In such phrases as ch. 31, 9, the gender is determined by the following noun, and so here it might be referred to $\delta\dot{\nu}\nu\mu_{\mu}\nu$.

14. δύναμιν—'value' or 'amount'; ii. 97, 3, τετρακοσίων ταλάντων άργυρίου μάλιστα δύναμε: vi. 46, 2, άπ' όλίγης δυνάμεως χρημάτων. So i. 141, 1, την αὐτην δύναται δούλωσιν: vi. 40, 3, λόγους ώς ξργα δυναμένους: cf. ualeo.

CHAPTER XXI

 ξλαχον—the same construction occurs ch. 35, 9: so Hdt. i. 94, λαχόντας έξιέναι: Dem. etc.

 'Ισχαγόραν—Ischagoras was one of the envoys sent to Brasidas in 423 (iv. 132). He is named with Menas and Philocharidas among the signatories to the treaty in ch. 19. 9. $\ell\pi\iota\tau\eta\delta\epsilon\iota as$ "favourable to their interests"; cf. iv. 54, 3, $\epsilon\pi\iota\tau\eta\delta\epsilon\iota \delta\tau\epsilon\rho o\nu \tau a \tau \eta s \phi\mu o\lambda oy las \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu e\tau o$. When used of a person the word often means well-disposed.

11. $\beta(a, i \kappa i \nu \omega \nu - i, 43, 3, \beta(a, \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu : i, 68, 3, etc.)$

14. οί περι τον 'Ισχαγόραν-Ischagoras and his colleagues; so ch. 46, 29: viii. 63, 3, οι περι τον Πείσανδρον πρέσβεις.

16. κατειλημμένας—sc. τὰς σπονδάς, line 7, corresponding in sense to ἡ ὁμολογία. There is some awkwardness in this construction, besides which there seems no instance of κατειλημμένος being used to mean 'closed, finally concluded', which would be the force here required. There is therefore much in favour of reading κατειλημμένους, finding the Spartans 'bound by' the arrangements. This agrees with i. 9, 1, ὅρκοις κατειλημμένους άγων, and iv. 85, 6, καταλαβών τὰ τέλη.

18. $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \ell v \dots \epsilon l \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \rightarrow so i. 32, 1: i. 35 fin. etc. The force of <math>\kappa a l$, 'as well' as performing the other conditions, is to be noted.

CHAPTER XXII

2. αὐτοῦ—the reading of most manuscripts; Krüger quotes Xen. Hel. vii. 4, 36, ἐν τῆ Τεγέα αὐτοῦ. There is also some authority for αὐτοί, 'of themselves', i.e. for purposes of their own, without a summons from Sparta; of. ch. 30, 15. Classen suggests that αὐτοῦ ἔτι ἔτυχον is the true reading.

5. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ air $\hat{\eta}$ mpodáse.—for the dative cf. ch. 14, 9. The disapproval of the allies is mentioned towards the end of ch. 17.

 οἰκ ἔφασαν δίξασθαι—' refused to accept the treaty'. On this aorist construction Poppo writes 'mutatione non opus videtur', and it is retained by Krüger and Classen.

9. $\nu \rho \mu (f \rho \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa. \tau. \lambda. - this sentence is awkward and$ probably corrupt. The alterations, chiefly omissions, whichhave been suggested are far too numerous to discuss here.They are given fully in Mr Fowler's edition. Taking thewords as they stand in the text the key is to be sought in the $connexion of the two main dependent clauses, <math>\ddot{\eta} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi l \omega$ $\tau o \prime s \tau \epsilon' A \rho \gamma c low s, , , , ka \tau h \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu I k \lambda \sigma \sigma \rho \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma s$. with Athens the Spartans hoped to effect two objects, to secure themselves against Argos, and to ensure the tranquillity of Peloponnesus. In construction, the clause with *routforres* is left incomplete, the sense which should have followed, 'thinking that the Argives would be least likely to prove dangerous' or the like, being resumed with the words *routapres airois k.r.l.*

10. $i\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\eta$ —this gives the reason for apprehending the hostility of Argos. $i\pi\iota\sigma\pi\epsilon'\nu\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta\mathfrak{a}\iota$ —to renew a truce'; $i\pi\iota$ implying sequence, as in $i\pi\iota\rho\omega\sigma\nu\mu\iota$, $\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\mu\iota$, $\epsilon\iota$; see note on iv. 36, 2: cf. ch. 14, 25, $\delta\lambda\lambda$ as oùx $\eta^{i}\delta\epsilon\lambda\sigma\sigma$ $\pi\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta\mathfrak{a}\iota$.

12. vouloavres-taking vouloavres of the Spartans and aurous of the Argives, these words are a resumption of the clause with which the sentence began, 'having come to the conclusion, I say', etc.; and the change of time from present to aorist introduces a slight variation of idea. Classen, who omits rouiforres ... opior, takes airois to mean 'by themselves'. further explained by avev 'Aθηναίων. Some editors make this clause part of the accessory sentence with $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$, and thus refer vouloavres to the Argives and abrows to the Spartans. Grammatically this is free from objection, though the sequence of voul forres, voul carres, in agreement with different subjects is harsh and awkward. But the sense is fatal to such a construction, for it is absurd to talk of the Argives 'not thinking the Spartans formidable without the Athenians'. They were always more than a match for Argos, and an alliance between them and Athens was a condition seldom present.

14. $\pi\rho\delta s \gamma\delta\rho \ \delta\nu$ —i.e. but for this treaty between Athens and Sparta; cf. iv. 54, 3, $d\nu\epsilon\sigma \eta\sigma\sigma\mu$ $\gamma\delta\rho \ \delta\kappa \ Ko\theta\eta\rho\epsilon ovs$. Classen believes 'A $\theta\eta\nu\alpha\epsilon ovs$ to be a copyist's error, and reads 'A $\rho\gamma\epsilon\epsilon ovs$, comparing the concluding words of ch. 14.

CHAPTER XXIII

Treaty between Athens and Sparta. 'The new agreement was a defensive alliance made between the Athenians and Lacedaemonians, and was confined to one or two points. The clause which is repeated here from the previous treaty, empowering the two contracting parties, of themselves and with out the consent of their allies, to add or take away from either treaty, naturally created a panic among the Peloponnesians (ch. 29, 15), whose independence was threatened by it. The allies or tributaries of Athens were not in a position to make a similar complaint, for most of them had suffered already what the Lacedaemonian allies dreaded, nor had those of them who were independent anything to fear from Lacedaemon. The provision respecting the revolt of the slaves applies to Lacedaemon only. This indicates that the Athenians were in no such danger from their slaves, as the Lacedaemonians were from the Helots. The older treaty remained in force, and, in accordance with its provisions, the prisoners of Sphacteria now restored ' (Jowett).

1. $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ —these words are commonly printed, not as part of the treaty, but as the end of the foregoing chapter. Classen follows Krüger in placing them as they stand in the text; see ch. 47, 11: ch. 77, 1: ch. 79, 1.

ib. Λακεδαιμόνιοι—Classen, following Portus, adds καὶ $A \partial \eta \nu \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha$. Hofmann suggests that we may have the text of the treaty as signed by the Lacedaemonians. $\tilde{\eta} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau i \nu \epsilon s$ — $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ought possibly to be omitted, as in ch. 47, 13, and other passages.

4. $\dot{\omega}\phi\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\hat{v}$ - 'help ': in the treaty given in ch. 47 we have the more ordinary $\beta o\eta\theta\epsilon\hat{v}$.

5. τρόπφ...δυνατόν—the same form occurs twice in ch. 47. Krüger compares Plat. Rep. 458 E, γάμους ποιήσομεν ίερους είς δύναμιν ὅτι μάλιστα.

9. καταλύειν δὲ ἄμα—i.e. neither city is to make a separate peace. καταλύειν usually takes a case, as τὸν πόλεμον in ch. 47, 20; καταλύεσθαι, as in iv. 18, 4, being used for 'making terms', the middle implying joint or reciprocal action. In viii. 58, fin. we have ην ἐὲ καταλύειν βούλονται τοῖs 'Αθηναίοις, ἐν ὁμοίος καταλύεσθαι.

ib. $\check{a}\mu\phi\omega\;\tau\dot{\omega}$ —the regular form of the feminine dual; see note on iv. 4, 2, $\tau\dot{\omega}\;\chi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho\epsilon$.

10. **eiva**.—Krüger quotes Xen. *Hell.* iv. 4, 8, $\pi \dot{a}\nu\tau a$ eivat $\dot{a}\delta\dot{o}\lambda\omega s$. Classen notes that such phraseology is archaic, as the language of treaties and public documents often is.

18. ή δουλεία—' the slave-class'. Lid. and Scott give instances of the collective use of the word from Plato and Aristotle: cf. Tac. Ann. xii. 17, servitii decem milia offerebant. ἐπανιστήται—i. 115, 4, τῷ δήμω ἐπανέστησαν. 21. $\epsilon \kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ —dependent on of $\pi \epsilon \rho$. For $d\nu a \nu c \delta \sigma \theta a \iota$, and the remaining terms of the treaty, see notes on ch. 18, 61 seq. Here $\pi a \rho' \Lambda \theta \eta \nu \hat{a}$ is added, meaning near her statue (ii. 13, 4). For the form ' $\lambda \theta \eta \nu \hat{a}$ cf. ch. 10, 8.

CHAPTER XXIV

1. $\omega\mu\nu\nu\sigma\nu$ —the names are identical with those in ch. 19, with one or two variations in their order. $\tau\sigma\deltas\ \ell\kappa$ —cf. ch. 15, 3.

14. $\tau a \vartheta \tau a$ $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \ \epsilon \tau \eta$ —the preceding ten years, implied in $\epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa a \tau \sigma v$. In construction these words are accusative of extent with $\gamma \epsilon r \delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma$, while they form a predicate to $\delta \tau \rho \vartheta \sigma \sigma \sigma$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \sigma$. Classen seems wrong in taking the participle here as part of the epithet, as in ch. 5, 5; ch. 34, 6. The duration of the war is an accessory idea, which is usually expressed in Greek by an appositional predicate; see note on ch. 16, 24; and iv. 48, 5, $\dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \delta \sigma ts \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \eta$.

CHAPTER XXV

διεκίνουν--- 'tried to upset', only here in Attic prose;
 Ar. Nub. 477, διακίνει τὸν νοῦν αὐτοῦ, 'turn inside out, examine thoroughly'.

8. άλλη ταραχή—does άλλη, in the sense of 'fresh disturbance', refer to previous difficulties, such as are mentioned in ch. 22; or does it mean 'besides' the intrigues of the Corinthians and their abettors? των ξυμμάχων πρός—'between the allies and Lacedaemon'; a variation from the more common construction with the dative, e.g. i. 17, 1, π/ds περιοίκουs τούς aὐτῶν ἐκάστοιs: cf. note on iv. 80, 2. Λακεδαίμουα = Λακεδαμοσίουs is unusual, to the best of my knowledge: so ch. 28, 14.

11. ἕστιν ἐν οἶs—so ἕστιν ὖ, ἔστι παρ' οἶs (masc.) etc., but always εἰσὶν οἶ. ἐκ τῶν ξυγκειμένων—so iii. 70, 3, κατὰ τὰ ξυγκείμενα: iv. 23, 1, καθάπερ ξυνέκειτο. ξύγκειμαι thus used is the perfect passive of ξυντίθεμαι. ἀ εἴρητο—the several items of the ξυγκείμενα. 13. $i\pi l \xi \xi \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa a l \delta \epsilon \kappa a \mu \eta \nu a s - \mu \epsilon \nu$ is answered primarily by $\xi \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a \ \mu \ell \nu \tau a$, as $\pi \rho \partial \sigma \tau \nu \ \mu \ell \nu$ is commonly answered by $\xi \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ with or without $\delta \epsilon$. The clause with $\xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \ \delta \epsilon$ is also a kind of secondary apodosis to the clause with $\mu \ell \nu$.

ib. μή—following the negative idea of ἀπέσχοντο: Goodwin § 807 (c). Classen collects instances from Thucydides in his note on iii. 32, 2, έλπίδα οὐδὲ τὴν ἐλαχίστην εἶχον μή ποτε... παραβαλεῖν.

14. $\mu\epsilon\tau' d\nu a\kappa\omega\chi\eta s - \mu\epsilon\tau d$ is here used of attendant conditions, as in the common phrase $\mu\epsilon\tau d\kappa\omega\delta\delta\nu\omega\nu$, for which see Krüger on i. 18, 7. $\mu\epsilon\tau' \epsilon\lambda\pi l\delta\omega\nu$, ch. 103 fin., is somewhat similar. For the form of $d\nu\alpha\kappa\omega\chi\eta$ see note on iv. 117, 2, and Poppo on i. 40, 4.

is πόλεμον φανερόν κατέστησαν—so ch. 84, 17: i. 23,
 fin. έs τόν πόλεμον κατέστησαν, of the first outbreak of war.

CHAPTER XXVI

1. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon$ —compare the opening words of the first Book, $\Theta ov \kappa v \delta \delta \delta \eta r a \delta c \xi w \epsilon \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon \kappa. r. \lambda.$, where the writer gives the plan and purpose of his history. Similarly in i. 97, 2, he says $\epsilon \gamma \rho a \psi a \delta \epsilon a \delta r a \delta$. $\delta \epsilon$. Here he is regarding the work as complete, and therefore uses the perfect; so i. 22, fin. $\kappa \tau \eta \mu a \epsilon s$ $\delta \epsilon l... \xi \delta \gamma \kappa \epsilon r a c \delta r \epsilon$. $\epsilon v \gamma \rho a \phi \omega$, conscribo, is the special word for the compilation of history, and from his frequent use of the word Thueydides in particular was styled $\delta \xi v \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon \delta s$. $\kappa a \tau a \delta \epsilon \eta \kappa a \chi \epsilon \mu \omega \alpha s$ —see ch. 20.

6. κατέλαβον—'occupied'; iv. 1, 1, note. Two manuscripts have κοτέβαλον, which agrees with Plut. Lys. 14, καββαλόντες τόν Πειραια και τὰ μακρὰ σκέλη: Xen. Hel. ii. 2, 20, τὰ μακρὰ τείχη και τὸν Πειραια καθελόντες. Both words are historically correct, for the Lacedaemonians sailed into the Peiraeus, and continued to occupy Athens till the conditions of the peace, including the dismantling of the walls, were carried out.

8. καl την διά μέσου — 'and if any one shall think himself entitled to consider the intervening convention as anything but war, he will make a claim which is not justified by facts'. διά μέσου πολυθέντες: so Hdt. and Xen. άξιῶ and δικαιῶ, in the sense of thinking fit and right, differ but slightly in meaning: $oi\kappa \, d\xi(\hat{\omega})$ is constructed with the negative like of $\phi\eta\mu$, as noted on iv. 40, 1.

10. $\tau \circ i \mathfrak{s} \tau \epsilon$ —apparently answered by $\mathfrak{k} \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s} \tau \epsilon - \circ \circ \tau \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s}$ unless indeed the latter is merely a continuation of the subordinate relative construction. I rather suspect that this is so, as all the things mentioned seem explanations of $\tau \circ \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s} \rho \gamma \circ \mathfrak{s}$, and the relative clause is otherwise very curt. In this case the sentence is incomplete, unless we take the initial $\tau \epsilon \gamma d\rho$ as equivalent to nam etiam, as Poppo suggests. (See Jovett on i. 9, 3 for $\tau \epsilon$ in the sense of 'too'.) $\tau \circ \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{s} \rho \gamma \circ \mathfrak{s}$ —what was actually done, facts, including hostile movements.

ib. Supprat-most editors take this to mean 'interrupted' lit. 'divided', which is no doubt the common use of the word. The perfect tense seems against this view; we should expect the imperfect or pluperfect. I therefore incline to the rendering 'how it is characterised', of which Poppo approves, taking $\delta_{iacjefir}$ in the sense of 'defining'. This sense is found in Herodotus ii. 6: vii. 16, 47, 50, and 103; and is common in Plato.

13. ξ_{δ} —' besides, not counting'; a usage nearly confined to Herodotus and Thucydides (Krüger on i. 9, 3). Mayruukóy --the difficulty with Mantinea, which occupies many chapters in this Book, is first mentioned in ch. 33; the quarrel with Epidaurus in ch. 53.

15. ėyévovro—here the plural verb is naturally used, as $\dot{a}\mu a\rho$ - $\tau i \mu a \sigma$ occurred on either side and on more than one occasion. But besides instances which can be thus explained Thucydides not uncommonly uses the plural with a neuter nominative of things, e.g. ch. 75, 9, Kápveta έτύγχανον δντα: vi. 62, 4, έγένοντο έξ αὐτῶν είκοσι καὶ ἐκατὸν τάλαντα. In i. 126, 3, έπῆλθον(εν) 'Ολύμπια: ii. 8, 2, πολλὰ λόγια ἐλέγοντο(ετο): i. 58, 1, τὰ τέλη ὑπέσχοντο(ετο), the best manuscripts, according to Krüger, are in favour of the plural. In viii. 10, 1, we have τὰ Ἰσθμια ἐγίγνετο...ἐπηγγέλθησαν γάρ: where the subject of ἐπηγ₂ έλθησαν is probably τὰ Ἰσθμια, though many follow the scholiast in supplying al σπονδαί.

16. $\pi o \lambda i \mu \omega \dots \omega$ hostile to Athens as ever. Thus they opposed the restoration of Amphipolis, and refused to accept the treaty; see ch. 35.

ib. exexerplar dex nuce which had

THUCYDIDES V

to be renewed every ten days, or which could be terminated on ten days notice. The word occurs in ch. 32, 19 and 32; also vi. 7, 4; vi. 10, 3.

20. **kard rovs \chi \rho \delta \nu o s = -ch.** $20, 6, <math>\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \epsilon i \tau \omega \delta \epsilon \tau i s \kappa a \tau a \tau o v s \chi \rho \delta \nu o s.$ **kal \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a s = -ch.** that is to say with a variation of but a few days'; see note on ch. 20, 4. The time is calculated from the beginning of April 431, when the Thebans attacked Plataea (ii. 2), to the middle of April 404, when Lysander sailed into the Peiraeus.

21. Kai roîs $d\pi \delta$ —'and (he will find) that they who on the strength of oracles were positive on any matter found, in this, one solitary instance of the event decidedly corresponding to the prediction' (Arnold). $d\pi \delta =$ 'starting from', i.e. grounding their belief on; cf. iv. 18, 2, $d\pi \delta \tau \omega r d\epsilon l \, i\pi a \rho \chi \delta \sigma \tau \omega r$: cf. ch. 17, 6. For $l\sigma \chi v \rho l \sigma \alpha \mu \delta v \omega s$ with neut. acc. cf. iii. 44, 5, $\tau o \delta \tau \sigma \delta$ K $\lambda \delta \omega r l \sigma \chi v \rho l (\epsilon \tau \alpha t: vii. 49, 1, <math>\tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \delta \tau \alpha \lambda \delta \gamma \omega r l \sigma \chi v \rho l (\epsilon \tau \alpha t: vii)$, 49, 1, $\tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \delta \tau \alpha \lambda \delta \gamma \omega r l \sigma \chi v \rho l (\epsilon \tau \alpha t: vii)$, 49, 1, $\tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \delta \tau \alpha \lambda \delta \gamma \omega r l \sigma \chi v \rho l (\epsilon \tau \alpha t: vii)$, 49, 1, $\tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \delta \tau \alpha \lambda \delta \gamma \omega r l \sigma \chi \delta \sigma \delta \eta \delta \eta$.

22. ἐχυρῶς—ἐχυρός ' secure' is used somewhat in the sense of πιστός: iii. 83, 1, λόγος ἐχυρός : vii. 41, 4, τὴν ἐλπίδα ἐχυράν είχον. The positive adverb is not found elsewhere in Thucydides: in viii. 24, 4, we have ἐκοσμοῦντο ἐχυρώτερον. ξυμβάν— Hdt. ii. 3, εἰ συμβήσονται τοῖοι λόγοιοι τοῖοιν ἐν Μέμφι: Ar. Eq. 220, χρησμοί τε συμβαίνουτι και τὸ Πυθικόν.

26. airteavóµevos—of intelligent perception, as in i. 71, 4, $\pi\rho\delta \dot{\alpha}\nu\partial\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu\tau\omega\nu\omega$ airteavo. $\tau\hat{\eta}$ intraction by reason of'; for dat. cf. ch. 13, 6. Thucydides does not speak of himself except as bearing on his history. At the beginning of his book he tells us that he had compiled materials from the first outbreak of the war ($\dot{a}\rho\xi\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigmas\,\epsilon\dot{v}\partial\varthetas\,\kappa\alpha\partial\iota\sigma\taua\mu\dot{e}\nu\sigma\nu$); here he asserts his claims as being capable of seeing and appreciating facts throughout its course. We cannot be certain about his age; but the statement is commonly accepted that he was about forty when the war began. The biography of Marcellinus only says that Thucydides died at over fifty. The question is fully discussed in Classen's introduction. τ_i is emphatic, as in line 32.

27. ἀκριβέs—of exact detail, as in ch. 20, 10: 68, 5. For the indicative είσομαι after a secondary tense see Goodwin, § 339: cf. iii. 4 fin., ἕπρασσον ὅπως βοήθεια ήξει.

28. φεύγειν--- 'to be in exile from my country', whether by banishment or voluntarily (ch. 72, 4). μετά την ές 'Αμφ(πολιν

CHAPTER XXVI (B.C. 421)

στρατηγίαν—for έs cf. ch. 7, έs την Πύλον εὐτυχήσαs. The words simply denote the attempt to relieve the place, and do not prove, as Grote supposes, that Thucydides was sent expressly to Amphipolis. See Appendix to iv. 104.

30. $\pi a\rho' d\mu \phi \sigma i \rho o s \tau o s \pi \rho i \gamma \mu a \sigma ... 'at what was done on both sides'. <math>o'\chi \eta \sigma \sigma o \tau = \mu a \lambda \lambda o \nu$. We do not know where Thucydides passed the time of his exile. Marcellinus says that he went first to Aegina, and then to Thrace, where, as we know (iv. 105), he had property and powerful connexions. He probably visited various places, including even Sicily, of which he shows the knowledge of an eyewitness. Most likely he returned to Athens in 403, when the amnesty was proclaimed under Thrasybulus. One account says he was assassinated in Athens, another that he died in Thasos.

32. aiotéirtau—the present form aloteortau is retained by Poppo and others here, as suiting the sense better; and Classen has aioteortau, vii. 75, 2, and $\pi poaloteortau$, ii. 93, 4; iii. 83, 4: in each case with good manuscript authority. alotouau is a form used by late ecclesiastical writers, and the introduction of its infinitive into classical authors may be merely a copyist's error.

ib. $\tau\eta\nu$ —one article belongs to two nouns, which are closely connected in idea; so ch. 5, 1: i. 120, 2, $\tau\eta\nu$ κατακομιδην καl παλιν ἀντίληψιν. τὰ ἔπειτα may be the direct subject of ὡs ἐπολεμήθη, which is in that case personally constructed; Poppo however (iii. 6, 2) considers such constructions, e.g. iv. 23, 2, τὰ περί Πύλον ἐπολεμείτο, as impersonal with determinant accusative: cf. ch. 52, 6.

33. ξύγχυσιν-i. 146, σπονδών ξύγχυσις τὰ γιγνόμενα ήν: cf. ch. 39, 18, ξυγχέαι τὰς σπονδάς.

CHAPTER XXVII

 ἐπειδή γάρ—γάρ introduces the account which has been already promised; so ii. 2, 1, in a passage like this: compare its use after such prefaces as τεκμήριον δέ, δήλον δέ etc.

 κal ὕστερον — quasi-parenthetical, 'followed by the alliance', for the dissentient allies were dismissed from Sparta before the alliance was formally arranged, ch. 22, 8. Classen

THUCYDIDES V

follows Cobet in altering al $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi(\alpha)$ into the singular, as there was only one alliance, that between Athens and Sparta, and a copyist's error might easily arise from the neighbouring plurals. In the beginning of ch. 48, however, $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi(\alpha)$ occurs again. It is true more than two states are there concerned, but the singular had been used in reference to the same states at the end of ch. 46. The plural comes again in ch. 79, 6. Possibly the singular denotes an alliance in a general sense, while the plural refers to its several conditions, as $\xi \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ in the orators is the plaintiff's 'declaration', the several items of which are $\xi \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \pi \alpha$.

ib. **kal ai**—'then also'; co-ordinate note of time; i. 50, 5, $\eta\delta\eta \,\delta\delta \,\delta\psi\delta$...*kal ol Koplubioi*: Soph. Phil. 354, $\eta\nu \,\delta' \,\eta\mu a\rho \,\delta\epsilon\delta$ - $\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\nu \,\kappa d\gamma\omega \,\kappa ar\gamma\gamma\delta\mu\eta\nu$: Mk. xv. 25, $\eta\nu \,\omega\rho a \,\tau\rho l\tau\eta \,\kappa al \,\delta\sigma rai\rho\omega\sigma a\nu$ $a\nu\tau\delta\nu$. It has been suggested to remove the *kal* before ol $\mu\epsilon\nu$ in the next sentence, making the construction with $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\eta$ continue to $\Lambda a\kappa\epsilon\delta al\mu\omega\nu\sigma$ s.

4. is airá—i.e. to discuss these arrangements: airó and airá are perpetually used by Thucydides in this general sense: so i. 1, 2, $ra \pi\rho\delta air\tilde{\omega}\nu$. $d\nu\epsilon\chi\omega\rho\sigma\sigma\nu$ —'inceptive' imperfect, because though they all left Sparta they did not all return home at once.

7. πρώτον-with έs "Αργος τραπόμενοι: cf. ch. 2, 6.

11. δράν ὅπως—with indic. future: iii. 46, 4, όραν ὅπως ἔξομεν: so vi. 33, 3, όρατε ὅτψ τρόπψ ἀμυνείαθε: iv. 128, fin. ἔπρασσεν ὅτψ τρόπψ ξυμβήσεται: i. 65, 2, ἔπρασσεν ὅπῃ ὡφελία ris γενήσεται. See Goodwin, § 330.

την βουλομένην—see note on iv. 26, 5, τδν βουλόμενον.
 δ βουλόμενοs is 'he who chooses': cf. Ar. Plut. 906 sq. where the συκοφάντηs is asked his profession, and replies, 'I choose':

Δίκ. πῶς οῦν διέξης ἢ πόθεν μηδὲν ποιῶν; Συκ. τῶν τῆς πόλεώς εἰμ' ἐπιμελητὴς πραγμάτων καὶ τῶν Ιδίων πάντων. Δίκ. σύ; τί παθών; Συκ. βούλομαι. * * * * * Συκ. κατηγορεῖ δὲ τίς; Δίκ. ὁ βουλόμενος. Συκ. οὐκοῦν ἐκεῖνός εἰμ' ἐγώ, ὡς εἰς ἔμ' ἤκει τῆς πόλεως τὰ πράγματα. 14. δίκας ΐσας και όμοίας δίδωσι—see the beginning of ch. 79: i. 29, 1, δίκας ήθελον δοῦναι=they were ready to submit to judgment or arbitration: i. 140, 3, δίκας τῶν διαφορῶν ἀλλήλοις διδόναι και δέχεσθαι.

 ⁶
 ⁶

17. $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$ —accusative of respect with $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\rho\dot{a}\tau\rho\rho\dot{a}s$, 'of independent authority'. Classen takes it in the adverbial sense 'to begin with', i.e. from the first; for which Thucydides elsewhere uses $\tau\eta\nu$ $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$, ii. 74, 3: iv. 98, 1: vi. 4, 5: vi. 56, 1. $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$ is no doubt common in Herodotus, and is found in other writers; but it seems unlikely that Thucydides would depart from his usual form in one instance only when his meaning would be rendered doubtful. It is also to be noticed that in the great majority of cases both $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$ and $\tau\eta\nu$ $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$ are used in negative sentences.

18. $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \mu \eta' - i. 4$, $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \tau \delta s \pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \delta \sigma s \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \delta \sigma r \ell s a to \tau \hat{\varphi}$: Goodwin § 798. katadawis - especially to the Lacedaemonians. We may compare the account in iv. 22, where the Spartans refused to confer with the Athenian assembly, but were willing to meet select commissioners.

19. $\tau o \dot{v} = \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon (\sigma a \nu \tau a s - \mu \dot{\eta}$ gives a hypothetical force, 'in case of failure', lit. 'those who might have failed'. The phrase here seemingly applies to all those who were conducting the intrigue. Kräger collects similar usages of the article on iii. 81, 3, $\tau \dot{\mu} \nu$ altian $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon s \tau \delta \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \sigma \nu \kappa a \tau a - \lambda \dot{\nu} o \sigma \sigma$, where the sense is equivalent to a droi's: cf. iv. 33, 2, $\kappa a \dot{\ell}$ od' $\dot{\nu} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \sigma \tau s$; $\mu \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau s$; $\mu \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau s$; $\mu \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \sigma s$; $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \sigma \dot{\ell} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\ell} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$; see also ch. 5, 9.

CHAPTER XXVIII

2. of $\delta \ell = -$ for the order, and the position of $\ell \pi \epsilon_0 \delta \eta$, cf. iii. 5, 1, of $\delta' \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu' \lambda \theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon_{\epsilon \epsilon} \hat{\omega} s o \delta \delta t' \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \pi \rho d \tilde{\kappa} a \nu \tau \epsilon s$, following a clause with *ral ol µ \ell v*. The nominative is put first to mark the change of subject.

3. avyveyкav-' referred ', of laying a matter before an

assembly; Hdt. i. 157, és $\theta\epsilon \delta \nu$ åvoî $\sigma a\iota$ (an unusual form): id. iii. 71, åva $\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\nu$ és $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\upsilon}\nu as.$ τas $d\rho\chi as$ —ch. 47, 59: see note ou $\tau a \tau\epsilon\lambda\eta$, iv. 14, 1.

6. $\pi \sigma \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ should make', dependent, like $\epsilon \xi \epsilon i \nu a \iota$, on the sense continued from $\epsilon \psi \eta \phi i \sigma a \nu \tau \sigma$: so ch. 63, 17. For this use of the relative and infinitive, which is found especially in quoting the provisions of a law, see Goodwin, § 756. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon - \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ is governed by $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$. For $a \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$, without the consent of', cf. ch. 60, 28: iv, 78, 3, $a \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \pi a \nu \kappa \sigma \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma$.

10. $\tau \acute{ov} \tau \epsilon - \tau \epsilon$ and κai connect the two main ideas of the Argives, the prospect of war and the hope of supremacy; cf. ch. 11, $i t \vec{r} \cdot i \xi \delta \delta \omega$ -ch. 14, 25. There and in ch. 22 we find that it was the Argives who refused to renew the truce.

12. $i\lambda\pi(\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilons-$ conceiving the hope'; note the force of the aorist: so in the next sentence $\kappa\alpha\kappa\omega s$ $\eta\kappa\circ\nu\sigma\epsilon \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. came into contempt', etc. (Krüger suggests $\eta\kappa\circ\nu\epsilon$.)

13. $\eta \tau \epsilon ... o' \tau \epsilon -- two main reasons co-ordinately stated; so$ $i. 8, 3, <math>\epsilon \phi i \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \omega \nu \sigma i \tau \epsilon \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma s... o' \tau \epsilon \delta \mu \nu a \tau \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma ... \mu \delta \lambda ...$ $\sigma \tau a \delta \eta -- \delta \eta$ emphasizes the superlative; i. 1, 2, $\kappa l \nu \eta \sigma s \sigma \delta \tau \eta$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma l \sigma \tau \eta \delta \eta$, 'greatest of all', not simply 'very great'. $\delta i d \tau d s \\ \xi \nu \mu \delta \rho \sigma \delta s$ -especially the surrender of Pylos, which is particularly called $\xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \delta A$, iv. 20, 2: iv. 22, 3, etc.: see ch. 75, 12.

16. **rois mâciv**—' in all points'; vii. 50, 3, rois mâci xalemárepov loxorra. **Euvapáµevot**—' having taken part in'; iv. 10, 1: the genitive is partitive. The accusative construction is also found ii. 71, 2, Euvápaoda: $\kappa lv \delta v v v v$, 'to join in undertaking.' $i\kappa\kappa a \rho m \omega c a \mu v v u + having reaped profit thereout',$ i.e. from their friendly neutrality, or from the war itself, whichmight bring gain to a neutral state. The scholiast takes itof the enjoyment of their own crops and revenues. Thucy $dides does not use the word elsewhere; <math>\kappa a \rho m o \tilde{v} \sigma \theta a$ occurs twice.

CHAPTER XXIX

Grote points out (ch. 55) that the conquest effected by Mantinea during the war was a violation of the principle of the Peloponnesian confederacy; and opposed to the general policy of Sparta, which was in favour of maintaining the independence of the little states, and thus ensuring her own influence as general leader. See note on ch. 31, 15.

7. ἐπειδή καί-besides other considerations, they had also leisure to interfere.

10. ἄστερ και αὐτοί—sc. δημοκρατοῦνται, so ch. 44, 10. This is the more common construction in Greek: in Latin the rule is to follow the antecedent case. Such instances as the following are quite exceptional: Liv. xlii. 37, eodem se loco esse quo Messenii atque Elei: Tac. Ann. xii. 7, cuncta feminae obediebant, non per lasciviam, ut Messalina, rebus Romanis illudenti: ib. xiii. 19, Plautum, pari ac Nero gradu a divo Augusto, destinavisse.

12. is $\theta \rho o \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha \theta (\sigma \tau a \tau o - 'took to talking'; ch. 30, 1: cf. ch. 7, 8. The imperfect denotes the beginning and continuance of the <math>\theta \rho o \hat{\nu} s$, which in the first words of the next chapter is described as prevalent ($\kappa a \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau a$, 'on foot, established').

13. voµlσavτες...καὶ ξχοντες—note variation of tense, as in ch. 23, 10. For πλέον είδώς cf. vii. 49 fin. ὑπόνοια μή τι καὶ πλέον είδώς ὁ Νικίας ἰσχυρίζηται. The participle as usual conveys the most important idea; ch. 1, 5: cf. iv. 27, 2, ξχοντάς τι ἰσχυρὸν αὐτούς ἐνόμιζον οὐκέτι ἐπικηρυκούεσθαι, 'they thought they must have some strong point, as' etc.

15. δι' όργής έχοντες-ii. 60, 3, έμε δι' alrías έχετε: also

 $\epsilon \nu$ δργ \hat{p} έχειν: ii. 8, 3, δργ \hat{p} είχον. dμφοῖν τοῖν—see note on ch. 23, 9.

18. **τούτο τὸ γράμμα**—the wording of this clause. γράμμαin the singular is used of a short piece of writing, e.g. of the Delphian inscription γνωθι σεαυτόν. The strong compound διαθορυβείν is only found here in classical Greek.

21. Síkatov vàp éivat—the infinitive depends on the sense of saying or thinking supplied from $\dot{\epsilon}s \, \dot{\tau} \sigma \psi lav \, \kappa a \ell (\sigma \tau)$. The words $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \tau \sigma \delta s \, \xi \upsilon \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \sigma s$ are as it were in inverted commas and are governed by $\delta \sigma \kappa \eta$, not $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \ell a \alpha$. The sense is, 'the wording of the (provision for) alteration ought to have been, ($\delta \tau \iota \, \tilde{\alpha} \nu \, \delta \sigma \kappa \eta$) $\pi \delta \sigma \iota \tau \sigma \delta s \, \xi \upsilon \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \sigma s$, and not $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \sigma \delta \tau \tau \sigma \delta \epsilon \omega^{2}$.

CHAPTER XXX

 αἰσθόμενοι τὸν θροῦν—cf. ch. 29, 12. διδασκάλους γενομένους—so viii. 45, 2, διδάσκαλος πάντων γιγνόμενος. Similar instances are collected by Classen on iii. 2, 3, μηνυταλ γίγνονται τοῦ Άθηναίοις: see also Poppo on i. 132, 5, μηνυτῆς γίγνοται

6. προκαταλαβείν—i. 57, 4, προκαταλαμβάνειν τῶν πόλεων τὰς ἀποστάσεις: also with accusative of the person and absolutely. ἡτιῶντο—'expostulated with them on', with accusative.

7. $\tau_1'\nu \tau\epsilon - \tau\epsilon$ and $\kappa a'$ couple the two main grounds of complaint, the general stirring up of disaffection and the contemplated alliance with Argos in particular. The second division of the sentence with $\kappa a'$ is modified in construction after the conditional clause $\epsilon l' Apyeios...,$ and has a fresh verb $\epsilon_{\phi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu}$ with $\pi a \rho a \beta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \delta a$ $\tau \epsilon ... \kappa a l d \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$ dependent. Krüger and others take $\kappa a l \epsilon' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i os a s corresponding to <math>\tau \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \nu$ and dependent on $\eta \tau \iota \hat{\nu} \tau \sigma$ 'if they should', i.e. they deprecated the contingency of their secession to Argos. Such a use of ϵ' is justifiable by examples, e.g. iv. 85, 4, $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma v \delta v \sigma' \gamma \sigma \sigma'$ $\mu\eta\,i\delta\xi\xi a\sigma\theta\epsilon$. But to begin afresh with $\pi a\rho a\beta\eta\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta a i \tau\epsilon\,\xi\phi a\sigma ar$, 'and they said they would be breaking their oaths', seems to weaken the sentence; which appears rather to be one connected paragraph.

ib. ἐσήγησιν—iv. 76, 2, Πτοιοδώρου ἐσηγουμένου: 50 vi. 99, 2 etc.: vii. 48, 6 ἐσηγητὰς τῶν κακῶν τῷ δήμφ. ἐσήγησις appears to be found here only in classical Greek. It is quoted from Dio Cas. in the sense of rogatio.

 καl ήδη άδικείν—'and were already in the wrong'.
 εἰρημένον—so ch. 39, 15 etc. See Goodwin § 851. The Lacedaemonians appeal to the fundamental principles of the Peloponnesian confederacy, as implied below in the 'oaths of the allies' and the 'ancient oaths'.

10. τảs 'Αθηναίων σπονδάς—'the truce with Athens'. The 'adnominal' genitives in this chapter are worthy of note; line 12, θεών κώλυμα: line 22, ξυμμάχων ὅρκους, the oaths taken by, or sworn to the allies: line 24, θεών πίστεις, pledges ratified by the names of gods. τ∂ πλῆθος—'the majority'; i. 125, τ∂ πλῆθος ἐψηφίσαντο πολεμείν.

13. 500 oid' airo(-'who, like the Corinthians, had not accepted the truce'. $oid\epsilon =$ 'also not', its most usual meaning. The dissatisfied allies are named ch. 17, 21.

17. $\sigma \phi(\sigma i \nu - \cdot \cdot for them', i.e. to restore to them; ch. 32, 23. Sollium (Kouvéliuv <math>\pi \delta \lambda i \sigma \mu a$) had been taken by the Athenians in the first year of the war (ii. 30, 1); while Anactorium, a town at the mouth of the Ambracian gulf, was betrayed to them in 425 (iv. 49).

18. ἐλασσοῦσθαι—'to be at a disadvantage', have their claims and rights disregarded; ch. 34, 13: i. 77, 3, et και ἀπωσ-οῦν ἐλασσωθῶσιν: iv. 58, 2, ὡs ἕκαστοί τι ἐλασσοῦσθαι ἐνόμιζον.

19. πρόσχημα ποιούμενοι—with infinitive construction, as in i. 96, 1, πρόσχημα δὲ ην ἀμύνασθαι. μετὰ Ποτιδαιατών in 432; see i. 55, 1. ἄλλους ὕστερον—sc. κοινη, with the rest of the allies.

23. $t\sigma\iota \acute{o}\nu\tau \epsilon s$ —so ch. 35, 15: ch. 40, 10: noted by Krüger as an exclusively Thucydidean usage. $\theta\epsilon \acute{\omega}\nu \pi t\sigma\tau \epsilon s$ —Krüger quotes $\delta\rho\kappa ot \, \theta\epsilon \acute{\omega}\nu$ from Xenophon, Anab. ii. 5, 7 etc. $\epsilon lp \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\delta' \check{\sigma} \tau \iota$ —'the wording was', citing part of the whole clause given in line 10. 33. lévai ès—=ésiérai, line 23: so iii. 91, 2, és tó $\xi v \mu$ - $\mu a \chi i k d r$ lérai. tòr votepor $\xi v \lambda \delta \gamma o v$ —i.e. the next meeting to be held at Corinth.

CHAPTER XXX1

2. ἐποιήσαντο—following the sense of πρεσβεία; some manuscripts have the needless correction ἐποιήσατο. καθάπερ προείρητο—by conference with the twelve Argive commissioners, ch. 28, 5, so infr. line 27.

6. Aempéou-this is the first mention of Lepreum in Thucydides, and it is not named again after ch. 62. It was in Triphylia, between Messenia and Elis, not far from the sea. $i^{\text{sht}} + \hat{\eta}_1 \hat{\eta}_1 \omega \tau i_0 - i$ on condition of (receiving)'; ch. 5, 12.

9. καl καταλυσάντων—so Classen for the manuscript reading κal λυσάντων, λύειν πόλεμον not being a phrase in use. Krüger, with two manuscripts, reads καταλυσάντων without κal, and gives it a hypothetical force, 'in case they ended the war', but Classen's reading is more consistent with Thucy-didean usage.

10. 'HAtion—the subject of the genitive absolute now becomes the subject of the main verb; cf. iii. 13, 7, $\beta\alpha\eta\eta\sigma\alpha'\tau\omega\nu$ $\delta\epsilon' i\mu\omega\nu \pi\rho\sigma\thetai\mu\omegas, \pi\delta\lambda\nu \pi\rho\sigma\lambda\eta\psi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon$: so iii. 112, 6: iv. 108, 1, $\tau\etas$ $\delta\epsilon' \gamma\epsilon\phi\psi\rhoas \mu\eta \kappa\rhoa\tauoiv\tau\omega\nu...ov ~ a^{\nu} \deltaiva\sigma\thetaai \pi\rho\sigma\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon'\nu...$ A more dubious passage in i. 10, 3, is probably to be taken in $the same way, <math>\delta\mu\omega s$ $\delta\epsilon'$ $o\tau\epsilon \xi vroikto\theta\epsilon days m\delta\lambda\epsilon\omegas......\phialvoir'$ $<math>a^{\nu} i\pi\sigma\delta\epsilon\epsilon'\sigma\epsilon\rho a$ (sc. $\dot{\eta} \pi\delta\lambda s$). Goodwin (§ 850) says that the intention of such irregularity is to make the participial clause more prominent, and to express its relation (time, cause etc.) with greater emphasis. This principle applies to $\pi a \nu \sigma a \mu \epsilon' \nu \omega \sigma a \mu \epsilon' \omega$

ib. $v \in \mu \circ \mu \circ v \circ s$ autois—'the sovereignty of half the territory of Lepreum became vested in the Eleans, who, without disturbing the actual occupiers of the soil, imposed upon them a talent as the rent, or vectigal, to be paid to the treasury of Jupiter at Olympia; of which the Eleans had the management. Compare the conduct of the Athenians to the conquered Lesbians (iii. 50, 3); where the vectigal was not paid to the Athenian state, but to the individual Athenians to whom the state had given the ownership or sovereignty of the land. $\frac{\partial \pi \circ \phi}{\partial \rho \omega}$ are words used peculiarly to

express the payment of rent, whether from a tenant to his landlord, or from a subject to his sovereign, or from a conquered people to their conquerors' (Arnold).

 ξταξαν—i. 19, 1, χρήματα τοῖς πᾶσι τάζαντες φέρειν. The middle is used of those who agree to the payment on their own part, e.g. i. 101, 4, χρήματα ταξάμενοι φέρειν. ἐπηνάγκαζον only here in Thucydides: Hdt. viii. 130, οὐδὲ ἐπηνάγκαζε οὐδείs. The compound, like the more common προσαναγκάζω, implies compulsion to a course of action.

15. δίκης ἐπιτραπείσης—cf. vii. 18, 3, ές δίκας προκαλουμένων τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων οἰκ ήθελον ἐπιτρέπειν. ίσου—'their just due'; iv. 59 fin. Ισον έχοντι. ἀνέντες—'throwing up': παυσάμενοι τοῦ ἐπιτρέπειν τὴν δίκην τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις (schol). 'It presently appeared that Sparta was more disposed to carry out her general system of favouring the autonomy of the lesser states, than to enforce the positive agreement of the confederacy. Accordingly the Eleians, accusing her of unjust bias, renounced her authority as arbitrator' (Grote).

22. δέξασθαι—of receiving disaffected allies, i. 40, 4, τους ἐτέρων ἀρισταμένους δέχεσθαι (other instances in the same chapter): so iv. 75, 1, τους έξιώντας ἐδέχωντο, which is sometimes wrongly taken of 'withstanding assailants'.

23. την ξυνθήκην-this covenant is not mentioned elsewhere: but it would seem to be a bond entered into at the beginning of the war by the several states of the Peloponnesian confederacy. Grote (ch. 55) has an interesting note. He takes the view that subordinate states had been exempt while the war lasted from tribute to the imperial cities, in virtue of their military service for the general cause. 'But the confederacy at the same time gave its guarantee that the imperial state should re-enter upon these suspended rights, so soon as the war should be at an end'. This view may be right, but it can scarcely be drawn from the present passage, which seems rather to mean that Lepreum made the war an excuse for evading a legitimate payment, and the Eleans claimed their rights as soon as their hands were free. But at the same time $\pi \rho \phi a \sigma \sigma$ can undoubtedly be used of a real ground or occasion, c. g. vi. 6, 1, τη άληθεστάτη προφάσει.

 τινες—i.e. all persons concerned; i. 40, 5, τούς ξυμμάχους αὐτόν τινα κολάζειν.

 τὸ αὐτὸ λέγοντες—'holding the same language', i.e. acting in concert; iv. 20, fin. ἡμῶν καὶ ὑμῶν ταὐτὰ λεγόντων ; 'their aristocratical forms of government, and their common hatred of Athens, as well as their neighbourhood to each other, tending to draw them together' (Arnold): cf. ch. 38, 7, $\tau \partial \gamma \partial \rho a \dot{a} \tau \partial \epsilon \pi \sigma low$, of the same states. Classen and others take the words to mean '(though) holding the same views' as the Corinthians and other actual seceders, but this rendering seems not to agree with the sense of the passage and would require $\ddot{\sigma}\mu\omega s$ or the like.

31. περιορώμενοι—probably 'looking about them', i.e. waiting the event and not committing themselves to either side. In all other passages where περιοράσθαι occurs in Thueydides it is in the middle voice. It is used (1) standing alone, vi. 93, 1, μέλλοντες έτι καl περιορώμενοι: vi. 103, 2, πρότερον περιεωρώντο: vii. 33, 2, πρότερον περιορώμενοι: (2) with a dependent clause, iv. 73, 1, περιορωμένουs όποτέρων ή νίκη έσται: (3) with a case, ii. 43, 4, μή περιοράσθα τούς πολεμικούς κυδύνους, where the meaning is open to question, but is probably 'regard anxiously', 'weigh too nicely' (Jowett): iv. 124, 4, Μένδης περιορώμενος, 'anxious about'. These are all the instances of the word, to which may be added a rare use of the active aorist, iv. 71, 1, τό μέλλον περιδεΐν, the aorist middle not being in use.

In the present passage a difficulty is caused by the following words, $\dot{\upsilon n} \partial \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta a \mu \rho \nu (\omega \nu)$, which are found in all Mss. If they be genuine, and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \nu \rho \iota$ is to retain its usual meaning, they must be taken with $\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \alpha \dot{\chi} \rho \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \nu \rho \iota$ in the sense 'by reason of Lacedaemonian influence'. Such a construction though harsh is not impossible.

Otherwise, $\pi e \rho_i o \rho \dot{\omega} \mu e \nu a$ must be regarded as a passive. If this view be admissible, 'jealously watched' (Jowett), 'treated with honour and consideration', gives the best sense, and corresponds to the use of the middle in iv. 124, 4. Grote's rendering 'being left to themselves', i.e. not appealed to, as the Corinthians were (ch. 30), does not correspond to the use of the word in the active, which in the sense of overlooking requires a participle or the like expressed or implied. And the once accepted rendering 'despised', or 'feeling themselves slighted', is equally inconsistent with classical usage, besides which it is doubtful as a statement of fact and necessitates giving a harsh, though possible, adversative meaning to $\kappa al =$ 'and yet thinking'.

Classen follows Dobree in omitting the words $i\pi\delta \tau \, \hat{\omega}\nu \, \Lambda a\kappa\epsilon$ - $\delta a_{\mu\rho\nu}i\omega\nu$, as an explanatory gloss of the supposed passive construction; while Haase's conjecture is very tempting, $\tau d \, d\pi \delta$ $\tau \hat{\omega}\nu$, 'waiting to see what course the Lacedaemonians took'.

33. autois-referring to both states. An oligarchical

revolution had been effected at Megara in 424 (iv. 74). $\pi \alpha \lambda_{1-\tau \epsilon l \alpha s}$ -form of government; i. 18, 1: ii. 37, 1: in both cases with $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

CHAPTER XXXII

2. Σκιωναίους—ch. 2, 6. $d\pi \acute{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \epsilon_i vav-see$ iv. 122 fn. ψήφισμα ἐτσιήσαντο Σκιωναίους έξελεῖν τε καὶ ἀποκτεῖναι. Classen points out that Brasidas is said to have removed the women and children from Scione and Mende to Olynthus (iv. 123, 4). The removal must have been incomplete. Similarly it is said of the Spartans at Plataea, in 427, γυναῖκας ὑνδραν δισαν (iii. 68, 3), though the women and children were removed by the Athenians in 431 (ii. 6 fin.). In the case of Plataea the women were probably the 110 γυναῖκες σιτσποιοί (ii. 78, 3) who remained in the city. The absence of the article proves nothing, as it is regularly omitted in these phrases.

 Πλαταιεῦσιν—some Plataeans (ol ἀχρειότατοι) had been removed to Athens in 431 (ii. 6), while 212 cut their way out in 428 (iii. 28, 2).

ib. $\Delta\eta\lambda(\cos \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ -see ch. 1. This statement agrees with Arnold's note, there cited, as to the anxiety of the Athenians to propitiate Apollo. $i\nu\vartheta\upsilon\mu\omega\dot{\mu}\nu\omega\tau$. 1. 42, 1. $\dot{\omega}\nu\,i\nu\vartheta\upsilon\eta\varthetai\tau$ est i. 120, 6: see note on $i\nu\vartheta\upsilon\mu\dot{\omega}\tau$ ch. 16, 22. In meaning the force of the word extends to the end of the sentence. Two considerations determined the Athenians, which are connected by $\tau\epsilon$ and $\kappa\alpha i$ with the usual variation of construction. $\tau\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$ deco $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\alpha\tau\sigma\sigma$ is genitive absolute.

8. κal Φωκής—nothing more is said of this war. μέγα μέρος—lit. 'a considerable fraction' (of the Peloponnesian powers): so ii. 20, 3, oi 'Αχαρνής μέγα μέρος όντες τής πόλεως. Tegea was of importance, being an independent state on the borders of Laconia. For the attraction of the participle öν cf. Plat. Protag. 354 c, τήν ήδονήν διώκετε ώς άγαθδν ἕν, where Wayte cites numerous instances.

 ἀνέῶσαν τῆς—' slackened in', partitive genitive; vii.
 43. 7, ἀνέντων τῆς ἐφόδου: Dem. etc.: so i. 112, 1, πολέμου ἔσχον οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι: viii. 31, 1, τούτου ἐπέσχεν. Compare the twofold nse of μεθίημι.

17. ἐς τοὺς Βοιωτούς—' into Boeotia'; ch. 33, 4: iv. 108, 5, ἐν τοῖς Βοιωτοῖς πεπληγμένων. 19. $i\pi\iota\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta ds$ —only found here. It appears to mean a subsequent or renewed truce; cf. ch. 22, 11, $i\pi\iota\sigma\pi\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$. The same truce is called $\delta\epsilon\chi\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota$ $\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta al$ in line 29, and $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\chi\epsilon\iota\rho la$ here and ch. 26, 16.

23. $\kappa a \sigma \phi(\sigma \iota \pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \iota - \iota to effect, or obtain it for them$ $too', <math>not = \pi o \iota \epsilon \delta \sigma \theta a \iota$. $d\pi \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \bullet \iota - \epsilon h$. 43, 13. $d\pi \epsilon \iota a \iota \pi \delta \mu - a \iota \pi \delta \mu - a \iota \pi \delta \mu + \epsilon to the primary subject of <math>K \circ \rho \iota \mu \theta \iota a \iota$: cf. ch. 40, 14. $a \iota \tau \circ \delta r$: and see note on iv. 50, 2; and Poppo on i. 17.

28. ἐπισχεῖν—viii. 5, 2, Εὐβοίας μὲν πέρι ἐπισχεῖν: also absolutely, and as transitive, ch. 63, 15: iv. 5, 1, ὁ στρατὸς ἔτι ἐν ταῖς ᾿Αθήναις ῶν ἐπέσχεν. εῦροντο—of obtaining what one desires; i. 31, 2, ὡφελίαν τινὰ εὐρίσκεσθαι.

31. $\epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \sim \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \delta \delta s$ —see ch. 18, where the peace is made between Athens and Sparta and their respective allies. We see from ch. 36, 6, that the Corinthians and Boeotians still stood in the position of Lacedaemonian allies. $\delta \xi_1 o \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \dots$ $\sigma \phi (\sigma \iota \nu \dots though the Corinthians called on them to do so, and$ said in expostulation that they had so covenanted with them'.

34. $dva\kappa\omega\chi\eta$ do $\pi ov\delta os$ — 'cf. i. 40, 4, Kopuvblois $\mu \ell \nu \gamma \epsilon \ell \nu$ - $\sigma \pi ov\delta ol \ell \sigma \tau \epsilon$, Kepkupalois $\delta \ell$ ov $\delta \ell$ d' $dva\kappa\omega\chi\eta$'s $\pi \ell m \sigma r' \epsilon'\gamma \ell \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. By do $\pi ov\delta \sigma$ is meant a mere agreement in words, not ratified by the soleminities of religion. And the Greeks considered the breach of their word very different from the breach of their oath. See ii. 5, 7, where the Plataeans maintain that they never swore to the Thebans not to injure them' (Arnold). Grote however considers that the words only mean a simple absence of hostilities de facto, not arising out of any recognized pledge; so i. 66; cf. ch. 25, 14: ch. 26, 18. $dva\kappa\omega\chi\eta$ is the form which is invariably found in the manuscripts; $\omega \circ \kappa\omega\chi\eta$ however, which Classen reads, is in accordance with analogy and derivation. See note on iv. 117, 2.

CHAPTER XXXIII

4. τη̂s 'Αρκαδίας—in this construction, as Krüger points out, the genitive, which commonly stands first, has the article, while the word on which it depends is usually without; iii. 19, 2, τη̂s Kaplas ἐκ Μυοῦντοs ἀναβάs. For ἐs Παρρασίους, cf. ch. 32, 17, ἐs Βοιωτούs. The Parthasians, an original Arcadian race, occupied a district south-east of mount Lycaeus. 5. $i\pi\kappa a\lambda \epsilon \sigma a\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu - sc. \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Happaslow: see note on iv. 73, 3, $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\eta \sigma \sigma \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Similarly in ch. 31, 13, we have $\pi a \nu \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, where the accusative might have been expected.

6. $\tau \delta \ \epsilon \nu \ K \upsilon \psi \epsilon \lambda \delta \iota s \ \tau \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \chi \delta s$ —viii. 20, 2, $\tau \delta \ \epsilon \nu \ \tau \tilde{\jmath} \ T \epsilon \psi \ \tau \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \chi \delta s$. Cypsela appears to have been a town in the level country, on the Alpheus. $\dot{a} \nu a \nu \rho \tilde{\iota} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$ —so ch. 77, 7. $\kappa a \theta a \mu \rho \tilde{\iota} r$, to dismantle or pull down, is much more common in this connexion.

9. $i\pi i \tau_{\Pi} \sum_{\kappa i \rho} (\tau_i \delta_i - i\pi l, 'to command or annoy'; so ch. 51, 5: cf. ch. 7, 20. Sciritis was a mountain district in the north of Laconia.$

11. τὴν πόλιν—their own city. τὴν ξυμμαχίαν—'the territory of their allies'; iv. 118, 3, ἐπιμισγομένους ἐς τὴν ξυμμαχίαν. Parrhasia seems meant, or possibly the district which the Mantineans had conquered, see ch. 29.

CHAPTER XXXIV

1. τῶν ἀπό—attractional, and referring to ἡκόντων, as ἐξελθύντων denotes the expedition to Thrace. Poppo compares ch. 65, 17, τοἰs ἀπὸ τοῦ λόφου, also ii. 80, 1, τῶν ἀπὸ θaλάσσης, and a similar construction with ἐκ in ii. 83, 1. Krüger's suggested transposition, ἀπὸ θράκης τῶν, is therefore not necessary. For the military use of ἐξελθεῶν cf. ch. 8, 8: ch. 54, 12.

 οῦς ở Κλεαρίδας—in accordance with his instructions, as given at the end of ch. 21. The Lacedaemonians seem now to have evacuated Amphipolis, which remained in the hands of the Chalcidians. We read of an unsuccessful attempt on the part of the Athenians to recover it in 414 (vii. 9).

5. $\tau o \dot{v} s \mu \dot{v}$ —answered by $\tau o \dot{v} s \dot{\delta}'$ in line 10, but in consequence of the intervening paragraphs the construction with $\dot{\epsilon} i \eta \eta \dot{\rho} i a a \tau o \dot{s}$ dropped, and a fresh verb brought in. For the position of the participle $\mu \alpha \chi \epsilon \sigma a \mu \dot{\epsilon} v o v s$ see note on iv. 5, 2: and compare such passages as i. 11, 2, $\tau o \hat{v} \delta \dot{a} \tau o \dot{v} s \pi \sigma i \eta \tau \dot{a} s \lambda \delta \gamma o v \kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \delta \tau o s$.

 οἰκεῖν ὅπου ἀν βούλωνται—'the Helots, like the villains of the middle ages, were glebae adstricti, and not allowed to leave the spot which was allotted to them. Thus the permitting them "to live where they liked" was an essential part of their emancipation' (Arnold).

ib. ὕστερον οὐ πολλῷ—soi. 18, 2: i. 137, 1 etc. τῶν νεοδαμωδῶν—according to Müller these were probably free Helots of some standing, or the sons of enfranchised serfs; see Arnold. This is the first time that they are mentioned as employed in military service; see also ch. 67, 5. In vii. 58, 3, we find that the Lacedaemonian force which Gylippus took to Syracuse consisted of Neodamodes and Helots; where Thucydides adds the explanation δύναται δὲ τὸ νεοδαμῶδες ἐλεύθερον ἤδη εἶνα.

8. is $\Lambda i \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \nu$ —see ch. 31, 20. $i \pi i \tau \eta s$ —'in the direction of', as in $\tau \dot{\alpha} i \pi i \Theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta s$.

10. τούς δ' ἐκ τῆς νήσου—ol ἐκ τῆς νήσου is the regular expression for these captives. Here, with the addition of $\lambda\eta\phi\theta\ell\nu\tau\alpha s$, it is a pregnant phrase, as noted on line 1.

12. δείσαντες κ.τ.λ.—'fearing lest they might suppose that their misfortune would stand in their way, and if they possessed their full rights they might attempt some revolutionary design'. τι is probably to be taken with νεωτερίσωσιυ. Both νομίσαντες and öντες ἐπίτιμοι seem to have a hypothetical force, otherwise there is some awkwardness in the connecting κal. For την ξυμφοράν, meaning the surrender at Pylos, see note on ch. 28, 15: cf. iv. 17, 1, έs την ξυμφοράν : iv. 20, 2, ξυμφοράs μετρίως κατατιθεμένης. ἐλασσωθήσεσθαι—ch. 30, 18.

14. $\tau_i \nu ds$ —'in some cases'; in partial apposition to τ_{ods} $\lambda_\eta \phi d\epsilon \tau ras: cf. ch. 96, 3, d \pi o \sigma \tau d \tau res \tau_i \nu \epsilon s. d \tau_{ij}(d \alpha) is a cognate$ accusative carrying on the idea of the words before; see noteon doubelar ch. 9, 42.

15. μήτε άρχειν κ.τ.λ.— 'that is, they deprived them of their eligibility to offices, and reduced them in civil contracts to the condition of sojourners or foreigners, who could neither hold property, nor sue or be sued in their own name' (Arnold).

16. ύστερον χρόνω—so i. 8 fin. etc.: with χρόνοιs Dem. etc. 'ages after'.

CHAPTER XXXIV (B.C. 421)

CHAPTER XXXV

1. $i\nu \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi}$ —elsewhere 'A θ_{Ψ} s is masculine as in ch. 3, 30: iv. 109, 2. A few manuscripts here have τ_{Ψ} . It has been suggested that $\dot{\eta}$ 'A θ_{Ψ} s denotes the region as opposed to the mountain. The reading of the whole passage is however uncertain, as a difficulty is caused by the word $\Delta \mu \kappa \tau_{\Psi} \delta \hat{\eta}_{S}$. The Dictidians are unknown, and there may be a corruption in the name. In ch. 82, 1, the true reading $\Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}$ is in some copies corrupted into $\Delta \mu \kappa \tau_{\Psi} \delta \hat{\eta}_{S}$. Diam is mentioned in iv. 109, 3 as a town in the peninsula of Athos. Accordingly here editors have adopted $\tau \dot{\eta}_{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon}_{\Psi} \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi} \Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}, \tau \dot{\eta}_{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon}_{\Psi} \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi}^{*} \Delta \kappa \tau_{\Pi}^{*} \Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}$, or $\tau \dot{\eta}_{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon}_{\Psi} \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi} \Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}, \tau \dot{\eta}_{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon}_{\Psi} \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi}^{*} \Delta \kappa \tau_{\Pi}^{*} \Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}$, or $\tau \dot{\eta}_{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon}_{\Psi} \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi} \Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}, \tau \dot{\eta}_{\Psi} \dot{\epsilon}_{\Psi} \tau_{\Pi}^{*} A\theta_{\Psi}^{*} \Delta \kappa \tau_{\Pi}^{*} \Delta i \hat{\eta}_{S}$. Poppo points out that Thucydides simply calls the peninsula 'A \kappa \tau_{\Pi}^{*} (iv. 109, 3); while moreover Dium was friendly to the Athenians till 417, when it revolted to the Chalcidians (ch. 82). He therefore proposes instead of $\Delta \mu \kappa i \delta \tau_{\Pi}^{*}$ to read Xa k i \delta \sigma_{\Pi}^{*} or of Xa k i \delta \sigma_{S}. The Chalcidians would no doubt be hostile to Thyssus; there may however have been a private quarrel between Thyssus and Dium.

4. ἐπιμιζίαι—of reciprocal intercourse: cf. i. 2, 2, οὐδ' ἐπιμιγνύντες αδεῶς αλλήλοις: see note on ἔφοδοι line 40. ὑπώπτευον —so viii. 39, 2, ὑπώπτευον αὐτόν. Krüger notes (iv. 51) that the construction with a simple accusative is rare in Attic writers.

7. τὴν...οὐκ ἀπόδοσιν—cf. ch. 50, 19: so i. 137, 7, τὴν τῶν γεφυρῶν οὐ διάλυσιν: iii. 95, 2, τὴν οὐ περιτείχισιν. It is a peculiarity of Thucydides, though occasionally found in other writers. Here ἀλλήλοις is added to the verbal substantive.

 την γὰρ 'Αμφίπολιν—the restoration of Amphipolis was the main point, accordingly its name stands prominently in the sentence. The ἄλλα χωρία are those specified in ch. 18, 22 sq. and also Panactum. πρότεροι λαχόντες—ch. 21, 1.

11. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i \chi o \nu$ —note the change of tense. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i \chi o \nu$ takes the same construction with an adjective in ii. 84, 3, rois $\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta r \alpha is$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \ell \rho \alpha s$ ras $\kappa \alpha \rho \epsilon i \chi o \nu$, and in other passages. $\lambda \ell \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \epsilon (- though they continued to declare'.$

14. χρόνους δέ προύθεντο-apparently 'proposed certain dates', χρόνοι being plural because stipulations affecting dif-

THUCYDIDES V

ferent states were proposed. The scholiast explains $\pi \rho o \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau o$ by $\delta \tau a \xi a \nu$: but the middle means 'to put forward on one's own part': cf. Eur. Iph. T. 1225, $\tau a \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a \, \delta \sigma a \, \pi \rho o \delta \theta \epsilon \mu \eta \nu$, i.c. 'ordered'.

15. ξυγγραφής—'a bond' or written agreement. They would only commit themselves to a verbal arrangement. $\chi \rho \eta \nu$ —i.e. according to the Spartan proposal. The imperfect is accommodated to the past tense of προϊθεντο, cf. ii. 51, 3, οὐδὲ ἐν κατέστη Ιαμα ὅ τι χρήν προσφέροντας ὡφελεῖν: and see note on iv. 29, fin. η χρηψ ἐπιβοηθεῖν.

17. ὑπετόπευον—the same form occurs in viii. 76, 1: ὑποτοπεῶν ii. 5, 3, etc. ὑποπτεύω is the general word in Attic prose, as in ch. 35, 6. οὕτε Πύλον—answered by τά τε ἄλλα, the clause with $d\lambda\lambda d$ καί being parenthetical.

 ποιήσειαν—'should have done', corresponding to έως ^άν ποιήσωσι with a primary tense. δνταs—order as in ch. 34, 6.

26. καl εί του άλλου—' and (had done) everything else in their power', rather than 'had recalled their men from any other place in their hands'. For ϵt ris cf. ch. 37, 13: so iv. 26, 5, δεάγειν σ΄του...καl εί τι άλλο βρωμα.

29. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu$ —'get back', in order to restore to Athens: cf. ch. 30, 17. κομε $\dot{\iota}\nu$ in the line below probably depends on $\xi\phi\alpha\alpha\alpha\nu$: Krüger however connects it with $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\epsilon\sigma\dot{\nu}\alpha$, referring to i. 27, 2, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\theta}\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$; $\xi\nu\mu\pi\rho\sigma\pi\dot{\iota}\mu\dot{\psi}\epsilon\nu$, where he cites many similar instances of the future infinitive.

31. Meronylous-from Naupactus; see iv. 41. Note the use of the articles here and in line 37.

39. ἐν Κρανίοις—one of the four cities of Cephallenia, ii. 31 fin. ἔφοδοι—of mutual intercourse; i. 6, 1, διὰ τὰς οὐκ ἀσφαλεῖς παρ' ἀλλήλους ἐφόδους: cf. ἐπιμιξία, ἐπιμίγνυμι: and see Barton and Chavasse on ἐπήρχοντο, iv. 120, 1.

CHAPTER XXXVI

4. $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta a \hat{s}$ —speaking generally. Some manuscripts have $\tau a \hat{s} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \delta a \hat{s}$, which is read by Classen. $\xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi (\delta o s$ —ch. 110 fin.: i. 110, 4, $\ell \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu A \partial \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a i \tau \hat{\eta} s \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \eta s \xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi i \delta o s$. Also as fem. adj. i. 98, 2, etc. 'A $\theta \eta \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.—the Athenians were newly allied with Sparta. The Corinthians, though the prime movers of the intrigues against Sparta, were not in open hostility.

8. **ovôté** – Krüger compares ii. 5, 4, $\frac{1}{7}r$ τι ξυμβαίνωσι: so iv. 41, 1, μέχρι οῦ τι ξυμβῶσι. We have similar neuter accusatives with $\pi\epsilon i\theta \omega$ and such words. **oῦτοι οἶπερ** – so Hdt. viii. 124: vii. 170, οῦτος ὅσπερ. **ταὐτά** – sc. ἀλλήλωs, 'to act in close concert with each other, instead of disputing as they had done: see ch. 32 fm.' (Arnold). This is better than rendering 'to maintain the same policy as heretofore', i.e. to hold aloof still from alliance with Athens.

11. λόγους ποιοῦνται ίδίους—'confer privately' or 'separately'; cf. ch. 37, 8, ές λόγους ήλθον.

13. Bowro's—subject of $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$. After the appositional clause with $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma \dot{s}$, $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d}$ Bowr $\hat{\omega} \nu$ is written instead of $\mu \epsilon \theta' \dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ to avoid any possible misunderstanding. The sentence is thus made laboured and clumsy, as in ch. 32 § 4. Jowett compares the repetition of ' $A \theta \eta \nu a \delta \sigma \dot{s}$ in ch. 18, 34. Ullrich suggests $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ Kour $\theta \mu \nu \epsilon \dot{d}$ Bowr $\dot{\omega} \nu$.

16. $\eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau' a \nu$ —this reading, instead of $\eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a$, is adopted by all modern editors. They also assume that the force of $a \nu$ extends to the following $i \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. Kühner gives examples of similar construction from Xenophon in his note on Anab. i. 6, 2. In the present passage it seems simpler to take $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ as a positive statement, 'the Lacedaemonians chose'.

18. $\pi \rho d \tau \eta s$ —there is a confusion of thought, or rather of expression, in this sentence. The meaning is that the Spartans attached more importance to gaining the friendship of Argos than to incurring the enmity of Athens. The two contingencies are put as it were in opposite scales. So $\pi \rho \delta$, 'in preference to', is really equivalent to 'at the price of'. Again we may say that, as in Greek a negative is repeated in a negative clause, so here 'Adηvalue $\chi d\rho as$ is written, instead of $\phi \lambda \lambda as$, with a proleptic force.

Two somewhat similar instances of άντί, implying exchange, are quoted from Aristotle: Rhet. ii. 23, 19, ότε μέν γάρ το μένειν ἀντὶ τοῦ μάχεσθαι ἡροῦντο, ὅτε δὲ τὸ μὴ μάχεσℓαι ἀντὶ τοῦ μὴ μένειν, 'at one time they preferred staying at the price of fighting, at another not fighting at the expense of not staying' (Cope): Eth. Nic. iii. 1, 7, ὅταν αἰσχρόν τι ἡ λυπηρὸν ὑπομένωσι ἀντὶ μεγάλων καὶ καλῶν, where ἀντί means 'when weighed against the prospect of'. See also Liddell and Scott ἀντί, ii. 2.

Classen takes $\pi\rho\delta$ to mean 'before they became openly hostile to Athens', comparing iii. 59, 3, $\epsilon i\lambda\delta\mu\epsilon\theta a \gamma a\rho \, d\nu \, \pi\rho\delta$ $\gamma\epsilon \, \tau o\delta\tau ov$: but there too preference of choice seems implied rather than priority of time.

21. $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}_{S}$ —'on fair and honourable terms', or, according to Stahl, =opportune, 'under favourable conditions', as in ch. 65, 28: i. 124, 1; cf. $\pi \acute{\alpha} \tau \omega_{S}$, ch. 41, 22. $\kappa \vec{\alpha} \lambda \omega_{S}$ and $\kappa a i$ $\vec{\alpha} \lambda \omega_{S}$, 'at all events', are suggested emendations. $\eta \gamma o \acute{\mu} e \sigma \omega$ —Classen adopts the alteration into $\eta \gamma o \iota \mu \acute{e} \tau o \omega_{S}$: otherwise either (1) the Ephors are identified with the government generally; see ch. 37, 9, $\sigma \acute{\rho} \acute{\sigma} \iota$: or (2) the actual construction is disregarded, and $\eta \gamma o \acute{\mu} \acute{\sigma} \iota$: or (2) the actual construction is disregarded, and $\eta \gamma o \acute{\mu} \acute{\sigma} \iota$: or (2) the actual construction possible; and cannot be supported on the analogy of such passages as iv. 52, 3, $\eta \nu a \acute{\sigma} \acute{\sigma} \iota \eta \delta i \acute{\sigma} o a \ldots \kappa \rho a \tau \nu \acute{\sigma} \mu e \sigma \iota$ for there the subject of the sentence is the same in sense though not in actual construction. $\dot{\rho} \acute{q} \omega \dots \dot{\rho} \acute{q} o \nu$

23. $i\delta \epsilon o v ro Bo \omega ro i s --- i f$ the text be sound, we must adopt the accepted explanation, 'they asked (the enroys) as regards the Boeotians', $= i\delta \epsilon \delta v ro \delta \pi \omega s$ Bo $\omega ro i$, for $\delta \epsilon \omega a a$ governs the genitive of the person to whom request is made. See iii. 51, 2, for an accusative supplying the subject to a clause with $\delta \pi \omega s$. Krüger suggests $i ro \delta v r o$ which Classen would prefer $i \pi i \gamma \sigma v r o$ (ch. 41, 12). But even thus the following future with $\delta \pi \omega s$ is an awkward and scarcely admissible construction, and it seems as if an infinitive had dropped out dependent on $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \omega r r o$ and governing Bo $\omega r \sigma \delta s$. Classen suspects the genuineness of the whole sentence, which he thinks may be a clumsy adaptation of ch. 39, 9.

CHAPTER XXXVII

2. raità incoralµévoi—the dative 'remotioris objecti' which would follow the active verb becomes the subject of the passive participle, while the accusative of the direct object is joined with it; so i. 126, 6, ol $\ell \pi \iota rerpaµ kevoi the direct$ Here the accusative is further connected with the following $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon\,\dot{a}\pi a\gamma\gamma\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\lambda a\iota.\,\dot{a}\pi \acute{o}$ on the part of '.

5. ἐπὶ τὰ κοινά—' to their respective communities' or 'governments', each of which was a κοινόν (i. 89, 3 etc.). This is the plural of a collective singular; so ναυτικά, 'fleets': cf. iii. 82 fin. τὰ μέσα τῶν πολιτῶν, the moderate or intermediate party (τὸ μέσον) in the several states: Ar. Eth. Nic. iii. 8, 9, τὰ πολιτικὰ μένοντα ἀποθνήσκει, 'armies (not, an army) of citizens stand their ground to the death'.

6. $\tau \eta \hat{s} d\rho \chi \eta \hat{s} \tau \eta \hat{s} \mu \epsilon \gamma (\sigma \tau \eta \hat{s} - \rho ossibly the <math>d\rho \tau \tilde{v} r a \iota$ mentioned in ch. 47, 61. $\sigma \phi (\sigma \iota = \cdot)$ with us' i.e. the Argive nation. After $\tau o \dot{\tau} \sigma v \sigma \rho \sigma \mu \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma s$ the subject expands still further, $\pi \sigma \lambda \hat{\epsilon} - \mu \epsilon \tilde{v} \kappa \tau \lambda$. referring to the entire body of proposed allies. a v is to be taken with these last infinitives.

9. $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ Kop($\nu\theta_{100}$ K. τ . λ .—see ch. 31, 28. The Mantineans had been the first to join the league, ch. 29, 1. $\eta\delta\eta = iam$, when this point was reached, or this advantage secured.

13. κοινῷ λόγω χρωμένους—acting and treating in common; so iv. 64, 3, of mutual conference. «ζ τινα='any whatever'; i. 14, 3, 'Αθηναῖοι καὶ εἴ τινες ἅλλοι: cf. ch. 35, 26. τούτων ὦνπερ—i.e. ἄπερ, with ἐπεστάλκεσαν αὐτοῖς. The φίλοι are Xenares and his adherents.

20. βοιωτάρχαις—see iv. 91, 1. ήρέσκοντο—ch. 4, 13. ές τὰ όμοῖα σπείδειν—Classen quotes Xen. Cyr. i. 3, 4, εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ ἡμ^ˆν σπεύδετε: elsewhere Thucydides uses σπεύδειν without a preposition, as in ch. 16, 9. τὰ εἰρημένα προκαλούμενοι—'with the stipulated proposals'; ii. 74, 2, προκαλεσάμενοι πολλά.

CHAPTER XXXVIII

1. ἐδόκει—not ἔδοξε, for they did not carry their resolution. τοῖς ἀπὸ Θράκης—the Chalcidians, ch. 31, 29. ἐν τῷ παρατυχώντι—iii. 82, 7: i. 122, 1, πρὸς τὸ παρατυγχάνον. τῷ δεομένψ—used generically, like the well-known phrase ὁ βουλόμενο (ch. 28, 6). We find other instances of the generic singular, e.g. iv. 92, 5, τὸν μὲν ἡσυχάζοντα...ἐπιστρατείειν.

6. γνώμης- 'resolution' or 'decision'. ούτως = after this;

iii. 96, 2, τάλλα καταστρεψάμενος οὕτως...στρατεῦσαι: for ηκη see ch. 37, 11. τὸ αὐτὸ ἐποιοῦν—ch. 31, 30, τὸ αὐτὸ λέγοντες.

9. ταῖς τέσσαρσι βουλαῖς—not mentioned elsewhere; nothing seems known about them. ἐπ' ὡφελία—mutui auxilii gratia (Poppo); see i. 3, 2, ἐπαγομένων αὐτοῦς ἐπ' ὡφελεία. The manuscripts always vary between ὡφελία and ὡφέλεα. The latter, according to Liddell and Scott, is the usual prose form; but Bekker reads ὡφελία throughout Thucydides.

15. $i\kappa\epsilon (\nu\omega\nu)$ —note the use of this pronoun to denote the Lacedaemonians, though they have just been mentioned. See note on iv. 37, 2: also Shilleto on i. 132, 3.

20. $\mu\epsilon\tau d \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \ \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta a \mu o \nu (\omega\nu - Classen follows Stahl in reading <math>\mu\epsilon\tau' a d\tau \hat{\omega}\nu$ for $\mu\epsilon\tau d \tau \hat{\omega}\nu$, understanding $\xi \nu \mu \mu d \chi o \nu s$ with $\gamma l \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. This agrees exactly with ch. 36, 14. Otherwise either (1) $\mu\epsilon\tau d \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \dots \gamma' \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$: means 'to join Sparta', as in iv. 125, 1, of 1 $\lambda \lambda \nu \rho i d \mu\epsilon\tau' \ \Lambda \rho \rho \beta a lov \ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \ell \nu \tau \rho \tau a$ (Krüger): or (2), supplying $\xi \nu \mu \mu d \chi o \nu s$, the sense is 'afterwards to become their allies in company with the Lacedaemonians, i.e. afterwards to form an alliance with the Lacedaemonians as well, in which the Argives and Corinthians would be included' (Jowett).

23. ἀντέστη τὸ πρâγμα—so ch. 4, 26. εἰ ταῦτα ἐπεισαν the aorist indicative, as Classen points out, implies 'an unfulfilled condition'. They had been intending to promote the alliance with Argos, (and they would have made the attempt) if they had not failed to persuade the councils. 27. οὐκέτι—i.e. they gave up the idea. ἐσήνεγκαν—so viii. 67, 1, with $\gamma νώμην$: Hdt. iii. 81 etc. ἕπεμπον—lit. 'went on to send', that is they gave up their intention. διατριβή cf. ch. 82, 20.

CHAPTER XXXIX

1. Μηκύβερναν—see ch. 18, 34, where the Athenians stipulate for its independence. It was 20 stadia from Olynthus, on the gulf of Torone. Strabo calls it the arsenal (ἐπίνειον) of Olynthus. ᾿Αθηναίων φρουρούντων—i. 89, 2, Σηστόν ἐπολιόρκουν Μήδων ἐχώντων, where Krüger collects instances of this frequent use of the genitive absolute.

8. $\kappa o \mu (\sigma a \sigma \theta a - \cdot recover'; ch. 15, 4 etc. i) \lambda \theta o v ... \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma - \beta \epsilon v \delta \mu \epsilon v o ... 31, 3. It is a regular phrase = <math>\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \delta \epsilon v \epsilon \mu \psi a v$. $\epsilon t \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon v o - \cdot t h is provision is not found either in the treaty or the alliance, but it may be understood, as Bishop Thirlwall remarks, in the last clause of the latter (ch. 23), or in the provision that neither party should conclude a war without the consent of the other (ib. 1. 9 and 16). Or it may have formed part of a separate agreement, which Thucydides has not given' (Jowett). For the accusative absolute see note on iv. 17, 2; and Goodwin § 851.$

17. $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta \hat{\epsilon} v$ —i.e. in order to transfer to Athens, the regular use of this word; the converse of which is $\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta \delta v a \iota$. $a \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta d \star \epsilon v$ and $a \pi o \delta i \delta \delta v a \iota$ are generally used of restoration without a third party intervening, but this is not an invariable rule, see ch. 30, 17: ch. 42, 7.

18. ξυγχέαι—only here in Thucydides; σπονδῶν ξύγχυσις, ch. 26, 33: i. 146, fn.: Dem. Timocr. 729 (91), δλην ξυγχεῖ τὴν πολιτείαν: Verg. Aen. v. 496, confundere foedus. προνθυμουμένων—with accusative; cf. ch. 17, 7. τά ές Bourośs— the arrangements with Boeotia'; ch. 46, 6, τὰ πρός Άργείου.

20. τοῦ χειμῶνος κ.τ.λ.—cf. ch. 56, 19: iv. 135, 1. καθηρεῖτο—the Boeotians at once began to dismantle the fortress; see ch. 42, 8.

6

CHAPTER XL

1. ἄμα...θέρους—so iv. 117, 1, άμα $\tilde{\eta} \rho i \tau \sigma \hat{\nu}$ $\epsilon \pi i \gamma i \gamma \nu o \mu \ell \nu o \nu$ θέρους: vi. 8, 1, τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους ἅμα $\tilde{\eta} \rho i$: χειμών and θέρος being the half-yearly periods by which Thucydides reckons.

3. où χ η kov—this is Krüger's conjecture for the MSS. reading *kovro*. One manuscript has η kovro, which seems a confusion with the following $\tau \delta$. The uncompounded *lkvéoµau* does not occur in prose except sometimes in the participle; i. 99, 3, $\tau \delta$ *lkveóµevor dváλωµa*: Hdt. vi. 84, µâλλov $\tau o\hat{v}$ *lkveoµévou*; id. vi. 65, *lkvevµérws*: Dem. Macart. 1072 § 66 (in an oracle).

4. καθαιρούμενον—imperfect, as at the end of ch. 39. $\tau \sigma i s$ Bourrois $\pi p i s$ —'between the Boeotians and Lacedaemonians': see note on iv. 80, 2. is $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \mu \rho \nu (\sigma s \dots, \chi \rho \rho i \sigma g \dots \pi \rho \delta s$ is more usual, as in ch. 22, 14: is, according to Classen, suggests the central position and centralising influence of Sparta. It may be so; on the other hand Thucydides uses is more loosely than any preposition to denote relation of every kind: see ch. 41, 6: and the note on ch. 39, 19.

13. ἐκ τῶν διαφορῶν—between Athens and Sparta. The Argives supposed that these differences were now at an end. aὐτοῖs—i.e. $\sigma \phi i \sigma i$: see note on ch. 32, 26.

14. ai πρός Λακεδαιμονίους...σπονδαί—see the end of ch. 14, etc. ἀποροῦντες ταῦτα—vii. 48, 5, τὰ μὲν ἀπορεῖν: Hdt. iv. 179, ἀπορέωτι τὴν ἐξαγωγήν. ἐν ἀρονήματι ὅντες—'proudly hoping', elatis animis sperantes (Poppo): cf. iii. 83, 4, καταφρονοῦντες κῶν προαισθέσθαι: iv. 80, 3, ὑπὸ ἀρονήματος, 'from their high spirit'.

23. ^ήγούμενοι...κράτιστα—it is open to doubt whether κράτιστα is to be taken (1) as an adjective with ^ήγούμενοι, 'thinking it the best course', or (2) adverbially with σπονδάs ποιησάμενοι, 'on the best terms admissible'. In the latter case $\dot{η}\gamma ο \dot{ν} μενοι$ is used, as in ii. 42, 4 (a very important passage), in the sense 'aequum censendi': cf. Plat. Protag. 346 B, Σιμωνίδης $\dot{γ}\gamma \dot{ρ} α το τ \dot{ρ} α ν νον ἐπαινέσαι: and see note on νομίζω, 'ν. 117, 1.$ (1) seems decidedly simpler. In i. 85, 3 κράτιστα is certainlyan adjective; and such neuter plurals (e.g. δυνατά) are not uncommon; while άπορα νομίζοντες (iii. 16, 2) is almost an exactparallel.

24. ὅπη ἀν ξυγχωρῆ— 'on whatever terms might be agreed on'. ξυγχωρεῖν, to accede or agree, does not seem to be elsewhere used impersonally, except in a var. lect. from Xenophon, given by Liddell and Scott. If the reading be right, it is to be explained on the analogy of $i\gamma\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{n}$, which is common; unless indeed τλ παράντα can be understood as the subject. If the impersonal usage were established, it would be convenient to take συγχωρῆσαι, Plat. *Phaedr.* 263 c, in this way.

CHAPTER XLI

1. ἀφικόμενοι αὐτῶν—for the order of the words, see note on ch. 10, 49, ξυστραφέντες. ἐφ' ϣ ἄν—this use of the relative for ὅτψ is not uncommon, especially in affirmative statements; see Poppo and Krüger on i. 136, 3, δηλοῖ ὅς ἐστι: i. 137, 2, φράζει ὅστις ἐστὶ καὶ δι' ἀ φεύγει: viii. 50, 5, γράψας ϣ ἀν τρύπψ αὐτὰ πράξειε. ἄν of course goes with the verb, not with ϕ̃: cf. vii. 36, 3, ξξ ῶν ἀ ἀνθρωποι δεινοι...δράσειαν.

5. $\ell\pi\iota\tau\rho\sigma\pi\eta\nu$ —cf. ch. 31, 15, $\delta\ell\kappa\eta s$ $\ell\pi\iota\tau\rho\sigma\pi\epsilon i\sigma\eta s$. $\sigma\phi i\sigma\iota$ $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ —Krüger considers $\sigma\phi i\sigma\iota$ as perhaps an interpolation from two lines above; but it emphasizes the anxiety of the Argives 'to be allowed to refer the question to arbitration'. 'This did take place at a later period, and the result was, that Thyrea was awarded to the Argives, and retained by them down to the time of Pausanias' (Arnold).

6. Kuvoroupías – Kuvoupías is also read, as in iv. 56, 2, where see the note. $\hat{\eta}s$ del $\pi\epsilon\rho_1$ —Classen, on i. 18, 3, és $\tau\delta\nu\delta\epsilon$ del $\tau\delta\nu$ $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\nu$, gives other instances of this position of deí. $\mu\epsilon\theta\sigma\rhoias$ —the $\theta\nu\rho\epsilon\hat{a}rs$ $\gamma\hat{\eta}$ is similarly described in ii. 27, 2; where Krüger also notes that adjectives compounded from $\delta\rho\sigma$ have often three terminations.

10. μεμνήσθαι-mentionem facere; a sense which, accord-

ing to Classen, is elsewhere confined to the aorist $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$, $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$ governs the genitive directly (vi. 15, 2) or takes $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ (viii. 47, 2). $\epsilon \dot{\tau}\sigma\hat{\iota}\mu\sigma\iota$ $\epsilon \dot{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$ —sc. $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\dot{\nu}\tau\alpha\nu$: constructed in accordance with the sense, as if $\epsilon \pi\epsilon\iota\hat{\sigma}\eta$ oùx $\epsilon \dot{\iota}\omega\nu$ had gone before: cf. vii. 3, 1, $\kappa\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\kappa\alpha$ $\pi\rho\sigma\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\mu\kappa\iota$ $\alpha\dot{\iota}\tau\sigma\hat{\iota}\hat{\lambda}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\sigma\iota\pia$, $\epsilon l..., \epsilon\dot{\tau}\sigma\hat{\iota}\mu\sigma$ $\epsilon \dot{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$ $\sigma\pi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$: so in viii. 104, 3, we have a genitive absolute construction passing into the nominative.

15. όποτεροισοῦν—'to either side'; ch. 18, 65: Plat. Rep. 509 A, ἀγαθδν δὲ ἡγεῖσθαι ὅπότερον αὐτῶν (alterutrum) οἰκ δρθδν: Dem. pro Mag. 209 § 27, ἐἀν μὴ ἐθέλωσι ποιεῖν ὅπότεροι ταῦτα: so uter is sometimes used indefinitely=either of two. προκαλεσαμένοις—'after due challenge'. πρότερόν ποτε—the account of this combat is given by Herodotus (i. 82). Three hundred picked men fought on either side. At nightfall two Argives and one Spartan remained alive. The Argives claimed the victory because ἐωυτῶν πλεῦνες περιγεγόνασι, the Spartans because their champion remained on the field, while the Argives went home. This was in the days of Croesus, in the middle of the sixth century.

18. αὐτοὶ ἐκάτεροι—Hdt. i. 82, αὐτοὶ ἐκάτεροι ἔφασαν νικῶν: Thuc. i 105, 4, ἐνόμισαν αὐτοὶ ἐκάτεροι οὐκ ἔλασσον ἔχειν. μωρία εἶναι ταῦτα—cf. ii. 41, 2, οὐ λόγων κόμπος τάδε μᾶλλον ἢ ἔργων ἐστἰν ἀλήθεια: Ar. Ach. 125, ταῦτα ὅῆτ' οὐκ ἀγχόνη;

22. $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau \omega s$ —'on any terms'; vi. 20, 1: Hdt. ix. 35. $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau \omega s$ $\sigma \nu \kappa s \acute{\omega} \rho \epsilon \acute{\omega} s \acute{o}$, accepted him on his own terms. $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$ is similarly used, Soph. Aj. 1369: Ant. 634: cf. ch. 36, 31, $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s$. $\xi \nu \kappa s \rho \acute{a} \psi a \nu \tau o$ —'had the terms drawn up'.

24. $\pi\rho l\nu \tau \epsilon \lambda os... \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota\nu - of final conclusion; iv. 118, 7, <math>\tau \epsilon \lambda os$ $\epsilon \chi o \sigma \tau \epsilon s$, of ambassadors with final powers. $\delta \epsilon i \xi a \iota - s c$. these terms, $a \iota \tau a$, hence the subject of $a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma \tau a$. Note the use of $\epsilon \iota \nu a$ with the participle. Krüger collects instances on i. 38, 2, $a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma \tau s$, $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$.

26. is tà Yakivoia-ch. 23, 24.

CHAPTER XLII

The two next chapters deal, as we see from the beginning of ch. 44, with what passed while the Boeotian envoys were at Sparta. 6. rois mapá—see note on ch. 15, 4. $air \hat{\omega}r$ --without Spartan sanction. We find however that the Athenians took a different view. $\kappa a \theta_{12} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ -the demolition of the works was now complete; see ch. 40 fin.

εκ διαφοράς— 'after a quarrel'; iv. 83, 3: iv. 125, 1.
 κοινή νέμειν— 'to use it as common pasture ground'. 'The land was left unenclosed, on purpose to avoid disputes about the boundary line' (Arnold).

15. καl τοῦτο ἀποδιδόναι— 'that this too was restoring it'; καl i.e. no less than actually giving it back, or perhaps 'even this', 'this in itself'. Most editors appear to take τοῦτο as governed directly by roµlζοντεs and ἀποδιδόναι as a predicate in apposition. It seems however better to regard τοῦτο as a cognate accusative with ἀποδιδόναι, in this, the literal rendering being 'thinking that thereby too they gave it back'. There is an exact parallel to this construction, Eur. Iph. T. 299, δοκῶν Ἐρινῶν θεἰs ἀµύνεσθαι τάδε, 'thinking that in this he was repelling the Furies'.

17. $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma o \mu} \epsilon_{\nu \omega \nu} = \epsilon_{as}$ soon as the Athenians heard this'; the use of the imperfect verbs and participles to the end of the chapter is to be noticed. $\delta \epsilon_{\alpha \nu} d \epsilon_{\pi \sigma} \delta_{\sigma \nu} - \epsilon_{\pi}$ made an outery', or 'broke out in uproar'. There are several instances of this use of the active in Hdt. e.g. ii. 121 r.; iii. 14: so [Dem.] Aristog. 788. $\delta \epsilon_{\alpha\nu} a \pi \alpha \epsilon_{\alpha} \sigma \theta a$, 'to take a thing ill'=indignari, is a recognized phrase which occurs i. 102, 4: vi. 60, 4: Hdt. iii. 155: vii. 1; $\pi \alpha \epsilon_{\alpha} \sigma \theta a$ having the sense of 'making for one's self', i.e. regarding. It has therefore been proposed to read $\epsilon \pi \alpha \alpha \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma$ the editors retain $\epsilon \pi \sigma \delta \sigma \nu$. As Barton and Chavasse point out, in the appendix to their edition of Book iv., the active refers to the external manifestations, noises etc., while the middle expresses the subjective feeling.

19. $\delta\rho\theta\delta\nu$ —so ch. 46, 15. Plutarch (Alc. 14) says Aakedatµbricol Ilávakrov oly érrűsar, űsrap édet, rols' Adyvalots mapédukar allávakranlúsarres. Thucydides says nothing of its restoration; but both accounts agree that the Athenians attributed bad faith to Sparta. kal muvdavóµevot—=' and because, as they heard'; kal with the participle corresponding to roû re...kadaıpéset. The conclusion of an alliance with Boeotia seems not to have been generally known at Athens till now. Yet the Argives knew of it from the first (ch. 40, 5).

 φάσκοντες πρότερον—see ch. 35, 14. σσα...τῆς ξυνθήκης—the editors seem to take ξυνθήκης as dependent on δσα and ἰξελελοίπεσαν as active. It seems better to regard ἐξελελοί-

THUCYDIDES V

πεσαν as intransitive, governing ξυνθήκης, with ὄσα as cognate accusative. It is not clear whether ἐνόμιζον ἐξηπατῆσθαι is a main statement, or a subordinate one with ὅσα supplied.

CHAPTER XLIII

The monotonous account of intrigues and counter-intrigues is broken for a moment by the mention of Alcibiades, who now for the first time comes upon the scene. He is the first man of commanding abilities who appeared at Athens since the death of Pericles, and henceforward the most prominent character to the close of our author's history.

 a⁵—'in their turn, on their side', as opposed to the war party in Sparta. ἐνέκευτο—instabant, see note on iv. 22, 2.

5. $\eta\lambda\iota\kappa\iotaq\ldots\iota\phi$ s—the age of Alcibiades is a subject of some discussion, owing to the loose language of the authorities we have. Their statements are collected in Poppo's edition. It seems however agreed that he was in his fifth year when his father Cleinias fell at Coronea in 447. He served in the expedition to Potidaea in 432 (i. 61 sq.), $\xi \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \Delta \kappa v \sigma' \sigma'$ (Plut. Alc. 7). He was therefore now about thirty.

 ώς ἐν ἄλλη πόλει—'ut in alia civitate'; see note on iv. 84, 2, ὡς Λακεδαιμόνιος. Thirty appears to have been the recognized age in Grecian states for entering on public life.

ib. dţiώµaτı προγόνων—according to Plutarch Alcibiades claimed descent from Eurysaces the son of Ajax. His mother was Dinomache, of the family of the Alcmaeonidae. In Dem. Meid. 561 § 144, where there is a description of the ancestry and achievements of Alcibiades, he is carelessly said $\pi p \delta s$ $\pi \pi r \rho \delta \cdot A \land \mu a \omega r \delta \delta w$ elva.

7. Kal äµεινον—he supported the Argives from actual conviction also, as well as on other grounds. où µέντοι ἀλλά— Krüger quotes Plato Phaed. 62 B, δόξειεν οῦτω γ' εἶναι ἀλογον οὐ µέντοι ἀλλ' Ισως ἔχει τινὰ λόγων: see Lildell and Scott, ἀλλά, II. 4. φρονήµατι ψιλονεικῶν—φρώνηµα is personal pride and self-esteem; as in ii. 43, 6, ἀνδρί γε φρώνηµα ἔχοντι: cf. ch. 40, 14. For the construction cf. iv. 64, 1, µωρία ψιλονεικῶν. 10. $\Lambda d\chi\eta\tau\sigma s$ —Laches is named next to Nicias in the lists of signatories in ch. 19 and 24. He also moved the ratification of the year's truce with Sparta in 423 (iv. 118. 7). $\xi\pi\rho_{a}\xi_{a}v$ — 'effected' or 'negotiated'; cf. what Aleibiades says at Sparta, vi. 89, 2, $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{s}$ $\pi\rho\hat{s}$ ' $\Lambda\theta\eta\nu aious$ $\kappa a\tau a\lambda\lambda a\sigma o \dot{\mu}\nu v$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\hat{o}\hat{s}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho\hat{o}\hat{s}$ $\dot{\delta}\nu\mu\mu\nu$, $\dot{\delta}i$ ' $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon i \omega\nu\nu$ $\pi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\xi}a\tau\epsilons$, $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\hat{o}i$ $\dot{\delta}i$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\mu\alpha\nu$ $\pi\epsilon\mu\ell\theta\epsilon\tau\epsilon$. Note the emphatic position of $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\nu}$. Most manuscripts have $\dot{\epsilon}au\tau\dot{\rho}$, which Classen reads.

12. κατά—lit. 'in accordance with'. προξενίαν—cf. vi. 89, 2, τῶν δ' ἐμῶν προγόνων τὴν προξενίαν ὑμῶν κατά τι ἔγκλημα ἀπειπόντων, αὐτὸς ἐγῶ πάλιν ἀναλαμβάνων ἐθεράπευον ὑμῶς ἀλλα τε καὶ περί τὴν ἐκ Ιἰύλου ξυμφοράν. For the position of ποτὲ οῦσαy see ch. 34, 6: 35, 24 etc.

15. $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon - \cdot \cdot a nd$ so feeling himself slighted (at a disadvantage) in every way'; $\tau \epsilon$ sums up and concludes. For $\epsilon \lambda a \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma a \iota$, cf. ch. 30, 18. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma \nu \dots \kappa a \iota \tau \delta \tau \epsilon - \cdot \cdot a s$ at first...so now'; ch. 75, 27: cf. note on iv. 103, 4, $\epsilon \pi \rho a \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \delta \sigma \infty \dots \kappa a \iota \tau \delta \tau \epsilon$.

16. $\beta \epsilon \beta a(ovs - \cdot to be relied on'; iii. 11, 1, \beta \epsilon \beta a(ov \epsilon pould v)$ $<math>\dot{\eta} \mu \ddot{\nu} \eta \sigma \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \dot{\mu} v$, $\sigma \phi(\sigma = \cdot \cdot w ih us', as in ch. 37, 9:$ 72, 10. $\xi \delta \lambda \omega \sigma \iota = expugnent, evertant;$ iv. 122, 6, $\Sigma \kappa \iota \omega \nu a(ovs \epsilon \delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \cdot a)$ also with names of places. Classen notes that in this sense the a orist is always used.

 ω΄ς... ὅντος... ξυμπράξων—cf. iv. 5, 1, ώς ή ούχ ὑπομενοῦντας (acc. abs.)...ή ῥαδίως ληψόμενοι βία.

CHAPTER XLIV

1. $\tau\eta s \tau \epsilon - \tau \epsilon$ and κai connect the two things which influenced the Argives, the message of Alcibiades, and true information about the Spartan and Boeotian alliance; in ch. 11, 11 we have a similar wording. $\kappa al \, \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$ —see ch. 58, 2, for the same combination of participial and relative construction.

2. où $\mu\epsilon\tau$ 'A $\theta\eta\nua(\omega\nu$ —so iii. 66, 2, où $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ $\tau\delta\hat{v}$ $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\sigma\nus$. $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ thus used is the converse of $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\nu$, iv. 78, 3, etc. The Argives were under a misapprehension (ch. 39, 14) when they sent their envoys. 7. of $\sigma\phi(\sigma\iota$ —lit. 'whom they had away in Lacedaemon' (ch. 41); cf. $a\dot{v}\tau\sigma\hat{s}$ ch. 3, 24. $\pi\rho\hat{s}\dots\hat{\tau}\hat{\gamma}\nu$ $\gamma\nu\hat{\omega}\mu\gamma\nu$ $\epsilon\bar{t}\chi\sigma\nu$ —so ch. 48, 12: cf. ch. 13 fin.

9. $d\pi\delta \pi a\lambda a co\hat{v}$ —i. 2, 3: $d\pi\delta \tau o\hat{v} \pi d\nu v d\rho \chi a lov ii. 15, 1.$ The two states had been in alliance since 463 (i. 102, 4). $\delta\eta\mu os\rho a rov\mu \ell \eta \nu \kappa.\tau \lambda$. the same words as in ch. 29, 10. $\tau\eta\nu$ $\kappa a \tau d \theta d\lambda a \sigma r a \nu$ —these words (omitted by Stahl) are a kind of afterthought. The Argives reflect that Athens is especially strong at sea, where they themselves are weakest.

 καθιστῶνται ἐς—i. 23, fin. ἐς τὸν πόλεμον κατέστησαν, and often: so ἐς φυγήν, φόβον etc. 'Ηλεΐοι—the Eleans and Mantineans had been the first to join the league; ch. 29 and 31. τῆς ξυμμαχίας—the contemplated alliance; ch. 13, 9.

16. δοκοῦντες—'who were accounted friendly to (on good terms with) the Athenians'. Philocharidas is one of the signatories named in ch. 19 and 24. Endius appears in vili. 6, 3, as connected by hereditary friendship with Alcibiades.

18. Set $\sigma a \nu \tau e_s$ —the envoys are identified with the government that sent them. $\tau \eta' \nu \tau e_{-\tau} \epsilon$ and $\kappa a'$ connect the two things the Lacedaemonians feared, that the Athenians would make an alliance with Argos and refuse to restore Pylos.

21. ἐπὶ κακῷ—iv. 86, 1, οἰκ ἐπὶ κακῷ ἐλήλυθα. The Lacedaemonian apology would involve some inconsistency with the statement in ch. 39, 14, εἰδότες μὲν ὅτι ἀδικήσουσιν ᾿Αθηναίους.

CHAPTER XLV

1. $\epsilon_{\nu} \tau_{\hat{\beta}} \beta_{\nu\lambda} \eta_{\hat{\eta}}$ —foreign ambassadors first presented their credentials to the βουλή, they were afterwards introduced to the $\epsilon_{\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma}$ la.

4. $\mu\eta$ καl $\eta\nu$ —καί most probably goes with έs τὸν δημον, the order being slightly irregular: Classen compares iv. 63, 2, $d\lambda\lambda\lambda$ καὶ ἄγαν εἰ τύχοιμεν (=εἰ καὶ ἄγαν): i. 120, 2, κῶν μέχρι σφῶν, etc. Krüger and Poppo would read ην καἰ. It is also possible to take καὶ with ἐπαγάγωνται τὸ πλῆθος (μὴ καὶ, ην..): this agrees with Plutarch (Nic. ch. 10) μὴ καὶ τὸν δῆμον απὸ τῶν αὐτῶν λόγων ἐπαγάγωνται. ib. ἐς τὸν δῆμον—i.e. in the ἐκκλησία. Classen follows Stahl in reading ταὐτὰ for ταῦτα. ἀπωσθῆ—ch. 22, 6, ἀπεώσαντο.

7. μηχανάται δέ—Krüger suggests δή, which Classen reads, comparing vi. 64, 1, τοιώνδε τι οἶν μηχανώνται. πείθει—from this is supplied the sense 'he promises' and 'he says' with the following infinitives; or they may be connected with πίστιν δούς 'with the assurance that'. πίστιν δούς—Phut. Alc. 14, δοκους έδωκεν αίντοῖs: cf. i. 133, 1, πίστιν διόδντος: viii. 73, 3.

11. ώσπερ καὶ νῦν ἀντιλέγειν—for ὥσπερ καί see Jowett's note quoted on ch. 13, 8. For the infinitive 'by assimilation' in the relative clause in oratio obliqua, see Goodwin, § 755 : cf. i. 91, 5, δσα μετ' ἐκείνων βουλεύεσθαι (=ἐβουλεύοντο) οὐδενδς ὕστεροι (ἔφασαν) γνώμη φανῆναι. τάλλα ξυναλλάξειν—'will effect a reconciliation in all other respects': i. 24, 4, τούς φεύγοντας ξυναλλάξαι σφίσι.

12. βουλόμενος...Νικίου τε—answered by καl ἕπως κ.τ.λ. τε and καί couple the most important words. For the form of the sentence cf. iv. 70, 1, έδεισε περί τε το⁵ς...καl μή: Plat. Protag. 326 λ, σωφροσύνης τε ἐπιμελοίνται καl ὅπως. αληθές— Krüger would read ὑγιές, the word used by Plut. Alc. 14 fin. (iv. 22, 2, el τl ὑγιές διανοσῦνται); but there seems no justification for the change. ἐν νῷ ἔχουστω—'mean, intend'; so iv. 22, 2, οὐδὲν ἐν νῷ ἔχουταιος: νῷ ἕχειν without ἐν is 'to bear in mind', remember: see note on iv. 8, ö, ἐν νῷ είχον.

 παρελθόντες—the regular term for 'coming forward' in the assembly. παραγαγόντες, line 23, is the corresponding active word.

19. oùk č $\phi a\sigma a\nu$ $\omega\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ —sc. č $\phi a\sigma a\nu$: 'in answer to the question whether they had full powers replied No, in direct contradiction to what they had said in the Council' (Jowett). of $\phi\eta\mu$ = to deny, but the clause is framed in accordance with the literal construction rather than the actual force. $\kappa a\tau a$ -Boŵrros—i. 67, 1, $\kappa a\tau \epsilon \beta \delta \omega \tau \omega r \lambda \theta \eta \nu a \omega r$, $\delta \tau \ldots$.

24. $\sigma\epsilon\iota\sigma\mu\circ\hat{\upsilon}$ -'cf. ch. 50 fin.: viii. 6, 5. But if an earthquake happened after any enterprise was actually begun, it was interpreted as a sign of encouragement on the part of the gods to persevere in it. See Xen. Hell. iv. 7, 4'. (Arnold.) See Liddell and Scott, διοσημία.

CHAPTER XLVI

1. τ_{Π}^{2} **5'** isrepaiq—'next day's assembly'; so i. 44, 1. It has been questioned whether τ_{Π}^{2} isrepaiq $(\mu \alpha_{X \Pi})$, vii. 11, 2, has this meaning or simply $= i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a$, $\kappa \alpha (\pi \epsilon \rho$ belongs especially to κa airós, 'himself too', as Classen indicates by marking off with commas the clause $\tau \hat{\nu} \dots \eta \pi \alpha \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$. The two participial clauses are not coordinate, but the second is the consequence of the first. Alcibiades had deceived the envoys, and Nicias thus found himself in a thoroughly false position. The strengthened form of the participle $\ell_{\Pi} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ emphasizes the disappointment of Nicias, if indeed it has any particular force. Poppo however considers that sound is sometimes consulted rather than sense in such alliterative clauses. He cites Eur. Iph. T. 984, $\sigma \hat{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \dots . \ell \kappa \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma$, etc.

 ⁶μωs—disappointed as he was by the envoys, he still clung to the hope of a Lacedaemonian alliance. ἐπισχόντας— ch. 32, 28. τὰ προς ᾿Αργείους—ch. 39, 19, τὰ ἐς Βοιωτούς.

8. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu - \cdot \cdot urging'$, lit. going on with his speech: Poppo, with Arnold, takes it =*iubens, suadens*; 'advising them to put off'; a force of which instances from tragedy may be found in Liddell and Scott. There are also prose instances in Krüger's Grammar, § 55. 3, 13. Here however there is no need thus to force the meaning of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$. To render 'saying, we (thus) put off the war', gives an excellent sense.

ib. $i\nu...\kappa\alpha\lambda\hat{\varphi}$ —under conditions and circumstances which bring honour to us and discredit to Sparta. $i\nu$ $\kappa\alpha\lambda\hat{\varphi}$ is used of conditions and circumstances, ch. 59, 21: ch. 60, 13; though there the sense is somewhat different. For the use of adjectives cf. vi. 11, 6, $r\partial c \phi d r r \rho o r d r r \rho \epsilon r \delta s$.

 ⁱs in πλείστον—with διασώσασθαι, as δτι τάχιστα gees with διακινδυνεόσαι: it being a common mannetism of Thucydides thus to break up the stiffness of grammatical connexion. For the force of the aorist cf. ch. 16, 14. εύρημα— Hdt. vii. 155: Xen. Anab. ii. 3, 18, εύρημα έποιησάμην, εί.

15. $\delta\rho\theta\delta\nu$ —as Panactum was already known to be dismantled (ch. 42, 19), this looks like an attempt to please the mob with a show of spirit, unless indeed the demolition was supposed to be incomplete. $d\nu\epsiloni\nu\epsilon\iota = so \tau h\nu \ \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho o \pi h \nu$ ch. 31, 17. $\kappa\alpha\theta\acute{\alpha}\pi\varphi$ $\epsilon \acute{\ell}\rho\eta\tau o$ —ch. 39, 15.

20. és παρείναι—ch. 45, 11 note. αύτοὺς αὐτοὺ—Poppo, on ch. 30, 14. collects instances of similar jingles, which plainly were not unpleasing to the writer's ear. et $\tau_1 = \delta \sigma a$, and so it is answered by πόντα.

22. τούς περl Νικίαν-ch. 13, 2: so infra, line 29. ἀνήσουσι Βοιωτοίς-lit. 'throw it back on the hands of the Bocotians, unless etc.', or Bοιωτοίς may be dativus incommodi.

29. $i\pi\iota\kappa\rhoa\tauoiv\tau\omegav$ —vi. 74, 1, $i\pi\epsilon\kappa\rhoa\tauov \mu\eta$ δ $i\chi\epsilon\sigma\thetaa.$ $\tau\delta\nu$ **i** ϕ **opov**—the repetition of the article is ngly, and Classen omits the words as an interpolated note: cf. however ii. 67, 2, $\tau\delta\nu$ $\Sigma\dot{a}\delta\kappa\sigma\nu$ $\tau\delta\gamma$ $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma$ ' $A\theta\eta\nu a\hat{c}\nu$. $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\delta\rho\omega\nu$ should possibly be read instead of $\tau\delta\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\rho\sigma\nu$, as two manuscripts have $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\delta\rho\omega\nu$ and one omits $\tau\delta\nu$. For Xenares and his party see ch. 36, 9.

 τη̂s αὐτη̂s γνώμης—i. 113, 2, ὅσοι τη̂s αὐτη̂s γνώμης ήσαν. ἀνενεώσαντο—according to the provisions of the treaty concluded the year before, ch. 18, 61.

33. atros—'for he was accounted responsible for the treaty with Sparta'. The position of this clause makes it an explanation of $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$ kal $\ell \gamma \ell \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$. Note $\delta \delta \kappa \delta \nu$ not $\delta \delta \xi a s$: not 'he feared he might be accounted' etc.: but 'being held responsible as he was, he feared he should be discredited'.

36. δi $\delta \rho \gamma \eta s$ $\epsilon i \chi o \nu$ —according to Classen simply 'were indignant', but an object seems implied though not expressed. They were indignant at Nicias' failure or at the conduct of the Lacedaemonians. The other instances which Classen cites may be similarly explained. $\pi a \rho \delta \nu \tau s$ implies that the envoys were already in Athens; $\pi a \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \delta \nu \tau s$ that they were now brought before the assembly.

CHAPTER XLVII

Defensive treaty between Athens and the Argive league. Mantinea and Elis, no less than Argos and Athens, are spoken of as imperial States, the meaning being that the towns which Mantinea had acquired (ch. 29) and Lepreum, which was claimed by Elis (ch. 31), are recognised as their subject allies (Jowett). In Professor Jowett's second volume there is an account of a fragment of a marble tablet, containing portions of this treaty, discovered in 1877 on the Acropolis.

 έκατὸν 'Αθηναῖοι ἔτη—cf. the order in iii. 90 fin. ἐπελθόντων οἱ Μεσσήνιοι τῶν τε 'Αθηναίων...προσεχώρησαν.

4. ἐκάτεροι—Athens and Argos respectively, not = ἐκαστοι. The members of the Argive league are here taken as a whole: in line 35 it is different. ἀδόλους—see ch. 18, 13, etc. The wording of this treaty is in many respects identical with the formulas in ch. 18 and 23, with slight verbal changes, e.g. $\mu \eta$...τέχυη μηδέ μηχαν $\hat{\eta}$ is here written instead of μήτε τέχνη μήτε μηχαν $\hat{\eta}$. There is the usual mixture of imperative and infinitive construction.

6. ἐπὶ πημονη-ch. 18, 15.

15. ἐπαγγέλλωσιν—'send word' i.e. require; so vi. 56, 1, ἐπαγγείλαντες ήκειν. Sometimes the word is used with the acc. like impero; iii. 16, 3, κατὰ πόλεις ἐπήγγελλων τεσσαράκωντα νεῶν πλήθος: vii. 17, 1, στρατιὰν ἐπαγγέλλων ἐς τοὺς ξυμμάχους.

18. ταύτην τὴν πόλιν—'the state in question', so twice in ch. 23.

35. μηδὲ κατὰ θάλασσαν—see ch. 56, 7. Kriiger, who is followed by Stahl, regards these words as spurious, but they seem intended to guard against any possible evasion. είναι— 'be allowed'. η̈ν μή—διωσι or έῶσι may be mentally supplied. Such participial constructions are not uncommon with εἰ μή and in effect are simply adverbial.

40. $i\pi\eta\nu$ $i\lambda\theta\eta$ — $i\pi\eta\nu$ occurs twice towards the end of viii. 58, in the terms of a treaty; and three times in Aristo-

phanes. In Eur. Herc. Fur. 1364, it is read by Hermann and Paley (for $i\pi \alpha \nu$), and is found in Isocrates and Xenophon. The later form $i\pi \alpha \nu$, according to Krüger's Grammar, is more strictly Attic. The subject of $i\lambda \partial \eta$ is supplied from the sense, sc. η $\beta \sigma \eta \partial \alpha \alpha$ $\sigma \eta$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.

41. $d\pi \iota o \sigma \sigma \iota \kappa a \tau d \tau a \dot{\tau} a \dot{\tau} a$ — the words $\kappa a \tau d \tau a \dot{\tau} \tau a \dot{\tau} a$ for fusing. They seem at first to suggest another period of thirty days; but they probably only imply maintenance on the way home, 'in the same manner' as on the way out. The city which applied for aid was thus entitled to help for 30 clear days without incurring expense.

43. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu k \delta \pi \lambda (\tau \eta - for this use of <math>\tau \hat{\varphi} = \text{'each'}$, Krüger compares Xen. Anab. i. 3, 21, $i \pi \iota \sigma \chi r \epsilon i \tau a \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon u \tau \rho i a \eta \mu \delta a \rho \epsilon \kappa a'$ $\tau \delta \hat{\upsilon} \mu \nu \delta s \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \delta \tau \gamma$. Arnold says 'It shews the democratic character of the contracting commonwealth, that the archer, and even the light-armed soldier, should have received the same pay as the heavy-armed soldier. Thus at Athens even the seamen received as high pay as the heavy-armed soldier: see iii. 17, 4: vi. 31, 3'. The archers are distinguished from the other light-armed troops, as in iv. 36, 1, where Poppo's note may be consulted.

44. τρεῖς ὀβολοὺς Αἰγιναίους—usually assumed to be equivalent to five Attic obols; the Aeginetan and Corinthian drachma being worth ten Attic obols. See Jowett on iii. 70, 4, where a different view is noticed.

54. κατά ἰερῶν τελείων—'over' lit. 'down over, or on': Dem. Aph. 852, § 26, κατ' ἐμοῦ καl τῆς ἀδελφῆς, 'on our heads': id. Con. 1269, § 40, κατὰ παίδων. ἰερά τέλεια seem to be 'fullgrown victims', rather than 'victims without blemish' or 'in full numbers'. Arnold compares Hdt. i. 183, where τὰ τέλεια τῶν προβάτων are contrasted with τὰ γαλαθηνά. So hostiae maiores are distinguished from hostiae lactentes.

59. al $\ell\nu\delta\eta\mu\omega$ $d\rho\chi\alpha$ (- 'the home authorities', meaning, according to Arnold, the archons, secretaries etc. as opposed to the $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\tau\eta\gamma ol$ or foreign office. In Aesch. Timarch. 45, we have $\mu\eta\delta$ è $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$ $d\rho\chi\ell\tau\omega$ $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\mu$ $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon$ $\ell\nu\delta\eta\mu\omega\nu$ $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon$ $i\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\rho\mu\omega\tau$: so Ar. Pol. iii. 9, 8, $\tau\lambda$ $\ell\nu\delta\eta\mu\alpha$ are home affairs, $\tau\lambda$ $i\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\rho\mu\alpha$ foreign affairs; cf. Thuc. i. 70, 4, $d\pi\circ\delta\eta\mu\eta\tau\alpha l\pi\rho\delta$; $\ell\nu\delta\eta\mu\sigma\tau d\tau\sigma\sigma$ 'most stay-at-home people'. For the concrete use of $d\rho\chi\alpha$, see note on $\tau\lambda$ $\tau\ell\lambda\eta$, iv. 15, 1.

ξορκούντων—'administer the oath'; Dem. Meid. 535,
 § 65: in Hdt. iii. 133, and iv. 154, έξορκῶ takes the accusative and denotes binding a person by oath.

ib. oi $\partial\gamma\delta o_1 \kappa o_{\nu\tau a}$ —nothing is known of these. They may have been a more aristocratical council than the $\beta o_{\nu\lambda}\eta$, and the $d\rho \tau \tilde{\nu} va$ may have been the presiding officers, who, as Müller suggests, had succeeded to the civil authority of the kings (Arnold). If al $d\rho \tau \tilde{\nu} va$ is the right reading, it is analogous to al $d\rho\chi ai$: ol $d\rho \tau \tilde{\nu} va$ (Duker) would come from $d\rho \tau \tilde{\nu} vas$ (η s). Poppo suggests of $d\rho \tau \nu va$, a form found in Plutarch.

62. of $\delta\eta\mu\iotaoup\gamma o'-a$ common title of the magistrates in the Peloponnesian states, with the exception of Lacedaemon. In i. 56, 2, $\epsilon\pi\iota\delta\eta\mu\iotaoup\gamma oi$ are officials sent from Corinth to the colony of Potidaea. of $\theta\epsilon\mu\rho oi-a$ sacred college, whose functions were perpetual, like the colleges of pontifices and augurs at Rome. Like the Pythii at Lacedaemon, they had the care of all oracles delivered to the state, and probably had a general control over religious matters' (Arnold).

64. oi $\tau d \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \epsilon \chi_0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$ —Arnold says that the phrase is not simply equivalent to oi $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$ or $\tau a \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$. He believes that some particular 'council of administration' is probably meant, smaller than the general council of six hundred. Krüger brackets the words as spurious, the phrase being unusual. In iv. 118, 7, $\tau \epsilon \lambda os \epsilon \chi_0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$ means 'having full powers'.

66. ἀνανεοῦσθαι—see ch. 18, 61. Arnold points out that the times specified were such that the renewal of the oaths might be completed on both sides before the return of their respective great public festivals. The great Panathenaea were celebrated every four years, in the third year of the Olympiad.

74. ἐν ἀγορậ—ch. 18, 64, note: ἐν τη̂ ἀγορậ, as below, is more common.

76. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon' \nu \tau \omega \nu - \epsilon'$ deposit', instead of the more usual $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ (ch. 18, 62); this tablet and inscription would be in the care of the Eleans. $\tau \sigma i s \nu \nu \iota - \alpha t$ the Olympian festival which was close at hand, ch. 49, init.

CHAPTER XLVIII

1. at $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi (\alpha \iota - \text{Classen reads } \dot{\eta} \xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi (\iota \alpha, \alpha s \text{ in ch. } 27, 2, where see note. Here at any rate the plural seems unobjectionable, as four contracting powers are concerned.$

CHAPTER XLVIII (B.C. 420)

3. oix $d\pi\epsilon \ell \rho \eta \nu \tau o$ —'were not renonneed'; the active is not uncommon in this sense. The pluperfect denotes not only the fact, but the state of things resulting, i.e., the continuance of the alliance between Athens and Sparta; see note on iv. 13, 1.

ib. οἰδ' ἰφ' ἐτέρων—ii. 67, 5 μηδὲ μεθ' ἐτέρων etc.; so Dem. Aeschin. etc. ἐν οὐδετέροις and the like forms are characteristic of late Greek (Krüger).

4. Kopívôtot $\delta i \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda$.—though the Corinthians had become allies of Argos (ch. 31, 28) they would not join the new league, just as they had before refused to be parties to an offensive and defensive alliance. We have no particulars of this in ch. 29—31; but it would seem that the other confederates of Argos had made such an alliance, while the Corinthians had only concluded a defensive treaty, as they originally proposed (ch. 27, 15). This treaty they now call $\tau i\mu \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \nu$ $\gamma ero \rho t \pi \mu a \chi i a ... où <math>\xi u \nu \omega \rho \sigma a \nu$ are thus parenthetical, and $\dot{a} \rho \kappa \epsilon v$ δ' to a carries on the sense from où κ to $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \delta o \nu$.

9. τὴν πρώτην γενομένην—so iv. 38, 3, ό τελευταῖος διαπλεόσας. ἐπιμαχίαν—a defensive alliance; i. 44, 1, ἐπιμαχίαν δὲ ἐποιήσαντο τῆ ἀλλήλων βοηθεῖν. The verb has been noticed ch. 27, 16. These words do not occur elsewhere in Thucydides.

10. of $\mu \ell \nu$ —so ch. 67, 11: iv. 32, 1 etc.: a fresh sentence more commonly begins with of $\mu \ell \nu$ our or ral of $\mu \ell \nu$. $\pi \rho \delta s...$ $\ell \chi o \nu$ —cf. ch. 44, 8.

CHAPTER XLIX

1. 'Ολύμπια...ois—so iii. 8, $η_{\mu}$ δὲ 'Ολυμπιἀs ğ Δωριεὐs τὸ δεύτερον ἐνίκα. ois and ğ, datives of time or date, may explain such constructions as ii. 20, 1, ἐκείνη τῷ ἐσβολῷ: iv. 26, 7, γαλήνη 'in a calm'. Editors note that in later times it was generally the victor in the στάδιων whose name was associated with the Olympiad. Possibly Thucydides names athletes of unusual distinction. See Lid. and Scott for the difference between 'Ολύμπια and Όλυμπιάs.

 ἐνίκα—'was victorious'; the imperfect is the regular usage with νικῶ even when a single definite victory is in question: i. 13 fin. Kap $\chi\eta\delta\sigma\nu$ lous $\ell\nu\ell\kappa\omega\nu$ $\nu au\mua\chi\sigma\bar{\nu}\tau\epsilons$: so with $\kappa\rho ar\bar{\omega}$ etc. (Goodwin § 27). In vi. 16, 2, however, where Alcibiades is speaking of his Olympic victory, he says $\ell\nu\kappa\eta\sigma a$ $\delta\ell$, 'I won the prize'; regarding his former victory as a single fact now entirely past; see note on ch. 51, 8.

ib. τοῦ ἰεροῦ—the whole of the sacred precincts. The Lacedaemonians were excluded from taking part in the ceremonies and games in any public recognized capacity.

6. κατεδικάσαντο αὐτῶν—'had got them condemned to pay': καταδικάζω, like other legal words (e.g. τιμῶν, τιμῶσθαι), is used in the active of the court which pronounces the judgment, in the middle of the plaintiff in whose interest the court acts: Dem. Meid. 571, § 176, δίκην ἐμπορικὴν καταδικασάμενος Μενίππου, 'having obtained a verdict in a mercantile suit against Menippus'. In the present case the plaintiffs and judges would seem to have been more or less identical, being Eleans; but no complaint is made of this, the only dispute being about the facts.

7. $\phi \dot{a} \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau \epsilon_{s}$ ($\dot{\epsilon}_{s}$) $\sigma \phi \dot{a}_{s} - \dot{\epsilon}_{s}$ is a conjecture which is due to Shilleto. It might have easily dropped out after the word before, and it gives an excellent sense, the Eleans complaining that 'their territory was invaded' at two points; cf. iv. 77, 1, $\sigma_{\tau} \rho_{\pi} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon}_{ev}$ is Bouwrows. The manuscript reading, $\phi d\sigma \kappa o \nu \tau \epsilon_{s}$ $\sigma \phi \hat{a}_{s}$, is very suspicious. Classen refers $\sigma \phi \hat{a}_{s}$ to $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a_{i\mu} \delta \nu \sigma_{i}$, the main subject of the sentence, and explains a similar $\sigma \phi \hat{a}_{s}$ in vi. 61, 5, in the same way. See note on $\sigma \phi i \sigma_{i}$, ch. 38, 22. Jowett, on the other hand, considers that the Herodotean usage of $\sigma \phi \dot{\epsilon} a$ etc. for $a \dot{\epsilon} r o \dot{s}$ is not altogether extinct in Thucydides, especially when repetition is to be avoided. Many editors adopt Dobree's conjecture $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega}_{r}$, comparing such phrases as viii. 96, 2, $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega}_{r} \dot{\epsilon} n t \dot{\tau}_{r}$ Heipauâ $\pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$. $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$ might also be suggested.

The military operations of which Elis complained must have taken place after what is related in ch. 31, 20, unless indeed that account is partly anticipatory. Possibly, as Grote suggests, the Eleans had renewed their attacks on the district of Lepreum.

8. $a i \pi \hat{a} \nu$ -dependent on $\Lambda \ell \pi \rho \epsilon o \nu$ according to most editors: Jowett however seems right in taking it with $\partial \pi \lambda \ell \pi a s$. We have seen (ch. 31) that the Eleans refused to accept the award of the independence of Lepreum, after being strengthened by their new allies. Classen inserts $\chi \lambda \ell o \nu s$ before $\partial \pi \lambda \ell \pi a s$.

καταδίκη--'fine'; (Dem.) Euerg. 1154, §51. ήσαν-cf.
 10, 1, Μυκήναι μικρόν ήν. Arnold points out that two minae was the ordinary ransom of a Peloponnesian soldier taken prisoner in battle; Hdt. vi. 79, άτοινα δέ έστι Πελοποννησίοισι δίο μνέαι τεταγμέναι κατ άνδρα αίχμάλωτον έκτίνειν.

13. καταδεδικάσθαι—probably passive impersonal or with την δίκην implied as subject, like $i d \nu \delta' d \rho \gamma \nu \rho i ov τιμηθη (Timocr. 721), and similar phrases in Demosthences. Classen however takes it as middle, which is possible so far as the form is concerned.$

15. 'HAtion $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ -'the Eleans maintained that the truce at Elis was already in force &c.'. They were thus precluded from resisting the Spartan aggression. How the Spartans were to be expected to know that the truce had begun does not appear; the Eleans seem to have had the entire regulation of all matters concerning the Olympic festival.

20. $i\pi\epsilon \lambda \dot{a}\mu\beta avov$ —'rejoined, urged in reply'; ii. 72, 1, $i\pi\circ\lambda a\beta\dot{a}\nu$ $i\pi\epsilon$. The word is perpetually used in Demostheness of counter-arguments. $\ell\pi\epsilon$ —'after this'. $d\delta\iota\kappa\epsilon i\nu$ —lit. to be already $d\delta\iota\kappa a$: $d\delta\iota\kappa\epsilon i\nu$ being one of the verbs thus used in the present, like $\phi\epsilon i\gamma\epsilon \nu$, 'to be in exile', $\nu\iota\kappa a\nu$, etc. to denote a present condition following a past act. $d\delta\iota\kappa \eta$ - $\sigma a \nu \pi a$, just before, refers to the commission of a definite act of trespass.

22. $d\lambda\lambda'$ oùx ús voµ(ζονταs—lit. 'but that they had done this (announced the treaty) not as if they considered themselves wronged (but as if they did not)'. Krüger (Grammar § 67, 4) shows the elliptical nature of such constructions; cf. Eur. Hip. 699, ζητοῦσα φάρμαχ ηῦρον οὐχ ἀβουλόμην: Xen. Mem. i. 1, 19, Σωκράτης ἐπιμελεῖσθαι θεοὺς ἐνόμιζεν ἀνθρώπων οὐχ δν τρόπον οἱ πολλοl νομίζουσι.

ib. $\kappa \alpha l \dots \ell \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \nu - \cdot and that they (the Lacedaemonians) had not after this attacked them at any point'. Note the change of subject.$

23. τοῦ αὐτοῦ...ϵἕχοντο—'held to the same statement'; iv, 66, 2, τούτου τοῦ λόγου ἔχεσθαι: Hdt. vii, 5, τοιούτου λόγου ϵἔχετο: vii. 6, τῶν αὐτέων λόγων ἐχώμενοι. μη ἀν πεισθήναι — they could not be convinced'; i. 139, 1, προδλεγον μη ἀν γενέσθαι πόλεμον: vi. 102 fin. νομίσαντες μη ἀν ἕτι ἰκανοἰ γενέσθαι: but iv. 99, 2, νομίζοντες...οὐκ ἀν κρατῆσαι. There seems no difference in meaning between the two forms of expression; though οὐ is the more regular, being retained from the direct speech: see Goodwin, § 685: Madvig's Syntax, § 205. Can any difference in meaning be seen between λέγωντες μὴ ἐπηγγέλθαι πω (line 13) and ὑπελάμβανον ...οὐδαμόσε ἐπενεγκείν (line 23)? Both represent a direct statement with οὐ.

27. γίγνεται- 'becomes due': Dem. Timocr. 726, § 83, τὸ τίμημα τὸ γιγνόμενον.

CHAPTER L

5. $d\pi o\mu \delta\sigma a\iota$ —this is the invariable reading, and is interpreted 'to swear solemnly'. Elsewhere $d\pi \delta \mu \nu \nu \mu \iota$ always has a negative force, and means 'to disclaim on oath'. Should $\epsilon \pi o\mu \delta \sigma a\iota$ be read here? Arnold suggests that $d\pi o\mu \delta \sigma a\iota$ may perhaps be justified, as the oath would be exculpatory in effect.

8. $\theta v \sigma (as \kappa a l \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\omega} v \omega v$ —usually regarded as explanatory of $\tau o \tilde{v} \ le\rho o \tilde{v}$, and coordinate in construction. Some authorities however take $\tau o \tilde{v} \ le\rho o \tilde{v}$ as dependent on $\theta v \sigma l as$, or conversely translate, 'from the sacred observance of sacrifice'. The position of the article is against such renderings. Krüger suggests that $\theta v \sigma (as \kappa a l \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega v)$ may be a note interpolated from ch. 49, 4.

9. **\partial \epsilon \omega \rho ovv**—were formally represented by their $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho ol$: viii. 10 init. ol 'A $\theta \eta \nu a \hat{o} ol \epsilon \partial \epsilon \omega \rho ov \epsilon' s a v \tau a (\tau a "I \sigma \theta \mu a)$. Individual Spartans were not excluded.

11. $\xi \delta \nu \delta \pi \lambda ois$ —'under arms'; one of the regular phrases in which $\xi \delta \nu$ is used in prose. Classen unaccountably takes $\tau \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ as dependent on $\delta \pi \lambda ois$, and naturally calls it a strange expression. Surely the construction is obvious even without such instances as νεών τριών φυλακή (ii. 93 fin.): την φυλακήν τών τριήρων ελάνθανον (iv. 26, 7).

13. iv "Apyet $i\pi i\mu evov$ —'had been waiting at Argos for the feast', and then moved towards Olympia (Jowett). This is a legitimate sense of the imperfect, referring to duration of time before $\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$; cf. i. 9, 2, $\delta\sigma\omega\nu$ E $i\rho\nu\sigma\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}$, $\eta\rho\chi\epsilon$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\beta a\sigma i\lambda\epsilon iav$ 'Arpéa $\pi apa\lambda a\beta\epsilon i\nu$. Otherwise the reading iv 'Appe must be wrong, as Argos was seventy or eighty miles off. Classen accordingly adopts iv 'Aprivy, Harpina being a town in the valley of the Alpheus, 20 stadia from Olympia. It seems however natural that Argos, the head of the league with Athens, would find quarters for an Athenian detachment, which had probably been sent in readiness by the advice of Alcibiades.

16. $\Lambda(\chi as$ -Lichas was a man of eminence. He was one of the envoys to Argos, ch. 22, 11 (cf. ch. 76, 13), and is mentioned several times in the eighth Book. $iv \tau \hat{\omega} d\gamma \hat{\omega} v_{i-}$ 'on the course' or place of contest, an Homeric use; so infr. line 20. Otherwise the meaning might be 'in the midst of the assembly' or 'during the meeting'.

17. $i\pi\partial \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu} \hat{\rho} a\beta \delta o \hat{\chi} \omega \nu$ —the $\hat{\rho} a\beta \delta o \hat{\chi} \omega \lambda$ were probably the officials acting under orders from the presiding 'Ellawoo $\hat{\omega}$ are $\hat{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu o \theta (\tau \alpha t, rather than these authorities themselves. <math>\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\alpha} \hat{s} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \hat{\alpha} \omega$ is the regular phrase for 'being beaten' and is accordingly constructed with $i\pi \delta$. For the 'defective verb $\tau \delta \pi \tau \omega$ ', see the Appendix to Sandys' Private Orations of Demosthenes.

Grote points out how much less harsh and rough, by comparison with this incident, was the manner of dealing at Athens, where personal violence was illegal. He adds 'the boldness of the Eleans in putting this affront upon the most powerful state in Greece is so astonishing, that we can hardly be mistaken in supposing their proceeding to have been suggested by Alcibiades and encouraged by the armed aid from the allies. He was at this moment not less ostentatious in humiliating Sparta than in showing off Athens' (ch. 55).

18. $\nu\iota\kappa\omega\nu\tau\sigma s$ —ch. 49, 2. $\zeta\epsilon\omega'\gamma\sigma\upsilon s$ —chariot and pair. Whether Lichas won the final heat we do not know, or even whether there were more heats than one. Grote (ch. 55) discusses the matter at length, and strives to show that this was the Olympian festival at which Alcibiades made his unparalleled display of magnificence as $\theta\epsilon\omega\rho\sigma$, and entered seven chariots, taking the first, second and fourth place (vi. 16, 2). 19. **Βοιωτῶν δημοσίου**—Lichas had entered his chariot under the name of the 'Boeotian community', which was accordingly declared victorious: Xen. Hell. iii. 2, 21, $\Lambda t_{\chi \alpha}$ *παραδώντος Θηβαίοις τὸ ἄρμα. δημόσιον* may mean a state, as in Hdt. i. 14, Κορινθίων τού δημοσίον: and the article is omitted, as it often is with quasi-proper names, so ch. 18, 42, *ἐν δημοσίω* (*ἐν τῷ* just before): vi. 31, 3, *ἐκ δημοσίον*. Poppo suggests that δημοσίου might possibly be taken as an adjective agreeing with *ξεύγουs*, 'his chariot being proclaimed as belonging to the Boeotian state'. States, like kings, seem to have competed in the race; cf. vi. 16, 2, where Alcibiades says that he entered more chariots than any private person before him.

19. οὐκ ἐξουσίαν—see note on ch. 35, 7. ἀγώνισις is not found elsewhere in classical Greek. ἀνέδησε—iv. 121, 1, στεφάνψ ἀνέδησαν.

24. $\hat{\eta}\sigma\dot{\chi}\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu$ —the aorist, 'became quiet' or 'were pacified', suggests that they had actually made some threatening movement; otherwise we should expect the imperfect: cf. vii. 86, 2, $\mu\delta\lambda$ is $\dot{\eta}\sigma\nu\chi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\murs$; $\ddot{\eta}\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma\mu$. $\alpha\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\sigma$ —'as for them'; ch. 3, 24. The Lacedaemonians seem meant, though Krüger refers the word to $\pi\dot{\alpha}\mu\tau cs$. Twelve years afterwards the Spartans avenged the insult they had received, by invading and ravaging the land of Elis; Xen. *Hell.* iii. 2, 23—31.

τέλος-'finally'; ii. 100 fin. τέλος ἡσυχίαν ἦγον: vii.
 44, 7 etc.

CHAPTER LI

1. 'Hpakleúrais—see note on iv. 78, 1. The establishment of Heraclea as a Lacedaemonian colony and place of arms, and the hostility with which it was regarded by the neighbouring Thessalians, are described in iii. 92, 93. In those chapters we have terms corresponding to those in the present passage. For $\pi o \lambda \ell \mu a \ \eta \nu$ cf. iii. 93, 2, $\delta i d \pi a \nu \tau \delta s$ $\ell \pi o \lambda \ell \mu o \nu \tau$: for $\ell \pi 1$... $\ell \tau e \chi (\sigma \theta \eta)$ ib. $\delta \nu \ \ell \pi l \ \tau \eta \ \gamma \eta \ \ell \tau e \iota \chi (\ell \epsilon \tau o (so$ $iv. 75, 1, <math>\ell \pi l \ \tau \eta \ \Sigma \Delta \mu \varphi$, of a standing menace): for kaburaµévy passive, iii. 92, 4, kalús $\ell \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon ka \ell (\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \epsilon)$: for $\phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta \epsilon (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta e (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta e (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta e (\rho o \nu \tau e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi \theta e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \nu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \mu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \mu e_s, iii. 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \mu e_s, iii). 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \mu e_s, iii). 93, 2, \ell \phi e (\rho o \mu e_s, iii). 93, 2, \ell$

6. $\epsilon \vartheta \theta \vartheta \varsigma \tau \epsilon \dots \kappa a \vartheta \tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ —see note on ch. 43, 16.

 ἐνίκησαν—so i. 29, 4, where Classen points out that the aorist is used, instead of the more common imperfect, to denote the single fact of victory, without reference to the state of things resulting. See ch. 49, 3, note.

 Ξενάρης-the ephor named in ch. 36, 9. Κνίδιος, if the reading is right, seems to be genitive of Κνίδις, Ionic in form, like Άφύτιος, i. 64, 2 : Γοάξιος, iv. 107, 3. Cnidis however is an unknown name.

CHAPTER LII

3. $\pi a \rho \ell \lambda a \beta o \nu$ took into their own hands'; so twice in iii. 50. $\tau o \nu$ —so iii. 25, 1, $\Sigma d \lambda a \iota \theta o s \delta \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu \delta \nu \iota o s$; iii. 100, 2, Maxápuos κal Mereódios ol $\Sigma \pi a \rho \tau i a \tau a$ etc. The article in such instances does not seem to imply previous mention or special distinction. Sometimes indeed there may be an idea of opposition, as in this instance between the Lacedaemonian governor and the other colonists.

4. $\dot{\omega}s \ o\dot{v} \ \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega}s$ —see the end of iii. 93, where the ruin of Heraclea is attributed to the Spartan governors. $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$

6. τὰ κατά—determinant accusative; ii. 96, 3, οῦ ἀρίζετο ἡ ἀρχὴ τὰ πρὸs Παίοναs. Such phrases as iii. 6, 2, τὰ περὶ Μυτιλήνην οῦτωs ἐπολεμεῖτο, are open to doubt. Classen takes them as nominative; Poppo and Krüger as accusative with an impersonal passive verb: see ch. 26, 32.

12. τῶν αὐτόθεν—partitive genitive; iv. 80, 2, τῶν Εἰλώτων ἐκπέμψαι. παραλαβών is used like παρακαλῶν in ch. 6, 20.

15. **Πατρέαs**—Hdt. i. 145, Πατρέες: Πάτραι (now Patras) was the name of the place; Thue. ii. 83, 3, $\epsilon \kappa \operatorname{IIatpôr} \tau \tilde{\eta}_s' \Lambda \chi alas.$ $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta \kappa a \theta \epsilon i \chi a \ldots - c f.$ iv. 103, 5, où $\kappa a \theta \epsilon i \tau o \tau i \chi \eta$. The Athenians would thus have a secure naval station at Patrae, which would command the entrance to the Corinthian Gulf, being seawards of Rhium and Naupactus. On the same principle they had built the long walls at Megara, and garrisoned them themselves (iv. 103, 4).

16. $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \nu$ —sc. $\tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \chi os$, perhaps now, as Poppo suggests, in the sense of fortified position. $\tau \hat{\omega} P t \omega$ —see ii. 84, 4 and 86, 2 for the opposite promontories called Rhium.

18. οἶς ἦν ἐν βλάβη τειχισθέν—'who would be injured by its construction'; or perhaps 'who considered that they would be injured'; lit. 'to whom it was being (was likely to be) injurious'. The imperfect indicative without & is used in certain cases of (generally unfulfilled) condition, e.g. $ε_{\chi\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu}$, $ε_{\delta\epsilon\iota}$, ήνaγκaζόμην, κlνδυνοs ην etc. (Goodwin § 416 sq.: Kritger's Grammar § 53, 2, 7): ην εν βλάβη is therefore not irregular. For the combination with τειχισθεν = εl ετειχlσθη (or τειχισθείη), cf. viii. 92, 10, ήρώτων εl δοκεί αὐτῷ τὸ τεῖχοs ἀμεῖνον εἶναι καθαιρεθέν = τὸ καθαιρεθῆναι τὸ τεῖχos: so i. 100, 3, ols πολέμων ην τὸ χωρίον κτιζύμενον. Dobree, who considered &ν to be necessary here, proposed to read cls ην αν βλάβη, but ἐν βλάβη is an idiomatic phrase, equivalent to an adjective, which should in any case be retained; cf. Hdt. iv. 130, Σκύθησι ἐστι ἐν ήδονη̂: Eur. Iph. T. 494, εί σοι τοῦτ ἐν ήδονη̂ μαθεῖν: so Tac. Ann. xii. 15, nec fuit in arduo societas.

CHAPTER LIII

2. προφάσει μέν—so vi. 76, 2, προφάσει μέν...διανοία δέ: The accusative is also used, as in ch. 80, 21: iii, 111, 1. Here μέν is answered by έδόκει δέ. Πυθαίως—from nom. Πυθαεύς: so Μηλιέως, iv. 100, 1. The MSS. have Πυθέως. Arnold believes that a temple at Argos is meant. Poppo and Classen refer the passage to a temple at Asine, which the Argives, according to Pausanias, left standing after the destruction of the town. In any case a sacrifice seems to have been due from Epidaurus to the temple, in acknowledgement of some privilege of pasturage or the like.

 άπαγαγείν...άπέπεμπον—compounds like ἀποδιδόναι, 'to pay when due': Ar. Ach. 643, τὸν φόρον ὑμῶν ἀπάγοντες: so Vesp. 707: cf. reddere rationem, poenas, etc. 5. Boraµíwy—apparently 'pasture-lands', but not found elsewhere. Stahl reads $\beta oraw \dot{w}_{p}$ comparing Plat. Rep. 401 B, $\dot{\epsilon}v \; \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\eta} \; \beta or \dot{\alpha}v \eta$ 'in bad pasture'; but there the word means food rather than land. Poppo adopts the reading of some manuscripts, $\pi a \rho a \pi \sigma ra \mu i \omega v$ 'river-side lands'. $\beta \omega ra \mu i \omega v$ 'sacrifices' (Doric for $\beta ovr.$) has also been suggested; with the meaning that Epidaurus neglected to send the necessary victims which entitled them to share in religious rites. No word of the kind is however known, as is shown in Poppo's edition.

6. $\tau \hat{\eta} s a l \tau (a s - 'this ground of complaint', lit. 'their', that which they had. For <math>a l \tau (a c f. iv. 85, 1 etc.$

S. $\tau \eta s \tau \epsilon Koplubou k.\tau.\lambda.$ —'both to ensure the neutrality of Corinth, and because they thought that the Athenians would thus have a shorter distance to bring forces from Aegina'. The construction in the clause with $\tau \epsilon$ is slightly changed, and $\xi \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a.$ depends on the sense supplied from $\xi \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon.$: so iii. 94, 3, $d \nu a \pi \epsilon i \ell \epsilon \tau a. \lambda (\tau \omega \lambda \delta s \ell \pi i \ell \ell \epsilon d \delta a.$, $N \omega \tau a \kappa \tau \varphi$ $\tau \epsilon \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu loss o \delta \sigma t, \kappa a.$. $\pi \rho \sigma \pi o \iota \eta \delta \epsilon \upsilon s t, s \delta \lambda \delta \delta \delta a \delta \phi \rho \delta \rho \tau i \epsilon \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon.$, $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \rho s \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \sigma - \delta \rho \tau s \delta \delta t \delta \sigma \rho \delta \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon s \lambda (\mu \epsilon \sigma s \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \sigma - \delta \sigma \tau s, \kappa a l \tau \sigma \delta s m loss with <math>\tau \epsilon$ and $\kappa a l$, and the clause with $\kappa a l$, instead of corresponding to that with $\tau \epsilon$, is accommodated to the construction which would have followed the main verb.

Here $i\delta \delta \kappa \epsilon the first means 'seemed good'; then the idea of seeming only is carried on. We have the converse Eur. Iph. T. 279, <math>t\delta o\xi \epsilon \delta' \eta \mu \hat{\omega} r \epsilon v \lambda first rols \pi \lambda close, \eta \rho a r r \tau \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ statistication of the second secon

The Corinthians had taken a suspicious attitude, as we see from the end of ch. 48, and $\beta_{0\eta}\theta_{exav}$ means aid to the confederacy and Argos in particular.

10. $\hat{\eta}$... $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ —so iv. 66, 3, $ro\mu l_j \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $i\lambda d\sigma \sigma \sigma \phi \sigma \sigma t$ $\kappa t \sigma \delta \nu \sigma \sigma \tau$, $\hat{\eta}$ robs $i\kappa\pi\epsilon\sigma\delta \sigma \tau \sigma s$ $\kappa \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$: 10, 3, $\beta\epsilon\beta \alpha_0 \sigma \epsilon \rho \mu \nu$ $\sigma \sigma \tau \eta \ell \mu \sigma$... $\hat{\eta}$... $i\lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$. In these passages the infinitive is written, without any real construction, when a dative participle or verb with ϵl might be expected. So we might say, 'it was a shorter distance to send aid than rounding Scyllaeum'. From Aegina to Epidaurus was a straight passage, and the distance by land to Argos was not great.

12. Sud τοῦ θύματος τὴν ἔσπραξιν—' for the sake of exacting the sacrifice': διά is here used in the sense of ἕνεκα: see other passages in note on iv. 40, 2, δι' ἀχθηδόνα. Dr Rutherford calls δι' ἀχθηδόνα a late idiom betraying an adscript, but there seem enough instances to support it. For the order cf. i. 32, 2, μετά τῆς ξυμμαχίας τῆς alτήσεως.

CHAPTER LIV

3. $\Lambda\epsilon\hat{\nu}\kappa\tau\rhoa$ —besides the well-known Leuctra in Boeotia, there were two towns in Peloponnesus so called, one s.w. of Sparta, on the coast near the Messenian border, the other N.w. towards the district which afterwards belonged to Megalopolis; this latter is here meant. $\Lambda\dot{\nu}\kappa\alpha\iota\nu\nu$ —sc. $\delta\rho\sigma$ s or $i\epsilon\rho\delta\nu$; the neuter adjective applying to either; ch. 16, 32.

6. ai πόλεις—the Laconian cities; the allies were summoned later on. διαβατήρια—sc. iερά, the sacrifices for crossing the border; so ch. 116, 3. προῦχώρει— 'proved favourable'; Xen. Anab. vi. 2, 21, ίσως ἂν τὰ ἰερὰ προχωροίη ἡμῶν. γίγνεσθαι is used in the same way, as in ch. 55, 17.

9. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu \tau a - \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a$ would have been added but for the following parenthesis. Kapvetos --corresponding to Metageitnion at Athens; about August. The name was derived from the festival of Apollo Carneius. i $\epsilon \rho o \mu \eta' \nu a$ -- 'a sacred period', neuter plural, referring to the whole month, in which there were two festivals besides the Carneia. Elsewhere we have the feminine singular, as in iii. 56, 2; and it is read here by Stahl, as 'the neuter plural must come from au adjective $i\epsilon\rho o \mu \eta \nu i a$ of the entire month' (F.).

12. $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\Delta\delta\iota\phi\theta(\nu\circ\nu\tau\circ\varsigma)$ —apparently 'on the fourth day from the end', like $\tau\epsilon\tau\sigma\sigma_{TT}$ ch. 19, 2: but see Lid. and Scott, $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$. $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\circ\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$ — 'keeping this day all the time', i.e. calling every day that the expedition lasted the 27th of the month before Carneius. This explanation, now accepted by all, is due to Grote, who shows (ch. 56) that such tricks with the calendar were by no means unknown. Other explanations formerly suggested were 'marching during this day' or 'though they always observed this day' while $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\taua$ $\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu$ $\chi\rho\dot{\sigma}\nu\sigma\nu$ was diversely joined with $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\betaa\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$ (v. 1. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\betaa\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$).

16. $\tau_{i\nu\epsilon\varsigma}$ oi $\mu\epsilon\nu$ —not equivalent to oi $\mu\epsilon\nu$ $\tau_{i\nu\epsilon\varsigma}$, but $\tau_{i\nu\epsilon\varsigma}$ is divided by apposition into of $\mu\epsilon\nu$...oi $\delta\epsilon$: vii. 86, 4, $\tau_{i\nu}\epsilon_{\varsigma}$, ω_{ς} $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon_{\rho}\epsilon_{\tau}$, oi $\mu\epsilon\nu$... $\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ $\delta\epsilon$. Other allies, not included in $\tau_{i\nu\epsilon\varsigma}$, seem to have actually responded to the call of Epidaurus; ch. 55, 7. $\eta\sigma\nu_{\kappa}\alpha_{\delta}\sigma\nu_{-}$ either not feeling strong enough to act independently, or deterred by the sacred season.

CHAPTER LV

2. $\epsilon \mathbf{v} \tau \mathbf{\hat{n}} - \epsilon \mathbf{v}$ may be at or near, as noted on iv. 5, 1, $\delta \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta s \epsilon \mathbf{v} \tau a \mathbf{\hat{s}} \cdot A \theta \eta \mathbf{v} a \mathbf{\hat{s}} \delta \mathbf{v}$: or 'Epidaurus' may mean the district, as it seems to do in ch. 77 and 80. $d \pi \delta \tau a \mathbf{\hat{v}} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mathbf{\hat{v}} - \mathbf{from}$ the cities of the league, and the half-neutral Corinth. $\pi a \rho a \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma a \mathbf{\hat{v}} - \sigma \mathbf{\hat{s}}$ summoning allies to a conference, as in ch. 17, 19.

6. $\sigma\phi\epsilon is$ —so iv. 114, 5, $\tau \dot{a} \pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho a$ où $\sigma\phi\epsilon \dot{s} d\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$: vili. 76, 4. The nominative is used when the speaker represents the entire body, and where $\eta \mu \epsilon \hat{s}$ would be emphatically expressed in direct speech: otherwise we have the accusative, as in ch. 65, 7: iv. 36, 1, $d\lambda \lambda \omega s \ \epsilon \phi \eta \ \pi \sigma \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \ \sigma \phi \hat{a} s$, =he said $d\lambda \lambda \omega s \ \pi \sigma \nu \sigma \hat{\iota} \mu \epsilon \phi$, where see note.

9. $d\dot{\phi}' \dot{\epsilon}\kappa a \tau \dot{\epsilon}\rho \omega v$ —probably to be taken with $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \theta \dot{\delta} \nu \tau a s$, lit. 'going from both sides', i.e. by sending envoys from the partizans of Argos and Epidaurus (or Corinth) respectively. It is also possible to take it with $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \pi \epsilon \delta a$, 'to break up the armies from both sides'; for the position of $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau a s$ is not opposed to such a rendering. $\delta \nu \tau \omega$ =when this was done; ch. 38, 6.

11. πεισθέντες ῷχοντο—the states are identified with their envoys, as in έλθύντας before. ἐς τὸ αὐτό—iii. 91, 2, ἐς τὸ αὐτὸ ἀπήντων. οὐδ' ῶς—ch. 115, 7: so i. 44, 2, καὶ ῶς ἔσεσθαι: iii. 33, 2, εἰ καὶ ῶς.

Kapúas—on the road towards Tegea, north of Sparta.
 οὐδ' ἐνταῦθα—any more than at Leuctra, ch. 54, 7. ὡs τὸ τρίτογ—iv. 31, 2, ὡs τριάκοντα ὀπλίται.

21. $\pi \upsilon \vartheta \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu \iota$ -i.e. they had marched to aid Argos against a threatened invasion. The manuscripts have $\pi \upsilon \vartheta \delta \mu \varepsilon \rho \iota \delta \ell$, which would necessitate taking this clause with what follows, and either (1) giving to the perfect $\ell \xi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \varepsilon \vartheta \sigma \theta a \iota$ the forced sense, 'had ended their expedition'; or (2) adopting Poppo's suggestion, that the Athenians had intended to help Argos, not against Spartan invasion, but in attacking Epidaurus, a design which was stopped by the movement of the Spartans. But $\beta \sigma \eta \theta \varepsilon \hat{\nu}$, as Arnold points out on iv. 4, 3, would not be used of an offensive movement, unless it were subordinate to a scheme of defensive operations.

22. και ώς-so καί ch. 56, 22. Ιf πυθόμενοι δέ were read we might compare ch. 44, 2, ἀκούσαντες...και ἐπειδὴ ἔγνωσαν.

THUCYDIDES V

διήλθεν—so ch. 50, 24. It has been suggested that Thucydides uses this expression here, instead of the more usual $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \rho \rho s$ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a$, because of the inconclusive character of this summer's doings.

CHAPTER LVI

 'Αγησιππίδαν—probably the same as 'Ηγησιππίδαs ch.
 3. ἐπεκάλουν—of complaining, ch. 59, 29: iv. 23, 1, ἀδίκημα ἐπικαλίσαντες.

6. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma c \mu \mu \ell \nu o \nu$ —accusative absolute, like $\epsilon l \rho \eta \mu \ell \nu o \nu$, ch. 30, 10: $\delta \epsilon \delta o \gamma \mu \ell \nu o \nu$ i. 125, 2. The clause in question is in ch. 47, 35; the Athenians, as masters of the sea, being thus held responsible for maintaining a blockade.

9. τούς Μεσσηνίους-see ch. 35, 36. άδικήσεσθαι-passive future, as in vi. 87, 4: Eur. Iph. A. 1437 etc.: often in Dem.

11. τ_{Π}° **Δακωνικ**_{\Pi}^{\circ} στήλ_Π—see ch. 18, 62: ch. 23, 26. $i \pi \epsilon' \gamma \rho a \psi a \nu$ —'this was an intermediate step, to show that they did not renounce the treaty with Lacedaemon utterly. Had they done so, the monument on which the treaty was engraved would have been destroyed altogether: see Dem. *Megal.* 209, δεî τὰs στήλας καθελεῖν τὰs πρὸs Θηβaίους' (Arnold). $i \pi o \gamma \rho a \phi \omega$ is here used in the literal sense of subjoining or adding a note. In Dem. Pantaen. 973, $i \pi o \gamma \rho a \psi a \epsilon i \pi i \beta o \nu$. $ke \delta \sigma a$ is usually mis-translated: it means 'after starting with the statement that I plotted against him', $i \pi \delta$ being used as in $i \pi d \rho \chi \omega$, $i \pi o \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ etc. see note on iv. 4, 3. With the present passage Krüger compares Ar. Lys. 513, τi $\beta \epsilon \beta o i \lambda \epsilon v \tau i \sigma \sigma \pi o \lambda \delta \omega \epsilon' v \tau i \sigma \tau i \lambda \eta$ mapaγpaψa;

13. τοὺς ἐκ Κρανίων—see ch. 35, 6: for construction cf. such phrases as oi ἐκ τῶν νήσων κακοῦργοι, i. 8, 2. ληίζεσθαι infinitive of purpose (Goodwin § 770): cf. vi. 50, 4, δέκα τῶν νεῶν προὕπεμψαν ἐς τὸν λιμένα πλεῦσαι. ληίζομαι seems always middle in Thucydides: Classen accordingly reads ἐληίζοντο for ἐλήιζόν τε, iv. 41, 2.

16. ἐκ παρασκευῆς—ἐκ φανερῶς παρατάξεως (Schol.): cf. iv. 94, 1. ψιλοί ἐκ παρασκευῆς ώπλισμένοι, 'regularly armed', ὡς τύχοιεν—the optative, like the following imperfect, denotes indefinite frequency. 19. $\pi\rho is \epsilon a\rho \eta \delta\eta$ —ch. 17, 9. $\omega s \epsilon i\rho \mu ov$ —'They expected that the forces of the Epidaurians would be dispersed over their whole territory in defending forts and strong positions, on account of the plundering warfare which the Argives were carrying on, and that the city would thus be left defenceless' (Arnold).

CHAPTER LVII

2. $a\dot{v}\tau a\hat{s}$ - 'seeing their allies' etc.; ch. 3, 24. $\tau a\lambda\lambda a$ -'the other powers' i.e. states: vi. 16, fin. IIe*dorowrjoou* τa *δurarárara*: cf. ch. 59, 2. The construction which follows is resolved apposition, like ch. 54, 16. oú καλûş-'in an unsatisfactory state', i.e. disaffected or disheartened.

5. προκαταλήψονται—without object expressed; so iii. 2, 3, $\epsilon i \mu \eta \tau is προκαταλήψεται ηδη:$ iii. 3, 2: iii. 46, 4, with δπωs following. **airá**—'this', i.e. the spirit of disaffection, 'the evil would spread' (Jowett): iv. 61, 4, etc. For the whole phrase, cf. Cic. Att. i. 13, 3, vereor ne hoc quod infectum est serpat longius.

10. $\delta \sigma \sigma \iota \, d\lambda \lambda \sigma \iota$ -see ch. 67, 8. $is \, \Phi \lambda \iota \sigma \delta \nu \tau \sigma$ --Phlius was in the north of Peloponnesus, nearly south of Sicyon. $d\mu \iota \pi \pi \sigma \iota$ --Xen. *Hell*. vii. 5, 23, $\pi \epsilon \xi \circ l \, d\mu \iota \pi \pi \sigma \iota$. Foot-soldiers, armed with missiles, who accompanied the cavalry, and probably if necessary mounted their horses. 'They seem to be the same sort of troops with the $l\pi \pi \sigma \delta \rho d\mu \sigma \iota \, \psi \lambda \delta \sigma$ of Hdt. vii. 158. Their use is described by Caesar *B*. *G*. i. 48: *B*. *C*. iii. 84' (Arnold). 'toruequal in number, as in iv. 1, 1, $\Lambda \kappa \rho \delta \delta e s \sigma \delta \sigma$.

15. $\dot{\omega}_{5} \ \bar{\epsilon}\kappa a\sigma\tau \sigma \iota$ —that is in such numbers as each could furnish; i. 3, 4, of $\dot{\omega}_{5} \ \bar{\epsilon}\kappa a\sigma\tau \sigma \iota \ E\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\epsilon_{5}$. The phrase is elliptical, a verb being in each case implied from the context. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau_{\Pi}^{2} \ \epsilon\kappa\epsilon\epsilon^{-}\nu\omega\nu$ —the Phliasians, though just mentioned, are called $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\bar{\epsilon}\nu\sigma$ because apart from the Lacedaemonians, who are the main subject of the sentence; see note on iv. 37, 2.

CHAPTER LVIII

 προαισθόμενοι τό τε πρώτον—answered by κal ἐπειδή, the participial construction being dropped in the second clause;
 ch. 44 begins with a similar sentence. 'The Argives received the first intimation of the intention of the Lacedaemonians from the preparations of their allies; afterwards their purpose was more distinctly revealed by the march of the troops to Phlius. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \eta'$ refers only to the clause beginning $\kappa al \ \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta'$. The sentence would have run more regularly, $\pi \rho o \eta \sigma \theta \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \dots \kappa al \ \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta' \kappa \tau \lambda$.' (Jowett).

Classen prefers to read $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o\nu$ and to omit $\kappa a \ell$ before $\epsilon \pi \epsilon a \delta \gamma$. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o\nu$ then refers to the gathering of troops by the Spartans (ch. 57). This certainly simplifies the construction, but the sentence seems to lose its Thucydidean character, and the repetition $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o\nu \dots \tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \eta$ is awkward.

4. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\mu\hat{s}_{\alpha}$ -ch. 72, 8: also used of approaching au enemy (iv. 93, 1): and of coming to close quarters (iv. 33, 2, etc.).

8. $M\epsilon\theta\nu\delta\rho\ell\phi$ —Methydrium lay to the west of Mantinea, beyond a mountain ridge. Arnold points out that the Spartans took a circuitous route to Phlius, to avoid the territory of Mantinea.

9. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha' \nu \sigma \sigma \tau$ — of taking up a military position; iv. 1, 1, note. $\mu \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu \omega \mu \epsilon' \nu \sigma \sigma s$, as in ch. 8, 18, means 'without allies present', rather than 'deserted by allies'.

12. $dva\sigma\tau\eta\sigma as$ —iv. 93, 1, $dva\sigma\tau\eta\sigma as \eta\gamma\epsilon \tau dv \sigma\tau\rho a\tau dv.$ $\epsilon \pi \sigma \rho \epsilon v e \tau dv \sigma \tau \rho a \tau dv.$ The route would be by Orchomenus to the north of Mantinea. Agis effected the junction with his allies, or at any rate opened communications with them, as we find the whole force after this acting in concert.

16. $\tau_1 \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} N_{\epsilon \mu \ell \alpha \nu} \dot{\delta} \delta \nu$ —the accusative seems not to be governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega \rho o \nu \dot{\epsilon}$, but rather to be explanatory of $\tilde{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega r \sigma$ and dependent on $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \alpha$. $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'by way of'; as we should say, they took the Nemean road : vii. 80, 1, $\tilde{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \delta \delta \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \delta \ddot{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \tau \tilde{\eta} \Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon Mas.$ Nemea was north of Argos, between Cleonae and Phlius.

17. ταύτην—ch. 10, 31: iii. 24, 1, ἐχώρουν τὴν ἐς Θήβας φέρονσαν ἐδόν. The allied troops entered the enemy's territory in three divisions, two of which crossed the mountains into Argolis, while the third, with the eavalry, went northwards by the regular road to Nemea.

22. ὄρθιον--'steep'; with two terminations, as in Eur. Hel. 632. δρθριον has good manuscript authority, and possibly ὄρθριοι should be read; see ch. 58, 3, ἡμέραs ἤδη. ἐτέραν ὅρθιον however corresponds to χαλεπήν. 23. eloptro—so ch. 10, 36: iv. 77, 1, etc. Though the construction is passive, Agis is still the subject in sense, and accordingly $\sigma \phi \hat{a}_s = Agis'$ division.

CHAPTER LIX

 διατάξας—iv. 31, 2, ὦδε γὰρ διετετάχατο: iv. 130, 3, διατάσσει ὡs ἐs μάχην, etc. The compound implies the assignment of different posts or duties.

2. Σάμινθον—unknown. For άλλα cf. ch. 57, 3, τάλλα. Here however places, not states, are meant. $i\mu \epsilon \rho as$ $\eta \delta \eta$ —so i. 30, 4, χειμώνοs $\eta \delta \eta$: iii. 24, 3, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \eta \delta \eta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau$. This gives some support to the reading $\delta \rho \theta \rho oot, ch. 58, 22.$

7. où $\pi o\lambda\lambda\hat{\omega} \pi\lambda\epsilon$ (ovs-appositional, lit. 'in a rather greater number'; so ch. 115, 4. $i\chi\omega\rho ovv$ -possibly='had been marching' i.e. during the night; see note on ch. 50, 13: but perhaps simply 'continued their march.' They had taken the longer and more level road by Nemea. The tenses throughout, as in all military descriptions, are to be carefully watched.

10. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$ —'found', sc. there: in this sense, as Classen points out, this verb is generally followed by a participle or some note of place. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ —sc. the Argives. They had marched down from Nemea at once, and were now getting into battle array.

13. $i\nu \mu i\sigma \phi \delta i$ —on the south the Spartans under Agis occupied the plain, and cut them off from Argos; to the west the Corinthians occupied the mountain ridges, and threatened their flank; while from the north the Boeotian cavalry and the rest of that division were closing on their rear.

16. τὸ δέ—iv. 108, 1, τὰ πρὸς Ἐἰῶνα τηρουμένων. πρὸς Νεμέας—iv. 100, 3, τὸ πρὸς ἐαυτῶν ἄκρον. ὅπποι δέ—there was then no Peloponnesian cavalry on either side.

20. οὐχ οῦτω—as we say 'not so great'=not very; so non ita: ii. 11, 6, οὐδ' ἐπὶ ἀδύνατον οῦτω πόλιν. Such expressions are elliptical, at any rate originally. ἐν καλῷ—under favourable conditions, see ch. 46, 8. 22. $d\pi\epsilon\iota\lambda\eta\varphi\epsilon\iotaau$ —sc. $a\delta\tau ol$: the construction reverts to the original subject, as if the clause with $d\lambda\lambda\delta$ were a mere parenthesis, $\epsilon\nu\delta\mu\iota\zeta\sigma\nu$ or $\epsilon\delta\delta\kappa\sigma\nu\nu$ being supplied.

24. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \ell v \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} v$ —seemingly corresponding to the $\pi \ell v \tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \chi o_{\epsilon}$, ch. 72, 24. Arnold says these were not originally military but political divisions. The strategi at Argos, as at Athens, were probably the heads of the war department.

26. δσον οὐ—iv. 36, 1, ἐς τὸν ὅσον οὐ παρόντα πόλεμον. ξυνιόντων—iv. 94, 2, ἦδη μελλόντων ξυνιέναι. μὴ ποιεῖν μάχην —the active is used of the commander who orders or brings on the battle; so iv. 91, 2. μάχην ποιεῖσθαι is 'to fight', as in ch. 65, 20. In construction the infinitive depends on the sense of requesting implied in διελεγέσθην.

28. $\delta(\kappa \alpha_{5...} \delta \delta \delta \nu \alpha_{1...} \text{see ch. 27, 14. } \epsilon \pi \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \delta \sigma \iota \nu - i. 139, 2,$ $<math>\epsilon \pi \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \delta \nu \tau es$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \epsilon a \nu$ Meyape $\delta \sigma \iota$: iv. 133, 1, without dative of the person expressed : see ch. 83, 17.

CHAPTER LX

1. oi $\mu \epsilon \nu$ —answered by oi $\delta \epsilon$, line 10. These particles mark the two main antithetical divisions of the chapter. On the one hand the Argive chiefs acted independently, and so did Agis in accepting their proposals; on the other hand the Lacedaemonians, though they obeyed Agis, were indiguant at his conduct, and the Argives were no less enraged with Thrasylus and Alciphron.

2. $\tau \hat{\omega} v' A \rho \gamma \epsilon (\omega v - partitive genitive dependent on ol <math>\tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a$ $\epsilon l \pi \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$: cf. iii. 28, 2, ol $\delta \epsilon \pi \rho d \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s \mu d \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \pi \rho \delta s$ $\tau o \delta s$ A acc $\delta a \iota - \mu o \nu (o v s \tau \hat{\omega} v M \nu \tau \lambda \eta \nu a l \omega v)$. There seems no ground for taking $\tau \hat{\omega} v' A \rho \gamma \epsilon l \omega v$ as dependent on $\tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a$, as Classen does; or for omitting the words, as others propose. They are in fact necessary to make the sense clear. $d \phi' \epsilon a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} v \mu \omega \gamma s$. selves', on their own authority; iv. 68, 2, $d \phi' \epsilon a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \gamma \nu \delta \mu \gamma s$.

3. **kal** $\delta^* A \gamma_{i5}$ —'and Agis likewise'; this is a subordinate part of the main division under $\mu \ell \nu$. $a \vec{v} \tau \delta \vec{s}$ —'by himself', on his own authority. $o \vec{v} \delta \vec{\epsilon}$ —no more than the two Argives.

6. άλλ' ή — 'except'; ch. 80, 8: iii. 71, 1, μηδετέρους δέχεσθαι άλλ' ή μια νηι ήσυχάζοντας. κοινώσας—without an expressed object, as we say 'having communicated with': so iv. 2, 1, etc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \, i \nu \, \tau \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon_1$ —two ephors, besides other officials, accompanied the king on service. They are enumerated in Arnold's note. Classen suggests $\xi \upsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \upsilon o \mu \ell \nu \omega$ as a possible alteration for $\xi \upsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \upsilon o \mu \ell \nu \omega$.

8. ξδει—'they were to', as arranged with Agis. τών άλλων ξυμμάχων—as opposed to the Lacedaemonians. αλλοs is used, as in i. 128, 5. κρύφα τῶν άλλων ξυμμάχων, for the sake of sharper contrast. Krüger suggests ξυναρχόντων or ξυμβούλων, but no change seems required.

12. $i \nu airia - i$. 35, 4, $i \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i o \nu airia i \mu a s \xi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$: so infr. line 25. $\pi a \rho a \tau \nu \chi \delta \nu$ -so i. 76, 2: line 29, $\pi a \rho a \tau \chi \delta \nu$. $a \delta \tau \hat{u} \nu$ means the Argives and their allies: it is a good instance of the sense of this word being gathered from the context. $\delta \xi \mu o \nu - s o$ v. 34, 1, $\delta \xi i a \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o \delta o \kappa (a s: vi. 21, 1, \delta \xi i o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta i a \nu o l a s.$

16. στρατόπεδον...ξυνήλθεν—this clause resembles i. 1, 2, κίνησις γὰρ αίτη μεγίστη δὴ τοῦς Ἐλλησιν ἐγίνετο: where Classen collects a number of similar sentences, e.g. i. 50, 2, ναυμαχία γὰρ αῦτη μεγίστη δὴ τῶν πρὸ αὐτῆς γγένηται. In these instances the pronoun (τοῦτο), which is the subject, is placed between the substantive (στρατόπεδον), which is appositional, and a superlative (κάλλωτον) which with the verb (ξυήλθεν) forms the predicate. Ἐλληνικών is appositional with a limiting force: the whole being equivalent to τοῦτο κάλλωτον ἦν τῶν Ἐλληνικῶν στρατοπέδῶν ἁ μέχρι τοῦδε ξυνῆλθεν. The initial substantive from its position is in effect like a genitive plural (στρατοπέδων), introducing a general idea of which a particular case is to be described. Classen also cites a few variations from the regular arrangement.

 κάλλιστον τῶν—see last note: so i. 1, 1, ἀξιολογώτατον τῶν προγεγετημένων, where Classen compares Tac. Hist. i. 50, solus omnium ante se principum. Milton imitates the construction: Paradise Lost, iv. 324,

> Adam the goodliest of men since born His sons, the fairest of her daughters Eve.

So Midsummer Night's Dream, v. 1. 239,

This is the greatest error of all the rest.

This army was κάλλιστον, being composed of picked troops. Others may have been larger, for instance the force under Pausanias at Plataea. 18. $\delta \phi \theta \eta$ —sc. κάλλιστον δν. $\dot{\delta} \theta \rho \dot{\delta} v$ —apparently the whole force was collected at Nemea, after withdrawing from before Argos; or $\dot{\epsilon}v$ may simply mean 'near'. The army then marched away by the regular Nemean road, and broke up. $\dot{\epsilon}v$ $\dot{\phi}$ —when the divisions were united. The phrase refers to circumstances previously mentioned; iv. 18, 2, $\dot{\epsilon}v \dot{\phi} \pi a c_i \tau \delta a \dot{v} \delta$ $\dot{\delta}\mu o \dot{\omega}v \dot{\omega} \pi \dot{a} \chi c_i$. Krüger renders it 'at which point', saying that $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \alpha av$ would be required if time were meant.

19. $\pi a \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{q}$ —both position and sense show that this belongs to the Lacedaemonians only, who had marched out $\pi a \nu \delta \eta \mu el$: see ch. 57. The Phiasians, it is true, had called out their whole force, but here they are merely named in the general list of the allies, the rest of whom were represented by picked contingents. Those editors who refer $\pi a \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{q}$ to all the nominatives are obliged to render it 'with all the troops they had in the field'; which is simply explaining the word away.

21. καl οῦτοι—'these also being picked men', in apposition to the preceding subjects. dξιόμαχοι δοκοῦντες—'looking a match,' rather than 'thinking themselves', cf. ἀφθη supra. καl ἀλλη—this is the manuscript reading, and represents ἀξιόμαχοι ἐδόκουν εἶναι καl ἀλλη ξυμμαχία προσγενομένη (=εί προσγένοιτο), which is perfectly good Greek and gives a satisfactory sense. Krüger however and others adopt καν άλλη, on the ground that the idea represented is κal άλλη αν ἀξιομάχοι ἐδόκουν είναι εί προσεγένετο. I cannot see that the alteration is necessary, or even desirable: cf. note on τειχισθέν, ch. 52, 17; and Goodwin § 213–217, for ἀν with participles.

24. στρατόπεδον—followed by the plural; so i. 89, 3, 'Αθηναίων τὸ κοινόν...διεκομίζοντο: iii. 80, 1, ὁ δημος...ἡεσαν ἐς λόγους. ἀνεχώρουν, like εἴποντο, line 11, denotes the beginning and continuance of the retreat.

26. κal aὐτοί—'on their own part also'. κal ἐκείνοι—i.e, no less than the Lacedaemonians, with whom they are emphatically contrasted by the use of this pronoun; cf. iv. 73, 4, ol'Aθηναίοι...ἡσύχαζον κal αὐτοί...λογιζόμενοι κal ol ἐκείνων στρατηγοί, where both αὐτοί and ἐκείνων refer to the Athenians. See also note on iv. 37, 2, παραδῦναι σφᾶs αὐτοῦs 'Aθηναίοs ^{is}στε βουλεῦται ὅ τι ῶν ἐκείνοις δοκῆ: and of Shilleto on i. 132, 3.

29. $\mu\eta \, \ddot{\alpha}\nu \dots \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi \dot{\delta} \nu \longrightarrow \dot{\delta} \nu \to \dot{\delta} \phi \phi \to \dot{\delta} \phi \to \dot{\delta} \phi \phi \to \dot{\delta} \phi \to \dot{\delta} \phi \to \dot{\delta} \phi \to \dot{\delta}$

33. $i\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} Xapáδp\phi$ —the bed of a winter torrent, which flows close under the walls of Argos. 'The military courts were held without the city, because within the walls the ordinary law, with its forms and privileges, would have resumed its authority...So also the Comitia Centuriata at Rome always met in the Campus Martius without the walls, because their original character and divisions were military' (Arnold).

ib. στρατείας-most uss have στρατιĉs, the usual confusion. περιγίγνεται-'saves his life': Hdt. ix. 121, άποδώσω τάλαντα διήκοσια περιγενόμενος, 'if my life be spared'.

CHAPTER LXI

3. Ad $\chi\eta$ s—most probably the same who commanded the first expedition to Sicily (iii. 86, 1), and was superseded by Pythodorus. His name appears among the signatories to the treaty and alliance in ch. 19 and 24. Nicostratus was sent to Coreyra in 427 (iii. 75); was employed on the Laconian coast in 424 (iv. 53); and in the Macedonian expedition in 423 (iv. 129 sq.).

 δμως—though indignant and disgusted at the arrangement made; cf. ch. 46, 4: iii. 28, 2, iπi τούς βωμούς δμως καθίζουσι, i.e. although terms had been promised.

7. $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \delta \sigma \alpha \iota$ —ch. 5, 3. $\pi \rho l \nu \eta$ —Lid. and Scott say $\pi \rho l \nu \eta$ 'occurs here and there in Attic Greek, from which it is sometimes removed by correction: it is common in later Greek'. This, if right, is a solitary instance in Thucydides. Perhaps $\pi \rho l \nu \delta \eta$, which is common, or $\pi \rho l \nu \delta l$ should be read. For $\pi \rho l \nu$ with the indicative, in the sense of 'until', referring to a definite past action, see i. 51, 1: ii. 65, 2, etc. It generally follows a negative (Goodwin § 634).

10. $\vec{\epsilon} v \tau \epsilon \tau \sigma \hat{s} = \vec{\epsilon} v \tau \sigma \hat{s} \tau \epsilon$. Classen considers $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau a$ unsatisfactory and reads $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau \dot{a}$, believing that separate assemblies

THUCYDIDES V

were held, which is also suggested by $\tau \epsilon$ and $\kappa a \iota$. Most authorities suppose that the allies were merely present in the Argive assembly.

11. οἰκ ὀρθῶς ai σπονδaί—the influence of the 'wrongful truce', which stands first in order, is felt throughout the sentence, though the wording is modified in the second part. ἄνευ—so ch. 60, 28: iv. 78, 3, ἄνευ τοῦ πάντων κοινοῦ.

12. **kal yévouvo**—probably κai , in the sense of 'both' connects this with **kal yév**, $\kappa \tau \lambda$, the conjunctions coupling the two points on which the Athenians insist, the wrong already done them by the treaty, and the present obligation of immediate action. κai is then irregularly placed, as noted on ch. 16, 15, and stands where it does to emphasize the antithesis. Krüger however holds that κai simply emphasizes $\gamma é rouvro$, the sense being that 'even the making of the truce was a wrong'.

ib. καl νῦν...χρῆναι—the construction with ὅτι is now dropped, as in i. 87, 4, ξλεγον ὅτι δοκοῖεν...βούλεσθαι δέ. ἄπτεσθαι–viii, 92, 4, ἤπτοντο τῶν πραγμάτων: so i. 49 fin. ξργου είχετο.

14. $\pi\epsilon i \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ —the subject at first is the Athenians alone, then by apposition it expands into $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$: cf. iv. 69, 1, $\gamma \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\delta \epsilon \circ l \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o l... \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau l a... \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \dot{\nu} \rho o \nu \nu$: so in iv. 108, 3, $\epsilon i \omega \theta \delta \tau \epsilon s \circ l \delta \pi \theta \rho \omega \pi o i$, where certain particular colonists are the original subject of the sentence.

ib. ἐκ τῶν λόγων—F. compares two instances of ἐκ with πείθω, to denote the ground of persuasion; vii. 48, 3, ἐκ τούτων aὐτοὐs πείσεσθαι: viii. 47, 1, πείσαι ἐκ τοῦ τοιούτου. Otherwise the meaning might be 'after the conference'; see also ch. 113, 1.

15. τόν 'Αρκαδικόν—as distinguished from Orchomenos in Boeotia, iii. 87, 4. δμως και πεισθέντες—though convinced they still hung back: Krüger compares Soph. Oed. Col. 666, δμως δέ καμοῦ μή παρόντος...τούμὸν φυλάξει σ΄ δνομα.

18. **προσκαθέζόμενοι**—the accusative construction is found with this word in i. 26, 5 : i. 61, 2. The same participle occurs also in i. 11, 4 : i. 126, 4 : i. 134, 2 ; in each case with some tense of πολιορκεΐν or with a similar word. The form is aorist; see Veitch's Irregular Greek Verbs.

 άλλως τε...και ήσαν—Poppo says 'noli öτι expectare', and compares i. 110, 2, τοῦτον δὲ διὰ μέγεθος τε τοῦ ἕλους οὐκ ἐδύναντο ἐλεῖν, καὶ ἄμα μαχιμώτατοἱ εἰσι τῶν Αἰγυπτίων οἱ ἐλειοι: vii. 47, 2, τῆς τε ῶρας ταύτης οὕσης...καὶ τὸ χωρίον ἅμα ἐλῶδες ῆν: so Tac. Hist. i. 76, penes Othonem manebant, non partium studio, sed erat grande momentum in nomine urbis. προσγενέσθαι--ch. 32, 11.

22. $\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota$ —here and in vi. 61, 3, $\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\iota$ does duty as the perfect passive of $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\tau\ell\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, the regular word for bestowing hostages. $\delta\epsilon\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilons$ —see note on $\delta\epsilon\delta\iota\omega s$, ch. 8, 5. $\pi\lambda\eta\theta\sigmas$ refers to the (small) force in Orchomenos; so iv. 10, 4, $\alpha\nu\tau\iota$ - $\pi\Delta\lambda\eta\sigma\tau\omega$ $\tau\omega$ $\tau\omega$ $\tau\omega$ $\tau\omega$

24. μή προαπόλωνται—sc. before help arrived. The subjunctive depends on δείσαντες by a modification of construction. The clause with ω_s gives the reason of their alarm, 'as they saw no prospect of succour'.

 ωστε—iv. 46, 2, ξυνέβησαν ώστε παραδοῦναι. Mavτιveῦσι—' the Mantineans, as the most powerful of the Arcadian allies, are given the charge of these Arcadian hostages' (F).

CHAPTER LXII

 ἐφ' ὅ τι χρή—Classen collects instances to show that χρή is regularly thus used in reporting deliberation; e.g. i. 40,
 ¿ψηφισμέτων εἰ χρή αὐτοῖς ἀμύτειν: see ch. 63, 10. ἐκέλευον léva is omitted, as with ἐψηφίσαντο infr.

 προσέθεντο-vi. 50, 1, προσέθετο τη 'Αλκιβιάδου γνώμη: so absolutely 'to vote' i. 20, 4, μιậ ψήφω προστίθεσθαι.

11. Teyearŵv—Classen follows Stahl in reading $\tau \hat{\omega} r$ for $(T\epsilon\gamma\epsilon a)\tau\hat{\omega} r$, believing that the reading came from $T\epsilon\gamma\dot{\epsilon}a$, a gloss on $\tau\dot{\delta}\lambda\epsilon i$. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\delta(\delta\sigma\sigma u\nu$ —the imperfect denotes intention or arrangement; so iv. 76, 2, Xaipáreiar állai éredidorar. For the uses of $\dot{\epsilon}r\dot{\delta}o\dot{\epsilon}ras$ see note on iv. 66, 2.

CHAPTER LXIII

 Λακεδαιμόνιοι δέ-see ch. 60, 12 and 25. This first sentence deals in a parenthetical way with the state of feel-

183

ing in Sparta before Orchomenos fell. ἐξ "Αργους-from the neighbourhood or district of Argos; the converse of the use of ἐν noted on ch. 55, 2. χειρωσάμενον σφίσιν-so iv. 28, fin.

4. παρασχὸν καλῶς—ch. 60, 29: cf. 14, 14. This phrase would naturally be answered by ὡς οὅπω πρότερον, sc. παρέσχεν. Here however we have ὡς...ἐνόμιζον, sc. παρασχεῖν. The construction is somewhat harsh, and Krüger brackets αἰτοἰ ἐνόμιζον. It is also suggested to insert ὡς before αἰτοί. Note that οὕπω πρότερον with a relative occurs twice in this chapter, and in 64, 7.

7. **λαβείν**—' to get' or 'find', with $d\theta\rho\delta ovs$: like Hdt. i. 116, τδν βουκόλον μόνον λαβών. Classen compares ch. 102, 2, and vi. 86, 3, δταν καιρόν λάβωσι, but these instances are not analogous.

ib. $\eta\gamma\gamma\ell\lambda\lambda\epsilon\tau\sigma$ —the imperfects in this sentence are to be <u>r</u> noticed, 'when news of the capture of Orchomenos went on to arrive', etc. For the explanatory infinitive $\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega\kappa\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$ cf. i. 50, 1, $\pi\rho\delta$ rovs $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma$ $\epsilon\tau\rho\delta\pi\sigma\sigma\tau\sigma$ $\phi\sigma\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu$: see Krüger's Grammar § 61. 6, 8.

9. ἐβούλευον—for this use of the active where we might expect the middle, see note on iv. 15, 1. παρά τὸν τρόπον they were usually slow and deliberate; see i. 132, 5, χρώμενοι τῷ τρόπψ, ῷπερ εἰώθασιν ἐς σφᾶς αὐτοὺς, μὴ ταχεῖς εἶναι περὶ ἀνδρὸς Σπαρτιάτου βουλεῦσαὶ τι ἀνήκεστον.

11. δέκα μυριάσι—'about £6700, supposing the sum to be given in Aeginetan drachmae' (Jowett). For the dative cf. ii. 65, 2, έζημίωσαν χρήμασι: so φυγ $\hat{\eta}$, iv. 65, 3.

12. $\dot{\rho}\dot{\sigma}\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta at$ —'atome for' or 'do away with' is the sense required, and the scholiast explains $\dot{\rho}\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta at$ by $d\pi\sigma\lambda\sigma\sigma\sigma v$. It is suggested that it may have been the actual expression used by Agis. $\dot{\rho}\sigma\rho\mu at$ in Homer and Herodotus means to set free or redeem, e.g. from slavery, and the idea of *retrieving* a fault might thus come in. Poppo says that the word is found in later historians such as Diodorus and Herodian. Dobree proposed $\lambda \delta\sigma\sigma\sigma \sigma a$, comparing $\dot{d}\pi\epsilon\lambda\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma r\sigma$, ch. 75, 13, and similar phrases.

13. $\sigma\tau\rhoa\tau\epsilon\nu\sigma\acute{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma_{s}$ —'when he had taken the field' i.e. if again placed at the head of an army. The best manuscripts have $\sigma\tau\rhoa\tau\epsilon\nu\sigma\acute{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma_{s}$, and some editors read $\sigma\tau\rhoa\tau\epsilon\nu\acute{a}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma_{s}$. $\eta = '\sigma r$ else'; i. 78, 3, etc.

ib. $\pi ole iv$ —'let them do', dependent on the sense ($= \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon$) supplied from $\pi a \rho \eta \tau \epsilon i \tau o$, or simply representing the direct imperative: so iv. 50, 3, $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a$, 'they must send', appended to the account of a letter. The construction of the infinitive in treaties and laws is similar, e.g. ϵva , line 18.

15. $i\pi i\sigma \chi_0 v$ —ch. 32, 28. $i\nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi a \rho i \nu \tau$.—we are not told how long the law remained in force, or if it applied to other commanders besides Agis. No further mention is made of such $\xi i \mu \beta o \nu \lambda o \epsilon$, and Agis himself, when in command of the troops at Decelea, is found acting with full authority and independently of the home government; see especially viii. 5, 3; also ch. 66, 12.

17. ξμμβούλους—such commissioners are mentioned in ii. 85, 1: iii. 69, 1, and 76, 1, as appointed to assist Spartan naval commanders; and Plutarch (*Per.* c. 22) speaks of ξύμβουλου being sent with the young Pleistoanax when he invaded Attica in 445. The idea therefore was not altogether new.

18. ℓvai —for the relative and infinitive in the report of a decree, cf. ii. 24, fin. $\delta r \mu \eta \chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta a_{\mu} \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \dot{q}_{2}$; so Dem. Lept. 505, § 158, $\ell \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon r \dot{\epsilon} \phi'$ of $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma i a d \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \nu r \dot{\nu} r a_{1}$ (Goodwin § 756). $\delta r d \gamma \epsilon \epsilon r \dot{\epsilon} \phi'$ of $\kappa \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma i a d \tau \sigma \kappa \tau \nu r \dot{\nu} \sigma i$ so defined as one to begin any operations. As $\delta r d \gamma \epsilon \nu$ is generally used not of marching out from home ($\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \sigma$) but of withdrawing troops from an enemy's land, there is something to be said for Haase's conjecture $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta s \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \omega s$. Can the last words be merely an explanatory gloss?

CHAPTER LXIV

The Spartans now hear of the danger of Tegea, and realize at length that the leadership of Peloponnesus is at stake. They accordingly act with unusual promptitude and vigour.

8. 'Opé $\sigma\theta\epsilon\iota ov$ —this town gave name to the district of Oresthis; iv. 134, 1; where see note. Pausanias speaks of a founder Orestheus, but Eur. Or. 1647 gives 'Opé $\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota ov$ from Orestes. $\tau\eta$'s Mauvallas—w. of Tegea and x.w. of Sparta.

9. τοῖς μὲν ᾿Αρκάδων—Krüger collects instances of this position of the partitive genitive in his note on i. 126, 5, of τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐπιτετραμμένοι τὴν φυλακήν: so iv. 111, 2, of τῶν Τορωναίων ἐνδοθεν παρασκευάζοντες. 10. dθροισθείσι—as this is closely connected with the infinitive lévat, we should expect the accusative; but the practice of Thucydides is not invariable. Thus in vii. 20, 1, $\tilde{\psi}$ είρητο... ἀφικομένψ παρακαλεῖν is followed by είρητο δ' αὐτῷ περιπλέοντα ξυστρατεύεσθαι: cf. i. 31, 2, ξδοξεν αὐτοῖs ἐλθοῦσιν ὡs τοὐs 'λθηναίουs ξυμμάχουs γενέσθαι. In iv. 5, 1, ὀρμὴ ἐσἐπεσε περισταθα, the connexion of the dative is ambiguous.

ib. κατά πόδας αὐτῶν—' to follow them closely'; iv. 126 fin. For aὐτῶν referring to the primary subject, see ch. 32, 26, note.

14. τὸ πρεσβύτερον—=οἰ πρεσβύτεροι: cf. i. 105, 5, οἴ τε πρεσβύτατοι καl οἰ νεώτατοι: ii. 13, 7, τοσοῦτοι ἐφύλασσον ἀπὸ τῶν πρεσβυτάτων καl νεωτάτων. Spartans were liable to serve abroad in emergency up to the age of 60, but in Athens men over 40 were usually exempt. See Müller's Dorians, Bk. iii. ch. 12.

20. $\tau \circ \hat{s} \mu \ell \nu$ —answered by the clause $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \cdot \delta \alpha \iota \mu \delta \nu \iota o \delta \epsilon$ $\kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \Lambda$. $\dot{\xi} \delta \lambda (\gamma o \nu - \iota a t short notice'; iv. 108, 5: ii. 11, 3, <math>\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ $\delta \lambda i \gamma o \nu \tau a \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda a \tau \omega \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a$. The subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma (\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau o,$ $\iota i t came', is implied in the context, as is common with <math>\epsilon i \mu i$ and $\gamma (\gamma \nu \sigma \mu a \iota a d with passives; see Kriiger's Grammar, § 61.$ 5, 6.

21. $\mu\eta d\theta\rho \delta o s$ —'unless they waited for one another and came all together' (Jowett). Poppo notices the combination of an adjective and a participle: so iii. 69, 1, $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\alpha\iota$ κal σποράδεs.

22. $\tau \eta \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu (a \nu - the districts north of Tegea generally, which either belonged to Argos or had joined the confederacy.$

ib. $\xi uvé \kappa \lambda_{\eta \epsilon}$ —the object is implied in the context. The meaning is 'it blocked their way' or 'closed them in': further explained by $\delta \iota d$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v$, which denotes an 'intervening' obstacle: cf. Hdt, i. 104, $\epsilon \nu \tau \delta \delta \iota d$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma o \nu \epsilon \delta \nu o s a \nu \tau a \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau$, i.e. between Media and Colchis, the countries spoken of. Krüger takes $\xi uv \epsilon \kappa \lambda_{P} \epsilon$ in a quasi-intransitive sense as in ch. 72, 9, 'it closed in', i.e. was continuous. The scholiast explains it by $d \pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$.

23. $dva\lambda a\beta \delta v \tau \epsilon s$ —ch. 7, 10. $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ 'Hpak $\lambda \epsilon \ell \varphi$ —on the road from Tegea to Mantinea. Grote says 'the Lacedaemonian kings appear to have felt a sense of protection in encamping near a temple of Heracles, their heroic progenitor (see Xen. *Hell.* vii. 1, 31)'.

CHAPTER LXV

 καταλαβόντες—ch. 4, 15. The χωρίον έρυμνόν is said by Curtius to be the southern point of Alesium, a position of importance to Mantinea.

7. σφάς—see note on iv. 36, 1, άλλως έφη πονείν σφάς. κακόν κακῷ lάσθαι—Classen compares Hdt, iii. 53, μη τῷ κακῷ τὸ κακὸν lῶ: Soph. Aj. 362, μη κακὸν κακῷ διδούς ἄκος πλέον τὸ πῆμα τῆς ἄτης τίθει: Plat. Protag. 340 D, lώμεν.ς μεῖζον τὸ νόσημα ποιῶ.

8. $\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ —'meaning that his present ill-timed forwardness was intended to retrieve the discredit incurred by his withdrawal from Argos'. $\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ here has the regular participial construction. For the infinitive see iv. 38, 1, $\delta\eta\lambda\delta\hat{\nu}\nu\tau\epsilons$ $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma$ ler θa .

 ἐπαιτίου—' culpable'; more commonly used of persons who deserve or incur blame. ἀνάληψιν—lit. 'taking back' i.e. retrieval. The word only occurs here in Thucydides. The verb is sometimes used in this sense, e.g. Soph. Phil. 1249, τὴν ἀμαρτίαν ἀναλαβεῖν πειράσομα.

10. βουλομένην—agreeing with $\dot{a}r\dot{a}\lambda\eta\psi\iota r$: $\beta o \dot{a}\lambda \rho \mu a \iota$ in this sense of 'meaning' or 'professing to be' is common in Plato and Aristotle; e.g. Rep. 595 c, $o\dot{v}\partial\dot{e}$ ξυννοῶ τί βούλεται εἶναι. έθέλω is similarly used. τοῦτο φρονεῖ, ch. 85. 6, is somewhat similar. Here Classen and Stahl read βουλόμενον, referring to Agis, the subject of διανοεῖται. The position of the word seems rather against the alteration.

11. etre kal...etre kal—so vi. 60, 2, etre ápa kal rà örra µµvõvat etre kal ov: so šornep kal...otru kal and the like. etre kal—or because he himself changed his decision'; $\delta\delta\xiav$ is accusative absolute, as in viii. 79, 1: viii. 93, 1. Here it is personally constructed, with $\delta\lambda\lambda\alpha$, which is rare without $\dot{\omega}r$ or $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$: see note on $\kappa\nu\rho\omega\partial^2 v$ ő' ovidér, iv. 125, 1; and Goodwin § 854. The words η kará rð a $\dot{\upsilon}r\delta$ seem clumsy and unnecessary, but this is no proof that they are not genuine, and they are found in all the manuscripts. Stahl omits η and refers kará rð a $\dot{\upsilon}r\delta$ is another suggestion; while Dobree would omit the words altogether.

THUCYDIDES V

12. $i\xi a(\phi \nu \eta s$ —by some editors taken with $\delta \delta \xi a \nu$, by others with $a\pi \eta \gamma \epsilon$: the latter way seems to give a more forcible sense. It was the sudden change of tactics which surprised the Argives (line 23). $\kappa a \tau d \tau \alpha \chi \sigma s$ denotes the rapidity of the retreat.

14. $\pi p \delta_5 \tau \eta \nu T \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \delta \tau \iota \nu$ —i.e. at the border.— $\tau \delta \ \delta \omega \rho$ —the plain of Mantinea, which was high level ground enclosed by mountains, had no outlet but by subterranean channels, such as are not uncommon in a lime-stone country. It is fully described in Arnold's interesting note.

ib. ἐξέτρεπεν—the imperfect here denotes the beginning of the operation, a little below its continuance.

15. τὰ πολλά—'mostly'. This leaves βλάπτοντος without an object: Poppo therefore suggests omitting τὰ, when πολλὰ βλάπτοντος would mean 'doing great damage'. With πολεμοῦσιν we might expect ἀεί or some such phrase.

17. $\tau o v s d\pi \delta$ —with $\kappa a \tau a \beta_i \beta a \sigma a_i$: he wished to draw from the hill those who were on it; ch. 34, 1. $\beta o \eta \theta o \hat{v} \tau a s \epsilon \pi \ell$ predicate, 'to (stop) the turning of the water'. The participle is not equivalent to the future, but is used as in iv. 25, 9, $\kappa a \tau \ell \beta a \mu s \sigma \ell \sigma \theta o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon s \epsilon \pi \ell \tau o v Me \sigma \sigma \mu loss.$ The descent from the hill would be in itself the beginning of the $\beta o \eta \theta \epsilon a$.

19. $\tau \circ i s' A \rho \gamma \epsilon (\circ v s \, \kappa . \tau . \lambda . - modern editors are disposed to omit these words as a mere explanatory gloss. Poppo however on i. 144, 2, gives several instances of similar apposition. They can scarcely be all interpolations, and are more probably due to the writer's mannerism; see note on iv. 114, 4. <math>\tau \eta \nu \mu \alpha' \chi \eta \nu =$ the impending engagement: so iii. 105, 4.

21. µɛívas—the aorist gives an 'end-view' (Clyde). Here, in combination with the imperfect, it either means 'after halting'; or it regards the day's operations as ended, in which case <code>itérpersy = 'had been diverting'.</code>

23. $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s to unded'. <math>\xi \delta \lambda' \gamma o \nu$ —usually of time, as in ch. 64, 20: ch. 72, 1. If this be the meaning here, al\$\phi \oxed{b} \oxed{u}, which is absent from one manuscript, should be omitted as an explanatory gloss. $\xi \delta \lambda' \gamma o \nu$ however is used of space ii. 91, 3, $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \eta \nu \xi \delta \lambda' \gamma o \nu \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \xi \delta \rho \mu \eta \sigma \nu$, and may be so understood here. It will then correspond to $\mu \xi \chi c \iota \mu \delta \nu$ $\lambda (\theta o \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda. line 5, while al$\phi \oxed{v} \oxed{u} was to $\epsilon \xi a \oxed{a} \nu \oxed{v} the s \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{v} \oxed{u} \oxed{v} the s \oxed{v} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{v} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{v} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{v} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{a} \oxed{v} \oxed{a} \$ 24. aútŵv—the position of this word between $\tau \hat{y}$ and araxwphoti seems due to the intervening words; so iv. 55, 3, mapà thy úmápxovsar spûr lôtar: see note on ch. 10, 48.

id. oùn élxov ő τι élnárwouv-so il. 52, 3, oùn ℓ_{Xovres} ő τι γ $\ell_{vovra.}$ The deliberative subjunctive after a past tense, is a direct and vivid form of expression. ϵ tra (without $\delta \ell$) answers to to $\mu \ell r$ mporor. Krüger suggested ℓ metra as more in accordance with Thucydidean usage, e.g. viii. 67, 2.

25. $i\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\eta$ —note the tenses and the order of the words: $dva\chi\omega\rho\sigma\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$ affects the whole sentence, though agreeing with $i\epsilon\epsilon\epsilonirot$ only. 'Then, when the retreat went on, and the Spartans disappeared, while they found themselves standing still and no pursuit attempted'.

25. $d\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho v \psi a v$ —'disappeared', apparently a neuter usage, $\epsilon a a r o \sigma s$ being understood. This is the scholiast's explanation, and it is supported by Hes. Fr. 44, $d\pi \sigma \kappa \rho \delta \pi \tau \sigma v \sigma t$ IEAetdões, quoted by Lid. and Scott. This view is simpler than to understand a $\delta \tau \sigma \delta s$ or 'Apyelovs, on the analogy of the nautical use found Plat. Protag. 338 A, $\delta \pi \sigma \kappa \rho \delta \psi a \mu r a \gamma \tilde{r} r$ 'losing sight of land': Luc. Ver. Hist. 2. 38, $\delta \pi \kappa \kappa \rho \delta \psi a \mu r a \sigma \gamma \tilde{r} r$ 'losing sight of lii. 291, Phaeacum abscondimus arces.

Besides, the point is that the Argives lost sight of the Spartans, not that the Spartans lost sight of the Argives.

26. $\sigma \phi \epsilon i s$ —i.e. $a i \tau o i$: rarely used, as Poppo notes, except in oratio obliqua, or with $\delta \tau \iota$ in mixed constructions like line 31. Here indeed this clause, like the two which conclude the sentence, seems to represent in a sort of half-direct way the actual thoughts or words of the troops.

27. Wairia $\epsilon l \chi ov - ch. 60$, 12 etc. Here the ground of blame follows with an accusative and aorist infinitive; cf. vii. 81, 1, $\epsilon \nu$ altia $\tau d\nu$ $F \partial \lambda_1 \pi \pi c \nu$ $\epsilon l \chi o \nu \dots d \phi \epsilon \tilde{\nu} a a$. Then the construction alters to $\delta \tau_i$ with the indicative, giving to some extent, as Classen points out, the effect of direct speech. The converse change from $\delta \tau_i$ to the infinitive is much more common; see ch. 61, 12.

ib. τό τε πρότερον—see ch. 60. The sense is 'as formerly ...so now': see note on ch. 43, 15. For καλώς cf. ch. 36, 21: so έν καλώ ch. 59, 21 etc.

29. ὅτι—according to Krüger, 'hecause'; or else 'that'; depending on 'they complained'. In either case the irregular transition to the present indicative has a striking and dramatic effect. $\dot{\alpha}\pi\sigma\delta\iota\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\kappa\sigma\tau as}$ —a contemptuous word. $\kappa\alpha\theta'$ $\dot{\eta}\sigma\nu\chi(a\nu$ —ironical, 'quietly and comfortably'.

31. έθορυβήθησαν-'were bewildered' (Arnold); iii. 22, 6.

CHAPTER LXVI

 οι τε 'Αργείοι-answered by οι τε Λακεδαιμόνιοι. ην περιτύχωσι-τοῦς Λακεδαιμονίοις (schol.). πρὸς τὸ Ἡράκλειονsee the end of ch. 64.

5. Si $\partial \lambda (\gamma o \nu = i \xi a (\phi \nu \eta s, according to the scholiast: but plainly used here of an interval of space, 'close at hand'; so ii. 89, 7 etc.: cf. iv. 14, 1, <math>\delta i \Delta \beta \rho a \chi \delta o s$.

7. $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \dot{\eta}$ —this abrupt beginning can scarcely be right, and some connecting word seems lost. $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ and $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$ are suggestions, but not satisfactory. Krüger proposes to connect the clause with what goes before, inserting $\dot{\omega}_s$ before $\dot{\epsilon}_{\rho} \omega \sigma \iota$ and putting a comma after $\pi \rho \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \upsilon \delta \dot{\tau} \alpha s$. But, as Poppo says, 'coniectura et audacior est, et propter iteratum Lacedaemoniorum nomen displicet'. $\dot{\epsilon}_s \delta \dot{\epsilon}_{\mu} \dot{\epsilon}_{\mu} \nu \eta \nu \tau \sigma$ —apparently 'so far as they remembered'. The scholiast says $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu d\nu d\rho \omega \pi \omega \mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \nu$, but where does the subject come from ? The whole sentence indeed seems doubtful.

8. ἐξεπλάγησαν—the natural meaning is 'were dismayed'. but this does not agree with what follows. On the contrary it is the excellent discipline and rapid formation of the Spartans which are described. $\epsilon\kappa\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\sigma\omega$ is used not only of fear but of any overpowering emotion, such as joy or love. The meaning here may be were 'excited', 'startled' from their usual slow and deliberate ways, so that they acted with unwonted vigour and alacrity (εύθύς ὑπό σπουδής). ἕκπληξις certainly has some such force in iv. 14, 3, $i\pi\delta$ προθυμίαs και έκπλήξεως: nor is there any idea of fear in καταπλαγέντες, ch. 65, 23. By this view we get a satisfactory sense; and it is at any rate better than any of the suggested alterations, e.g. Expánnoan, 'came out in their true colours': έξηλλάγησαν, 'were different from (surpassed) all others': Λακεδαιμονίους ... έξεπλάγησαν, 'they (the Argives) were struck with admiration of the Lacedaemonians', and other desperate shifts. The passage seems however incomplete, and some words or lines may be missing.

9. $\delta_{\nu} d$ —adverbially used with the genitive. The sense is either 'they had but short time to get ready', or 'they formed at once without delay'. $\dot{\nu}\pi \delta \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \eta s$ —iii. 33, 4, $\dot{\nu}\pi \delta \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \eta s$ $\dot{\delta}\pi \sigma c r \sigma r h \delta i \omega \xi \nu$.

 τόν ἐαυτῶν — emphatic: so iv. 33, 2, τῆ σφετέρα ἐμπειρία χρήσασθαι: iv. 55, 3, παρὰ τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν σφῶν ἰδέαν τῆς παρασκευῆς.

11. Έκαστα έξηγουμένου—iii. 55, 4, ά ἕκαστοι ἐξηγεῖσθε τοις ξυμμάχοις: iii. 93, 2, ἔστιν ἀ οὐ καλῶς ἐξηγούμενα. ὑπ' ἐκείνου πάντα ἄρχεται—tbe passive ἄρχομαι is used of persons rather than things: πάντα may therefore have a personal meaning = 'all are under his orders', not 'everything is ordered'. Krüger suggests πάντα ἄρχονται 'they are entirely under his orders': and Dobree would read ἀπ' ἐκείνου, 'everything originates with him'.

We have seen (ch. 63 fm.) that Agis had been put under the restriction of a military council, while the Spartan kings generally were shackled in the exercise of their power. Thucydides therefore points out that they still had absolute authority on the field of battle.

13. $\tauois \mu \lambda v \pi o \lambda e \mu d \rho \chi ois - acting as generals of division. This is not the place to discuss the Spartan military organization, which is a rather complicated subject. Arnold and Grote have long notes on the present passage, and the recognized authorities are cited by Classen. See also Müller's Dorians Bk. iii. ch. 12.$

14. φράζει—'orders'; iii. 15, 1, τοῖς ξυμμάχοις ξφραζον léναι. τὸ δέον—'what is to be done'; orders in general, before the battle begins. πεντηκοντήροιν—found also Xen. Anab. iii. 4, 21: πεντηκοστήρ is another form.

 παραγγέλσεις—orders 'passed along' the line, as opposed to those given by herald or sound of trumpet: Xen. Anab. iv. 1, 5, arastátres and παραγγέλσεωs: cf. ch. 58, 18: 71, 21. ταχείαι—adjective with verb; iv. 126, 6, τδ arδρείον άξεις ένδείκνυνται: so with participle iv. 38, 3, δ τελευταΐος διαπλεύσας etc. ἐπέρχονται=traverse the line: Cobet proposes περιέρχονται.

THUCYDIDES V

is that the system of command within command extended throughout all ranks, and was peculiar to the Spartan organization. 'In other Grecian armies orders were proclaimed to the troops in a loud voice by a herald, who received them personally from the general: each *taxis* or company had indeed its own taxiarch, but the latter did not receive his orders separately from the general, and seems to have had no personal responsibility for the execution of them by his soldiers' (Grote, ch. 56).

20. $\tau \delta \ \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon s =$ the charge of what is doing'; ch. 102, 4: see note on ch. 9, 14.

CHAPTER LXVII

1. $\tau \acute{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \ \delta \acute{\epsilon}$ on this occasion', apodosis to the general description just given. $\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \rho \alpha s \ \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\omega} \acute{\omega} \nu \mu \rho \nu$ —predicate'. The article is omitted, as in line 12, and often. $a \acute{\nu} \tau \sigma \widetilde{s}$ —ch. 44, 7: see note on ch. 3, 24.

Σκιρται—originally the inhabitants of the rugged district of Sciritis, on the northern border of Laconia. det τώντην ... ἔχωντες—this privilege is only recorded here, though the Sciritae always appear as a separate band. ἐπὶ σφῶν αὐτῶν—ii. 63, 2, ἐπὶ σφῶν αὐτῶν αὐτῶν μοι: Hdt. ii. 2, ἐπὶ ἐωντῶν κέεσθαι. Xen. An. ii. 4, 10, αὐτοὶ ἐφὲ ἐαντῶν ἐχώρουν. The preposition implies rest on, i.e. dependence, and so the phrase means 'by themselves', 'independently'.

4. $\pi \alpha \rho d \delta' a \dot{v} \tau \sigma \tilde{s}$ —'by them stood'. $\tilde{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ is to be supplied rather than $\kappa a \theta (\sigma \tau a \nu \tau \sigma)$: otherwise we should expect the accusative as in line 7. Poppo compares i. 48, 3, $\tau \delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \xi i \delta \nu$ al $M \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho i \delta \epsilon s \nu \tilde{\eta} \epsilon s (\tilde{\chi} \sigma \nu, \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu o i \delta \lambda \lambda o i \xi \dot{\nu} \mu \mu a \chi o i (sc.$ $<math>\tilde{\eta} \sigma a \nu \ or \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \delta \xi a \tau \sigma)$. He adds however that $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ as well as $\dot{\epsilon} s$ 'apud similia verba collocandi et considendi reperitur'.

5. $\epsilon\pi$ $\Theta p \alpha \kappa \eta s$ —the stock phrase for the 'Thraceward' regions seems here appropriated to Brasidas' Thracian troops; see ch. 35, 11 and 25. Otherwise we might adopt the correction $d\pi \delta$ (ch. 35, 33) or $\epsilon\kappa$. veo $\delta a \mu \omega \delta \epsilon s$ —ch. 34, 8.

 αὐτοί—'veri et proprii, qui discernuntur a superioribus hominibus, quos minus proprie Lacedaemonios nominaveris ' (Poppo). 'Hραιής—from Heraea, in the valley of the Alpheus, near the borders of Triphylia, For Mauráλio see ch. 64, 9. 9. $i\pi \tau \tilde{\varphi} \ \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \tilde{\varphi}$ "The Tegeatae claimed an honourable post in the Peloponnesian armies, from their services in the times of the invasion by the Heracleidae: see Hdt. ix. 26. But, to save the sovereign dignity of the Lacedaemonians, the most honourable post of all, the extreme right wing, was held by Lacedaemonian soldiers. A similar compliment was paid to the Athenians by the Acarnanians and their confederates at the battle of Olpae; iii. 107, 7' (Arnold).

10. $i\pi\pi\eta$ s—in 424 the Spartans set up a force of 400 horse $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \tau \dot{o} \epsilon l \omega \theta \dot{o} s$ (iv. 55, 1). The cavalry was usually placed on the wings.

12. ol $\ell \nu a \nu \tau i \sigma \iota$ appositionally resolved in the following clauses: i. 89 fin. $olk (a \iota a \mu \ell \nu \tau \sigma \lambda \lambda a \iota ... \delta \lambda l \gamma a \iota \delta \ell$. Krüger brackets the following $a \nu \tau \sigma i s$, as not supported by Thncydidean usage. Note the omission of the article with $\delta \epsilon \delta \iota \delta \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho s$.

13. $\tau \delta \epsilon_{PYOV}$ —'the action'; iv. 34, 3 etc. mapà δ' autrois here, with $\eta \sigma a_V$, we might expect autrois, as mapa with the accusative has the idea of motion to or extent along: cf. however vi. 57, 3, περιέτυχον τῷ 'Iππάρχω παρά (περί?) τὸ Λεωκόριον: Xen. Anab. iii. 4, 9, παρ' aυτην την πόλιν ην πυραμίs. Or we may say that previous motion is implied, as in iii. 3, 4, τριήρειs at ετυχον παρὰ σφῶs παροῦσαι : παρὰ την επαλζιν κατακείμενος (Ar. Ach. 72) is 'extended along'. Krüger sug jests omitting ήσαν. 'Apκάδων is partitive genitive, as in line 7: cf. ch. 87, 25.

15. λογάδες, ols ή πόλις—a regular force, selected from the wealthier classes, and strongly aristocratic in feeling. After this they helped the Spartans to overthrow the democratic constitution: see Arnold. ἐκ πολλοῦ—'from some time back'; i.e. they were kept in regular training: so ch. 69, 21.

18. Κλεωναΐοι--Cleone and Orneae lay northwards of Argolis, in the direction of Corinth. The inhabitants appear to have been at this time subject allies, and to have been afterwards absorbed by Argos. The authorities are fully discussed in Arnold.

20. oi oikeîoi-the Argives had no cavalry force; ch. 15, 18.

CHAPTER LXVIII

1. $\eta \delta \epsilon$ —usually 'as follows'; we should expect abta so $\tau o i a \delta \epsilon$ is 'as follows', $\tau o i a \delta \tau a$ aforesaid', but the practice is not invariable.

ib. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \omega \eta'$ —'force'; in reference to the several contingents on either side. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi$'s is their respective disposition in the opposing lines.

2. $\mu\epsilon i \int 0 \nu i \phi d\nu \eta$ -'looked bigger', i.e., as Classen says, its superiority struck the eye: so i. 8, 1, $K \hat{a} \rho \epsilon s i \phi d\nu \eta \sigma a \nu$, 'proved (were seen to be) Carians'.

έκατέρων—may depend either on ἀριθμόν or ἐκάστους:
 I think the latter. ξύμπαντας—governed by γράψαι and standing in apposition to ἀριθμόν, instead of being governed by it.

ib. οὐκ ἂν ἐδυνάμην—sc. εἰ ἐπεχείρουν or εἰ ἐβουλόμην: 'unfulfilled condition'. Classen considers this construction here inadmissible, and follows Stahl in reading οὐκ äν δυναίμην, which is free from difficulty, but not necessarily what Thucydides wrote. Krüger points out that ἐδυνάμην without äν might give a statement of actual fact; cf. ξυνέγραψε, i. 1, 1.

7. is τὰ οἰκεῖα πλήθη—Krüger and others suspect πλήθη, and render is τὰ οἰκεῖα 'in respect of their own affairs' (generally). Whether πλήθη be retained or not τὸ πλήθοs is supplied as subject to ἡπιστεῖτο. Classen however reads is τὰ οἰκεῖα, τὰ πλήθη, 'the several estimates of number were distrusted'. Note the ὁμοιοτελευτον (Ar. Rhet. iii. 9, 9) ἡγνοεῖτο...ἡπιστεῖτο.

9. $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ - 'brought into the field'. So in the orators of $\pi a \rho \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ are literally 'the (witnesses) present', of $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ 'those called in'. $\gamma d \rho$ ushers in the promised statement.

14. ζυγφ-'rank'. Liddell and Scott give no other instance of this use in classical Greek.

ib. $i\pi \delta \hat{\mu} \delta \hat{\mu}$

evaporía posted behind another. Or possibly the ranks behind the first did not invariably contain four men. Thus an arrangement of 4, 3, 4 etc. would give 9 rows amounting to 32 men in all. But we must remember that Thucydides is not so much discussing the order of the Spartan soldiers, as furnishing an estimate of their numbers. He 'could not do this exactly', but he guarantees three things, the number of ένωμοτίαι, viz. 112; the number of men, 448, in the front rank; and the average $(i\pi i \pi a\nu)$ depth, viz. 8. Now, if the number of men in each evouoria was the same, we have not merely an approximate calculation, but an exact one, the very thing which Thucydides disclaims. May it not then have been the case that, in one or more of the horor, the irwμοτίαι consisted of a number more or less than the standard 32? This would be a part of the 'system of secrecy' (ris Toλιτείas το κρυπτόν); and if it was privately managed by the military authorities we can see at once how the total number was 'kept dark' (ήγνοείτο). According to the text this arrangement was left to the respective loyayof; but it may be that the clause all' is loxayos Exactos épouleto is spurious, as Dobree suspected. The evaporia or 'section', which was 'the unit of the Spartan army', undoubtedly varied in size at different periods; and may possibly have varied in different hoxed or 'regiments' at the same period.

This explanation seems not unreasonable; for if Thucydides had been sure of the number of men in each $i \nu a \mu o \tau (a, why$ did he not state it, instead of only giving the number in the front rank?

16. $\ell \pi i \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ -- 'generally'; ii. 51, 1, $\tau o \iota o \hat{v} \tau \nu \dot{v} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ $\tau h \nu i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$ (several examples in Krüger). $\ell \pi i \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} - \dot{\epsilon}$ eight deep'. In this sense $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ takes either the genitive or accusative: vii. 79, 1, $o \dot{v} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \pi' \dot{\delta} \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu d\sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$, 'in a deep column': iv. 93, 4, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi' \dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta a \pi \kappa i \tau \epsilon \kappa a i \epsilon \kappa o v o \eta \beta a \dot{a} o \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{c} \dot{a} \alpha \tau o$.

ib. $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tilde{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu$ 'along the whole line'. A front of 448 men with an average depth of eight, 600 Sciritae being added, makes the Lacedaemonian division 4184 strong. $\eta \nu$ —Xen. Cyr. ii. 1, 25, $\eta \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi_i s \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \partial \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho s s$: Prop. v. 1, 14, centum illi in prato saepe senatus erat.

CHAPTER LXIX

 παραινέσεις — the usual addresses which Greek soldiers expected before an engagement: cf. iv. 95, 1, δι' δλίγου ή παραίνεσις γίγνεται: iv. 93, 1, τοιαδτα παραινέσας. παρακέλευσις and παρακελεύεσθαι are used in the same way.

5. καl ὑπὲρ ἀρχῆs ἄμα—'and withal for empire or subjection'. The following explanatory infinitives are connected with ἡ μάχη ἕσται, as in ii. 89, 10, ὁ ἀγὼν μέγαs ἢ καταλῦσαι...ἢ καταστῆσαι.

ib. $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\alpha\sigma\mu\ell\nuois$ —'after once enjoying it', i.e. after once gaining the position of a sovereign state; see ch. 29. The alternative was sinking again into political subordination to Sparta. For the dative we might expect $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\alpha\sigma\mu\ell\nuovs$: but see note on ch. 64, 10.

7. 'Apyelois $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \to sc. \pi a \rho a l x \epsilon \sigma is \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$: the construction with $\dot{\sigma} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho_i$ and the following infinitives, being connected with this rather than with $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota$. $\tau \sigma \tilde{s}$ 'Aθηναίοιs...είναι, line 11, depends on the same.

ib. τῆς παλαιῶς ἡγεμονίας—nnder the Atreidae. The claim of ἰσομοιρία was made in the days of the Persian invasion: see Hdt. vii. 148, where the Argives were willing to join Sparta, ἡγεόμενοι κατὰ τὸ ἦμισυ πάσης τῆς συμμαχίης· καίτοι κατά γε τὸ δίκαιον γίγνεσθαι τὴν ἡγεμονίην ἑωυτῶν, ἀλλ' ὅμως σφίσιν ἀποχρῶν κατὰ τὸ ἦμισυ ἡγεομένοισι.

8. διά παντός—'always': iv. 61, 4, πέφυκε τὸ ἀνθρώπειον διὰ παντὸς ἅρχειν τοῦ είκοντος: so i. 38, 1. ἀστυγείτονας—cf. iv. 92, 3, 'Aθηναίους δὲ καὶ προσέτι ὁμόρους ὅντας πολλῷ μάλιστα (ἀμύνεσθαι) δεῖ: vi. 88, 1, τοῖς Συρακοσίοις ἀεἰ κατὰ τὸ ὅμορον διάφοροι. The aorist ἀμύνασθαι implies 'once for all', that is, in the coming battle.

13. καl öτι—The sentence now takes a sort of semi-direct form of expression. iv Πελοποννήσφ—thus turning the tables on the Spartans; hitherto the Athenians had only attacked the enemy's coast.

15. où $\mu \eta$ —this strong negative occurs only in one other passage, iv. 95, 2, where Hippocrates addresses the Athenians in

much the same words; $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \nu_{\kappa \eta' \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu}$ où $\mu \eta' \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu \tilde{\nu} \Pi \epsilon \lambda \sigma \pi \sigma \nu - \nu \eta \sigma c \omega \epsilon s \tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho a \nu ... \epsilon \sigma \beta a \lambda \omega \sigma c \nu$. There is the same ethical dative in both sentences, $a \dot{\upsilon} \tau \sigma \hat{\imath} s =$ 'they would have them'.

18. καθ' ἐκάστους—usually taken of the Lacedaemonian soldiers exhorting one another 'man by man'. Herbst and Classen however seem right in understanding the plural, as in ch. 68, 4, rather of the 'several contingents' of the army, who are all included under the name of Lacedaemonians, as they are in the beginning of the next chapter. The main construction of the sentence belongs to the divisions with $\tau\epsilon$ and καί alike, while μετά τῶν πολεμικῶν νόμων is specially connected with ἐν σφίσιν αὐτοῖs, the Lacedaemonians themselves.

ib. πολεμικῶν νόμων—war-songs, according to the scholiast; rà ἀσματα ὅπερ ἦδον οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι μέλλοντες μάχεσθαι. Krüger however takes the meaning to be 'military usages', on the ground that the war-songs would not come in till the actual onset.

Some editors however find a difficulty in the words, and sundry unsatisfactory suggestions and emendations are noted in Classen and Fowler.

Arnold compares with the present passage iv. 95, 1, ή παραίνεσις...πρός τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς ἀνδρας...ὑπόμνησιν μᾶλλον ἔχει η ἐπικέλευσιν: so vi. 68, 4, τῆς ὑμετέρας αὐτῶν ἀξίας μνησθέντες ἐπέλθετε τοῖς ἐναντίοις.

 είδότες ἔργων...παραίνεσιν—note the triple antithesis in this sentence. For ἐκ πολλοῦ cf. ch. 67, 15.

CHAPTER LXX

2. ή ξύνοδος ήν, Άργεῖοι—construction in accordance with the sense (πρός τό σημαινόμενον): cf. iv. 23, 2, τὰ περί Πύλον ἐπολεμεῖτο, Άθηναῖοι μέν κ.τ.λ. Poppo adds Tac. Hist. i. 19, patrum favor aderat, multi etc. ἐντόνως —only here in Thucydides, who does not use the adjective at all: Xen. Anab. vii. 5, 7, ἐντόνως ἀπήτει τὸν μισθόν. ὀργῆ—vii. 68, 1, ὀργῆ προσμίξωμεν. Jowett renders 'with great fury and determination'.

4. $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\sigma}$ $a\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ —'to the accompaniment of many fluteplayers stationed in the ranks according to custom': cf. Xen. Hell. ii. 2, 23, $\tau\dot{a}$ $\tau\epsilon i\chi\eta$ $\kappa a\tau\dot{e}\kappa a\pi\tau\sigma\nu$ $\dot{\nu}\pi$ $a\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta\tau\rho t\delta\omega\nu$: see also Liddell and Scott for the general use of the preposition to denote anything attendant. For $\nu\dot{\rho}\mu\phi$ the best manuscripts have $\nu\dot{\rho}\mu\sigma\nu$, and two have $\dot{\delta}\mu\sigma\dot{\nu}$. $\nu\dot{\rho}\mu\sigma\nu$ may be retained in the sense of tune (see ch. 68, 18) as governed by $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\sigma}$: but $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\kappa a\theta\epsilon\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}\tau\omega\nu$ would thus stand rather awkwardly by itself. Arnold cites Hdt. vi. 60, to show that the flute-players were a separate caste in Sparta as they were in Egypt.

5. où τοῦ θείου χάριν—the editors quote A. Gellius i. 2, 1, non prorsus ex aliquo ritu religionum neque rei divinae gratia. ὅπερ φιλεί—iv. 125, 1, ὅπερ φιλεί μεγάλα στρατόπεδα...ἐκπλήγνυσθα. Classen points out (i. 78, 2) that Thueydides always uses φιλεῖν in this sense, in which it occurs more than a dozen times. He adds that it is only used once by Herodotus (v. 5) in the sense of loving, elsewhere of being wont, as here. For ποιεῖν we might expect πάσχειν: so in line 2 of the next chapter. Poppo cites (from Duker) Ar. Plut. 1204, πολψ τῶν ἄλλων χυτρῶν ταναντία αῦται ποιοῦσι.

CHAPTER LXXI

1. τοιόνδε—the explanation is given in line 16, δείσας δὲ ^{*}Αγις, the construction beginning afresh with καὶ τότε after the parenthetical introduction. Otherwise the words would run τοιόνδε ἐβουλεύσατο δρᾶσαι· δείσας μή κ.τ.λ. We have a similar sentence in iv. 67, 2, οἱ προδιδώντες τοιόνδε ἐποίησαν· ἀκάτιον... εἰώθεσαν κατακομίζειν·...καὶ τότε: cf. vi. 88, 1, οἱ Καμαριναῖοι ἐπεπόνθεσαν τοιόνδε τοῦς μὲν ᾿Αθηναίοις εὕνοι ἦσαν. So Hdt. i. 80, ἐποίησε τοιόνδε čσαι κ.τ.λ.: cf. Xen. Anab. v. 4, 31, τὰ δὲ πλείστα τοιάνδε ἦν τῶν χωρίων· ἀπεῖχον· Other instances of 'asyndeton' are cited by Kühner on Xen. Anab. iv. 7, 10, μηχανᾶταὶ τι' προέτρεχεν κ.τ.λ.

2. ἐβουλεύσατο—'decided'; ii. 74, 1: iv. 57, 4. Sudden change of purpose at a crisis was characteristic of Agis; see ch. 60 and 65.

.

ib. ποιεί μέν—this would be regularly answered by τότε δὲ και οἱ Μαντινῆς, but the construction is dropped. καὶ ἄπαντα— 'all without exception do this'; iv. 23, 3, τῆς νυκτός καὶ ἄπασαι περιώρμουν. τοῦτο—explained by what follows without a connecting word: so vi. 36, 2, al ἀγγελίαι τοῦτο δύνανται· οὐκ ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου...ξύγκευται: cf. ii. 36, 4, δ νῦν ὑμεῖς δρῶτε...ἀφίεσθε: vi. 11, 4, ὅπερ νῦν ὑμεῖς πεπόνθατε...ἐφίεσθε: Xen. Cyr. ii. 3, 4, οῦτω πως ἐποίησε...διδωσι: cf. note on line 1.

3. αὐτῶν—the position of αὐτῶν is due to the insertion of δεξιών, otherwise τὰ αὐτῶν κέρατα is the regular order, as in line 24: cf. iv. 43, 3, τῷ εὐωνύμω κέρα ἐαυτῶν.

As a general principle the genitive of a personal pronoun and airov stands after the substantive on which it depends, or before the article; while the genitive of reflexive and demonstrative pronouns follows the article. Thus we have $\dot{\eta}$ $\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\dot{a}~\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}$, kalal $\nu\bar{\mu}\nu$ at $\gamma\nu\nu\alpha$ ikes, $\dot{\sigma}$ rar $\eta\rho$ airov: but $\phi\dot{\nu}\lambda\alpha\tau\tau\epsilon$ $rb\nu~\sigma\alpha\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}\eta$. There are however instances where this usage is disregarded for the sake of emphasis or otherwise. Thus, $\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\pi\kappa\omega\delta\rho\eta\tau\sigma\nu$ $\sigma\epsilon\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}$ $\dot{\sigma}\nu\dot{\nu}\rho$ $\chi\phi\sigma\sigma\theta\epsilon$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$ airov $\pi\omega\eta\rho_{4}\alpha$, an exact converse of the present passage: so iv. 55, 3, mapà $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\dot{\nu}\alpha\rho_{4}\sigma\nu$

Full illustrations of all these usages may be found in Krüger's Grammar § 47, 9, 9–20: see also Madvig's Greek Syntax, § 10. It should be noticed that Krüger says that $abro\hat{\nu}$ $(abro\hat{\omega})$ when it means *ipsius* is placed next the article like $\dot{\epsilon}avro\hat{\nu}$ etc. In such cases however $abro\hat{\nu}$ is now generally read; see note on ch. 10, 48. These constructions are not to be confounded with the partitive genitive, such as of $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\taua$ abrow(iv, 43, 2).

ib. ἐν ταῖς ξυνόδοις—when closing with the enemy; iii. 107, 3, ἐν τῦ ξυνόδο αἰνῆ. ἐξωθείται—'thrust outwards' (Jowett) (mid.), or 'are forced out' of the proper line, which is thus unduly extended towards the right.

περιζσχουσι — 'extend beyond', 'overlap', or 'outflank';
 107, 3, περιέσχε τὸ τῶν Πελοποννησίων στρατόπεδον (norm.);
 with this verb may be joined a dative of the instrument; ib. fin. περιέσχον τῷ κέρα, 'with their wing'. κατά—'opposite to'.

προστέλλειν...τη...ἀσπίδι—either (1) 'shelter with', or
 (2) 'move up to, the shield of the man next on the right'.

Liddell and Scott are in favour of (1); Poppo and Krüger of (2). Poppo cites Dio Cas. xL. 23, 3, raîs τŵν παραστατών ἀσπίσι τἀs γυμνώσεις σφών προστέλλειν, which is equally ambiguous. Classen reads προσστέλλειν in sense (2) maintaining that this form is required for the construction and meaning.

ib. $\tau d \gamma \mu \mu \nu d$ —as in ch. 10. 20, the right arm and side which were not covered by the shield. $\kappa a \iota \gamma \mu \mu (\chi_{ev} - \cdot and because they$ think that this closeness of locking up gives the best shelter'. $<math>\epsilon d\sigma \kappa \epsilon m a \sigma \tau \sigma \tau a \sigma \nu$ is probably neuter, in accordance with a common construction; it may possibly be feminine, as in ch. 110, 3: iii. 101, 2, $\tau a \iota \tau \eta \delta \upsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \beta \delta \lambda \omega \tau a \tau \sigma \delta \tau h \delta \kappa \rho is.$ In iii. 89 (fin.) $\beta \iota a \iota \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \nu \pi \sigma \epsilon \tilde{\nu}$ is ambiguous. $\epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \kappa \epsilon^{-1} \pi \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma$ is found in Dio Cas. 49. 30, but not elsewhere in classical Greek: $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi d \zeta \omega$ is used by Xenophon; see $\sigma \kappa \epsilon^{+} \pi a s$.

 ήγειται της alrías—lit. 'begins this fault', alría being ground or cause of blame. πρωτοστάτης—as we say, 'the pivot-man': ol πρωτοστάται in Xen. are the front-rank men. έξαλλάστειν—'to withdraw from the enemy'.

12. $\gamma i \mu \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ —this unusual word, which is active in form, may mean the side 'which he exposes' or 'finds himself exposing'; or it may be simply a convenient singular, the plural $\tau \lambda$ $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \delta$ being elsewhere used. We use such words as enclosure in a similar passive way. It is copied by Dio, as cited above.

13. Kal $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ and so now', resuming the particular description after a general digression; so iv. 67, 5: vii. 29, 4. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta' \delta' \nu$ is used somewhat in the same way.

ib. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\sigma_{X}\circ\nu\ldots\tau\omega\nu$ $\Sigma\kappa\iota\rho\iota\tau\omega\nu$ 'overlapped the Sciritae with their wing'. $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\chi\omega$, which elsewhere takes the accusative when it means to surround, is here constructed like $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\gamma\ell\gamma\nu\circ\mu\alpha\iota$. The datives in line 6 and iii. 107 (fin.) seem decisive as to the construction. Haack however suggested $\tau\delta\kappa\rho\alpha$ and $\tau\delta\tau\omega\nu' \Lambda\theta\eta\nu\alpha t\omega\nu$.

16. Set $\sigma_a \dots vo\mu(\sigma_a s$ —the a orist implies a sudden thought, as in ch. 65. 12. $\sigma \phi \tilde{a} y = our$; iv. 9, 2, note. The position gives the word an emphatic force = 'lest he should have his left surrounded'.

19. $i\sigma\eta\mu\eta\nu\epsilon\nu$ —of military orders; ii. 84, 1, $\pi\rho\lambda\nu$ $a\nu$ $a\nu\tau\deltas$ $\sigma\eta\mu\eta\nu\eta$: with infinitive in the general sense of directing, Hdt. v. 35, $\sigma\eta\mu airorra arioras θ ai ard β asilies. The order given by$ Agis was 'extend away from us, and present an equal front tothe Mantineans'. In**inegayayoras**,*ini*implies motion nottowards the enemy, but to the new position required; while*i* denotes leaving their original post, or opening out the line: soof ships, vii. 52, 2,*inegayayora*προs την γην: viii. 104, 3,*arremzignor:*παυσάμενοι της inegayayors. All of these are flankmovements to surround the enemy.

20. Exoran-'to present an equal front to the Mantineans'. $\tau \delta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \mu \rho \nu$ may be the object; or $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \iota \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ may be quasi-intransitive, as in vi. 87, δ , $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \iota \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau r \sigma \delta \vec{\kappa} \delta \lambda \delta \alpha s$, 'acting like the rest of the world', lit. 'making things (or the matter in hand) equal': cf. Soph. El. 1194, $\mu \eta \tau \rho i \delta' \circ o \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \iota \sigma \delta c$. Here $\tau \eta \nu \tau \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu r \delta c \nu \sigma \delta \sigma$, or 'the state of things', would be implied.

We have seen (ch. 67, 1) that the Sciritae were entitled to the left, and 'among the Lacedaemonians especially ancient routine was more valued than elsewhere' (Grote). Hence it was impossible to order round a division from the extreme right to the extreme left, and apparently impracticable to move the whole line more to the left. We do not know the nature of the ground.

ib. ἐς τὸ διάκενον τοῦτο—put emphatically first, 'to fill up this gap'; for the word cf. ch. 72. 16: iv. 135, 1. παρήγγελλεν — 'consecutio verborum hace cst: παρήγγελλεν τῶν πολεμάρχων 'Ιππονοίδα και 'Αριστοκλεί παρελθείν ἀπὸ τοῦ δεξιοῦ κέρως ἐς τὸ διάκενον τοῦτο ἔχουσι δύο λόχους. Lochos autem duos duo polemarchi aut ideo adducere iussi sunt quod lochi Thucydidei moris Xenophonteis sunt similes, aut quod in graviore negotio vel tempore difficiliore etiam minores partes militum a maioribus ducibus adversus hostes ducendae videntur' (Poppo).

21. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ —partitive genitive depending immediately on the proper names; i. 24, 1, $\Phi \hat{\alpha} \lambda \omega s \kappa \rho \rho \nu \theta \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $d \phi' 'H \rho a \kappa \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \omega v s:$ i. 126, 2, $K \hat{\nu} \lambda \omega \tau d \nu \eta \rho ' \lambda \theta \eta \nu a \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi d \lambda a \tau$. Aristocles may be the brother of king Pleistoanax mentioned ch. 16, 26. For the position of $\xi \chi \omega \nu \sigma \tau$ Krüger compares iii. 26, 1, $\delta \omega \kappa a \tau e \sigma \sigma a \rho \delta \kappa \sigma \tau \tau a \nu a \tilde{\omega} s d \pi \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau e \lambda \alpha \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \sigma \tau \tau a '\lambda \kappa \hat{\delta} \sigma x$.

23. $\epsilon \sigma \beta a \lambda \delta v \tau a s$ —'closing in', 'throwing (themselves) in', ingressos; an unusual sense of the word. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma a s$. $a \dot{v} \tau \delta$. $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ —as the flank could not now be turned.

CHAPTER LXXII

1. ἐξ ὀλίγου—'at short notice'; ch. 64, 20: iv. 108, 5. τόν τε—answered by καὶ τοὺς πολεμίους, the clause ἀλλὰ καί… being parenthetical in sense, though conforming to the general construction after ξυνέβη. Arnold compares i. 95, 3, ξυνέβη τε αὐτῷ καλεῖσθαί τε ἅμα καὶ τοὺς ξυμμάχους…μετατάξασθαι, where αὐτῷ applies only to the first clause.

5. $\delta\delta\xi$ avras—'held guilty'; cf. the use of videor. Whether banishment was inflicted as a punishment, or punishment escaped by voluntary exile, is not clear. The latter view is the more probable: see Müller's *Dorians*, Bk. iii. ch. 11 § 4. Thus Xenophon's Clearchus was an exile, after having been condemned to death for disobedience to the military orders of the Ephors (*Anab.* ii. 6, 4).

ib. $\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\sigma\iota\tau\hat{\eta}\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\mu l\xi\iota-$ were beforehand in closing with them', i.e. before the line was restored to proper order. $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma-\mu l\xi\epsilon\iota$ is a sort of instrumental dative. Krüger indeed considers the construction so unusual that he believes that the two words may be an explanatory gloss; their omission however would be fatal to the rhythm of the clause.

6. Kal Keleisarros—'and when, on the lochi not coming up to the Sciritae, he gave the order (to the Sciritae), close up again to us, he found that $(\xi vr \ell \beta \eta \, a \dot{v} \tau \hat{v})$ they too were now unable to close in'. This is the explanation adopted by Poppo and is indeed the only one which the wording and sense permit; for $\kappa \epsilon \lambda e \dot{v} \epsilon u$ would not be an admissible construction. The position of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ rovs $\Sigma \kappa_i \rho t \sigma a$ is no doubt awkward, but may be intended to show emphatically that $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma a r \sigma c \bar{v} s$ $\dot{\tau} \tilde{v} \eta \sigma \omega$ $\delta \tau i \epsilon \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon$. It is however tempting to suggest the omission of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ (or the substitution of $\dot{\epsilon} \tau i$), governing rovs $\Sigma \kappa_i \rho t \sigma a$

 $(\sigma\phi(\sigma))$. He supposes that the $\lambda\delta\chi\sigma$ had made an ineffectual attempt to reach the Sciritae and now failed to regain their position on the right. This however is quite contrary to Thucydides, who says plainly enough that the commanders of the $\lambda\delta\chi\sigma$ refused to move at all, and were therefore exiled for cowardice.

9. ξυγκλήσαι-- 'to close in' or 'close up (the ranks)'; virtually intransitive; as in iv. 35, 1: see ch. 64, 22.

ib. $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \eta$ —cf. ch. 66, 7. The run of the words seems to show that this applies to the whole of the sentence, while $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha' \pi \alpha' \tau \alpha$ extends to $\ell \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \ell \tau \tau \sigma$ only, and $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ emphasizes the final clause. The sweeping expression $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha' \pi \alpha' \tau \alpha$ appears to point out the 'entire failure' of the movements which Agis had ordered; a failure which was redeemed by no less signal valour and steadiness in the actual encounter.

ib. τ_{11}° $\ell\mu\pi\epsilon_{1}\rho\epsilon_{1}$ —skill in manœuvring in the field, in which both Agis and his subordinates proved deficient: cf. ii. 89, 2, δid $\tau h \nu \epsilon^{i} \pi \epsilon_{1} \tilde{\varphi} \ \ell\mu\pi\epsilon_{1} \ell\mu\pi\epsilon_{2}$ iv. 33, 2, $\tau_{11}^{\circ} \sigma \phi\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \ \ell\mu\pi\epsilon_{2}$ $\pi\epsilon_{1} \ell_{2} \ \chi \rho \hbar \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta a$. The dative with $\ell\lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \theta \ell \nu r \epsilon_{2} \ \ell\mu\pi\epsilon_{2}$ worsted' or rather 'proving inferior in skill'. F. compares Plat. Alc. i. 121 B, $\delta \rho a \ \mu h \ \tau_{11}^{\circ} \tau \epsilon \ \gamma \ell \nu os \ \delta \gamma \kappa \omega \ \ell \lambda a \tau \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \delta n \ \tau \delta \omega \tau \delta \mu^{i} \eta \lambda \eta \ \tau \rho \phi \hat{\eta}$, and Xen. Hel. vi. 2, 28, $\mu\epsilon\gamma d\lambda\eta \ \eta \mu i a \ \dot{\eta}\nu \ \tau \delta \ \tau \epsilon \ \ell \lambda a \tau \tau \delta \sigma \theta d \pi \ \tau \delta \sigma \tau \sigma \delta \tau \sigma \delta \tau$. There is therefore no reason for spoiling the sentence by adopting such corrections as $\dot{a} \pi \rho \rho d a \ \sigma t \alpha \delta t \tilde{z} \tilde{z}$, or by omitting $\ell \lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \ell \ell \tau \tau s$ with Madvig, who translates 'Lacedaemonii, qui semper arte, tum non minus virtute se praestare ostenderunt', a rendering which seems to introduce an idea foreign to the sense of the present passage.

The dative indeed seems naturally used with words denoting superiority or the opposite, and comparison generally; cf. vincere Caecilius gravitate Terentius arte (Hor. *Ep.* ii. 1, 58).

10. τη ανδρία...περιγενόμενοι — 'proved their superiority in valour', or 'showed that they gained the victory by their valour': cf. iv. 73, 2, έδειξαν έτοιμοι δντες αμώνεσθαι. Poppo suggests περιγιγνόμενοι or αν περιγιγνόμενοι as having a general force, but the text seems to give a preferable sense.

11. $\ell \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta' \gamma \alpha \rho - \gamma \alpha \rho$ brings in the expected account. For $\delta \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota$ cf. ch. 10, 56. The phrase is found with $\delta \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon s$, iii. 108, 1: with $\gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota$ vii. 5, 2; but I have not found a parallel to the present dative construction.

12. τὸ μέν—like the resumptive ταύτη μέν below, answered by τῷ δ' ἄλλφ = 'though...yet'. αὐτῶν—ch. 15, 5. For its position Classen compares i. 30, 3, ἐπεὶ σφῶν οἱ ξύμμαχοι ἐπόνουν: see also ch. 71, 17.

15. of $\chi(\lambda \iota \iota \lambda \circ \gamma \acute{a} \delta \epsilon s$ —see ch. 67, 15. The imperfect $\delta\iota \acute{e} \varphi \delta \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ 'inflicted loss' is used in combination with a orists, as in iii. 98, 2, to denote what was begun or what lasted some little time. $\acute{e} \xi \acute{e} \omega \sigma a \nu$ —'drove back' out of their line and position. $\acute{e} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \acute{e} \nu \omega \nu$ —'posted in charge' or 'in reserve'; so $\acute{e} \pi \iota \tau a \kappa \tau o \iota$, i, 67, 1.

23. καλούμενοι — Hdt. viii. 124, τριηκόσιοι Σπαρτιητέων λογάδες, οδτοι οίπερ iππέες καλέονται. They were the king's body-guard, and though called horsemen fought on foot. Arnold supposes that they were originally chiefs who fought round their king in chariots, 'this being the early sense of iππεύs and iππότηs, as we find from Homer'. The actual cavalry were stationed on the wings as we learn from ch. 67.

24. $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \chi o s \dot{\omega} \nu \rho \mu a \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o s$ —nothing is known of these divisions. We can only suppose that Argos originally, like Sparta and Messenia, contained five districts or quarters, each of which sent its own lochus into the field' (Arnold). Poppo considers the $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \ \lambda \dot{\delta} \chi o$ identical with the $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \rho o$, from the absence of the article; but $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \ \lambda \dot{\delta} \chi o$ may have the definite force of a proper name, or the second article may be omitted as it is before $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega \nu$ at the beginning of the next chapter. In ch. 67, 17, we have simply of $d\lambda \lambda o \lambda \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{c} o s$ means the $\chi h \omega \sigma \lambda \delta \epsilon s$.

26. ἐς χεῖρας—so iii. 108, 1, ἐς ἀλκὴν ὑπομεῖναι: Xen. An. iv. 3, 31, εἰς χεῖρας δέχεσθαι. τοὺς πολλούς—partial apposition, 'for the most part'. ἔστιν οῦς is a still further restriction.

29. καταπατηθέντας—i.e. by one another in their eager struggles to escape; as in vii. 84, 3, έπέπιπτόν τε άλλήλοις καl κατεπάτουν. This is explained by the following words, in which την έγκατάλαμμεν is the subject of μη φθήναι, and is equivalent to rois έγκαταλαμβάνοντας, 'in order that the enemy might not overtake them before they could escape'. Haack and Poppo approve of this view of the passage, which is now generally accepted. τοῦ μη φθήναι may depend on both the preceding clauses, or on ἐνδόντας only, the next clause being then parenthetical.

If this view is not adopted $\tau \eta \nu \, \epsilon \gamma \kappa a \tau a \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu$ must be taken as the object of $\phi \theta \eta \nu a \iota$, which necessitates giving an irregular sense to $\tau o \hat{\nu} \ \mu \dot{\eta} \ \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$, 'because they could not escape', or 'so that they did not escape being overtaken'. Arnold suggests $\tau \hat{\psi} \ \mu \dot{\eta}$, dative of the efficient cause.

ib. $i\gamma\kappaa\tau a\lambda \eta\psi s$ —not found elsewhere in Thucydides, though $i\gamma\kappaa\tau a\lambda a\mu\beta \acute{a}\nu\omega$ occurs eight times. The compound implies a place, as in ch. 3, 8. In iv. 35, 2, we have $\delta\sigma\sigma i i\pi \sigma$ - $\chi\omega\rho\sigma \delta\nu\tau cs$: $i\gamma\kappaa\tau \epsilon\lambda a\mu\beta \acute{a}\nu\sigma \tau \sigma i\pi \epsilon \ell \vartheta \tau \eta\sigma \kappa \sigma \sigma$, 'all who were overtaken, or seized, on the field (or in the retreat) were slain', an exact parallel to the present use of the substantive. For the use of the verbal see $\lambda\eta\psi_{15}$ ch. 110, 3.

CHAPTER LXXIII

2. παρερρήγνυντο-iv. 96, 4 τῶν Θηβαίων ἐφεπομένων καὶ παραρρηγνύντων: vi. 70, 2, παρερρήγνυτο ἦθη καὶ τὸ ἀλλο στράτευμα. The ranks were broken by the men being forced from beside their comrades. καὶ ἐψ ἐκάτερα-i.e., as Poppo explains, 'cam media acies cessisset, tum simul etiam ab utroque latere vicinos ordines dissolutos esse Thucydides indicare censendus est'. Krüger suggests τὰ ἐψ ἐκάτερα, otherwise the subject is the Argive force generally. καὶ goes with ἐκάτερα, as in vi. 80, 1, with ἀμφοτέρων. Classen points out the force of the imperfects in denoting the moment of danger. He however refers this clause to the defeated centre; but it appears to have already broken and fied.

 τῷ περιέχοντι σφών—cf. ch. 71, 13. αὐτούς—the Athenians generally. περιειστήκει—iii. 54 fin., φόβος περιέστη την Σπάρτην: iv. 35, 3, etc.

 κυκλουμένουs='on the point of being hemmed in'; imperfect passive: so in vii. 81, 3, κυκλοῦται is passive, while ten lines before ἐκυκλοῦντο is transitive. ήσσημένουs—these were the παρατεταγμένοι, ch. 72, 26.

8. ἐταλαιπώρησαν—ch. 74, 13; as we say 'suffered' to denote actual loss. of $iππ\eta s$ παρόντες—the predicate is similarly used in iv. 44, 1, ήσαν γὰρ τοῦς 'Αθηναίοις of $iππ\eta s$ ἀφέλιμοι ξυμμαχόμενοι. παριόντες, which Classen suggests, is no improvement, for the cavalry were με' αὐτῶν (ch. 67, 20). 9. καl ξυνέβη—another 'concurrent circumstance' which saved the Athenians. πονοῦν—iv. 96, 4, ἐπόνει τὸ εἰώνυμον.

14. $\xi \xi \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$ —only here in Thucydides: Xen. Cyr. i. 4, 23. $\xi \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \sigma \upsilon \kappa a t \phi \epsilon \upsilon \gamma \sigma \upsilon \sigma \tau \delta t \sigma \sigma \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ -which had been beaten': $\eta \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, as in line 7, denotes the resulting condition.

21. Kal $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ lovs—if the text is right, $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ lovs is a general comparison, lit. more than might be expected, or more than their due proportion, i.e. a large number. In iv. 42, 3, and 103, 3 $\ell\kappa$ $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ lovos has a similar force. kal then emphasizes the serious loss of the Mantineans, contrasted with the slight loss of the Argives. Some inferior manuscripts have ol $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ lovs: but the total loss was only 200.

In iv. 44, 2, of $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\alpha}\sigma\tau\sigma$ array $d\pi\ell\sigma\sigma\sigma$ means that their chief loss was at the point spoken of: so vii. 30, 2, $d\pi\sigma\kappa\tau\epsilon\ell\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ $\ell\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$ $\ell\kappa\beta\sigma\sigma\epsilon\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma$ mode $\pi\lambda\epsilon\ell\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$, where the total loss was 250 out of 1300: but such an explanation will not suit the present passage.

22. τὸ πολύ—iv. 25, 8, τῶν Λεωτίνων τὸ πολύ: iv. 113, 1, τὸ πολὺ ἐθορυβεῖτο. βίαιος—'hard-pressed': iv. 31, fin., εἰ καταλαμβάνοι ἀναχώρησις βιαιοτέρα: ii. 33, 3, βιαιότερον ἀναγαγόμενοι of embarking under an enemy's attack. μακρά, like ἐπὶ πολύ below, of distance.

25. $\tau ds \mu d\chi as... \pi o to v \tau a this sentence is an excellent$ $example of article and substantive with <math>\pi o tell c d a t$: see note on iv. 13, 1. 'The Lacedaemonians fight long and refuse to move until they have put an enemy to flight, but, having once defeated him, they do not follow him far or long' (Jowett). $\chi pov(ovs-so vi. 31, 5:$ but in i. 12, 1 the feminine is $\chi pov la$. $\tau \dot{\phi} \mu \dot{e} v e v$ -'by standing their ground'.

CHAPTER LXXIV

1. καί—we might expect η , as in vii. 86, 5 τοιαύτη η στι έγγύτατα τούτων alτla: but Arnold is right in comparing i. 22, 4. τοιαῦτα καl παραπλήσια: so i. 143, 3: vii. 15, 2. παραπλήσιον καl ού πολλῷ πλέον: vii. 42, 2. ίσον καl παραπλήσιον. καl has in fact a sort of corrective force='I mean', 'that is to say', as noted in ch. 20, 4. η on the other hand, meaning 'or else', would imply some uncertainty as to the facts. 2. πλείστου...δή—see note on ch. 60, 16, for δή with the superlative. For the genitive of time 'within which', see ch. 14, 16: Plat. Gorg. 448 λ , ούδείς με ήρώτηκε καινόν ούδέν, ούδε πολλών έτών.

4. ξυνελθοῦσα—with μάχη=commissa; constructed with ὑπό as being virtually passive. Somewhat similar is i. 15, 2, πόλεμος οὐδεἰς ξυνέστη; cf. Hdt. vii. 144, οὕτος ὁ πόλεμος συστάς. Poppo quotes μάχη συνήει καρτερά from Josephus, Ant. Iud. xvii. 10 (12), 2.

5. προθέμενοι—apparently = θέμενοι τὰ ὅπλα πρό, 'taking up their position before': see note on iv. 44, 1, θθεντο τὰ ὅπλα. For constr. cf. Eur. Iph. T. 1218, πέπλον δμμάτων προθέσθαι. A guard was posted, to oblige the enemy to ask leave to bury the dead, which was a confession of defeat; so φυλακὴν καταλιπόντες (iv. 97, 1). Krüger and others take it to mean 'displaying the arms of the dead', like ii. 34, 2, τὰ δοτᾶ προτίθεντα, but they cite no instances of such a practice.

6. $[\sigma \tau a \sigma a \nu$ —Classen considers that the imperfect is used here because the trophy was not completed till the enemy owned his defeat. But $i\sigma \tau a \sigma a \nu$ is used again in vi. 70, 3; and probably (like $i\sigma \kappa i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \nu$) merely denotes what the victors 'went on to do' or 'began to do' next. The aorists which follow imply the completion of all that had to be done.

 Alγινήταις—Athenian έποικοι, the inhabitants having been removed in 431 (ii. 27, 1). ἀμφότεροι—Laches and Nicostratus (ch. 61, 1).

13. ἐταλαιπώρησαν—ch. 73, 8. καί—emphatic: there may have been some loss, but it was not 'also' (besides occurring) worth taking into account: so iv. 48, 5, où γαρ έτι ην ὑπόλαιπον των ἐτέρων ὅ τι καὶ ἀξιόλογον: i. 15, 2, πόλεμος, ὅθεν τις καὶ δύναμις παρεγένετο, οὐδεἰς ξυνέστη: ii. 54, 5, οὐκ ἐσῆλθεν ὅ τι ἄξιον καὶ εἰπεῦν.

14. $d\pi\sigma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha\iota$ —ii. 34, 1, $\tau\dot{a}$ $\delta\sigma\tau\hat{a}$ $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $d\pi\sigma\gamma\epsilon\nu\rho\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$: ii. 51, 7, $\tau\dot{a}s$ $\delta\lambda\phi\phi\rho\sigma\epsilon\iotas$ $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\rho\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\nu$: ii. 98, 2, of loss to an army generally, as opposed to $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\gamma\gamma\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$: Hdt. v. 4 $\gamma\iota\nu\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ scal $\dot{a}\sigma\gamma\iota\nu\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigmas$, of births and death. $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$, according to Poppo, depends on $\pi\upsilon\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, but the sense seems rather to connect it with some statement of number. It can scarcely depend on $d\lambda\gamma\theta\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu$, but it may be taken with $\tau\rho\alpha\kappa\sigma\sigma\ell\sigma\nu$, the

THUCYDIDES V

clause with $\mu \epsilon \nu$ being thus subordinate in sense to that with $\delta \epsilon$.

16. περὶ τριακοσίους—subject; so Xen. Hell. iv. 6, 11, ἀπέθανον περὶ τριακοσίους: prep. with object, Thuc. iv. 48, 1, ἐs ἐξήκοντα ἐλαθον διαφθείραντες: cf. vii. 30, 3.

CHAPTER LXXV

2. $\tau o v s \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho o s = see ch. 64, 13. \epsilon \beta o \eta \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon = had$ marched out'. Poppo refers to the law mentioned by Hdt. v. $75, <math>\epsilon \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \nu \delta \mu o s \epsilon \nu \Sigma \pi d \rho \tau \eta \mu \eta$ $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \delta \nu a \iota \delta \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon \rho o v \tau \sigma \delta s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon a s \epsilon \xi to \delta \sigma \eta s \sigma \tau \rho \sigma \tau \eta s$. That law appears rather to mean that the two kings were not to be in joint command of the same army. See however Stein on the passage from Herodotus, and the words which he cites from Xen. Hell, v. 3, 10. We there find that the Philasians in 381 assumed that they were safe from attack on the part of Agesilaus, as the other king was in the field, ov \delta' d \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu s \sigma \theta a \iota w \sigma \tau \epsilon d \mu a d \mu \phi \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o v s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon a \epsilon \xi w $\Sigma \pi \delta \rho \tau \eta s \epsilon \delta \nu a$.

6. τοὺς ἀπὸ Κορίνθου—see ch. 64, 18. ἀπέστρεψαν—iv. 97, 2, ὅς αὐτὸν ἀποστρέψας. ἀπέτρεψαν was formerly read against MSS. authority: 'ἀποστρέπειν magis notat avertere, dehortari, dissuadere; ἀποστρέφειν autem retro convertere, iubere redire' (Bauer, cited by Poppo).

9. Κάρνεια-ch. 54, 9. ετύγχανον-ch. 26, 15.

10. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ —'at this time', i.e. since the capture of Sphacteria, as is shown by what follows. In iv. 40 we are told of the astonishment caused by the surrender at Sphacteria, while complaints of sluggishness and inaction on the part of Sparta had been made even before the war began, for instance in the speech of the Corinthians (i. 68—71). $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \eta \nu e r \epsilon$. 46 fin. $\tau \eta \nu a l \tau (a \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \nu)$: so iii. 42, 3, $d \delta \iota \kappa l a s \epsilon \pi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \eta \nu q s$

11. $\dot{\epsilon}s$ —'for, in respect of': viii. 88, 1, $\dot{\epsilon}s \phi i\lambda lav \delta ia\beta \dot{a}\lambda \lambda \epsilon iv$, where instances from Plutarch etc., may be found in Poppo. $\tau_1 v \dot{a}\lambda \eta v$ —ch. 60, 10: Xen. Anab. i. 5, 5, oùx $\eta v \chi \delta \rho \tau os où \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\ddot{a}\lambda \delta o vi \dot{b} v \dot{b} v \dot{c} \rho \sigma v$. 13. ένι έργφ τούτφ—ούτοs thus used without the article has a predicative or appositional force; the sense being 'and thus by one victory they regained their reputation'.

14. κακιζόμενοι – κακίζω, 'to reproach', occurs in i. 105, 5, κακιζόμενοι 'πό τῶν πρεσβυτέρων: ii. 22 fin. ἐκάκιζον (τὸν Περικλέα). Here therefore the meaning appears to be 'open to reproach'. Elsewhere, e.g. Hom. II. xxiv. 214: Eur. Ion, 984, κακίζομαι means to act the coward, and this would give a good sense in the present passage, viz. that the late inaction and seeming cowardice of the Spartans were due to stress of circumstances, not to failure of spirit. A similar opposition between τύχη and γνώμη is attributed to the Peloponnesian commanders in ii. 87, 3, δίκαιον νομίσαι ταῖε μέν τύχαις ἐνδέχεσθαι σφάλλεσθαι τους ἀνθρώπους ταῖς δὲ γνώμαις τοὺς αὐτοὺς ἀεἰ ἀνδρείους δοθῶς εἰνα.

15. προτέρą—Cobet would read προτεραία, but the editors generally retain προτέρα, as πρότεροs can be used of days, e.g. Hom. Il. xxi. 5. ήματι τῷ προτέρφ. In i. 44, 1, we have τŷ μέν προτέρα ἐκκλησία answered by ἐν δὲ τῦ ὑστεραία. For the following genitive of. Hdt. v. 56, ἐν τῦ προτέργ νυκτὶ τῶν Παναθηναίων.

17. ὡς ἐρῆμον οἶσαν—ch. 56, 21. τῶν ᾿Αργείων—dependent on φύλακας, ἐξελθόντων being added as a predicate, 'as they had gone out on foreign service'. ἐξελθύντων aὐτῶν, genitive absolute, is also read. Arnold refers ἐξελθύντων to φύλακας, whether aὐτῶν is read or not, rendering 'as they had ventured out to fight them'. ἐξελθύντε has this force in viii. 25, 2, but the meaning first given is the usual one, and makes the better sense. πολλούς—predicate, 'in large numbers'.

19. ' $H\lambda\epsilon(\omega\gamma$ -see ch. 62, 8. $\pi\rho\delta_5$ roîs $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma_5$ -ch. 61, 1. Classen points out that no new commander's name is given, though both the Athenian generals had fallen in the battle.

23. διελόμενοι—sc. τήν πόλιν, or the operations against it: cf. ch. 114, 4: iv. 11, 3, κατ όλιγας ναξε διελόμενοι, with no object expressed. έξεπαύσαντο—a strong expression= $d\pi έ κ αμον$ τοῦ περιταχίζειν (schol.). This is the only instance of the middle given in Lid. and Scott.

26. τὴν ἄκραν—a rocky promontory near the harbour. τὸ Ἡραῖον is in apposition; as in i. 46, 3, ἡ ἄκρα τὸ Χειμέριον. There are various forms of such construction with names; e.g. (a) iv. 25, 5, κατὰ τὸν ᾿Ακεσίνην ποτομόν (the usual order with $\pi \sigma \tau a \mu \delta s$): iv. 108, 1, $\dot{\eta}$ Βόλβη λίμνη: iv. 43, 1, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Σολόγειαν κώμην: (b) i. 116, 1, προς Τραγία τῆ νήσω: iv. 96, 5, προς Πάρνηθα το δρος: (c) i. 100, 3, το χωρίον αι Ἐμνέα δδοί: vii. 3, 4, το φρούριον το Λάβδαλον: (d) ch. 41, 8, έχει Θυρέαν πόλν: (e) iv. 46, 1, έν τῷ δρει τῆς Ἰστώνης. See Krüger's Grammar, § 50, 7.

27. $\xi vy \kappa a \tau a \lambda i \pi \delta v \tau \epsilon s$ —another rare word, denoting the common action of the combined force. The fortified position now occupied was not long retained, see ch. 80, 16.

CHAPTER LXXVI

1. $\epsilon \delta \theta \delta s$ —with $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \rho \sigma s \, d\rho \chi o \mu \ell \rho \sigma v$: ch. 13, 1, with $\epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \rho o \mu \ell \rho \sigma v$: so iv. 52, 1. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \tilde{\eta} \, \tau d \, K d\rho \nu \epsilon a \, \tilde{\eta} \alpha \eta \sigma \rho \sigma$ —most modern editors regard this clause as an interpolation derived from ch. 75, 23, for the Carneia were over long before the winter period began; see ch. 54, 9. Jowett however holds that the words 'are not intended as a mark of time, but have reference to the advantage taken of the Carneia by the enemies of Sparta'. If so, they seem somewhat misleading.

5. ξυμβατηρίους—a word only found in this chapter in Attic Greek, though used by later writers. Thucydides has λόγοι ξυμβατικοί, vi. 103, 3; so viii. 71, 1, and 91, 1, οὐδὲν ξυμβατικόν.

8. $\epsilon_{\mathbf{x}} \tau_{\mathbf{y}} \nu \delta \mu o \lambda o \gamma (a \nu - loosely connected with <math>\pi e \ell \theta \epsilon \nu = \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ $r \partial \nu \delta \mu o \lambda o \gamma (a \nu \pi o e i c \theta a a . \pi o i \eta \sigma a \tau r e s - i a fter effecting'; ef. ch.$ $38, 27. kal <math>\xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi (a \nu - s c. \pi o e \eta \sigma a ., 'a fter wards to bring$ $about an alliance as well, and then' etc. <math>\epsilon \pi \pi \tau (\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a - i i . 72, 1, \epsilon \pi \tau (\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a - i . 72, 1))$ 13. $\Lambda(\chi as$ —see ch. 50, 16. $\delta' o \lambda \delta' \gamma \omega$ —two proposals. $\kappa a\theta' \delta \tau \iota \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$ —'mira verborum brevitas', says Poppo, who adds that $\pi \delta \iota \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau$ and $\epsilon l \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ $\delta' \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau$ are to be understood with $\kappa a\theta' \delta \tau \tau$ and $\dot{\omega}s$ respectively. We have other forms of ellipse where terms are offered, e.g. iv. 37, 2, $\epsilon \kappa \eta \rho \nu \xi a \sigma$ $\delta \sigma \lambda \alpha \pi a \rho a \delta \delta \sigma \nu \alpha$, sc. that they should accept these conditions: cf. iii. 52, 2, $\pi \rho \sigma \pi \ell \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta \rho \nu \kappa a \lambda \ell \gamma \sigma \nu \tau a \epsilon \ell \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Dobree suggested leaving out ϵl in both clauses. The proposal for war may have been something like the arrangement recorded in ch. 41.

16. ἔτυχε...παρών—ch. 61, 9. τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις—for dative cf. iv. 106, 2, τῶν πρασσύντων τῷ Βρασίδα, where also ἐκ τοῦ φανεροῦ occurs. τολμῶντες—so ii. 43, 1, and often.

CHAPTER LXXVII

The terms of the treaty in this chapter, and of the alliance in ch. 79, are given in the Doric dialect. The language however, according to the authorities, does not entirely conform to the rules of Laconian speech; and the documents may have been modified in the course of transcription. 'These two treaties imposed by the victorious Lacedaemonians upon Argos mark the final dissolution of the alliance between Argos and the discontented members of the Peloponnesian league, and also of the alliance between Argos, Athens, Elis, and Mantinea' (Jowett).

1. καττάδε—i.e. κατὰ τάδε: ch. 79 init. so καττὰ for κατὰ τά, line 15. τῷ ἐκκλησία—'The usual name of a public assembly in the Doric states was άλία. This is the name by which the Spartan assembly is called in Herodotus (vii. 134), and it is also used in official documents for those of Byzantium, of Gela, Agrigentum, Corcyra and Heraclea. In Sparta the ancient name of an assembly of the people was $dπ\epsilon \lambda ha$. In later times the names $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ia$ and $e \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \sigma ia$ appear to have been chiefly in use' (Müller's Dorians, Bk. iii. 5, 9). See vi. 88, 10, ϵ^{μ} τῷ $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma ia$ πακεδαμοσίων. In the passage cited from Herodotus $a\lambda i\eta$ seems merely his own general term; as he uses it in i. 125, of an assembly of Persians; v. 30, of a meeting held at Miletus; v. 79, of an assembly at Thebes. 2. $\xi \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \acute{e} \sigma \delta a \iota$ to make agreement'; so line 24: Xen. Anab. vi. 6, 35, $\xi \epsilon \nu l a \nu \sigma \nu \epsilon \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu \tau \circ$: vi. 3, 3, $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \beta \dot{a} \lambda \sigma \nu \tau \sigma \lambda \dot{o} \phi \sigma \nu$, 'they agreed on a certain hill'. $\pi \sigma \tau \tau \dot{a} s = \pi \rho \dot{s} \tau \sigma \dot{s}$: Theoer. xi. 1, $\pi \sigma \tau \tau \dot{o} \nu$ "E $\rho \omega \tau a$: Ar. Lys. 1005, $\pi \sigma \tau \tau \dot{a} s \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \dot{c} \dot{a}$: $d \pi \sigma \delta \dot{s} \cdot \delta \nu \tau a s$ — on their restoring'. $\pi a t \delta a s$ must be the hostages mentioned at the end of ch. 61, who were given to the Mantineans: Argos is therefore made responsible for their return.

4. $\tau \omega_S ~ \alpha v \delta \rho a_S ~ \tau o v S Mauva \lambda (ous ---- 'no former mention had$ been made of the Maenalians; but as they had no one city,but were dispersed in several villages, an invading army couldhave had no difficulty in obliging them to give hostages separately, nor was there any one town capable of affording suchresistance as to make its submission deserve a separate notice'(Arnold). 'The men in Mantinea' are the Arcadian hostageswhom the Lacedaemonians had deposited in Orchomenus, andwho were handed over to the Mantineans (ch. 61 fin.). There $is a clear distinction made between <math>\pi a \tilde{c} \delta e_S$ and $\delta v \delta \rho e_S$ in this passage. Classen supposes that $\pi a \tilde{c} \delta e_S$ may be youths who had not attained military age; Krüger suggests that slaves may be meant.

6. $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ 'Eπιδαύρω—i.e. 'Eπιδαύρου. The district or neighbourhood is meant, as in ch. 55, 2. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\beta\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\alpha s = \dot{\epsilon}\kappa\beta alvortas$: see Lid. and Scott, προβάω. το τείχοs is the headland of Heraeum, ch. 75, 26.

7. al $\delta \epsilon \kappa a - a \epsilon \epsilon t$: κa for Ionic $\kappa \epsilon = \text{Attic } a' \epsilon$: the whole phrase being equivalent to $\epsilon a \nu \delta \epsilon$. $\epsilon \kappa \omega \nu \tau \iota = \epsilon t \kappa \omega \sigma \iota$, 'withdraw': Krüger cites $\epsilon t \kappa \omega \nu \sigma'$ $\epsilon \kappa \chi \omega \rho \eta s$ from Tyrtaeus; Hdt. ii. 80, $\epsilon t \kappa \omega \upsilon \iota \tau \eta s$ $\delta \delta \delta 0$.

8. $\pi o\lambda \epsilon \mu \delta vs \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu \epsilon v = \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu a \iota$; so ch. 79, 2. $\frac{3}{2} \mu \epsilon v$ is also read. The Athenians are the subject. 'A note of hostility to the Athenians is now first openly expressed, not unnaturally, since the captives were recovered, and the Athenians had taken part in the battle of Mantinea' (Jowett).

11. $\xi_{\chi ov\tau \iota} = \xi_{\chi ov\tau \iota}$ is also read, but the indicative, as Poppo shows, gives the better sense, as it is a question of actual fact. For ϵl with the subjunctive see Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, § 454. Madvig (Greek Syntax, § 125) restricts the use in Attic prose to 'the archaic phraseology of law'.

περί δὲ τῶ σιῶ σύματος = τοῦ θεοῦ θύματος: Ar. Lys.
 81, ναὶ τῶ σιῶ. We should expect τῶ σιῶ τῶ σύματος; cf. i.
 139, 1, περὶ τῶν ἐναγῶν τῆς ἐλάσεως: vi. 33, 1, περὶ τοῦ ἐπίπλου

τη̂s ἀληθείας. Otherwise σιῶ is without the article, having in itself a definite force: cf. iv. 110, 1, ἀπὸ τοῦ βασιλέως διορύγματος, βασιλεύς, without the article, meaning the king of Persia. For 'the sacrifice due to the god', viz. Apollo Pythaeus, see ch. 53, 3.

The reading of the remainder of this passage seems hopelessly uncertain, but the general sense is, as Arnold says, 'that with respect to the victim alleged by the Argives to be owed to the temple of Apollo from the Epidaurians, the matter should be decided by the oath of the Epidaurians, who were to swear whether it was justly due from them or no. It is well known that, where the evidence was not clear, the accused was allowed to clear himself by oath; and if he swore that he was innocent, the accuser had no further remedy'. See the provisions in ch. 18, 20.

13. $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\nu} \lambda_{\eta}\nu$ —the manuscript readings, $\epsilon_{\mu}\epsilon_{\nu} \lambda_{\eta}\nu$, $\epsilon_{\mu}\epsilon_{\lambda\eta\nu}$, etc. are various and unintelligible. $\lambda_{\eta}\nu$ is the infinitive of $\lambda_{d\omega} = \theta\epsilon_{\lambda\omega}$: Ar. Lys. 981, $\lambda_{\omega} \tau_{\iota} \mu_{\nu\sigma}\xi_{\alpha} \nu_{\epsilon\sigma\nu}$: Ach. 766, at $\lambda_{\eta\nu}^{2}$ $=\epsilon i \ \theta\epsilon_{\lambda\epsilon\sigma}$. The reading in the text is taken (i) 'that it be allowed to the Epidaurians to choose $(\lambda_{\eta}\nu)$ an oath'; but $\lambda_{\eta\nu}^{2}$ can scarcely thus govern an accusative: (ii) 'that (the contracting parties) desire $(\lambda_{\eta\nu}^{2})$ that an oath be allowed $(\epsilon_{\ell}\mu_{\nu\nu})$ to the Epidaurians', or be taken by them. Some support for this rendering is derived, as Jowett points out, from ch. 18, 59, $\epsilon_{\sigma\tau\omega} \ \delta\epsilon$ $\Lambda_{\alpha\kappa}\epsilon_{\delta\mu\mu\nu}\omega\epsilon_{\alpha}\delta_{\kappa\epsilon}\epsilon$, like the other infinitives throughout the chapter. Should $\lambda_{\eta\nu}^{2}$ be omitted?

ib. Sómev Sè avrois òmóraı—'if avrois be right it is the subject of $\delta \phi_{\mu e \nu}$ (= $\delta \phi_{\nu a \nu}$) and must refer to the Argives, who were to tender the oath. This makes sense, but forces the meaning of avrois: Arnold accordingly reads avrois, = that it be tendered to them.

The reading adopted of this clause assumes $\epsilon l\mu \epsilon \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ in the clause before. There is however another suggested reading at $\mu \epsilon \nu = \epsilon l \ \mu \epsilon \nu$, the infinitive $\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ being taken with al, 'if they will'. ϵl with the infinitive in oratio obligna is Herodotean; there is one instance in Thucydides, iv. 98, 3, $\epsilon l ... \delta \nu \pi \eta \delta \hat{\eta} \mu a$, where see note. With this reading there is a different group of suggestions and explanations; e.g. Becker's al $\mu \epsilon \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{u} s$ 'Entoxoplus, $\delta \rho \kappa c \nu \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a \tau \sigma \hat{\sigma} \delta \dot{\mu} \delta \sigma a$, 'if the Epidaurians please etc.': and Ahrens' conjecture al $\mu \epsilon \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$, $\tau \hat{\sigma} s$ 'Entoxoplus $\delta \rho \kappa c \nu \delta \delta (\mu \epsilon \nu, al \delta \epsilon, a \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\mu} \delta \sigma a$, 'if they please, they may tender an oath to the Epidaurians, if (otherwise) they may swear themselves'; i.e. the matter was to be decided either by the oath of the Epidaurians or by that of the Argives. Further discussion of the text may be found in Classen and Fowler.

14. Kal μ Køds Kal μ Kyákas—'attende articulum non additum, qui ideo omissus videtur, quia sententia hace est: sive parvae sint sive magnae' (Poppo). 'The independence of the cities of Peloponnesus, 'small and great', is proclaimed, probably to avoid the suspicion which had been engendered by the former treaty between Lacedaemon and Athens, ch. 29, and on the other hand to prevent larger states, like Elis or Mantinea, increasing their power by the subjugation of smaller ones' (Jowett). See note at the beginning of ch. 47.

16. al δέ κα τῶν ἐκτός—this clause is especially directed against Athens. ἐπὶ κακῷ—ch. 18, 28. dλεξέμεναι—i.e. dλέξειν: 'to lend aid' or repel the enemy from another: mid. 'repel from one's self': cf. ἀμύνομα.

18. $d\mu \delta \theta_{\iota}$ —probably=Doric $\dot{a}\mu \hat{a}$ (or $\dot{a}\mu \hat{a}$) i.e. $\ddot{a}\mu a$: cf. ch. 47, 80, $\kappa o \iota v \hat{\eta}$ $\beta o \upsilon \lambda \epsilon \upsilon o \mu \ell \nu a \iota s$: ch. 80, 8, $\mu \dot{\eta} \xi \upsilon \mu \beta a \ell \nu \epsilon \upsilon \dots a \lambda \lambda'$ $\ddot{\eta} \ddot{a}\mu a$. Lid. and Scott however say that the word means 'in any way', as où $\delta a \mu \delta \theta_{\iota} = o \upsilon \delta a \mu o \vartheta$. $\dot{a} \mu o \theta \epsilon \ell$ is also read and is interpreted either in the same sense $= \ddot{a}\mu a$, or as derived from a privative and $\mu \delta \theta o s$, 'sine seditione et dissensione' (Ahrens). $\delta \pi q \kappa a = \delta \pi \eta \delta \nu$, ch. 18, 67: viii. 50, 4. $\ell \nu \tau \ell = \epsilon l \sigma \ell$. For $\ell \sigma \sigma \hat{o} \nu \tau a \iota$, Ahrens reads $\ell \sigma \sigma \ell \upsilon \tau a \iota$.

23. $\ell \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \ell \xi a \nu \tau a s$ —'the contracting parties to show these conditions to the allies before concluding the treaty'. The participle as usual is the emphatic word. For $\xi \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ see line 2.

24. at κa airoîs $\delta o \kappa \hat{\eta} - a i r o \hat{s}$ seems certainly to refer to the allies. If they approved, the treaty was to be signed at once. Their possible disapproval is dealt with in the next clause, at δt πt , where the addition of $\kappa a i$ $\delta \lambda \lambda o$ as read by Arnold makes the sense clear, but has little authority. One manuscript has $\mu \dot{\eta}$. $\kappa a \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o$ is a plausible suggestion; but we see from ch. 79, 13, that the subjunctive can stand with a i alone.

25. $d\pi\iota a\lambda\lambda\eta v = a\pi\sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon v$. The subject is not clear. Either the Lacedaemonians and Argives were to send the allies home to consult their respective home governments; or the allies were to send the proposed terms home for consideration. Grote and others understand that the allies were to be summarily dismissed, and the treaty concluded without them; but this scarcely agrees with the clauses before.

CHAPTER LXXVIII

 έπιμιξίας ούσης—with παρά: cf. i. 13, 3, παρ' άλλήλους έπιμισγόντων: ii. 1, έπεμίγνυντο παρ' άλλήλους. έπιμιξία occurs ch. 35, 3.

 ξπραξαν—see the end of ch. 76. ἀφέντας—i. 102, 3, ἀφέντες τὴν ξυμμαχίαν: so ch. 115, 7. σπονδ'ς καὶ ξυμμαχίαν ch. 46, 40.

CHAPTER LXXIX

3. $i\pi$ roîs trois -ch. 27, 14. $\delta\iota\delta\delta\nu\tau\alpha$ s—accusative, as if $\xi v_{\mu\mu\alpha}\chi | a\nu \pi \sigma\kappa i\sigma\theta a had gone before. The construction may have been changed to avoid clashing with the intervening datives.$

5. KOLVAVEÓVTAV — = KOLVAVOÚVTAV: KOLVÁV = KOLVÁV SUBSL, Pind. Pyth. iii. 28. $\tau a v \xi v \mu \mu a \chi (a v - Classen with Cobet reads$ $<math>\tau a s \xi v \mu \mu a \chi (a s, see ch. 27, 2. a v \tau o \pi o \lambda i s - n ot found elsewhere;$ see the similar compounds at the beginning of ch. 18.

7. $\xi \chi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon_5 \dots \delta \delta \delta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon_5 \dots this transition to the masculine is to be noticed. <math>\tau \circ i \sigma \pi \epsilon_P \dots s c. \epsilon_r : ch. 42, 2.$ The plural is here used instead of $\epsilon_r \tau \hat{\varphi} a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon_P$, ch. 77, 20.

14. $5\pi\alpha$ ka—ch. 77, 18. Poppo suggests that kpirwri should be read for kpirwris. Otherwise the phraseology is elliptical, kpirwri being implied. See Krüger's Grammar, § 69, 7, 2, for somewhat similar examples. The clause refers to the supplies and contingents which the respective cities would have to furnish. 18. $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\rho\iota\vartheta\eta\mu\nu\nu$ —in the active $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\rho\iota\nu\omega$ is to decide or settle a difference; as Hdt. i. 100, $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\rho\iota\nu\omega\nu$ ràs $\epsilon\sigma\phi\rho\rho\mu\mu\nu\sigma$ $\delta\iota\kappa\alphas$. In the passive it is used of the disputants; Plat. Euthyph. 7 c, $\epsilon\pi$ r δ $\iota\sigma\tau\alphaa\iota$ $\epsilon\lambda\vartheta\nu\sigma\epsilons$ $\delta\iota\alpha\kappa\rho\iota\vartheta\epsilon\omega\mu\nu\sigma$ $\star\nu$, we should settle our difference by recourse to weighing'. Here the meaning seems to be that the allied cities are if possible to 'settle disputes' between themselves. The next item of the treaty provides for arbitration in case of the dispute going on. Arundd however takes the first clause to refer to a difference between an allied city and one outside the confederacy, and the second to a quarrel between two allied cities. The former case was to be settled as best it could; in the latter arbitration was to be resorted to.

19. $i\rho(\zeta_{0}c_{--})$ if the difference should become a quarrel' (Jowett). There seems no especial reason for the optative; though it may be explained as following the initial $\xi_0\xi_\epsilon$ and as denoting a more improbable and remote contingency than the subjunctive. $\delta_{0\kappa\epsilon\ell_0}$ is accommodated to the same construction.

ib. $\breve{a}\nu$ τινα ίσαν—we should expect $\breve{a}\tau\iota s$ ίσα as subject to δοκείοι. As the text stands either (1) δοκείοι means 'considers', the subject being the πόλις which goes with έρίζοι and εἶναι being understood: or more probably (2) the full construction is (ές) $\breve{a}\nu$ τινα (ώς οὖσαν) ίσαν (έλθεῖν) δοκείοι. δοκείοι is equivalent to δοκοίη.

20. $d\mu\phi oi\nu \tau ais$ —we have $d\mu\phi oi\nu \tau oi\nu$ ch. 29, 17: $d\mu\phi\omega \tau \omega$ twice in ch. 23. These are the only instances of $d\mu\phi\omega$ in Thucydides.

ib. $\tau \omega s$ δ' έ $\tau a s$ —the manuscripts have $\tau o \hat{s} \delta'$ έ $\tau a s$, which necessitates giving an impersonal passive meaning to $\delta v \kappa d$ feroa., 'justice to be administered', for which there is no authority. $\epsilon \tau a t$ are private citizens. In an ancient inscription at Olympia they are opposed to the $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a l = ol \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$; cf. Aesch. Suppl. 247, $\pi \rho \delta s \sigma \epsilon \pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \delta s \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \ldots \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s d \gamma \delta \nu$; Their ancient legal rights are here secured against change of government, or the centralising influence of dominant states.

CHAPTER LXXX

1. ἐγεγένητο—for similar pluperfects see iv. 13, 1: iv. 47, 1 (Arnold's note). The alliance is regarded as complete, the following events are the consequences of its completion.

2. $\delta\pi\delta\sigma a...\epsilon^{2}\chi\sigma\nu-\epsilon^{2}\epsilon^{2}\chi\sigma\nu$ is taken in two senses. Whatever were the places belonging to one another which they had gained by war ($\epsilon^{2}\chi\sigma\nu$) they restored, and any other complaint which they had to bring ($\epsilon^{2}\chi\sigma\nu$), they settled with one another. $\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\nu\sigma\sigma\mu\tau\sigma$ in strictness belongs only to $\epsilon^{2}\tau\iota$ $\lambda\lambda\sigma$ $\epsilon^{2}\chi\sigma\nu$: some word such as $d\pi\epsilon\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma\mu$ having to be supplied with $\delta\pi\delta\sigma\sigma\pi$ $\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\mu\varphi$ $\epsilon^{2}\chi\sigma\nu'$ (Jowett).

 διελύσαντο—i. 140, 4, βούλονται τὰ ἐγκλήματα διαλύεσθαι:
 145, 1, διαλύεσθαι περί τῶν ἐγκλημάτων: pass. iv. 19, 2, μεγάλας ἔχθρας διαλύεσθαι.

4. τιθέμενοι—managing and arranging; i. 25, 1, έν ἀπόρω είχωντο θέσθαι τὸ παρών; vi. 11, 5, τὸ σφἐτερον ἀπρεπἐς εὖ θήσωνται. τὰ τείχη—any fortified positions which they might hold; especially the fortress at Epidaurus, line 17.

7. μή ξυμβαίνειν τ ω —see ch. 38, 5. άλλ' ή άμα here corresponds to άνευ κοινής γνώμης.

8. $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\mu}$ there $\nu - \dot{\nu}$ carried on with spirit'; 'they were very energetic in all their doings' (Jowett): i. 31, 1, $\delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$ there $\nu \tau \delta \nu$ $\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$: iv. 121, 1, $\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu \pi \rho \delta \delta \mu \omega \sigma$ is similar, 40, $\pi \rho \sigma \delta \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \sigma$ there $\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$. The construction is similar, though the sense is slightly different, in Eur. Suppl. 556, doixou uterview uter $\mu \eta \delta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \phi \delta \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$: id. Andr. 144, $\tau \delta \sigma \delta \nu \sigma \delta \kappa \tau \omega$ the power $\tau \nu \gamma \chi d \mu \omega$: where $\phi \delta \rho \omega$ means 'bearing' rather than 'conducting'; cf. $\beta a \rho \delta \omega \sigma \delta \epsilon \rho \omega$

9. ώς Περδίκκαν-Perdiccas still professed to be an ally of Athens; see ch. 6, 6. διενοείτο-άποστήναι is understood, as άποστάντας is understood with έώρα: cf. i. 1, 1, τὸ Ἐλληνικὸν ὀρῶν ξυνιστάμενον: τὸ μὲν εὐθύς, τὸ δὲ καὶ διανοούμενον, sc. ξυνίστασθαι: vii. 65, 1, ἀντεπλήρουν τὰς ναῦς ἐπειδὴ καὶ τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους ἡσθάνοντο, sc. πληροῦντας. 13. $\hat{\eta}v$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \dots \hat{\xi}^* A \rho \gamma o v s \dots i i$, 99, 2, $T \eta \mu \epsilon \nu i \delta a \iota$ $\tau \dot{\sigma} d\rho \chi a \hat{\iota} o v \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\hat{\epsilon} \xi^* A \rho \gamma o v s$, of Perdiccas and his ancestors: see also Hdt. viii. 137. For constr. cf. iv. 3, 3, $\partial k \epsilon \ell o v \tau s s$ $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\rho} \tau \dot{\sigma} d\rho \chi a \hat{o} v$. $\tau \sigma \hat{s} X a \lambda \kappa i \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \dots$ in ch. 31, 29, we find the Chalcidians making a league with Argos. They had probably been regarded as allies of Sparta since 432, when they revolted from Athens (i. 58, 1).

17. τὸ ἐξ—ch. 34, 1, note. Arnold quotes Hdt. vii. 37, ὁ η̈λιος ἐκλιπῶν τὴν ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ὀδόν. τεῖχος—see ch. 75, 26.

18. $\delta\rho\omega\nu\tau\epsilons...\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$ —the manuscript reading is $\delta\nu\tau as$, which might possibly be explained as referring to $\tau\sigma\delta s \sigma\phi\epsilon\tau\rho\sigma s$: $\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$ however is read by all editors, to avoid ambiguity. The position was held by the Argive confederates jointly (ch. 75).

19. Δημοσθένην—the common form of the accusative of this name. It is a 'heteroclite', like Σωκράτης, which makes both Σωκράτην and Σωκράτη.

21. $\pi\rho\delta\phi\alpha\sigma\nu$ —the accusative in apposition adverbially used; so iii. 111, 1: cf. $\pi\rho\sigma\kappaa$, $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon\omega$ etc. In ch. 53, 2, we have $\pi\rho\sigma\phi\delta\sigma\epsilon$. 'Demosthenes seems to have acted partly from bravado, partly because he preferred to give up the fortress to the Epidaurians, rather than leave it in the possession of the confederate troops, which included those of Argos, now in alliance with Sparta. By the terms of the first treaty (ch. 77, 6) the Argives equally with the Athenians were bound to evacuate the fortress, but this may have been unknown to Demosthenes' (Jowett).

22. $\tau \delta$ άλλο φρουρικόν—i.e. ol άλλοι φρουροl. The reading of the best manuscripts is φρούριον, which Classen retains, in the sense of φρουρd, ch. 73, 28. There appears however no certain authority for this usage, and here it would be particularly awkward after the word has just been used in its natural way. It is tempting to suggest the omission either of φρουρικόν or the preceding $\tau o \hat{v}$ φρουρίου.

23. dvaveωσάμενοι τds σπονδάs—'the treaty referred to is probably that made at the general peace (ch. 18), which, after the many viciositudes of Athenian and Lacedaemonian politics, might well need to be renewed in any particular which was henceforth intended to be observed. The Epidaurians are mentioned by name in the armistice (iv. 119, 2), but are only included, without being named, among the allies of the Lacedaemonians in the treaty' (Jowett).

24. autrol-thus avoiding the appearance of compulsion, and excluding their late allies from any credit for the surrender.

CHAPTER LXXXI

3. où δυνάμενοι—see note on διενοείτο, ch. 80, 12. ξυνέβησαν—for 30 years, according to Xen. Hell. v. 2, 2. την άρχην...τών πόλεων—see ch. 29, ch. 33 etc. These cities were given up in accordance with the provision of the treaty, ch. 79, 5.

6. καl Λακεδαιμόνιοι—this sentence is a curious example of appositional construction, the subject being first contracted with $a\dot{v}\tau ol$ of Λακεδαιμόνιοι and again expanded with $\xi vra\mu\phi\phi$ re $\rho o.$ The clause beginning $\tau \acute{a}$ $\tau \acute{a}$ Σικνώνι is in fact semiparenthetical, and the meaning is that the Lacedaemonians before marching with the Argives to Argos, went by themselves to Sicyon. The Argive χ (Also seem those mentioned in ch. 67, 15; most of them escaping the slaughter of Mantinea (ch. 73, 22).

8. İs $\delta\lambda$ (yous... κατέστησαν—i.e. set np an oligarchical government; ii. 37, 1, διὰ τὸ μὴ ἐς δλίγους ἀλλ ἐς πλείονας οἰκέν: viii. 53, 4, ἐς δλίγους μᾶλλον τὰς ἀρχὰς ποιήσομεν: viii. 89, 3, τοῦ ἀγων ἐς δλίγους ἐλθεῦν. In viii. 38, 3, the manuscripts have τῆς πόλεως ἐς δλίγον κατεχομένης, where the meaning required is 'being reduced to an oligarchy'. No similar instance of ἐς δλίγον is forthcoming, and Classen and Stahl adopt Dobree's correction ἐς δλίγους.

ib. $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ov$ —Krüger seems right in understanding η és $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ovas$. Most editors understand η $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho ov$: but Krüger observes that this would require a more emphatic position of $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ov$, such as before és. Grote points out that Sicyon had always been oligarchic and favourable to Sparta; but a democratic party may have been making head.

THUCYDIDES V

10. κατέλυσαν—see ch. 76, 7. ἐπιτηδεία—'favourable to their interests'. This was the Lacedaemonian system; see i. 19, 1, οἰ μὲν Λακεδαιμόνιοι οὐχ ὑποτελεῖς ἔχοντες φόρου τοῦς ξυμμάχους ἡγοῦντο, κατ ὀλιγαρχίαν δὲ σφίσιν αὐτοῖς μόνον ἐπιτηδείως ὅπως πολιτεύσωσι θεραπείωντες.

 πρός ἕαρ—cf. iv. 135, 1, τοῦ αὐτοῦ χειμῶνος καὶ πρὸς ἕαρ ἤδη.

CHAPTER LXXXII

1. $\Delta \iota \eta s$ —see note on ch. 35, 1. $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \iota \omega s$ —see note on ch. 81, 11. $\kappa \alpha \theta (\sigma \tau a \nu \tau \sigma)$ —of political arrangements. At the beginning of the war Achaia was neutral, with the exception of Pellene (ii. 9, 2).

5. $\kappa \alpha \tau' \delta \lambda' (\gamma o \nu - \cdot')$ little by little', gradually; i. 61 fin. $\kappa \alpha \tau' \delta \lambda' (\gamma o \nu \pi \rho o t \delta \nu \tau c s:$ usually 'in small divisions' as in iv. 10, 4. **ξυνιστάμενος**-- 'conspiring'; iii. 70, 4: or perhaps merely 'getting together', as in ii. 88, 1. 'The oligarchy which was established in Argos $\pi \rho \delta \epsilon \delta a \rho$ (ch. 81, 12), say in March, lasted till the time of the $\gamma v \mu \nu \sigma r a \delta \delta a i$, a period of about 5 months. During this period the secret meetings and deliberations of the popular party were held, until sufficient confidence for a rising had been gained. $d\nu a \theta a \rho \sigma \tau' \sigma a s$ in the aorist denotes the conclusion of the deliberations expressed by the present $\xi v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \dot{a} - \mu \rho \sigma s'$ (F.).

The clause $\kappa a \tau' \delta \lambda i \gamma o \tau \dots \dot{a} \nu a \theta a \rho \sigma \dot{\eta} \sigma as$ is to be taken in apposition (Kriiger), otherwise $\dot{e} \pi \ell \theta e \tau r o$ with the singular participle would be harsh and almost unexampled: cf. iii. 80, 1, $\dot{\delta} \delta \eta \mu o s \dots$, $\pi e \rho \delta \dot{c} \dot{s} \gamma e \nu \dot{c} \mu e r o s \dots$, $\dot{\eta} e \sigma a \tau' \dot{s} \lambda \dot{\delta} \gamma o v s$.

6. ἐπέθεντο—ch. 76, 11. τηρήσαντες—ii. 22, 1, τηρήσαντες νύκτα χειμέριον: with infinitive iv. 26, 4, ἐτήρουν ἀνέμω καταφέρεσθα. ἀντάς—'the exact time of ': ii. 3, 3, ψυλάξαντες αὐτὸ τὸ περίορθρον. The Gymnopaediae were held in Hecatombaeon, about July. The festival consisted of gymnastic and warlike exercises, and, like the Carneia, kept the Spartans at home.

10. $\ell \omega = \mu \epsilon \nu$ —anterior in time to the clause with $\delta \epsilon$, and subordinate in sense. $\ell \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon (ovos - \ell or some time back'; iv.$

220

42, 3: iv. 103, 3. It is to be taken with $\eta \lambda \theta \sigma v$. The sense is that, though the Lacedaemonians had for some time taken no notice of repeated appeals for help, yet now they postponed the festival and marched out. There is a suspicious incompleteness about the sentence, and a want of autitlesis between the clauses with $\mu \delta v$ and $\delta \delta$. We should expect $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \delta$ or the like.

17. $d\gamma\gamma\ell\lambda\omega\nu$ —'as messengers'. Most editors would omit this word. F. follows Müller-Strübing in reading $\kappa al \dot{a}\gamma\gamma\ell\lambda\omega\nu$ $\tau\omega\nu$. The Argives in the city sent envoys, the exiles could only send messengers. $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\omega\nu$ $\tau\epsilon \dot{a}\pi\delta$ is also suggested for $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\omega\nu$ $\dot{a}\pi\delta$ $\tau\epsilon$. This would make the sentence clearer; still irregularities with $\tau\epsilon$ are common.

18. dφ' ἐκατέρων — 'on either side'; iii. 36, 5, γνώμαι ἀφ' ἐκάστων ἐλέγοντο: ch. 37, 2. ἔγνωσαν — 'pronounced', as heads of the Peloponnesian league. διατριβαί — ch. 38, 30: vii. 49, 3, δκνος τις καὶ μέλλησις ἐνεγάνετο. προσαγόμενος — 'courting' or 'trying to gain'; more usually of persons, iii. 32, 2, δλίγους ἐς φιλίαν προσάξεσθαι. Also of acquiring places by capitulation, as in ii. 30, 2: vi. 54, 3.

24. $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma} (\sigma \tau ov [\tilde{a}v] \dots \delta \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon v \to 0$ vii. 24, 3, $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma} (\sigma \tau ov \epsilon \kappa d\kappa \omega \sigma \epsilon$: vii. 44, 6 $\mu \epsilon_{\gamma} (\sigma \tau ov \epsilon \beta \lambda a \phi' \epsilon$. The plural is more common in such cognate constructions, but the singular is quite regular; see Kriger's Grammar, § 46, 5. δv with the future infinitive is found five times in Thucydides according to manuscript authority. Whether it can be retained is a moot point; see note on ch. 15, 11, and Goodwin, § 208: Madvig's Greek Syntax, § 173, R. 2. Here it is easy to omit δv or to read $\omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma a$. The subject of the infinitive in the Athenian alliance, not the contemplated building of walls (Herbst). The latter view involves a gratuitous irregularity in the use of $\tau \epsilon$ and κa , and in no way improves the sense.

25. $\mu\alpha\kappa\rho d\tau\epsilon (\chi\eta$ —see ch. 52, 15. The distance to the sea, according to Pauranias, was four miles and a half. Plutarch says that the building of the walls was promoted by Alcibiades, who came to Argos after the victory of the democracy (Alc. 15).

27. ἐπαγωγή – vii. 24, 3, οἰ ἐσπλοι τῆς ἐπαγωγῆς τῶν ἐπιτηδείων: i. 81, 1, ἐκ θαλάσσης ὧν δέονται ἐπάξονται.

ib. ξυνήδεσαν—the following accusative is rare: Hdt. vi. 39, συνειδότες τοῦ πατρὸς τὸν θάνατον: Xen. Hell. iii. 3, 6, ξυνει-

THUCYDIDES V

δότας τὴν πρῶξιν. Krüger suggests ξυν ήνεσαν. Jealousy and fear of Sparta, no doubt fomented by Alcibiades, had already produced an inclination towards Athens, and long walls would draw the Argives into closer connexion with the Athenian naval power. For the position of τινές cf. i. 45, 2, and i. 53, 4, ές τῶν ἐκείνων τι χωρίων (where Krüger reads χωρίων): Xen. Anab. ii. 5, 32, τῶν βαρβάρων τινές iππέων. In ch. 25, 7, the order is different.

 τέκτονες—timber was used in such works; vi. 99, 1, λίθους και ξύλα ξυμφοροῦντες, of the besieging Athenians at Syracuse.

CHAPTER LXXXIII

2. $\tau \epsilon \chi_1 \zeta \delta \tau \tau \omega v - a l \sigma \theta d u o \mu a \iota$ is found with the genitive i. 57, 4 etc., but only here with the genitive participle: the accusative construction is common, as in ch. 37, 18.

4. airoîs—probably with $i\pi\eta\rho\chi\epsilon$ 'they had to start with ': but the dative might also be taken with $\pi\rho\alpha\sigma\sigma\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$. Indeed its construction is affected by both words, in accordance with a common Thucydidean order. $i\kappa \tau \circ i^{"}A\rho\gamma \circ s air \delta\theta\epsilon\nu$ —ii. 25, 3, air $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu \ \epsilon\kappa \ \tau \eta s \ \pi\epsilon\rho \circ i \kappa \delta s' H\lambda\epsilon l \omega\nu$: Hdt. viii. 64, $air \delta\theta\epsilon\nu \ \epsilon\kappa \ Za\lambdaa \mu \nu \circ s$.

 οὐ προὐχώρησεν ἔτι—'came to nothing further'. 'Yora's —near the border of Arcadia on the road to Tegea.

14. $\delta \tau_1$ —gives the reason for $\delta \eta \omega \sigma a \nu \tau s$, the participle as usual being the emphatic word. We may compare the clause in the treaty, ch. 47, 17, $\eta^{\nu} \delta \delta \delta \eta \omega \sigma a \nu \tau s$ ot $\omega \nu \tau a$. $\delta \eta, \delta \sigma \phi \delta \nu \circ l \delta \psi \mu \mu \alpha \chi ot \epsilon \pi \delta \sigma \nu \sigma \nu \tau$ is $3, 2, a \delta \tau \delta \nu \nu \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \sigma$ 16. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ blockaded', they stopped any coasting trade which might be carried on in the winter; $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau' \epsilon \sigma \tau c$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon l \alpha \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma l \mu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\tau}_{S} \ l \alpha \lambda d \sigma \sigma \eta s a \dot{\nu} \tau o \dot{\nu} s a \dot{\pi} \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ (schol.): i. 117, 2, $\epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau o \sigma \tau o \tilde{\tau}_{S} \rho \alpha \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta s a \dot{\nu} \tau o \dot{\tau}_{S} \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$. This passage and the scholiast's explanation seem enough to support the reading. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ with Makedowlas, partitive genitive, and $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \cdot \sigma \alpha \nu$ have been suggested; but the compound is only found in the middle and that in late authors, and the active of the simple verb is too far from the manuscript reading. According to the best manuscripts it occurs in iii. 85, 1, and iv. 41, 2, but even there Classen adopts the middle.

Most manuscripts have Maxebovias Περδίκκαν: which can scarcely mean 'Perdiccas (king) of Macedonia', no similar instance being known. Another explanation connects the genitive with κατέκλησαν on the analogy of Soph. Aj. 1274, έρκέων έγκεκλημένους, 'shut up within your bulwarks'. Maxebovia has also been conjectured 'shut him up with' i.e. 'in Macedonia'. Two inferior manuscripts have Maxeboviav, and probably this or Maxebovas should be adopted, the scholiast's abrois being in favour of the latter. Περδίκκα, depending on έπικαλοῦντες, should then be read in accordance with Goeller's suggestion; cf. ch. 59, 29.

19. $\xi v v \omega \mu o \sigma' a v$ —see ch. 80, 11. $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma a_1 \ell v \omega v$ —we are told nothing of this intended expedition. It could scarcely have been before Cleon's death, as Perdiceas up to that time had been faithful to the Athenians since he broke with Brasidas in 423; see ch. 6, 6, and iv. 132, 1. Besides, it is mentioned after the $\xi v \kappa \mu \sigma \sigma' a$.

22. Ψευστο-had belied', i.e. failed in ; iii. 66, 3, την ψευσθείσαν ὑπόσχεσιν: Hdt. vi. 32, οὐκ ἐψεύσαντο τὰς ἀπειλάς. So Hom., Eur. and Xen.

ib. ή στρατιά—this would imply that the trcops were actually sent; or the army at any rate embodied. Perhaps στρατεία should be read; but διελύθη, 'was broken up', goes more naturally with στρατιά.

23. $d\pi d\rho a v \tau os$ —elsewhere $d\pi a l\rho \omega$ is to start, or put to sea, as in iv. 26, 4: iv. 46, 1. Possibly it may here be used to mean 'by his defection', which is the sense required. Most editors however believe it to be corrupt. Poppo suggests $d\pi o \tau d v \tau os$: Classen où $\pi a \rho v \tau os$, $d\pi a \tau n \sigma a v \sigma s$ etc.

CHAPTER LXXXIV

1. 'Αλκιβιάδης τε—answered by καl $\ell \pi \ell$, line 6. $\ell \tau \iota$ —see ch. 82, 9. κατέθεντο—iii. 72, 1, κατέθεντο ές Αίγωναν: vi. 61, 3, τους όμήρους τῶν 'Αργείων τους έν ταῖς νήσοις κειμένους, where κείμαι represents the perfect passive of κατατίθεσθαι, as in ch. 61, 22.

8. Aeopliaus—so in most manuscripts: Classen prefers $\Lambda e \sigma \beta (aus)$ —so in most manuscripts: Classen prefers $\Lambda e \sigma \beta (auv)$. According to Cobet's rule $\Lambda e \sigma \beta (auv)$ would be the proper dual form; see note on iv. 4, 2. In favour of retaining $\Lambda e \sigma \beta (aus)$ cf. 79 fin. $d\mu\phi o \partial \nu \tau a c \sigma \delta (aus)$ to (aus) for (aus) and (

These Lesbian ships were from Methymna, which remained free when the rest of the island was allotted to Athenian $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\rho\delta\chi_{00}$ in 427 (iii. 50).

11. $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \omega \nu \kappa \alpha l \nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \omega \nu - not necessarily identical, as Classen shows, citing vi. 85, 2, where the Athenians explain the various degrees of independence enjoyed by their so-called allies: see also ii. 9, 3.$

12. οἱ δὲ Μήλιοι—'now the Melians'; δέ introduces the statement of the grounds of the invasion. Λακεδαιμονίων— Hdt. viii. 48, Μήλιοι γένος έδντες ἀπὸ Λακεδαίμονος.

14. oùk $\eta\theta\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$ —note the force of the imperfects in this sentence. $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ of $\lambda\lambda\sigma\iota$ —ii. 9, 3, $\pi\lambda\eta\nu$ $M\eta\lambda\sigma\nu$ kal $\theta\eta\rhoas$. où $\delta\epsilon\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$ —we should expect either où $\delta\epsilon$ $\mu\epsilon\theta'$ $\epsilon\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$ (the regular form with a preposition), or où $\delta\epsilon\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\nu\tau\epsilons$ $\xi \dot{\nu}\mu\mua$ $\chi\sigma\iota$. In iii. 86, 2, however, we have $\Lambda\sigma\kappa\rho\sigmal$ $\Sigma \nu\rho\kappa\sigma\sigma\ell\omega\nu$ $\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$, sc. $\xi \dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\chi\sigma\iota$. $\eta\nu\alpha\gamma\kappa\alpha\zeta\sigma\nu$ —the Athenians attacked them in 426 under the command of Nicias (iii. 91).

17. ές πόλεμον...κατέστησαν-ch. 25, 17.

ib. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \sigma \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \nu \sigma d \mu \epsilon \nu o \ldots$ is —the preposition of motion is not found elsewhere with $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \sigma \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, but there is no difficulty in the pregnant construction, nor any need to read $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma d \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$. i. 109, 2, is $\tau \eta \nu \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \kappa a \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

CHAPTER LXXXIV (B.C. 416)

23. $\tau a \hat{s} d \rho \chi a \hat{s}$ —'the authorities'; ch. 28, 2. The $\delta \lambda (\gamma o \iota$ seem to have been a select council or assembly.

CHAPTER LXXXV

For the place of the 'Melian discussion' in the history, see Introduction.

The Athenians first propose a debate on the several points at issue instead of continuous speeches.

 ὅπως δή—so vii. 18, 1, where δή gives the actual reason, not merely an alleged motive. ἡήσει—only here in Thucydides. ἀνέλεγκτα—not to be questioned or disproved.

 τοῦτο φρονεῖ—'this is the idea (intention) of' your bringing us before the few: vi. 36, 2, al ἀγγελίαι τοῦτο δύνανται. For ὑμῶν Krüger and others prefer the objective genitive ἡμῶν.

7. $d\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$ —'bringing': there are two passages, iv. 20, 1, and vi. 29, 3, where this word is found in the manuscripts and where the sense required is 'putting to sea' or the like. In both passages most editors alter it into $d\mu\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$. In Xen. Cyr. vi. 1, 24, $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ raîs $d\gamma\omega\gamma\alpha$ îs means 'on marches' lit. the 'leading' of an army.

9. $\mu\eta\delta'$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\epsilon}s - \mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ compares the two parties to the discussion; 'do not you any more than we'. As the Melians feared the effect of an uninterrupted address from the Athenians, so on their part they were not to restrict themselves to a single speech, but state their objections item by item as they occurred. According to this view $\dot{\epsilon}vl \lambda\delta\gamma\varphi$ depends on the sense 'giving your answer' supplied from the following words. Classen however takes $\dot{\epsilon}vl \lambda\delta\gamma\varphi$ of the Athenians' speech, connecting it closely with $\kappa\rho\ell\nu\epsilon\tau\epsilon$, 'do not you either form your decision from (hearing) a single speech'. $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ then would contrast $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\hat{s}$, the select audience, with the $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta\sigma$ s. This view gives a good sense, but it seems more natural to refer $\dot{\epsilon}vl \lambda\delta\gamma\varphi$ to the subject of the sentence, and the dative can scarcely equal $\dot{\epsilon}\nua \lambda\delta\gamma\sigma\sigma$ actionarres.

10. ύπολαμβάνοντες-'replying'; ii. 72, 1: ch. 49, 20.

THUCYDIDES V

11. $\epsilon i \pi a \tau \epsilon$ —the only instance of a form from a rist $\epsilon i \pi a$ in Thucydides. Generally $\epsilon i \pi a s$ and $\epsilon i \pi a \tau \epsilon$ are more usual than the corresponding forms of $\epsilon i \pi o \nu$.

CHAPTER LXXXVI

The Melian representatives fear that they will have no real freedom of discussion. The verdict has already gone against them.

1. ξύνεδροι—'commissioners'; iv. 22, 1: they are afterwards called $\delta\lambda$ ίγοι άνδρες in the same chapter.

2. $i\pi\iota\epsilon(\kappa\epsilon\iota a - \epsilon')$ equitableness', and reasonable consideration; iii. 40, 3, $\dot{\eta}$ $\epsilon'\pi\iota\epsilon(\kappa\epsilon\iota a \pi\rho\deltas \tau o s \mu\epsilon') A or <math>\tau s \epsilon' \pi\iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon' o s \epsilon' \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \delta t \delta \sigma \tau a :$ iii. 48, 1, $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau'$ o' $\kappa\tau\phi$ $\pi\lambda\epsilon' o r \star \nu\epsilon' \mu \sigma \tau s \mu\dot{\eta}\tau'$ $\epsilon'\pi\iota\epsilon\kappa\epsilon i a . \epsilon' The primary sense of <math>\epsilon'\pi\iota\epsilon\kappa\kappa'_{\beta}$ is equitable, one who has a leaning to the merciful side and of an indulgent disposition, as opposed to one who takes a strict and vigorous view of an offence, puts a harsh construction on men's motives and actions, and is inclined to enforce on all occasions the letter of the law. From this, and because we think this the better disposition of the two, $\epsilon'\pi\iota\epsilon\kappa\kappa'_{\beta}$ is transferred by metaphor to the general signification of $\tau \partial \epsilon'\pi\epsilon\iota\kappa'_{\beta}$ see Ar. Rhet. i. 13, 13-9: Eth. Nic. v. 10: vi. 11, 1.

4. αὐτοῦ—'it', τοῦ διδάσκειν, etc. ἐξ αὐτοῦ below refers to the same. For this use of αὐτό and αὐτά see ch. 27, 4; and note on ἐπάθομεν αὐτό, iv. 25, 1.

7. περιγενομένοις—if we prove the better in the justice of our cause: Dem. Pant. 978, πολλ $\hat{\varphi}$ τ $\hat{\varphi}$ δικαί ψ περιείναι βουλόμενος.

CHAPTER LXXXVII

The Athenians call on them in effect to say yes or no to their proposal.

1. τοίνυν-- 'well then, if you etc.' τοίνυν occurs in Thucydides only in direct address; ch. 89, 1: 105, 1, etc. ib. $\dot{\upsilon}\pi$ ovoías—'to calculate surmises of what is going to happen'. $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\upsilon}\nu\sigma a$ here is a somewhat invidious word: in ii. 41, 4, $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \ \epsilon\rho\gamma\omega\nu \ \tau\dot{\eta}\nu \ \dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\upsilon}\nu\sigma \omega\nu$ is simply the conception of facts as opposed to the reality.

2. ἄλλο τι—πράξοντες may be mentally supplied, but really the phrase is independent of construction; cf. iii. 85, 4, ἀπόγνοια τοῦ ἄλλο τι ἢ κρατεῖν τῆς γῆς: so iii. 39, 1, τί ἄλλο ἢ ἐπεβούλευσαν: iii. 58, 5, τί ἅλλο ἢ καταλείψετε: ii. 16, οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἢ ἀπολείπων.

ib. $\epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu \pi a \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa.\tau.\lambda$ —contrasted with the invisible future. $\beta ou\lambda\epsilon \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$ —here and in ch. 111, 5, the active is appropriately used of the commissioners who 'advise' in the interests of the people at large. There seem however undoubted instances where Thucydides uses $\beta ou\lambda\epsilon \omega$ in the sense of 'taking connsel', and $\epsilon \beta \omega \delta \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma a$ of 'deciding'; and where other Attic writers would employ the middle: see note on iv. 15, 1.

 πανοίμεθ' άν—'we will (in that case) stop'. Contrast this cynical affectation of indifference with the peremptory είπατε at the end of ch. 85.

ib. ἐπὶ τοῦτο—sc. ξυνήκετε: iv. 18, 1, ἐφ' ä νῶν ἀφιγμένοι ὑμῶs aἰrούμεθα. There are abundant instances to justify the manuscript reading in iv. 3, 2, ἐπὶ τοῦτο γὰρ ξυνέπλευσε, though most editors alter it to ἐπὶ τοῦτφ.

CHAPTER LXXXVIII

The Melians agree to the discussion.

 μέν—answered by μέντοι. ξυγγνώμη—iv. 61, 4, τούς 'Αθηναίους πλεονεκτεῦν πολλή ξυγγνώμη. ἐν τῷ τοιῷδε καθεστῶτas—'in a position like ours'.

 ἐπὶ πολλά τρέπεσθαι—ch. 111, 14: i. 20, fin., ἐπὶ τὰ ἐτοῦμα τρέποιται. δοκοῦντας—'in thought': 'minus invidiosa voce sententiam exprimunt eandem quam Athenienses verbo ὑπουοῦν' (Krüger). 3. καl περι σωτηρίας— particula καί ita collocata est, ut si in altero membro και τόν λόγον...έχέτω...γιγνόμενον vel similia quaedam essent secutura' (Poppo): so iii. 67, 6, άμύνατε οῦν και τῷ νόμφ...και ἡμῶν ἀνταπόδοτε χάριν δικαίαν. ἡδε πάρεστι— 'is here present'.

CHAPTER LXXXIX

The Athenians deprecate appeals to abstract justice and the like, and call on the Melians to take a practical view of the actual facts.

1. οῦτε αὐτοί...οῦθ' ὑμᾶς—'well then, we make no pretence of fine words, and we beg you will not'. The ὀνόματα καλά are appeals to justice and the like, contrasted with τd δυνατά. Similar language is attributed to the Athenian envoy Euphemus at Camarina, vi. 83, 2, οὐ καλλιεπούμεθα, ὡς ἢ τὸν βάρβαρον μόνοι καθελόντες εἰκότως ἄρχομεν κ.τ.λ. See also the whole of the Athenian speech at Sparta before the war, i. 73—78. ὀνάματα = nomina, names of things; cf. iii. 82, 4, τὴν εἰωθυῖαν ἀξίωσιν τῶν ὀνομάτων ἀττήλλαξα.

3. ἀδικούμενοι—note the use of the present. ἐπεξερχόμεθα—'are seeking redrcss, exacting vengeance'; iii. 38, 1, ὑπαθών...ἐπεξέρχεται: vi. 38, 2, ἡμεῖς κακοί ἐπεξελθεῖν.

4. oöb² vµãs dźtovµev—ovk dźtŵ='I request you not'; ch. 26, 9: i. 136, 3, ovk dźtoй φεύγοντα τιμωρεσθαι. η öτ... η ώs—dependent on λέγοντας. Λακεδαιμονίων...ξυνεστρατεύσατε—in sentences like this the verb states a fact of which the participle gives the reason; cf. line 2: iv. 27, 2, έχοντάς τι ίσχυρὸν αὐτοὐς ἐνόμιζον οὐκέτι ἐπικηρυκεύεσθαι, 'thought they must have some strong ground to rely on as they made no more overtures'. Here we must supply with ξυνεστρατεύσατε either (1) aὐτοῖς, 'though Lacedaemonian colonists you did not serve with them against us': or (2) ἡμῶν, 'you did not join us because you were Lacedaemonian colonists'. Either rendering gives good sense. In favour of (1), it is easier to supply aὐτοῖs from Λακεδαιμονίων than to understand ἡμῶν, and we also get a sharper antithesis to the following ἡμῶs.

τὰ δυνατὰ...διαπράσσεσθαι—still dependent on ἀξιοῦμεν,
 ὑμῶs being the subject. Classen holds that the subject is now

CHAPTER LXXXVIII (B.C. 416)

not merely $i\mu\hat{a}s$ but $i\kappa d\tau\epsilon\rhoo\epsilon$, $d\xi\iota\sigma\hat{v}\mu\epsilon\nu$ being taken in a somewhat altered sense 'we think it right (for both of us) to endeavour to effect etc.' But this view weakens the force of the sentence, in which, from $\sigma\ell\theta'$ $i\mu\hat{a}s$, the Athenians are dictating to the Melians the line which they expect them to take. The middle form $\delta\iotaam\rho\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$ implies mutual arrangement.

 ἐπισταμένους πρός είδότας—'since you know as well as we do'. πρός may be taken either with διαπράσσεσθαι, or generally in the sense of 'dealing with, having to do with'.

9. $\epsilon \nu \tau \tilde{\psi} d\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon i \omega \lambda \delta \gamma \psi$ —'in the language (or reasoning) of (practical) men', as opposed to the theories of philosophers and the dreams of fanatics: cf. ch. 111, 6, $\tilde{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma i$: ch. 103, 9, $d\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon i \omega s$: i. 76, 2, $d\pi \partial \tau \sigma \hat{\upsilon} d\sigma \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon i \omega v \tau \rho \delta \pi \sigma \upsilon$: iii. 40, 1, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega s$.

ib. $d\pi \delta$ --- 'from the standpoint of'; i. 21, 2, $d\pi' a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \tau$ $\xi \rho \gamma \omega \sigma \kappa \sigma \kappa \sigma \delta \sigma i$: or perhaps 'starting from', 'backed by'; i. 91, 4, $d\pi \delta d \nu \tau i \pi d \lambda o \upsilon \pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \upsilon \hat{\eta}$ s: cf. ch. 103, 2. $d \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta s$ --- probably active = 'power to compel'; i. 99, 1, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \tau s$'s 'a $d \sigma \gamma \kappa \eta s$ ' but possibly 'cum sunt $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \ l \sigma y \ d \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ '. Questions of justice may be argued, when both parties are equal in strength, but now it is not a question of abstract justice, but of practical possibilities.

10. $\delta uva\tau a$ —what can be practically realized; 'but that is possible which the strong effect and the weak acquiesce in': or possibly $\pi p a d \sigma \sigma o v \sigma t$ may mean 'exact'. For $\pi p d \sigma \sigma o v \sigma t$ the scholiast gives $\pi p o \sigma \tau d \sigma \sigma o v \sigma t$, which Dobree and Cobet would adopt; $\pi p d \sigma \sigma o \mu e p$ however is similarly used in ch. 105, 4. The neuter plural is the object of $\xi v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma v \tau$, as in ch. 41, 12.

CHAPTER XC

The Melians urge that, even setting aside abstract considerations of justice, they may hope for fair treatment on the ground of general expediency.

1. $\oint \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta'$ —'as we think, at any rate, it is advantageous'. Some editors have $\eta \mu \epsilon \hat{s} \delta \eta$, or $\eta \mu \epsilon \hat{s} \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta$, making $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu \rho \nu$ depend on $\nu \rho \mu \hat{s} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$.

229

2. $d\nu a \gamma \kappa \eta \gamma a \rho$ —'we must needs take the ground of expediency'. $\pi a \rho a \tau \delta \delta(\kappa a \iota o \nu$ -'setting aside, passing by the question of justice'. $\delta \pi \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ -'lay down the principle', 'make expediency the basis of discussion'.

3. $\tau \delta \kappa \sigma \nu \delta \nu d\gamma a \theta \delta \nu$ – explained by what follows. It is the principle of equity, and reasonable consideration.

5. Eval τd elkóra kal Síkala—'that for him who from time to time is in danger (1) what is reasonable should be also considered just'; or (2) 'he should have all that is reasonable and just'. (1) is supported by the absence of kal from the best manuscripts; while the scholiast's explanation, $\tau a \pi \rho \sigma \eta \kappa \rho \tau \pi$ kal $\tau a \delta l kaa \nu \epsilon \mu a \sigma \theta a$, is in favour of (2), which also gives a more emphatic force to $\epsilon^{\nu} a$.

Dobree is in favour of taking $\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \kappa \delta \tau \alpha \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. as dependent, like $\tau \kappa a \ell \dot{e} r \delta s$, on $\pi \epsilon \ell \sigma a r \pi a$, 'men in danger should be allowed, if they can plead what is reasonable and just, nay something even short of strict justice, to get the benefit thereof'.

For this force of είναι cf. iii. 39, 9, ὅταν ή κατορθώσαντι έλευθέρωσις ή, ή σφαλέντι μηδὲν ἀνήκεστον παθεῖν. Krüger and Stahl would omit δίκαια.

ib. καί τι καὶ ἐντός... 'something which even falls short of the strict letter': Cic. Ep. Fam. ix. 26, 9, non modo non contra legem sed intra legem: so cis, citra; Tac. Ann. xi. 30, veniam petens quod ei cis Plautios cis Vettios dissimulavisset, 'begging pardon for having hidden what he knew, so long as matters did not go beyond a Plautius and a Vettius': ib. xii. 22, ira Agrippinae citra ultima stetit, 'stopped short of extremities'.

ib. τοῦ ἀκριβοῦς--'strict justice'; iv. 47, 1, ώστε ἀκριβῆ τὴν πρόφασιν γενέσθαι.

6. πείσαντα—nearly all manuscripts have πείσοντα, which some editors retain as = $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu\tau a \pi\epsilon i\sigma\epsilon w$, 'if he has the prospect of getting accepted'; 'although he may be destined to fail in making out a strict case' (Jowett). But the slight alteration to the aorist is preferable, 'quum utilitas perfecta demum persuasione effici possit' (Poppo). With πείσαντα is connected the neuter accusative $\tau\iota$ (iv. 17, 1, $\delta \tau\iota$ $a\nu$ $\pi\epsilon i \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$), and also, if Dobree's view be adopted, $\tau \iota \mu a$ as accusative of the object. Otherwise $\tau \iota \mu a$ is the subject of $\omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \eta \nu \epsilon i$. For the use of $\omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$, cf. iii. 53, 3, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa d \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i$ $\omega \phi \epsilon \lambda c i \omega \epsilon i$ ib. πρός ὑμῶν—'for your advantage', 'in your interest';
 iv. 17, 2, τὰ πλείω ὀρῶ πρός ἡμῶν ὅντα. οὐχ ἦστον—litotes.
 The following ὅσφ is connected with the comparative.

7. $i\pi^{1}$ µsylorm ruppla— $i\pi^{1}$ seems here connected with $\sigma\phi\alpha\lambda$ ivres, to denote the conditions under which the Athenians would be defeated if such an event should take place; 'having the heaviest vengeance to look for in case you should be overthrown'. So we say 'standing to lose' so much on a future event.

Krüger and Classen connect the words with $\pi a \rho i \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a$ är $\gamma i \sigma i \sigma i \sigma \delta \epsilon_i$ taking $i \pi i$ to denote the accompanying circumstances and conditions; 'you would, by the heavy vengeance which you would incur, become an example to others'. Others render 'an example for inflicting vengeance', meaning that the Athenians are setting a precedent which may be used against them if they fall. But the idea is rather that if punishment should hereafter fall on Athens it would be so heavy as to be a warning to all other nations against lawless ambition. For $\pi a p d$ -Serypa in the sense of a warning example, which certainly seems the meaning here, cf. iii. 39, 3.

CHAPTER XCI

The Athenians set aside general considerations. They are competent to look after their own interests. Now they are come with a definite purpose; and they urge that it is better for both sides that the Melians should submit to their power.

2. $\tau\eta' \tau \tau \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta' \tau \to n$ unusual accusative. It is explained by Classen as an extension of the adverbial construction, like $\dot{a}\pi o\rho o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon s \tau a \dot{v} \tau a$. (A), 16. There however $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a = \tau a \dot{v} \tau a \tau \tau d s$. $\dot{a}\pi o \rho \dot{a} s$, and the accusative is cognate and 'internal'; which is not the case with $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta' \mu$ here. Poppo says 'compara cum $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \varepsilon v \tau i$, $\phi \rho \dot{c} \sigma \epsilon \sigma t$, case and 'internal'; which is tremere aliquid, horrere aliquid, similibus'. Elsewhere $\dot{d} \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{v} r$ is connected with the dative; as in vii. 60, 5, $\tau \dot{\rho} \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a t$ $\dot{d} \nu \mu o \hat{v} \tau a s$. Here the dative would give a wrong sense, 'we are cast down by', and would imply that the $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta'$ was a fact already reached, not merely a future possibility.

Herodotus has ἀπορέοντι τὴν ἐλασιν (iii. 4), and ἀπορέοντι τὴν ἐξαγωγήν (iv. 179). 3. ώσπερ καί—ch. 44, 10: ch. 92, 2. οὐτοι—so iv. 44, 3, τοῖs δ' ἡμίσεσι τῶν Κορινθίων...τούτοις οὐ κατάδηλος ἡ μάχη ἦν. It has been suggested to read οὕτω, as in ch. 59, 20.

4. **čori** Sé—parenthetical; où $\gamma a \rho \dots o \dot{v} \tau o i$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o l$ being answered by $d\lambda\lambda'$, $\ddot{\eta}\nu$, sc. $o\dot{v}\tau o i$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o l$ or $\tau o \ddot{v} \tau o$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o l$. Some editors put a stop before $\breve{e} \sigma \tau i$, making the opposition between $o\dot{v} \pi \rho \delta \dots$, and $d\lambda\lambda'$, $\ddot{\eta}\nu$. The sense is against this, as it would imply that the Melians were already $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa o o i$ and the Athenians $\ddot{a} \rho \xi_{a} r \epsilon s$, which was not the case. The insertion of a parenthetical clause is also thoroughly Thucydidean.

5. $\delta d\gamma \omega v =$ we are not now contending with Sparta: for a similar use of the article cf. ch. 101, 2: ch. 110, 3.

6. airol $\ell\pi\iota\theta\ell\mu\nuo\iota$ —cf. Cleon's arguments for punishing the Mytileneans, iii. 39 and 40. He especially insists on the danger arising from the unprovoked character of their insurrection; $\ell\pi\ell\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma$ $\eta\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$ oùk $d\delta\iota\kappa\circ\dot{\mu}\epsilon\nu\circ\iota$ — $\pi\rho\circ\ddot{\nu}\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\xia\nu\tau\epsilon$ s $d\delta\iota\kappa las,$ etc.

άφείσθω—'let it be left to us'. κινδυνεύεσθαι—impersonal passive, as in i. 73, 2, έπι ώφελία ἐκινδυνεύετο: so iv. 19, 1, διακινδυνεύεσθαι.

ib. $i\pi'$ $\dot{\omega}\phi\epsilon\lambda iq$ —the order is emphatic, 'that it is with a view to advantage we are here, the advantage that is of our empire', etc.

10. $d\pi \delta \nu \omega s$ —without the trouble of war. $\check{\alpha} \rho \xi \mathfrak{a} \iota$ —'to become your lords'; so $\delta \upsilon \lambda \epsilon \widetilde{\upsilon} \sigma \mathfrak{a}$ 'to submit to your yoke', in the next chapter, $\check{\upsilon} \pi a \kappa \upsilon \widetilde{\upsilon} \sigma \mathfrak{a}$; ch. 93, etc. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu \omega s$ —with $d\mu$ - $\phi \sigma \iota \widetilde{\rho} \sigma \mathfrak{s}$.

CHAPTER XCII

 χρήσιμον—note the adjective with ξυμβαίη: vi. 34, 9, χρησιμώτατον αν ξυμβήναι: so especially τοιοῦτος, i. 74; 1, τοιούτου ξύμβαντος τούτου: vii. 30 fin. etc. Here, ξυμβαίνειν may have the idea of coinciding interests.

2. ώσπερ καί-so ch. 91, 3 : cf. ch. 13, 8 note.

CHAPTER XCIII

The Athenians hint significantly enough that resistance is hopeless, and will involve terrible calamities.

2. γένοιτο — γίγνεσθαι here = contingere, licere. Krüger compares Xen. Anab. i. 9, 13, έγένετο καί Έλληνε και βαρβάρω άδεῶς πορεύεσθαι: id. Cyr. vi. 3, 11, $\mathring{\omega}$ Ζεῦ μέγιστε, λαβεῖν μοι γένοιτο αὐτόν.

CHAPTER XCIV

The Melians suggest the possibility of a strict neutrality on their part being acceptable.

1. $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon \,\delta\epsilon$ —'but on condition that...would you not accept us?' or 'would you not accept such terms?' For $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ of conditions cf. ch. 17, 14: and for $\delta\epsilon\chi o\mu\alpha ch. 32, 24$. $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon \,\delta\epsilon$ is the reading of some manuscripts but not of the majority. It is probably right, as $\delta\epsilon$ is used in a similar way in the beginning of cc. 96 and 98. If $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ only be read, it goes with $\sigma\epsilon\kappa \,\delta\nu \,\delta\epsilon\xi a\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon$, on which the infinitive construction $\phii\lambda\sigma\nus \,\epsilon\bar{\nu}r\alpha$ then depends: cf. i. 143, 2, $\sigma\delta\epsilon$ is $\omega\rho\epsilon$ $\delta\epsilon\xi auro \tau h circ<math>\delta$ $\phi\epsilon'\gamma\epsilon\nu$. The sentence is thus a timid suggestion on the part of the Melians, 'so that...you would not agree to our being neutral', or 'would you not agree?'

3. **Séfaur 9***e*—so most editors for $\delta \ell \xi \sigma \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon$, which has the best manuscript authority, and is retained by Classen. This would be a strange use of the future optative $=\sigma i \kappa \ a \nu \ \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \ \delta \epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a$; 'would you not be likely to accept us?' No similar instance is quoted; indeed the future optative with $a \nu$ is unknown. For its legitimate use see Goodwin § 128—134.

CHAPTER XCV

The Athenians reply that to accept such an offer would be a manifest proof of weakness.

1. où $\gamma a \rho =$ 'no, for' etc. $\delta \sigma ov \eta \phi \lambda \lambda a -$ in this extraordinary sentence $\phi \lambda \lambda a$ does double duty, first as the subject

of the verb and then in apposition, while $\tau \delta \ \mu \delta \sigma \sigma$ s corresponds appositionally to $\dot{\eta} \ \xi \chi \theta \rho a$, the order of $\phi \imath \lambda la$ and $\xi \chi \theta \rho a$ being reversed. 'Verba sic resolvenda sunt, $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu \ \dot{\eta} \phi \imath \lambda la$, $\dot{\eta} \ \mu \ell \nu \ \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ velas mapádeiqua obca, $\tau \delta \ \delta \ell \ \mu \delta \sigma \sigma \ldots \delta \eta \lambda \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ ' (Poppo). Possibly $\dot{\eta} \ \phi \imath \lambda la$, $\dot{\eta} \ \mu \ell \nu$ should actually be read for $\dot{\eta} \ \phi \imath \lambda la \ \mu \ell \nu$: but more likely the sentence grew up in some such way as this— (1) où $\tau \sigma \sigma o \delta \tau \sigma \nu \ \beta \lambda d \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \ \dot{\eta} \ \xi \chi \theta \rho a \ \dot{\nu} \mu \delta \nu \nu \ \dot{\eta} \ \phi \imath \lambda la$: (2) $\delta \sigma \sigma \nu \ \dot{\eta} \ \phi \imath \lambda la \ \mu \ell \nu \ldots \tau \delta \ \delta \ell \mu \rho \sigma \sigma$, 'your enmity does not injure us so much as your friendship, being on the one hand a proof of weakness, your hatred being etc.'

Cleon utters similar sentiments, e.g. iii. 39, 5, πέφυκε γὰρ καὶ ἄλλως ἄνθρωπος τὸ μὲν θεραπεῦον ὑπερφρονεῖν, τὸ δὲ μὴ ὑπεῖκον θαυμάζειν: cf. oderint dum metuant (Krüger).

CHAPTER XCVI

Are friendly relations then, ask the Melians, between you and the Greek islanders, an invariable proof of weakness? Is there no difference between strangers and your own colonists?

2. τούς τε μη προσήκοντας— 'those who have no connexion with you', and on whom you have no claim. $\delta \sigma \sigma \dots \delta i \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \delta i$... τυές—a notable instance of appositional construction, 'all who, being mostly colonists, and having revolted in some cases'; cf. iv, 62, 2, πλείους ήδη... ελπίσαντες έτεροι.

 κεχείρωνται — 'the verb does not apply strictly to αποικοι, but only to τινέs, and under the influence of αποστάντεs is used instead of a more general verb, such as αρχονται' (Jowett).

ib. is to airo ribiarium put under the same head, reckon together'; iv. 73, 2, kal airois the vikne discalus at tilesobal: see Liddell and Scott, tilonu n. ii. 3, for various phrases with els, ir, adverbs etc. In Latin we have a similar usage of traho, ibidem traho, traho ad, in; e.g. Plaut. Trin. ii. 4, 10, ibidem una traho, 'I count it under the same head'.

CHAPTER XCVII

No, reply the Athenians; our subjects are convinced that all such things are mere questions of material strength.

1. δικαιώματι—'in plea of justice', 'rationes quibus suam causam tueantur'; i. 41, 1, δικαιώματα τάδε πρός ύμῶς έχομεν. The dative is not elsewhere in Thucydides found with έλλείπευν, which takes the genitive, i. 80, 4, πολλώ έτι πλέον τούτου έλλείπομεν (where Krüger reads τούτω): ii. 61, 4, τῆς ὑπαρχούσης δόξης έλλείπει. The construction is however not unreasonable, as the dative denotes that in which the deficiency occurs; and a genitive of the person, άλλων or ὑμῶν, is virtually implied. It may also be supported by such constructions as ch. 72, 10, τῆ ἐμπειρία ἐλασωθέντες: so vi. 69, 1, τῆ προθυμία ἐλλιπεῖς. Krüger suggests reading ἐλλιπεῖς here. One manuscript has δικαιώματα, and this would go well either with the accusative or σύδετέροις.

2. κατά δύναμιν—lit. 'in accordance with'. It is a question of material strength and power, not of abstract justice. περιγ(γνεσθα.= 'escape submission'; $\ell \lambda \epsilon v \theta \ell \rho ovs \mu \ell r \epsilon u$, as explained by the scholiast.

 ξω—ch. 26, 13: i. 9, 7 etc. Thuc. and Hdt., also Xen. Anab. vii. 3, 10. καl τοῦ seems awkward; should it be τοῦ καl? Note aorist ἄρξιι, as in ch. 91, 10.

5. νησιώται ναυκρατόρων—these words are put together for the sake of antithesis, ναυκρατόρων being dependent grammatically on περιγένοισθε, 'especially as you are islanders while we are lords of the sea, weaker islanders too than others, should you not escape submission (get the better of us)'.

In vi. 82, 2, according to the manuscript reading, there is a still harsher construction, $\eta\mu\epsilon$ is "Iwres örres Hedomorryoious $\Delta\omega\mu\epsilon\epsilon$ iou... $\delta\sigma\kappa\epsilon\psi$ dµe θ a ör φ $\tau\rho\sigma\pi\psi$ $\eta\kappa\sigma\pia$ avr ω r viranovojµe θ a. There $\Delta\omega\rho\epsilon\epsilon$ ic is first put out of its place for the sake of antithesis, and then the construction changes. For the juxtaposition cf. vi. 6, 3, $\Delta\omega\rho\epsilon$ ifs $\delta^2 \Delta\omega\rho\epsilon\epsilon$ iou... $\beta\sigma\eta\theta$ rares.

CHAPTER XCVIII

The Melians now point out the probable danger to Athens of her overbearing policy.

1. $i\nu$ 5' $i\kappa\epsilon(\nu\varphi)$ —either (1) 'in the former case', i.e. in the neutrality proposed in ch. 94; or (2) like *illud*, referring to what is coming, $\delta\sigma\sigma\iota$ $\gamma\delta\rho$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. (2) seems more probable, as $\delta\epsilon$ in this dialogue generally introduces a fresh point or suggestion. The meaning affects the sense to be given to où $\nu\circ\mu(i\xiere\ dc\phidAeav$, either (1) sc. $\epsilon i\nu a$., 'do you think there is no security?', or (2) do you not take safety into consideration?'.

2. Set $\gamma \Delta \rho \dots \pi \epsilon (\theta \epsilon \iota \nu - \rho a renthetical. at <math>\kappa a t \epsilon \nu \tau a \vartheta \theta a - a s$ well as in the case suggested in ch. 90. $\epsilon \kappa \beta \iota \beta \Delta \sigma a \nu \tau e s$ -Classen reads $\epsilon \kappa \beta \iota \delta \sigma a \nu \tau e s$, and $\epsilon \kappa \beta \iota \delta \beta \sigma \nu \tau e s$ -Classen authority. $\epsilon \kappa \beta \iota \delta \beta \omega$ however is not found before Plutarch (passive Soph. Phil. 1129), while $\epsilon \kappa \beta \iota \beta \delta \beta \omega$ has better classical authority, but only in its literal sense (vii. 39, 2: Hdt. vii. 130: Xen. etc.).

3. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ imperfect $\xi \nu \mu \phi \delta \rho \psi$ —'to be subservient to your interests'; iv. 87, 2, $\tau \hat{\omega}$ imperfect $\psi \epsilon \delta \nu \phi \beta \Lambda a \pi \tau \omega \tau a$. $\tau \delta a \dot{\nu} \tau \delta$ may be appositional with $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \mu \omega \tau$, 'at the same time', like *idem*; as in iv. 17, 1, δ τi $d\nu$ $\dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$ $\dot{\omega} \phi \delta \lambda \mu \rho \sigma$ $\dot{\sigma} \tau \delta$ $a \dot{\tau} \sigma \delta$ $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega \rho \kappa$. $\xi \nu \mu \beta a \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu$ —the regular participial construction with $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \dot{a} \nu \omega$. Poppo says 'simul audi $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \mu \sigma \tau$ ', as in ch. 92. $\xi \nu \mu \beta a t \nu \epsilon \sigma$ is indeed used in the sense of turning out well, as in iii. 3, 3, $\eta^{\nu} \xi \nu \mu \beta \eta$ ' $\pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$, but $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} \kappa \xi \nu \mu \beta a \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \nu$ by itself can scarcely mean 'expedient for you'.

6. $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rhoois$ — $\mu\eta$ shows that $\delta\sigma\sigma\iota=\epsilon t$ $\tau\iota\nu\epsilons$: cf. ch. 110, 6. In ch. 30, 13, where definite persons are meant, $\delta\sigma\sigma\iota$ is followed by $\sigma\iota$. $\pi\omega s$ $\sigma\iota$ —'must you not make them your enemies?' $\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\delta\sigma\sigma d\iota$ is elsewhere passive, as twice in i. 57, 1. Sometimes forms may come either from $\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\delta\sigma\sigma d\iota$ or $\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\delta\sigma\sigma d\iota$, for instance $\pi\sigma\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma\delta\nu\tau a\iota$, iv. 20, 3.

8. $\tau \Delta \delta \epsilon$ —i.e. our case, what is doing here. Note the emphatic position of $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$: they will feel that the day will come when they too will be assailed: vi. 78, 4, $\tau \Delta \chi' \Delta r \ i \sigma \omega s \ \kappa al \ \tau o \hat{s} \epsilon \ i \omega \hat{s} \ d \gamma a \theta \hat{o} \hat{s} \ \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \ \beta o \nu \lambda \eta \delta \epsilon l\eta \ a \delta \theta o \pi \beta \sigma a$.

9. καν τούτφ—'herein', i.e. hereby: slightly different ii. 8, 3: iv. 14, 2, etc. For τί άλλο ή see note on ch. 87, 2. μεγαλύνετε—'strengthen', in numbers etc.: in vi. 23, 2, of magnifying a crime. μελλήσοντας—In ch. 30, 4, we have the aorist infinitive following the present of μελλειν: so vi. 30, 2. Many editors however adopt the correction μελλήσαντας, which is supported by the scholiast's explanation διανοηθέντας.

ἐπάγεσθε—'bring on yourselves' as enemies; vi. 10,
 πολεμίους ἐπαγαγέσθαι.

CHAPTER XCIX

The Athenians reply that they do not fear the hostility of large powers so much as the insubordinate spirit of islanders.

 dλλά-following où and the comparative; i. 83, 1, δ πόλεμος οὐχ ὅπλων τὸ πλέον ἀλλὰ δαπάνης: so ii. 43, 2 etc.

ib. τούς νησιώτας τέ που—Krüger alters που into τούς, and the article seems certainly required; unless indeed $dv d\rho \kappa \tau \sigma v s$ ωσπερ $\dot{v}\mu \hat{a}s$ (sc. $\delta v \tau \alpha s$) can be taken as a predicate.

5. Kal rovs $\eta \delta \eta$ —'and those who are already exasperated by the constraint of our empire'. The subject allies are meant; see i. 76, 77, where the Athenians point out that the rule of sovereign states must needs be galling in time of war. Classen misunderstands this passage, taking $\pi a \rho \delta v r o \mu d v r$ classen misunderstands this passage, taking $\pi a \rho \delta v r o \mu d v r$ refer to the $\delta r a \rho \kappa \tau o v$ who were 'already made desperate' by the 'inevitable danger' ($\tau \phi \, dr a \gamma \kappa a (\phi)$ of Athenian empire. With this view he proposes to leave out $\tau \epsilon$ after $r \eta \sigma u \delta \sigma r \lambda \epsilon' \delta \sigma r \epsilon' \delta \sigma r \epsilon' \delta \sigma r a remeant.$

THUCYDIDES V

6. πλείστα ἐπιτρέψαντες—Hdt. iii. 36, 1, μὴ πάντα ἡλικίῃ καὶ θυμῷ ἐπίτρεπε: in both passages the verb appears to have an active force. Sometimes the accusative is not expressed, Hom. Il. x. 79, ἐπέτρεπε γήραϊ λυγρῷ (the only instance in Homer): Plat. Legg. 802 B, ταῖς ἐπιθυμίαις.

7. ἐς προῦπτον κίνδυνον—Hdt. ix. 17, προόπτω θανάτω δώσεω: Aesch. etc. For the construction cf. ii. 100, 6, ἐς κίνδυνον καθίστασαν. Classen quotes other instances with ἐς.

CHAPTER C

The Melians now urge that tame submission would be most dishonourable.

1. $\hat{\eta}$ mov $d\rho a$ —'surely then'; i. 142, 1, $\hat{\eta}$ mov $\delta \eta$, 'much more': vi. 37, 3, $\hat{\eta}$ mov $\gamma \epsilon \delta \eta$. For $d\rho a$, stating an inference subjectively, see Liddell and Scott. Note the emphatic force of $\gamma \epsilon$ twice in this sentence.

2. μη παυθήναι—the infinitives express purpose and follow την παρακινόψνευσιν ποιούνται (Goodwin § 770). dρχής—so iii. 40, 4, παύεσθαι της άρχης. παρακινόψνευτς is only found here; the verb occurs twice in Thucydides, and is not uncommon elsewhere. The preposition gives the idea of reckless venture.

5. $\pi \hat{a} v \, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{i} v$ —'to do and suffer anything' (Jowett). Xen. Anab. iii. 1, 18, oùk dv $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \hat{a} v \, \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \partial o \iota$; ib. $\pi \dot{a} v \tau a \pi o \iota \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} o v$: Soph. O. T. 260, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \dot{a} v \tau' \dot{a} \dot{\phi} l \xi o \mu a.$ For the accusative construction, cf. i. 70, 4, a $\dot{a} v \, \dot{\epsilon} \pi v v o \dot{\eta} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s \mu \dot{\eta} \, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \partial \omega \sigma v$. $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma}$ **roû Sou Le van**—'before submitting to your yoke': or is $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma}$ used of choice, as in ch. 36, 18?

CHAPTER CI

Not so, reply the Athenians; honour does not require you to contend against hopeless odds.

1. οὐκ, ήν γε—so iii. 66 fin. ὁ ἀγών—'you are not now contending' etc.; see note on ch. 91, 4. The infinitives are explanatory of the purpose, as in ch. 100. ἀπὸ τοῦ ἴσου— opposed to πρὸς τοὺς κρείσσανας πολλῷ. αἰσχύνην ὀϕλεῖν—'to incur disgrace, forfeit honour'.

CHAPTER CII

The Melians suggest that their case is not absolutely hopeless, as the chances of warfare are uncertain.

1. τὰ τῶν πολέμων—ii. 11, 3, ἄδηλα τὰ τῶν πολέμων: see also i. 78. κοινοτέρας—'more impartial'; in which sense κοινός is applied to persons iii. 53, 2: κοινῆ (perhaps) iv. 83, 4. 'κοιναι τύχαι sunt casns, qui ntrisque possunt accidere, adeoque incerti, ancipites, inexspectati, si accidunt potentioribus quae accidere posse non putares' (Göller): Lys. ii. 10, τὰς ἐν τῷ πολέμω τύχαι κοινὰς ἀπάντων ἀνθρώπων νομίζοντες: Plut. Nic. 27, κοιναι ai τύχαι τοῦ πολέμου. So Hom. Il. xviii. 300, ξυνὸς Ένυάλιος, καί τε κτανέοντα κατέκτα: cf. Cic. Sest. 5, 12, communem martem belli metuenti, where see Holden's note.

2. έστιν ότε—'at times'; see note on έστιν \dot{y} , iv. 32, 3. τάς τύχας—the fortunes, various phases of war, on several occasions; i. 78, 1: iv. 18, 4, ώς άν al τύχαι ήγήσωται. Λαμβάνοντα—apparently 'receiving' or 'admitting of'. έχοντα might almost be substituted; but έχω would imply what is inherent and invariable, while $\lambda a \mu \beta á r \omega$ only suggests what sometimes happens. Classen cites vi. 86, 3, σταν καιρόν λάβωσιν, 'when they get an opportunity', but this is not a similar usage.

ib. η κατά—'than might be expected from', lit. 'corresponding to' etc.: i. 76, 3, δικαιότεροι η κατά την δίναμιν. εύθύς seems to belong to dνελπιστον, as έτι does to έλπίς, but the position is ambiguous.

4. μετά τοῦ δρωμένου—so μετά κινδύνων commonly: vi. 16, 3, ἐκ τοῦ δρωμένου. For this use of the participle see note on ch. 9, 14: cf. ii, 63, 1, τῆς πόλεως τῷ τιμωμένῷ βοηθεῦν.

5. dogus-with orival: some suggest oppois or oppois.

THUCYDIDES V

CHAPTER CIII

The Athenians reply by urging the great danger the Melians incur by risking their all on one vague chance.

1. κινδύνφ παραμύθιον οἶσα—the sense required is 'an encouragement to risk', rather than 'a solace (relief) to danger'. Whether this meaning can be fairly derived from the dative construction is doubtful. It scarcely corresponds to such phrases as δόσις θεοῖς Plat. Euthyph. 17 c: διακονία πόλει Rep. 493 D: for we could not say παραμυθεῖσθαι κινδύνφ, though we can say διδόναι θεοῖς. παραμύθεω is commonly found with the genitive, and Krüger here reads κινδύνου.

2. $d\pi\delta \pi\epsilon\rho\iotaou\sigma(as - \omega)$ with plenty to fall back on', not risking their all. For $d\pi\delta$ see ch. 89, 9. $\chi\rho\mu\mu\ell\nuous a\nu\tau\eta$ —lit. 'having recourse to her', or 'associating with her', hope being personified: Ar. Vesp. 1028, τ às Modoas alou $\chi\rho\eta\tau a$.

3. $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\psi\eta\dots\kappa\alpha\theta\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\nu$ —the metaphor of $\sigma\tau\eta\nu\alpha\iota$ in the last chapter is carried on; hope may 'hinder' such men, and check them in their course, but does not 'lay them prostrate.' $\kappa\alpha\theta\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\lambda\epsilon\nu$ is the gnomic acrist, which describes what generally happens because it has happened before; Goodwin § 155.

The following is from Dr Sandys' note on Dem. Lept. 471, § 49, where $\beta\lambda\dot{a}\pi\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$ is immediately followed by $\dot{a}\sigma\phia\lambda\dot{\omega}s$. $\beta\lambda\dot{a}\pi\tau\omega$ (though not from the same root as $\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\dot{a}\omega\omega$) has in old Greek the special meaning of impeding, checking, arresting (11. vi. 39: vii. 271 etc.). Hence it is combined with $\phi\gamma\gamma\epsilon\dot{\nu}$ in Soph. El. 697, $\delta\taua\nu\ \delta\epsilon'$ ris $\theta\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu\ \beta\lambda\dot{a}\pi\tau\eta\ \delta'\nu\alpha\alpha\tau'\ \dot{a}\nu\ o\dot{c}\delta'$ $\dot{a}\nu\ log\chi\dot{\omega}\nu\ \phi\nu\gamma\epsilon\dot{\nu}$: and Aj. 455. Even in prose we have what may be fairly regarded as a reminiscence, possibly a half unconscious reminiscence, of the older use of the word: as in the present passage of Thucydides; and in vii. 68, 3, $\kappa\iota\nu\delta\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$ $o\dot{\tau}\sigma\iota\ \sigma\pi\alpha\iota\dot{\omega}\tau\sigma\iota$, of $\dot{a}\nu\ \ddot{\eta}\kappa\iota\sigma\taua\ \dot{\epsilon}\kappa\ \tau o$ $\phi\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota\ \beta\lambda\dot{a}\pi\tau\sigma\nu\tau\epsilons$ $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\taua\ \delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\ \tau\dot{\sigma}\epsilon\dot{\nu}\tau\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\iota\ \dot{\omega}\phi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\omega}\sigma\nu$.

ib. $\tauo\hat{s} \delta'...dvappi\pi\tauo\hat{v}\sigma$.—'but as for those who stake their all on the cast', lit. throw the die, run the risk, so as to extend to their all: iv. 92, 4, is $\pi\hat{a}\sigma av$ is $\delta\rho\sigma\sigma$ $\pia\gamma\eta\sigma\epsilon rat$, 'one limit will be fixed, extending to and including all our land'. With $d\nu appi\pi\tau\sigma\hat{v}\sigma$ is to be understood $\kappa(v\delta vrov:$ iv. 85, 2: iv. 95, 1. Classen reads $d\nu appi\pi\tau\sigma\hat{v}\sigma a$ agreeing with $\ell\lambda\pi i$ s, but the alteration does not seem to improve the grammar or the sense. $d\nu appi\pi\tau\epsilon\hat{v}$ is used of the person who incurs the risk, not of hope which causes it. 4. $\delta \dot{\alpha} \pi a \nu os$ —sc. $i \lambda \pi i s i \sigma \tau \nu$: according to Lid. and Scott, a rare form of the adjective, which is usually $\delta a \pi a \nu \eta \rho \dot{s}$. $\ddot{\alpha} \mu a$ $\tau \epsilon$ —'she is found out when they are ruined' (lit. tripped up and brought to the ground), not before. $\ddot{\alpha} \mu a$ goes with the participle, which is in the genitive absolute, sc. $a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

5. καl...o'κ έλλείπει—most editors take έλλείπει transitively, 'she leaves no room for one to guard against her afterwards when she is found out', i.e. her delusive character is not found out till recovery is hopeless. In support of this view may be cited Soph. El. 736, έλλελειμμένον 'left in': Eur. El. 609, οὐδ' έλλελοιπας έλπίδ', 'nor have you left ground for hope'. So προσέχω is occasionally found in the sense 'to have besides', as in Plat. Rep. 521 p.

Poppo however takes $\ell\lambda\lambda\epsilon(\pi\epsilon\iota)$ here in its usual sense of failing, and $\ell\nu$ $\delta\tau\psi$ to mean 'whilst', as $\ell\xi$ $\delta\tau\sigma\nu$ is used of time by Xenophon. This seems the simpler view; and the sense is excellent; that men continue to hope till their condition is desperate. On the other hand the future $\phi\nu\lambdad\xi\epsilon\tau$ at seems more appropriate to a dependent relative construction.

 6. ő—ch. 107, 3: 109, 3. ἐπὶ ῥοπῆς μιῶς—Eur. Hip. 1164, δέδορκε φῶς ἐπὶ σμικρῶς ῥοπῆς: Soph. Trach. 82, ἐν ῥοπῦ τοιῷδε κειμένφ. The metaphorical use of ῥοπή, 'a turn of the scale', is frequent both in prose and verse.

7. μη βούλεσθε παθεΐν—'do not let this be your case': Plat. Phaedr. 236c, μη βούλου: so noli, nolite. The whole phrase is equivalent to 'do not you act thus unadvisedly': see note on παθεΐν, iv. 17. 4.

8. ols mapóv—the usual form, not ol, mapóv (ačroîs): so in Latin, cui cum nuntiatum esset, Romam advolavit. For dy- $\theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon i \omega see$ note on ch. 80, 9. καθίστανται—'betake themselves'= καταφείγουσι (schol.).

12. μετ' ἐλπίδων-'combined with hopes', i.e. by encouraging vague hopes. λυμαίνεται-'ruin men,' 'are their bane.'

THUCYDIDES V

CHAPTER CIV

The Melians urge that their hopes are not absolutely unreasonable. Heaven will defend their righteous cause; and Sparta will aid them as allies and kinsmen.

3. et $\mu\eta$... $\xi\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$ -sc. $\dot{\eta}\tau\dot{\nu}\chi\eta$: 'if it shall not be impartial'. According to some $\dot{a}\gamma\omega\nu\iota\xi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ is to be supplied, 'if we shall not be able to contend'. $\tau\hat{\eta}\ \mu\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\nu}\chi\eta$ -cf. ch. 112, 8, which suggests that the dative is governed by $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\iota}\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$, the following infinitive being explanatory: otherwise we should naturally take it with $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda a\sigma\sigma\dot{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, as in ch. 72, 10, which would make the general construction of the sentence more regular.

5. ὅσιοι—only here of persons; 'righteous', observant of τδ ὅσιον (iii. 84, 2). ἱστάμεθα—'we take our stand'; i. 53, 2, ἡμῶν ἐμποδῶν ἴστασθε ὅπλα ἀνταιρόμενοι. Here ĭστασθαι πρόs denotes opposition. Poppo points out that it generally has a different meaning, as in iv. 56, 4, πρός τὴν ἐκείνων γνώμην ἔστασα.

6. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \ \ell \lambda \lambda \epsilon (\pi \sigma \nu \tau \iota)$ -dependent on $\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, as is $\eta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ though less directly, and with an ethical force. In illustration of the double dative, Krüger cites Eur. *Hel.* 1248, $\tau l \ \sigma o \iota$ $\pi a \rho \delta \sigma \chi \omega \ \delta \eta \tau a \ \tau \hat{\varphi} \ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \delta \tau \iota$; what service am I to do for you to the dead?

9. $ai\sigma\chi\dot{\nu}\eta = \delta i a \tau \delta a l \sigma \chi \rho \delta \nu$, ch. 105, 14: because they were bound in honour, as we should say.

CHAPTER CV

The Athenians reply that they are doing nothing to forfeit the favour of heaven; and the Melians cannot trust for help the Spartan sense of honour.

1. $\pi\rho\delta \tau\delta$ $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}ov$ —Krüger suggests $\tau o\hat{\upsilon} \ \theta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}ov$ and Meineke $\pi\epsilon\rho l \ \tau\delta \ \theta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}ov$. Classen however seems to give the right explanation. The Athenians are speaking not only of the favour they would receive from heaven, but of the general terms on which they stood with the divinity. Their relations with the gods were as good as any one's, for there was nothing unusual in their conduct. Relationship generally is expressed

by πρόs, see note on iv. 80, 2: cf. iv. 51, ποιησάμενοι πρόs 'Aθηνείους πίστεις, etc. = 'having secured pledges from the Athenians': Dem. de Cor. 237 § 36, τὴν ἀπέχθειαν τὴν πρόs Θηβαίοις γενέσθαι τῆ πόλει.

 οὐδ' ήμεῖs—any more than you; an answer to ὅτι ὅσιοι πρὸς οὐ δικαίους Ιστάμεθα, ch. 104, 5.

3. $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\epsilon(as$ —this adjective belongs to both nouns, 'there is nothing in our elaims or in our conduct beyond what men hold in regard to the divinity and will as regards themselves': cf. ii. 44, 2, of $d\nu$ $\tau\eta\epsilon$ $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\rho\pi\epsilon\sigma\tau\dot{a}\tau\eta\epsilon$ $\lambda\dot{\alpha}\chi\omega\sigma\epsilon...\delta\dot{\alpha}\epsilon$ $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\tau\dot{\eta}s$, $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}s$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\lambda\dot{\nu}\pi\etas$. $\nu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega\sigma_s$, which is only found here in Thucydides, is explained by $\dot{\eta}\gamma\phi\dot{\mu}\epsilon\thetaa$ $\delta\dot{\delta}\xi\eta$ in the next sentence, and therefore denotes current belief and accepted opinion. Classen however follows the scholiast in understanding it of the observances of religion, $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\nu\epsilon\tau\rho\mu\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}a$, $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\epsilon\dot{\ell}\theta\iota\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}a$. **Bo** $\dot{\ell}\lambda\eta\sigma\tau s$ —'will and purpose', tending to policy and action, $\dot{\eta}$ $\epsilon\dot{s}$ $d\pi\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\sigma\nus$ $\pi\rho\sigma a\ell\rho\epsilon\sigma\nus$, as the scholiast explains.

5. $\eta\gamma o \dot{\mu} e \theta a \delta \dot{\xi} \eta \dots \sigma a \phi \hat{a}_s - \dot{ }$ 'we hold in point of opinion... as an obvious fact'. Sta $\pi a \nu \tau \dot{o}_s - a$ lways, continually; i. 38, 1, etc. $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon_s - cf.$ Hdt. vii. 8, $\delta \nu \dot{\tau}^* a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{o}_s \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \rho \mu a.$ All this is an echo of the Athenian speech at Sparta in 432; see i. 76. Note the prominence of the participial clauses in this sentence.

10. eibóres kal $i\mu as a\nu...a\nu - a\nu$ is repeated 'in a long sentence, to make the conditional force felt through the whole, especially when the connexion is broken by intermediate clauses. It may also be done in order to emphasize particular words with which it is joined, and to make them prominent, as being affected by the contingency' (Goodwin, § 223).

11. $\eta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ —i.e. the same that we have: so with genitive, as in iv. 92, 4, έπικινδυνότερον έτέρων την παροίκησιν τωνδε έχομεν, 'than that of others'.

ib. aὐτό—'it' or 'this'; cf. ch. 27, 4. Classen follows Stahl in reading ταὐτό.

13. $i\lambda a \sigma \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma a$.—this is a singular instance of the future infinitive with a verb of fearing. Here the construction

with $\mu\eta'$ might be expected; but probably the infinitive has to some extent the nature of an object = 'we regard without fear the prospect of coming off the worse'. Such instances as i. 136, 1, $\delta\epsilon\delta i\epsilon'\nu a\epsilon' \epsilon\chi a\nu' a\dot{\nu}\tau \dot{\nu}\nu$, 'to be afraid of keeping him', iv. 110, 3, $\kappa a\tau \epsilon'\delta\epsilon i\sigma a\nu' \dot{\epsilon}\epsilon \lambda \delta' \epsilon'\nu$, 'were afraid to enter', are different. There the infinitive denotes the direct object of the verb; and $\mu\dot{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \nu$, for instance, could not be substituted. See Goodwin, § 372 sq. As a general principle the future infinitive is a favourite Thucydidean usage when the intention is 'to make the reference to the future especially prominent'; Goodwin, § 113.

ib. τη̂ς...δόξης—dependent on both τὸ ἀπειρόκακον and τὸ ἀφρον: the subjective genitive ὑμῶν also belongs to both.

14. $\eta \nu \dots \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\iota} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ —the conjecture $\frac{1}{2}$ is tempting, but the cognate construction is scarcely harsher than $\beta o \lambda \eta \sigma \nu \epsilon \lambda \pi l f \epsilon \iota$, vi. 78, 2: cf. \tilde{a} ch. 9, 18. $\mu a \kappa a \rho (\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s \dots \cdot \cdot \omega r \epsilon f elicitate you on your guilelessness but do not envy your folly'.$

18. πλείστα—i. 3, 4, πλείω χρώμενοι: ii. 11, 5, λογισμ $\hat{\omega}$ έλάχιστα χρώμενοι. πρός δε τους άλλους—dependent on προσφέρονται, and placed first to emphasize the antithesis.

19. πολλά άν—Poppo compares Dem. Olynth. iii. 36, τὰ μὲν ἄλλα σιωπῶ, πόλλ' ἀν ἔχων εἰπεῖν: de Chers. πάντα τἄλλ' εἰπῶν ἂν ἦδέως. ξυνελών—iii. 40, 4, ἐν δὲ ξυνελῶν λέγω, etc. ῶν ἴσμεν—i. 18, 1, ἐπὶ πλεῖστον ῶν Γσμεν χρόνον.

22. $\pi\rho\delta$ s—'in favour of'; ch. 90, 6. $d\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma\sigma$ —which you cannot reasonably expect; cf. Dem. Aristocr. 672 § 158, $\tau\nu\gamma$ - $\chi\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\iota$ $\tau\alpha\dot{\iota}\tau\eta$: $\tau\dot{\eta}$: $d\lambda\delta\gamma\sigma\sigma$ kal $d\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\sigma\dot{\eta}\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\sigma\sigma\tau\eta\rho$ las. This clause answers the end of the last chapter. $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau\sigma\iota\dot{\kappa}\tau\eta$ $\delta\iota\dot{\kappa}\sigma\sigma$ a—such principles as these.

CHAPTER CVI

But, say the Melians, their own interest will make the Spartans help us.

 κατ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο—'in accordance with this very thing', or 'on this very point', that is the Lacedaemonians' regard to their interests: Soph. Phil. 438, $\kappa a \tau' a \dot{\sigma} \tau \delta \tau \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \gamma \epsilon \dot{a} \kappa a \dot{\xi} \delta v \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \rho \mu a ... \eta \delta \eta$ —apparently 'now that we are come to this point': Krüger suggests $\delta \dot{\eta}$. Kal µaliota—ch. 73, 21.

2. $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ $\xi \nu\mu\dot{\varphi}\epsilon\rho\nu\tau\iota a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ —probably dependent on $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma$, $\mu\epsilon\nu$, as a similar construction occurs in 104, 4: 111, 6: 112, 8. It may however be taken with the following words, as dative of the cause, like $\tau\hat{\varphi} \epsilon\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\theta\epsilon\rho\varphi$, ch. 99, 2. $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ has been proposed, but Classen justly points out that $\tau\hat{\varphi} a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu \ \xi \nu\mu\phi\epsilon\rho\sigma\tau\iota$ would be required; see note on ch. 71, 3. Why not connect $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ with $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\dot{\iota}\kappa\sigma\nus \ \delta\nu\tau\sigma s$? It would give a forcible sense.

καταστῆναι—to make themselves, put themselves in a certain position. It implies something more permanent than γενέσθα.

CHAPTER CVII

The interests of the Spartans will not lead them into danger.

1. **očkovv očerše**—'you do not then think', i.e. you seem to forget; so most editors; Classen makes the sentence interrogative, 'do you not think?' i.e. of course you do. **perd kw**-**Šúvov Špārša**—simply 'involve danger (in doing)'. Poppo takes of $e \sigma \theta e$ as $= ote \sigma \theta e \delta e \tilde{v}$, a force which some give to $vo\mu |\xi_{ew}$ iv. 86, 4: iv. 117, 1. In those passages however no such sense is required, and here it is entirely out of place. For $\delta \rho \tilde{a} \sigma \theta a$. Jowett would retain this, supplying $\tau_{u} a$ as subject.

CHAPTER CVIII

Their interests, say the Melians, will surely impel them to stand by their own colony.

καί—emphasizes κινδύγους. The following τε is suspicious. Classen, comparing ch. 82, 16, considers that it connects the two infinitive clauses.

G. T.

2. ἐγχειρίσασθαι---- 'undertake'; the middle is not found elsewhere before Dio Cassius.

3. $\beta\epsilon\betaa\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\rhoous$ —'will consider such risks less hazardous than (if undertaken) in the case of others'. $\beta\epsilon\betaauos$ seems a strange word to apply to $\kappa\ell\nu\delta\nu\nu\sigmas$: cf. however iii. 39, 6, $\tau\delta\nu$ $\mu\epsilon\tau\lambda$ $\tau\omega\nu$ $\delta\lambda\ell\gamma\omega\nu$ $\kappa\ell\nu\delta\nu\nu\sigma\nu$ $\dot{\gamma}\gamma\eta\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mue\nuoi$ $\beta\epsilon\betaau\delta\tau\epsilon\rhoo\nu$. So we say 'a safe speculation'. is used by Thucydides in the most general way to denote relation of any kind. Krüger and Poppo suggest leaving out is, referring $\beta\epsilon\betaauo\tau\epsilon\rhoous$ to $\dot{\gamma}\mu\hat{a}s$, but the parallel passage supports the text.

4. $\tau d \xi_{\rho\gamma\alpha}$ —the operations which war would involve. $\tau \eta s$ $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta s \tau \omega \xi_{\nu\gamma\gamma} evet$ —lit. 'from kinship of views'; being of the same stock they had the same interests and policy. $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ is used of political views, iv. 56 fin. $\pi \rho \delta r \eta \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon i \omega w \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ $\epsilon \sigma r a \sigma a \nu$, etc. The expression is awkward, and it is tempting to take $\tau \omega \xi \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon$ separately; in which case we must read $\tau \eta \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ or $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$, for, though certus animi is good Latin, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta s$ is unknown in Greek.

CHAPTER CIX

Not so, when they have no prospect of support in the event of war.

 τὸ ἐχυρόν— 'security'; predicate with the article: ii. 43,
 τὸ ἐὕδαιμον τὸ ἐλεύθερον τὸ δὲ ἐλεύθερον τὸ ͼὕψυχον κρίναντες: Plat. Gorg. 498, τὰ ἀγαθὰ φῆς εἶναι τὰς ἡδονὰς κακὰ δὲ τὰς ἀνίας (Krüger's Grammar, § 50, 4, 14).

2. άλλ ήν—so ch. 91, 5. των ξργων δυνάμει—i. 25, 4, χρημάτων δυνάμει: i. 82, 2, ναυτικού ή χρημάτων δύναμιν. Here the genitive= $\tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho \delta s$ τὰ ξργα.

3. 5—ch. 103, 6. καl πλείον τ_1 —καl emphatic, as in ch. 73, 21. πλέον and πλείον are often used for μάλλον, το πλέον is more common. τ_1 has an intensive force, as in μέρος τ_1 , etc.: so vii. 21, 4, πλέον τ_1 περιεσομένους.

 και μετά ξυμμάχων—lit. '(not alone but) also with' etc. So in the speech of Pericles, ii. 39, 2, Λακεδαιμόνιοι...μετὰ πάντων ἐς τὴν γῆν ἡμῶν στρατεύουσι. εἰκὸς...περαιωθήναι—i. 81 fin. εἰκὸς δουλεῦσαι: where Krüger collects the instances of this construction, and observes that the future infinitive is not found with εἰκός in Thucydides.

CHAPTER CX

But they may use the help of naval allies, and may themselves attack the Athenian dependencies.

1. άλλους—e.g. the Corinthians, their chief naval allies, ii. 9, 2. πολύ δέ—vii. 13, 3, πολλή δὲ ἡ Σικελία: Plat. Phaed. 78 Α, πολλή ἡ Έλλας. The 'Cretan Sea' (iv. 53 fin.) is the sea R. and s.E. of Laconia. δι' οῦ—i. 2, 1, οῦτε κατὰ γῆν οῦτε διὰ θαλάστης.

2. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \kappa \rho a \tau o' v \tau \omega v \dots \eta' \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho (a - cf. the use of the article$ ch. 91, 4. The lords of the sea will find it harder to catch their $foes than the foes to effect their escape. For the form <math>d m o - \rho \omega \tau e \rho os$ (iii. 89, 4, $\beta \iota a \iota \delta \tau e \rho v \tau \tau h v \ell \pi \ell \lambda v \sigma u \tau$: iii. 101, 2, $\delta v \sigma e - \beta o \lambda \delta \tau a \tau os \eta' \Lambda o \kappa \rho ls$. Krüger says that these are the only instances he knows in Attic prose; $e^{i \sigma \kappa \pi a \sigma \tau \delta \tau a \sigma \sigma}$, ch. 71, 9, being neuter.

6. **Sorves** $\mu\eta$ —indefinite, such as came under this description, ch. 98, 6; so in the next line. $\ell\pi\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$ —'visited', not 'attacked': iv. 85, 1, $\epsilon\ell$ $\delta\ell\chi\rho\delta\nu\psi$ $\ell\pi\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ ls $\mu\mu\phi\theta\eta$, in Brasidas' speech at Acanthus: ib. § 3, $\epsilon\pi\ell$ over $\pi\rho\omega\tau\sigma\nu$ $\eta\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$ $\psi\mu\alpha$.

S. ξυμμαχίδος τε καὶ γῆς—these words are found in all the manuscripts, and it is therefore improbable that they are merely interpolated. They seem rather added by the historian as a further explanation of oketor έρας. ξυμμαχίς occurs in ch. 36, 1.

CHAPTER CXI

The Athenians reply that such threats will not deter them. They urge on the Melians the immediate necessity of taking a practical view of their interests. They have no real grounds to hope for escape; and their only safe course is to accept the reasonable terms which Athens offers. Stahl and Classen read γένοιτο ήμῖν, καὶ ὑμῖν, 'if such a thing (as invasion) occurred it would be one of which we have experience, and you too may not be unaware etc.'

2. $ot\delta$ $d\pi \delta$ $\mu u \delta s$ —emphatic; it is the only instance of $ot\delta \epsilon is$ or $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon is$ separated in Thucydides. In i. 105, and iii. 26, we find sieges continued by the Athenians in spite of counter-demonstrations.

4. ἐνθυμούμεθα—according to Classen, 'we observe with regret'; the word at any rate always implies serious consideration. ϕ ήσαντες—'after you professed'; cf. φάσκοντες ch. 42, 21.

6. ἄνθρωποι—see ch. 89, 9. νομίσειαν—Classen reads this instead of νομίσαιεν as the proper Thucydidean form; so iii. 49, 2, φθάσειαν for φθάσαιεν.

7. ὑμῶν...μέλλεται—'your strongest grounds are hopes deferred' (Jowett). μέλλεται, lit. 'are a future matter', the passive as it were of μέλλετε ταῦτα cognate. The passive of μέλλω occurs twice besides; Dem. Phil. i. 50, § 37, ἐν ὅσψ ταῦτα μέλλεται, 'are going to be done': Xen. Anab. iii. 1, 47, ὡς μὴ μέλλοιτο ἀλλὰ περαίνοιτο τὰ δέοντα. Krüger cites also Soph. O. T. 1628, πάλαι τάπὸ σοῦ βραδύνεται.

8. βραχέα...περιγίγνεσθαι—πρόs is closely connected with βραχέα, 'slight in comparison with'; ii. 35, 2, ένδεεστέρως πρός α βούλεται. For the following infinitive cf. i. 50, 5, νηες δλίγαι άμψεων: i. 61, 2, ταπευὴ ή διάνοια έγκαρτερεῖν. Such explanatory infinitives are particularly joined with adjectives which imply ability or the opposite (Goodwin, § 758). They have in effect a sort of comparative force=βραχύτερα ή ώστε. 9. $\pi o\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$ $\tau\epsilon$ —'and so', summing up the argument. $\mu\epsilon\tau a\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nuoi-$ after bidding us withdraw', in order to reconsider the matter among yourselves: i. 79, 1, $\mu\epsilon\tau a\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nuoi$ $\pi\dot{\omega}\tau\alpha s$ (300 $\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}or\tau o$ $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma\dot{\phi}\alpha\dot{s}$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\dot{s}$. $\mathbf{\xi}\tau$.—'as even now you may' (Jowett). The word affects the whole clause, but its position gives especial emphasis to the participle, deprecating an immediate decision. $\delta\mu\omegas$, $\ddot{\alpha}\mu\alpha$ and the like are similarly used.

12. où yàp ốỷ —introducing the final exhortation; cf. i. 122 (fin.), où yàp ốỷ $\epsilon \pi i$ τὴν πλείστους δỳ βλάψασαν καταφρίνησων κεχωψήκατε: in both sentences the stress falls on the nonn, which is the last word but one. aloxpois—'dangers which involve shame', i.e. which threaten rational honour, and which it would be shameful to decline. This is the accepted explanation, but it is not quite satisfactory, as, though the various phrases of 'shame' and 'shameful' naturally follow the emphatic aloxivar, they have no particular force before it. εσχάτους, άκρους, ίσχυρος are suggested emendations.

14. πολλοîs γάρ-'for many have found' etc. ; the Thucydidean initial dative. In construction πollois and noon- $\theta \epsilon i \sigma \iota$ are usually taken as dependent on $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \pi a \sigma a \tau \sigma$, and έκόντας περιπεσείν as the direct object of έπεσπάσατο. But έπισπάσασθαι more naturally means 'drawing on a person to' a course of action, than 'bringing a thing on a person'. It may be then that the grammatical object of the verb is to be found in $\pi o \lambda \lambda o is$, the construction being changed, and the literal meaning being 'in the case of many ... it drew them on to incur'. The middle execution is decidedly in favour of this view; and it is adopted by Donaldson, who says 'this use of the dative may be extended to cases where the construction would have admitted of the accusative'. He translates 'in the case of many still foreseeing the tendency of their actions, that which is called dishonour has been an inducement to involve themselves in irremediable disasters'. The aorist is 'gnomic' and general like καθείλε, ch. 103, 3.

15. is ola $\phi(\rho_0 \gamma \tau a_1 \cdots \gamma what they are rushing on' or tending$ $towards; <math>\phi(\rho_0 \sigma \sigma a_1)$ like ferri, being the regular word for moving; see note on iv. 34, 3, $i\pi \delta$ $\tau o_{\xi} ev_{\mu} \delta \tau a_{\tau} \psi$, $i\pi \sigma \sigma a_{\xi} \cdots \sigma a_{\xi} + iv. 88, 1, \delta d \tau \delta i \pi a_{\tau} w \gamma \delta i i \pi \delta \tau \sigma_{\xi} v \tau \delta F B pacibar. Income$ on the ordinary view of the clause, is 'brought on them' and $there seems then some ground for the conjecture <math>i\pi i \sigma \sigma a \sigma \sigma \delta$. In Hdt. iii. 42, $i\nu a i \pi i \sigma \pi a \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma$, is 'to win gain for

THUCYDIDES V

themselves', and here it may be said that the meaning is 'many have found...that it has won for them' etc., i.e. that this is all they gain by it'. In the other passages of Thucydides where the middle is found it has its proper force, iii. 44, 4: iv. 9, 2. We find the passive, iii. 89, 5: iv. 130, 4.

17. ήσσηθείσι τοῦ ῥήματος— 'esse ipsum turpitudinis vocabulum docet articulus' (Poppo). For gen. cf. iv. 37, 1, εί πως ήσσηθείεν τοῦ δεωοῦ. Note the antithesis between δνόματος and ῥήματος and the following $\epsilon_{PY}\omega$. καl alσχύνην lit. 'and incur too a shame which is more shameful as involving folly than from fortune', i.e. than if it had been due to fortune. μετά—like μετὰ κυδύνων etc.

ib. δ ύμεις-ch. 103, 6. τής μεγίστης-for this emphatic order cf. ch. 18, 57; ii. 61, 4, ξυμφοραίς ταίς μεγίσταις: iv. 10, 1, κινδύνου τοῦ ταχίστου. ξυμμάχους γενέσθαι-explanatory infinitive, defining the terms proposed.

23. $imore \lambda \epsilon i s$ —sc. $\phi \delta \rho ov$, as is expressed in i. 19, 1, and i. 80, 3. This was the condition of the great majority of the Athenian so-called allies. See i. 96—99, and the words of Euphemus, vi. 85.

ib. **kal...φιλονεικήσαι**—*kal* answers to $\tau\epsilon$; the whole clause therefore depends on our appends promediate. cognate, with φιλονεικήσαι, 'obstinately to choose the worse': Dem. Lept. 501, §144, μηδεν φιλονείκει. So we have προθυμείασαι, σπεύδειν τι etc. In Plat. Protag. 360 E, we have φιλονεικείν τό έμε είναι τον αποκρινόμενον, 'to stickle for the answer coming from me' (Wayte): but πρόs is inserted in the same phrase, Rep. 338 A, in accordance with the usual construction. Phileb. 14 p. has πρόs (wrongly cited by Liddell and Scott). The form of the word, which according to Cobet and others should be rikis discussed in Liddell and Scott under $\phii\lambda \delta reiros$.

26. καλώς προσφέρονται—'behave with propriety', i.e. with due deference.

28. καl μεταστάντων ήμῶν--étiam semotis nobis, non solum praesentibus' (Poppo). The Athenians deprecate a rash and hasty decision, as in line 10: so infr. πολλάκις.

30. $\eta \nu \mu \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi \epsilon \rho \mu$ —Poppo calls this 'locus desperatus'; and there is in fact no satisfactory explanation or correction as yet proposed. The general sense is plain.' The Melians are exhorted to reflect that they are deliberating concerning the only country they have, and that its fate will be determined by one single decision.

There is very little difference in the manuscript readings. For $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$ one manuscript has $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$, and another $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$. One omits $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu} \mu ias \pi \epsilon \rho$. For force are found fore and foreare. Thus the first and last words are those which are most open to doubt. Possibly both these words are interpolations. Assuming that $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$ found its way into the text from some error, it would be necessary to supply a verb to govern it, and this would account for the variation in the final word. Omitting $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu}$ and force we get a sentence which can be construed, 'reflect that you are deliberating about your country, your one only country, and this in one deliberation, as it may turn out well or ill'. Further discussion of the passage may be found in the Appendix.

ib. is $\mu(av \beta ov\lambda \eta v \dots in'$ or 'at one deliberation'; au idea of 'coming to' or 'looking to' being implied. See Liddell and Scott, eis ii. 2, for such phrases as is kaupor interface (Hdt. iv. 139), which have some resemblance to the present instance: ef. Thuc. vi. 16, 6, $\Lambda ake \delta a \mu ov love is \mu(av \eta \mu e par karte \sigma n \eta \sigma a \dots a)$ aywolaas da.

31. $\tau v \chi o \hat{v} \sigma \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \kappa a l \mu \eta \kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \sigma a \nu - \epsilon^{-1} a c c ording to its$ $success or failure', i.e. according as you decide well or ill. <math>\tau \epsilon$ and $\kappa a \epsilon$ are here disjunctive as noted on ch. 15, 6 : cf. ii. 35, 2, $\epsilon \vartheta$ $\tau \epsilon$ $\kappa a l \chi \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho \sigma \epsilon i \pi \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$. $\tau v \chi o \hat{v} \sigma a$ is opposed to $\mu \eta$ $\kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \sigma a \tau$, as in iii. 39, 7, $\tau v \chi \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ to $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$, $\kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \sigma \tau \tau$. occurring just before in antithesis to $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$. The active form is regularly nsed of persons, as an object ($\pi \epsilon \hat{\rho} a \sigma, \beta \delta \phi'$. $\kappa e \mu a$ etc.) is implied, $\kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \delta v$ meaning literally to bring one's design to a successful issue. Here, taking the reading of the text, the active must go with $\beta ov \lambda \eta \nu$. I rather doubt if this can be right: we should expect the passive, as in iv. 76, 4, el κατορθοῖτο ή πεῖρα.

CHAPTER CXII

The Melians finally refuse to submit, or to concede anything more than a pledge of neutrality.

 μετεχώρησαν—'withdrew'; ii. 72, 5. ἐκ τῶν λόγων— 'from the conference'; so ch. 113, 2: iv. 58, 1, ἐς λόγους κατέστησαν ἀλλήλοις: iv. 73 fin. ἐς λόγους ἕρχονται. κατὰ σφῶς αὐτούς—i. 79, 2, μεταστησάμενοι πάντας ἐβουλεύοντο κατὰ σφῶς αὐτούς: iii. 78, 1, etc.

3. παραπλήσια καί—vii. 71, 7, παραπλήσια καί έδρασαν: so καί follows ίσοs and other words of sameness or likeness; cf. similis atque, ac, etc. $dv\pi \epsilon k \epsilon \gamma ov$ —imperfect, referring to the time of the conference; lit. 'what they had been objecting'.

6. $\epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \kappa \delta \sigma \iota a \ t \tau \eta$ —a rough statement, counting from the supposed time of the establishment of Dorian supremacy in Peloponnesus, the legendary 'return of the Heracleidae'. Melos is said to have been founded some little time later; Grote, Pt. i. ch. 18.

8. $i\kappa \tau o\hat{v} \theta \epsilon i ov$ —constructed with $\sigma \omega_{i} ov \sigma v_{j}$, and placed immediately after $\tau i \chi v_{j}$ to define its meaning. See ch. 104, 4:105, 1. In this sentence there is perhaps the same difference between $i\xi$ and $i\pi \delta$, denoting respectively motion from within and motion from the surface, which is noted by Donaldson on iv. 126, 3.

9. καl Λακεδαιμονίων—καl either = atque, 'and especially'; or more probably it gives a definition 'even the Lacedaemonians'. This defining or correcting sense of $\kappa al. =$ 'in fact, that is to say', is noticed on ch. 20, 3: iv. 33, 1. Jowett says, 'the desire to oppose the single idea $\dot{a}\pi \partial \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \dot{a}\nu \theta\rho \dot{\omega}\pi \omega\nu$ to $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \sigma \hat{\upsilon} \theta \epsilon low$ has led to a formal distinction between $\dot{a}\nu \theta\rho \dot{\omega}-\pi \omega\nu$ and $\Lambda acc \delta a \mu o \nu \ell \omega\nu$, 'from men, and in particular from Lacedaemonians'. $\tau \mu \omega \rho \ell a$ —'help'; so three times in i. 25: i, 38, 3 etc. 11. προκαλούμεθα δὲ ὑμάς—προκαλεῖσθαι with the accusative and infinitive is to invite another to do something. Here the regular construction is interrupted by the insertion of a nominative clause, referring to the subject of the sentence, the whole, as Classen says, being equivalent to προκαλούμεθα ὑμᾶς, ἡμῶν φίλων ὅντων κ.τ.λ., ἀναχωρῆσαι. Krüger cites two somewhat similar sentences in which the last clause refers to the subject; i. 26, 3, προείπον τοὺς ξένοις ἀπιέναι, el δὲ μἡ, ὡς πολεμίος χρήσεσθαι: Hdt. ii. 115, αὐτὸν δέ σε καl τοἰς σοὺς συμπλόους προαγορεύω μετορμίζεσθαι, el δὲ μἡ, ἅτε πολεμίους περιάψεσθα.

 ἐπιτήδειοι —elsewhere ἐπιτήδειος has three terminations, though a few manuscripts have ἐπιτηδείους in ch. 21, 9.

CHAPTER CXIII

The Athenians accordingly break up the conference with significant threats.

2. διαλυόμενοι ἐκ—vi. 41 fin. διελύθησαν ἐκ τοῦ ξυλλόγον: Hdt. iii. 73, διαλύεσθαι ἐκ τοῦ συλλόγου. ἀλλ' οὖν—'well then', 'well certainly': 'sunt particulae contra dicendi cum asseveratione, at projecto' (Poppo). So Plat. Protag. 310 A, ἀλλ' οὖν ἀκοίετε, 'well then, hear'. ἀλλ' οὖν (with γε commonly following) more usually means 'but, or yet, at any rate', as in Plat. Protag. 327 c: Soph. Ant. 84. Poppo cites Xen. Cyr. i. 4, 19, ἀλλ' οὖν πονηροί γε φαινόμενοι ἅγουσι ἡμῶν τὰ χρήματα, in illustration of the present passage, but there the sense seems rather 'well but they look but a poor lot to plunder our belongings'.

 άπὸ τούτων τῶν βουλευμάτων—'from', i.e. judging from; i. 21, 2, ἀπ' αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων σκοποῦσι: cf. ch. 89, 9. δοκεῖτε—ch. 9, 21, note.

6. τῷ βούλεσθαι—dative of the cause: cf. a somewhat similar passage in iv. 108, 3, τὸ πλέον βουλήσει κρίνοντες ἀσαφεῖ ἡ προνοία ἀσφαλεῖ κ.τ.λ.

 καl Λακεδαιμονίοιs—the omission of the article gives a somewhat contemptuous force, 'Lacedaemonians and fortune and hopes': see note on iv. 10, 4. $\pi a \rho a \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota$ —usually taken as middle, 'having staked, or risked'. $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon' \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s \pi a \rho a \beta \delta \lambda \omega s, as the scholiast explains. In this sense the word is$ $used ii. 44, 3, <math>\pi a \hat{\iota} \delta a s \pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda \lambda \phi \mu \epsilon \nu o c$: iii. 14, 1, $\tau \partial \nu \kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu \rho \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \omega \sigma a \sigma a \beta a \lambda \lambda \phi \mu \epsilon \nu o c$: Stahl however takes the word as passive, comparing Ar. Plut. 243, $\pi \delta \rho \nu a \iota \sigma \kappa i \nu \delta \rho \omega \sigma \tau \pi a \rho a \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, 'given over to'. The sense thus obtained is not bad; still, as the idea of risk and hazard perpetually occurs in these chapters, the ordinary rendering seems preferable. The perfect participle denotes the general attitude of the Melians, the a orist $\pi \iota \sigma \tau e \iota \sigma \sigma a \tau e refers$ to their decision in the present case. Classen would omit $\kappa a \ell$ before $\pi \iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon s$, 'having risked everything from your trust' etc., as he considers that the datives cannot depend on $\pi a \rho \alpha \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \rho s$.

CHAPTER CXIV

3. ούδὲν ὑπήκουον—'showed no sign of submission'; i. 26. 4, οὐδὲν αὐτῶν ὑπήκουσαν. ἐτρέποντο...περιετείχισαν—Arnold compares ii. 75, 1, καθίστη ἐς πόλεμον τὸν στρατύν, καὶ πρῶτον μὲν περιεσταύρωσεν αὐτούς. Some editors read ἐτράποντο, with slight manuscript authority; but the imperfect is preferable, denoting the beginning and progress of hostile operations.

4. διελόμενοι—ch. 75, 23, διελόμενοι...περιετείχιζον. Note the different tense of the following verb in the two passages. Here, the aorist περιετείχισαν gives an 'end-view', and the Athenians are regarded as having completed their lines round the city. κατὰ πόλεις—ii. 78, 1, διελόμενοι κατὰ πόλεις τὸ χωρίον. κατὰ γῆν κ.τ.λ.—to be taken with ψυλακὴν καταλιπόντες.

CHAPTER CXV

1. ᾿Αργεῖοι—see ch. 83, 12, for a previous attempt. τὸν χρόνον τὸν αὐτόν—'attende rariorem collocationem pronominis ở αὐτός: cf. vii. 39, 1, τŷ ἐπιχεινήσει τŷ αὐτŷ: Dem. de Chers. 93, 14, μένειν ἐπὶ τŷς ἀνοίας τŷς αὐτŷς: Xen. Cyr. iv. 4, 10' (Poppo). Krüger cites i. 140, 1: and i. 2, 2, ἄνθρωποι οἰ αὐτοί.

2. λοχισθέντες-iii. 107, 3, λοχίζει οπλίτας, ' stations them in ambush'. Here the word is used like loyar, as in one or two instances cited by Liddell and Scott from Dio Cassins.

4. ώs όγδοήκοντα-the same construction as in ch. 59, 7: cf. also ch. 10, 57. ol ἐκ τῆς Πύλου-ch. 56, 13. As Pylos was now chiefly held by Lacedaemonian Helots, Krüger would omit 'Aθηναΐοι, but it denotes generally those who acted on the Athenian side.

7. ovo ws- 'not even after this': so i. 132, ovo ws helwoar νεώτερόν τι ποιείν ές αὐτόν etc. και ώς, i. 44, 2: iii. 33, 1. The negative belongs to both the verb and the participle;-they did not throw up the truce and begin a war. dotvres -ch. 78, 8. The truce between Athens and Sparta was not considered to be actually broken till 414, when an Athenian fleet ravaged the coast of Peloponnesus (vi. 105, 1).

ib. ἐκήρυξαν δὲ εἴ τις-sc. that he should do so, see Poppo on iii. 52, 2: cf. iv. 37, 2, ἐκήρυζάν τε εl βούλοιντο τὰ ὅπλα παραδοῦναι. παρα σφών—i.e. from Lacedaemonia : ii. 41, 1, παρ' ἡμῶν. λητζεσθαι—private depredations of this kind were not considered incompatible with a formal state of peace.

9. Suapopar-'differences', from Suapopa, the manuscript reading. Bekker and others alter it into Siadopur, as 7à ίδια διάφορα is found ii. 37, 1: τὰ αὐτοῖs ίδία διάφορα, i. 68, 2: rà huir diádopa, iv. 87, 1: see ch. 18, 19.

12. το κατά την άγοράν—i.e. the market in the Athenian camp, where their supplies were stored; i. 62, 1, at Potidaea, άγοραν έξω της πόλεως έπεποίηντο: iii. 6, at Mytilene, ναύσταθμον ήν αυτοίς πλοίων και άγορας ή Μαλέα.

13. ανδρας-iv. 132, 3, των ήβώντων ανδρας έξηγον: vii. 43, 3, ανδρας των φυλάκων αποκτείνουσιν. έσενεγκαμενοι - 50 έσκομίζεσθαι, vi. 22, 1. χρήσιμα-the uss. reading is χρήμασι: hence some read xphuara in the general meaning of supplies: so vi. 49, 3, την στρατιάν ούκ απορήσειν χρημάτων: vii. 49, 1, την τών χρημάτων απορίαν.

16. το έπειτα-so iv. 54, 3, τό τε παραυτίκα και το έπειτα τά της όμολογίας επράχθη: iv. 107, 1, και το αύτικα και το επειτα.

CHAPTER CXVI

2. μελλήσαντες — 'having intended'; i. 134, 4, έμέλλησαν μέν...έπειτα. viii. 23, 5, πεζός δς έπὶ τὸν Ἐλλήσποντον ἐμέλλησεν ἰέναι. τὰ διαβατήρια ἰερά—cf. ch. 54, 6. Cobet would omit ἰερὰ ἐν τοῖς ὁρίος as an explanatory gloss, such words not being added elsewhere. For ἐγίγνετο see ch. 55, 17.

5. διὰ τὴν ἐκείνων μέλλησιν—i.e. hearing of their intended attack. ὑποτοπήσαντες—altered by Meineke into ὑποπτεύσαντες, as ὑποτοπείν is not found with an accusative of the person. Possibly it may stand in the sense of 'having formed a suspicion', τινάς being governed by ξυνέλαβον and then resolved into rois μέν...οι δέ: cf. ch. 54, 16.


8. $a\hat{v}\theta_{vs}$ —the best manuscripts have $a\hat{v}\tau s$, which is also found in some manuscripts in ch. 8, 18, and ch. 43, 18. Lid, and Scott call it a form erroneously introduced into Attic authors.

ib. $\kappa a\theta$ ' $t \bar{\tau} \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \tau_1$ —apparently $\kappa a\theta$ ' $t \bar{\tau} \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ is governed directly by $\epsilon l \lambda o_{\nu}$, and is equivalent to a single word; as in iv. 3, 2, $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu \nu \sigma \rho \sigma \tau \eta s$ $\delta \eta \eta s$: so ii. 76, 4, $\epsilon \pi l \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha$ $\kappa a \tau \delta \sigma c \sigma c$: see note on iv. 3, 2. Otherwise $\pi \epsilon \rho c \tau \epsilon \chi \delta \mu \mu \sigma \sigma$ may be regarded as a partitive genitive, 'took a part of the Athenian lines of attack'. Classen takes $\kappa a\theta$ ' $t \tau \rho \sigma \nu$ separately, governing the genitive by τ_i , but, as Poppo says, 'separari posse non videntur'. He suggests that $\kappa a\theta$ ' should perhaps be altered into $\kappa a \ell$. The instances quoted are however sufficient to support the view first given.

11. $\dot{\omega}_{S} \tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a$ $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma (\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau o$ —these words, if genuine, must mean, 'as these things happened', i.e. as the Athenians found themselves assailed in turn by the enemy. To give the meaning 'after this happened', which we should rather expect, $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \tau o$ would be needed. Poppo brackets the whole clause as weak and awkwardly inserted between $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta s$ and $\dot{\eta} s$: and he is followed by Classen.

 κal...πολιορκούμενοι.—cf. i. 65, 1, ἀποτειχισθείσηs αὐτῆs ...κai εχων, where Poppo gives several instances, e.g. iv. 20, 1, of the genitive absolute thus joined with participles in the nominative. 14. $\overline{\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon}$ —of conditions; ch. 17, 14. $\beta \omega \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\omega}\sigma \alpha \iota$ —'decide'; the usual force of the aorist.

17. $\phi \kappa \eta \sigma a v$ -'settled in'; ch. 1, 10. The reading of the best manuscripts however is $\phi \kappa \iota \sigma a v$, which is adopted by Poppo and Classen; cf. i. 98, 1. Either word makes good sense, $o k i \xi \omega$ being 'to settle', i.e. to people with settlers; and the aorists are naturally liable to confusion. In the middle voice the forms of $o i \kappa l \zeta \omega$ are to be preferred (e.g. vi. 1, 2), as the use of $o i \kappa c \delta a \omega$ middle is doubtful.



APPENDIX

Ch. cxi. 30.

ην μα̂ς πέρι και ές μίαν βουλήν τυχοῦσάν τε και μη κατορθώσασαν έσται—the suggestion in the body of the notes, to omit ην and έσται (and perhaps also the first περί, before πατρίδος), makes it possible to construe this clause, in accordance with the obvious sense, and with the scholiast's explanation, και πολλάκις πρό δφθαλμῶν λάβετε ὅτι περί πατρίδος ή σκέψις, μιῶς οὕσης, περί ής ἐν μιῷ βουλη̂ ή κατορθώσετε ή σφαλήσεσθε. It is however not quite satisfactory, especially as regards the concluding words. One feels that τυχοῦσάν τε καὶ μή ought to go together, sc. μή τυχοῦσαν, and that κατορθώσασαν should be separately accounted for.

Taking this view, Mr E. S. Thompson, of Christ's College, Cambridge, proposes a restoration of the passage which is decidedly attractive (*Classical Review*, Vol. iii. p. 72). He would read kal $\ell * \theta v u c i \sigma \ell = \pi a \tau a \rho \ell \delta \sigma s o v l e \ell e m i s <math>\pi^{\ell} \rho$, η^{*} kal $\ell * \theta u c i \sigma \ell = \pi a \tau b \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \ell e m i s <math>\pi^{\ell} \rho$, η^{*} kal $\ell * \theta u c i \sigma \ell = \pi a \tau b \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \ell e m i s <math>\pi^{\ell} \rho \sigma \sigma a$, 'and that this (fatherland), standing to win or lose on the event of only one debate, it will be in your power to establish in security'. Mr Thompson makes $\tau v x o \ell \sigma d \sigma \sigma e \kappa a \ell u \eta$ refer to $\eta^{*} (\pi a \tau \rho \ell \delta a)$. Would not the words go better with $\beta \sigma u \lambda \eta^{*}$, 'according as your debate succeeds or fails'? That an infinitive is to be sought in $\kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \omega \sigma a \sigma x$ seems highly probable; but I am in doubt about $\kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \omega \sigma a x = \pi a \tau \rho \ell \delta \sigma x$. There is no parallel to it in Thucydides, who generally uses $\kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \delta \sigma x$.

The following are some of the explanations which have been given of the passage. The list is by no means exhaustive, but is meant to indicate the main lines of solution which authorities have taken.

A. Retaining ηv and $\ell \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$, (1) Professor Jowett thinks the manuscript reading probably genuine. He supplies $\beta ou\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ from $\beta ou\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, taking $\ell \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ to mean 'will be possible'. The

city, he says, is considered, first, as that which deliberates; secondly, as the subject of deliberation: $\mu \iota \hat{a}s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ being written by confusion instead of $\vartheta \nu \mu la \nu (o v \sigma a \nu) \dots ... \ell \sigma \tau a (\beta o \nu) \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l).$ $\epsilon s \mu la \nu \beta o \nu \lambda \dot{\gamma} \nu$ may follow either $\beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\tau \nu \chi o \hat{\nu} \sigma \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa. \tau. \lambda$, the latter words referring to $\pi a \tau \rho i \delta a$. (2) With the same general view, $\tau \nu \chi o \hat{\nu} \sigma \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \epsilon \kappa. \tau. \lambda$. may be referred to $\beta o \nu \lambda \dot{\gamma} \nu$.

B. Reading $\hat{\eta}s$ instead of $\hat{\eta}v$, the clause = $\hat{\eta}s \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \mu as$ ($o\delta\sigma\eta s$)...($\beta ovhete\sigma\theta a$) $\delta\sigma raa$. We thus get the meaning 'and that you will have the opportunity of deliberating (or, will have to decide) concerning your only country, and that too in one deliberation, whether successful or not'.

This is not altogether unsatisfactory, but there is a harshness in supplying $\beta ov\lambda e i \sigma a \sigma \beta ov\lambda e i \sigma a \sigma \delta a$, and $i \sigma ra$ is tands very awkwardly by itself. It would be better to take $\dot{\eta}s \pi \neq \rho \dots$ $\dot{g} \sigma ra$ to mean 'whose fate will be decided', lit. 'about which (the question) will be': cf. iv. 63, 2, où $\pi e \rho l \ \tau o \tilde{v} \ \tau \mu \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \delta a$ (*iva*, sc. $\dot{o} \lambda \dot{o} \gamma o s \ \delta \sigma \tau a$: Dem. Androt. 607, § 46, où $\pi e \rho l \ \pi \rho \dot{a} \xi \varepsilon \omega s$ $\epsilon l a \phi \rho \omega \sigma \ \epsilon \sigma \tau l r$: id. Timor. 701, § 5, $\pi e \rho l \ a \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v} \ \tau v \dot{v} \ \dot{v} \ \dot{v} \ \dot{v} \ \dot{v} \ \dot{v} \ \dot{v}$ seems much in favour of this explanation.

C. Reading $\forall \sigma \tau \epsilon$ instead of $\forall \sigma \tau a$, it has been proposed to refer $\eta \nu$ (1) to $\pi a \tau \rho l \delta a$, (2) to $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \nu$ supplied from $\beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \omega \epsilon \sigma \theta$. The rendering is (1) 'whose success you know ($\eta \nu \kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \omega \sigma a \sigma \mu \nu$ $\delta \tau \epsilon \epsilon$) concerns your one only country, and depends on one deliberation, according as it succeeds or fails': (2) 'which deliberation you know whether it succeeds or fails ($\eta \nu \tau \nu \chi o \theta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a \mu \eta \kappa a \tau o \rho \theta \omega \sigma a \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$) relates to your only country and must be determined in one debate'.

These explanations may be varied by different arrangements of the accusative participles. They are in any case open to the objection that we should expect those participles to be future rather than aorist. Besides this, the double use required in (1) of $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i \delta \alpha$, and in (2) of $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \nu$, involves intolerable harshness.

D. Suggested alterations:

a. for $\xi \sigma \tau a\iota$ read $\xi \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a\iota$, the infinitive in the relative clause being dependent on $\ell \nu \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta \epsilon$. There is a confusion between $\eta \nu \dots \xi \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a\iota$ and $\eta \dots \xi \sigma \tau a\iota$. $\eta \nu$ may be referred to $\pi a \tau \rho i \delta a$ or $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \nu$ and the rest of the clause variously modified. b. read $\eta \nu$ (sc. $\beta o \nu \lambda \eta \nu$ or $\pi a \tau \rho i \delta a$)... $\ell \sigma \tau a \tau \sigma \ell \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. $c. \eta \mu \iota \hat{a} s$ $\ell m
angle o \sigma \eta \epsilon \iota$... $\ell \sigma \tau a \iota$. $d. \eta \nu \nu \mu \hat{a} s \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \iota$.

INDEX I

The figures denote the chapters and lines, which are referred to in the notes.

accusative absolute 30, 9: 39, stroys the long walls at 15: 56, 6: Argos 83, 9 60, 13 : 63, Alcibiades, first appearance of 4 ch. 43: deceives the Lacewith a 60,29 daemonian envoys ch. 45: personally expedition to Peloponnesus constructed ch. 52: to Argos ch. 84 65, 12 allies of Athens in Sicily 4, cognate 9, 17: 34, 20 15: 42, Amphipolis ch. 6-11: new 15:105, works at 10, 29: not given 14 up 21, 9: evacuated by the " loosely con-Spartans 34, 2: not restored structed 9. to Athens 35, 26 42 Amyclaeum 18, 64: 23, 26 determinant 52, 6: aorist, force of 28, 12: 50, 24: 59, 16 69, 11: 91, 10: 97, of object with pas-3: 100. 5 23 sive participle gnomic 103, 3 37, 2 with el, force of 38, 25 ? 9 of space traversed with imperfect 65, 21: 10, 31: 58, 16, 20 72, 13: 74, 6: 82, 6: adjective and participle in 114, 4 common agreement 48, 9: infinitive with $\epsilon \lambda \pi is 9$, 64, 21 31 Adramyttium 1, 9 verb of re-Aegina, colonized by Athenians fusal 22, 6 74, 11 apposition, instances of 6, 23: Agis, king of Sparta 24, 2: 54, 24, 15: 54, 15: 3: invades Argos ch. 57-61, 14: 96, 3 60 : makes an unsatisfactory partial 10, 53: 34, truce ch. 60: is accused at 15 Sparta ch. 63: invades Manresolved 3, 10, 26 tinea ch. 64 sq.: changes of with names of tactics 65, 11: 71, 1: defeats places 75, 26 the Argive confederacy at Arcadia, encroached on by Mantinea ch. 71-74: de-Mantinea 29, 4

INDEX I

44 Argilus 6, 12: 18, 29 Argos ch. 27-29: 31, 3: 36, 20: ch. 57 sq.: ch. 76-79: 81, 10: 84, 2 claims equality with ,, Sparta 69, 7: long walls designed 82, 25: destroyed 83, 9 Aristides, date of tribute 18, $\mathbf{25}$ article, denoting the thing in question at the time 24, 14: 91, 5: 101, 1: 110, 2 explained by context ,, 5, 4, 14: 6, 1: 13, 9 not repeated 5, 1: 10, 38 omitted 10, 63: 47, 22 74: 50, 19: 67, 12: 113, 17 superfluous 5, 9 2.2 with predicate 109, 1 ,, attraction of gender 2, 17 Boeotarchs 37, 20 Boeotian four Councils 38, 9 Brasidas, 6-11 Bricinniae 4, 16 Carnea 75, 9 Carnean month 54, 9 Cerdylium 6, 12 chiasmus 16, 5 Clearidas 6, 27 Cleon, advises the recovery of Amphipolis 2, 1: attacks and takes Torone ch. 2 and 3: proceeds to Amphipolis ch. 6 and 7: is attacked and routed by Brasidas ch. 10: is slain 10, 48: harsh estimate of his motives 16, 6 Cleonae 67, 18 comparative with two terminations 110, 3

archers, pay of at Athens 47, construction, confused 7, 9 elliptic 9, 2: 76, 37 14: 95,1: 115, 8 in accordance ٠, with the sense 41, 11: 70, 1 with µév and dé complicated 11, 11 Corinthians 27, 6: ch. 30-32 etc. Coryphasium 18, 38 Cretan Sea 110, 2 Cynosurian land ch. 41 Cypsela 33, 6 Cythera 18, 38 dative, of cause 13, 6: 14, 9: 22, 5: 26, 26: 99, 2: 104, 9,, date 49, 1 ,, initial, 3, 24: 111, 19 14 with *glyvopal* 111, 1 22 έλασσοῦμαι 72, 9 ... ,, $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega 97, 1$,, ., ζημιώ 63, 11 12 infinitive con-• 7 struction 64, 10: 69, 5 " substantive 5, 3 1 2 ίοντι 10, 32 2.2 Delos, purified 1, 3: inhabitants restored 32, 5 demonstrative form of expression, in second part of a relative sentence 2, 16: 5, 8 Dionysia 20, 2 disfranchisement of Spartans 34, 14 -Dorians contrasted with Ionians 9, 3 Dorio dialect, in treaty ch. 77 and 79

dual, feminine form of 23, 9: 29, 17: 84, 8

earthquake 45, 22: 50, 29 Edones 6, 20

- Elis, joins Argive league ch. 31: treaty with Athens ch. 47: rupture with Sparta ch. 49 and 50
- elliptic form of reasoning 14, 27
- envoys identified, in construction, with the state 44, 18: 55, 11
- Epidaurus, ch. 53-56: attacked by the Athenian confederacy 75, 22: 77, 6, 13: 80, 17
- epithet or predicate? 16, 25
 - ,, position of part of 5,5
- Euphamidas 55, 5
- future indic. with όπωs after a secondary tense 26, 28
 - ,, partcp. in final sense with $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ 6, 9: 80, 20: 84, 21
- genitive absolute 4, 1: 39, 2 " irregular con-20 struction with 31, 10: 33, 5 with subject understood 17, 13 adnominal 30, 10 etc. ambiguously placed 2, 8 = 'belonging to' with proper name 71, 2 Doric in a 10, 3 double 11, 10 of country precedes name of place 33,
 - 4 of time 1, 1: 14, 16: 59, 3: 74, 2

93

genitive, partitive 2, 16: 15, 5: 52, 12: 60, 2, 17: 64, 9 .. , dependent on propernames 71, 21

Hagnon 11, 9: 19, 2: 24, 1 Harpina 50, 13

- Helots, 14, 21: emancipated for good service 34, 5: at Pylos 35, 32: removed 35, 37: sent back 56, 10, 14: serve under Agis 57, 7: 64, 7 Heraclea 12, 5: attacked ch. 51 Heracleum 66, 4 Heraea 67, 8
- Heraeum 75, 26
- Hyacinthia 41, 26
- Hysiae 83, 10

2.3

- imperfect, force of 3, 15, 30: 42, 17 ,, implying previous
 - arrangement 28, 19
 - inceptive 39, 21
 - of intention 62, 11
 - referring to time regarded as now past 32, 15: 38, 25: 42, 21: 50, 13: 59, 9: 81, 3: 112, 4
 - without ar, in conditional sense 52, 18
 - χρήν accommodated to sense of context 35, 15
- infinitive, explanatory 15, 3: 63, 8: 69, 6: 111, 9
 - following † 53, 10
 - for second person imperative 9, 30
 - future, with verb of fearing 105, 13

INDEX I

...

infinitive, in clause with ω s in orat. obliq. 46, 20 in rel. clause in orat. oblig. 45, 11 in provisions, decrees, etc. 28, 6: 63, 18 of purpose 2, 13: 39 56, 14: 100, 2: 101, 3 representing imperative in oratio obliqua 63, 13 Ischagoras 19, 7: 21, 4 Laches 43, 10: 61, 3 Lemnians and Imbrians 8, 9 Leontini, revolution at 4, 4, 15 Lepreum 31, 6, 20 Leuctra in Peloponnesus 54, 3 Lichas 50, 16: 76, 13 litotes (µelwois) 15, 3 Maenalia 64, 9: 67, 8: 77, 4 Mantinea, joins Argive league ch. 29: negotiations at ch. 55: makes terms with Sparta ch. 81 battle of ch. 66-74masculine following $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \epsilon s$ 79, 5 Mecyberna 18, 34: 39, 1 Melos, a Spartan colony 84, 12: refused originally to submit to Athens 84, 13: attacked by Athens 84, 16: conference at ch. 84-113: besieged ch. 114: surrenders ch. 116 Messenians, at Cranii 35, 36: 56, 9: withdrawn from Pylos 35, 37 Methydrium 58, 8 Myrcinians 6, 21 negative, affecting both verb and participle 115, 7

Nemea ch. 58-60

- neuter, collective 3, 24: 9, 32: 64, 14
 - plural 8, 7: 14, 27
 - " singular in cognate construction 82, 24
- Nicias, anxious for peace 16, 11: his reputation for success 16, 14: promotes peace with Sparta 43, 10: 46, 5: sent as envoy 46, 13: in command against Chalcidians 83, 21

Nicostratus 61, 3

obol, Aeginetan 47, 44 Odomanti 6, 8 Olympia, victor as date 49, 1 Olympic games ch. 49 and 50 optative of indefinite frequency 56, 18 Orchomenus in Arcadia 61, 15 order, ambiguous 29, 23 inverted for antithesis 20, 7: 97, 5 Orestheium 64, 8 Orneae 67, 19 Panathenaea 47, 70 Parrhasii ch. 33 participle, construction depends on 1, 5, 7 different constructions or tenses combined 14, 14: 28, 10: 29, 12: 43, 23: 46, 2:60, 13:116, 12future, to denote 2.2 purpose 6, 9: 8, 10: 84, 21 in conditional ... sense 52, 18:60, instead of verb in 29 concluding clause 6, 20: 52, 13

participle, neuter, in place of noun 9, 14: 102, 4 position of in epithet 34, 6: 35, 24: 43, 12 perfect, force of 9, 4 with hypothetical force 34, 12 Pasitelidas 3, 1 passive, cognate 16, 24: 111, Patrae 52, 15 Pellenians ch. 58-60 Perdiccas 6, 6: 80, 9: 83, 17: of Argive descent 80, 13 Phaeax 4, 1 Pharnaces 1, 9 Phlius 57, 12 Phocaea, in Leontinis 4, 14 Pierium 13, 2 established Plataeans, at Scione 32, 5 Pleistoanax, king of Sparta 16, 10, 24: 24, 1: 33, 2: 75, 1 pluperfect 1, 2: 80, 1 plural verb with neuter plural 26, 15: 75, 9 noun of multitude 31, 2: 82, 5 Polles 6, 8 predicate, construction with 4, 16 irregular position of 41, 1 verb agrees with 49, 10 preposition, pregnant use of 24, 13: 34, 1, 10: 42, 6: 65, 17 Pylos, retained by Athens 35, 19: depredations from 115, Pythian games, time of 1, 2

relative clause, order of words in 103, 8 Rhamphias 12, 2 Scione, still besieged 2,6: taken 32, 2 Sciritae 67, 2: 68, 10 sq. Sciritis 33, 9 Sicyon 52, 18: 81, 8 Sicyonians ch. 58-60 Spartan discipline and skill 11, 18:66, 10:70, 4: 72, 10 military arrangements ch. 68 Stageirus 6, 3: 18, 29 strategi, at Argos 59, 24 subjunctive, deliberative 65, 24 with el 77, 11 superlative emphatically placed 60, 17: 111, 21 Tegea 32, 10: 57, 10: 62, 5: 64. 2: 75. 4 Thucydides, his age 26, 27: exile ch. 26 fin. his reckoning by summers and winters ch. 20 Treaty, between Athens and Sparta ch. 18 between Athens and ,, Sparta alone ch. 23 between Athens and the Argive league ch. 47 between Argos and 92 Sparta ch. 77 and 79 tribute 'in the time of Aristides' 18, 25 wing, right, the post of honour 67, 9

Xenares, an ephor 36, 9: 51, 9

INDEX II

The figures denote the chapters and lines, which are referred to in the notes.

άγαθίν, τὸ κοινόν 90, 4 άγορά, Athenian at Melos 115, 12 $a\gamma\omega$, 'to reckon' 54, 13 dγωγή 85, 7 άγών, 'course' 50, 17 *άγώνισιs* 50, 19 $d\delta\iota\kappa\hat{\omega}$, use of tenses 49, 21: 89, 3 άδολοs 47, 4 del, position of 41, 7 'Aθηνâ 10, 8: 23, 27 'Αθήνας, ές, 3, 24 $d\theta v\mu \hat{\omega}$ with accusative 91, 2 "A $\theta \omega s$, gender of 35, 1 al ka 77, 7 alobávopai 4, 27: 26, 26 with aorist participle 26, 26 with gen. of participle 83, 2 αἴσθομαι (?) 26, 32 αίσχροι κίνδυνοι 111, 12 alσχύνη 104, 9 alσχύνομαι 9, 36 αίτιώμαι 30, 6 άκριβήs 20, 10: 26, 27: 90, 5 άλεξέμεναι 77, 17 aλλá brings in a parenthetical clause 35, 19: 59, 21: 72, 3 with ov and a comparative 99, 4 άλλ' ή 60, 6: 80, 8 άλλ' οῦν 113, 2 άλλο τι 87, 2

άλλο, τί ή; 98, 9 άλλos, 'besides' 25, 8: 35, 17: 60, 10: 75, 12 äμa, construction with 9, 13: 13, 3: 17, 12άμιπποι 57, 14 *ἀμόθι* 77, 18 άμφοτέρωθεν 16, 4 $a\mu\phi\omega$, with plural 79, 20 av, repeated 9, 9, 18: 105, 10 , with conditional clause implied 22, 14 with future infinitive 82, 24optative 94, 3 ,, participle 15, 2.2 11 with participle 105, 19 with pluperfect 46, 20 ,, with ov or $\mu\eta$ in oratio obliqua 9, 9 άναγκαΐος 8, 11 άνάγκη, with active force 89, άναδάσασθαι 4, 7 aradŵ 50, 20 άναιρω 33, 7 άνακωχή 25, 14: 32, 34 άναλαμβάνω 7, 10: 64, 23 aνάληψις 65, 10 avaveou µai 18, 61: 23, 21: 46, 31:47,66:80,23 $a \nu a \rho \rho i \pi \tau \tilde{\omega}$ 103, 3 $a \nu a \phi \epsilon_{\mu} \omega$, 'recall' 16, 30 άνδραγαθία 101, 2

ανδραποδισμός 9, 41 άνειμένον, τό 9, 22 ανέλεγκτος 85, 5 ανεπίληπτος 17, 5 άνεπιστημοσύνη 7, 6 arev 28, 8: 60, 28: 61, 11 ανθρώπειος 89, 9: 105, 3 ανθρωπείως 103, 9 avinµu, 'renounce' 31, 17 with genitive 32, 15: 46, 16, 25 ανίστημι στρατών 58, 12 drolγω, Thucydidean form 10, 27 άντέστη (το πρâγμα) 4, 26: 38, αξιόμαχος 2, 11: 60, 22 αξιούμαι 16, 14 aziû 26, 9 ,, oùr 89, 4 άξίωμα 8, 7: 43, 6 απαίρω 83, 23 απαρίθμησις 20, 8 aπεîπov, 'renounce' 32, 25, 32 cπείρηντο, 'renounced' 48, 3 απειρόκαλος 105, 16 άπιάλλην 77, 25 $a\pi 5$, denoting cause 17, 6 'personal ori-> > 2 2 gin' 17, 10 point of view 89, 9: 113, 3 starting point 8, 13: 26, 21: 103, 2 $a\pi\delta$ in composition 53, 3 aπό)(έκ 112, 8 άπὸ τοῦ ίσου 104, 3 $a\pi o\gamma i\gamma \nu o\mu a 74, 14$ αποδείξαι 27, 16 άποδιδράσκω 65, 29 αποδίδωμι, 'pay' 18, 28 aπoκρύπτω, 'disappear' 65, 25 άπολαμβάνω 8, 18: 35, 29 : 59, απολείπω έκ 4, 13 απολεξάμενος 8, 14 άπομόσαι 50, 5

αποστρέφω 75, 6 αποσχών with μή 3, 17 αποφέρω, 'pay' 31, 12 απτομαι πολέμου 61, 12 άπωθούμαι 22, 6: 45, 6 apa 100, 1 apéoropai 4, 13: 37, 23 άρέσκοντά έστι 41, 28 àprivai 47, 61 άρχαί, ai 28, 4: 47, 59: 84, 23 άρχην αύτοκράτορες 27, 17 άρχομαι, passive 66, 13 $\delta \rho \chi \omega$, of date 19, 1 αστυγείτων 69, 10 άσφαλεί, τῷ 7, 15 -ato = - vto 6, 27 αύλητών, ύπό 70, 5 airó and airá, 'it' 27, 4: 57, 7:86,4:105,11 autois 3, 24: 50, 24: 57, 2: 67, 12: 69, 15 airos, 'by one's self' 60, 4 in oblique case referring = 9 to primary subject 32, 26 of exact date 82, 7 2.2 τρίτος 4, 1 37 airou, airwe etc., position of 10, 48: 43, 14: 49, 8: 65, 24: 71, 3: 72, 13: 106, 2 auto\$061 3, 11 αὐτόδεκα 20, 3 αύτόθεν 6, 15 : 83, 5 αύτόπολις 79, 7 άφ' έαυτών 60, 2 άφ' έκατέρων 82, 18 άφίημι, 'renounce' 78, 8: 115, βέβαιος κίνδυνος 108, 3 of persons 43, 16 β_{ia} with genitive 21, 11 βία aipεîr, 7, 17 Biajouai, passive 3, 4 Biacos, of pursuit 73, 23 βλάπτω 103, 3

βοηθείν έπί 65, 18

Botánia 53, 5

INDEX II

βούλεσθε, μή, nolite 103, 7 βουλεύομαι 71, 2 βουλεύω 63, 9: 87, 2 force of aorist 71, 2: ,, 116, 15βουλή, at Athens, ambassadors brought before 45, 1 βούλησιs 105, 4 βούλομαι, 'mean' 65, 10 βουλόμενος, δ 27, 13 Βρασίδειοι 67, 5: 71, 19 yáp introduces promised statement 27, 1: 68, 10: 72, 11 γεγένητο 14, 18 γίγνεται, becomes due 49, 27 contingit 93, 2 ., γίγνομαι μετά 38, 20 γνώμη 38, 6: 75, 14: 108, 5 γνώμην έχω πρόs 44, 8: 48, 12: 73, 18 γνώμης της αύτης είναι 46, 30 γρήμμα 29, 18 $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega$, of the historian 26, 1 γυμνά, τά 10, 20: 71, 6 γύμνωσις 71, 12 δάπανος 103, 4 δεδιώς 8, 5 δεινά ποιώ 42, 17 δέoμaι, with acc. of person 36, 23 δεόμενος, δ 38, 5 δεόν, τό 66, 14 δεχήμερος 26, 16: 32, 19, 29 δέχομαι, to receive insurgents 31, 22 $\delta\eta$, with real motive 85, 3 superlative 28,14: 60, 2.2 16: 74, 2: 113, 8 δημιουργοί 47, 62 $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu os$, the democracy 4, 5, 20: 76, 6 =έκκλησία 45, 4 22 $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta s$, accusative form 80, 19 δημόσιον 18, 41: 50, 19

 $\delta_{\iota a}$, in sense of $\xi \nu \epsilon \kappa a$ 53, 12 δια μέσου 26, 8 δι' όλίγου 66, 5 δι' δργής 29, 15: 46, 36 διά παντός 69, 8: 105, 6 διαβατήρια 54, 7: 55, 16 iepá 116, 3 διαγίγνομαι 16, 18 διαθορυβώ 29, 19 διαιρώ 26, 10 " with part. genitive 2, 16 διακινώ 25, 7 διακρίνομαι 79, 18 διαλύομαι 1, 2: 80, 30: 83, 23: 113, 2 διαμέλλησις 79, 3 διαπράσσομαι 89, 8 διασώζομαι 16, 14 διατάσσω 59, 1 διατριβή 38, 30: 82, 20 διαφορά) (διάφορα 115, 9 διδάσκαλος γίγνομαι 30, 3 διελόμενοι 75, 25: 114, 4 διήλθε το θέρος 55, 23 ∆iĝs 82, 1 Sikalov, as subst. 18, 20 δικαίωμα 97, 1 δικαίωσις 17, 13 δίκας δίδωμι 27, 14: 59, 28 δίκη, 'penalty' 45, 5 Δικτιδιής 35, 2 δόκησις 16, 33 $\delta o \kappa \hat{\omega}$, irregular constr. with 53, 6 personally constructed ,, 113, 4'to be accounted (guilty ... etc.)' 16, 33: 44, 16: 46, 33: 72, 5 δόξα='ideas' 9, 23 δουλεία, ή 23, 18 δρώμενον, τό 102, 2 δύναμις, 'value' 20, 14 δυνατά, τά 89, 7, 10 Suratol, ol 4, 7 Svoiv, with plural 84, 8 δωροδόκησις (?) 16, 33 δώρων, μετά 16, 33

268

 $\bar{\epsilon}a\rho, \pi\rho\delta s 17, 9: 56, 19$ έγκαταλαμβάνω 3, 8 έγκατάληψις 72, 29 έγκειμαι 43, 44 Eyrwoar, 'decided' 82, 19 έγχειρίζομαι 108, 2 έδεδίεσαν 14, 11 έδει, 'as arranged' 14, 11: 15, 12:42,19:60,8 ξδρα 7, 4 $i\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$, of soldier-like alacrity 9, 36 είτις 3, 22: 35, 26: 37, 13: 46, 21 -eiav)(aiev, aorist form 111, 6 eixos, with aorist infinitive 109, 8 είκότα, τά 90, 5 $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$, 'to be possible' 40, 12 $\epsilon i \pi a$, forms of 85, 11 $\epsilon i \tau a$, in apodosis without $\delta \epsilon$ 65, 24 elre kal...elre kai 65, 10 έκ, έξ, 'after' 20, 2: 42, 9 ,, of neighbourhood, 55, 2: 63, 1: 77, 6 ,, with $\pi \epsilon (\theta \omega \ 61, 14)$ έκ παρασκευής 56, 16 έκ πλείονος 82, 11 έκ πολλού 67, 15 έξ δλίγου 64, 20: 65, 23: 72, 1 éξ qu. 15 18, 57 έκαστοι)(ἐκάτεροι 47, 14 έκβιβάζω 98, 2 ekeîvo, illud 98, 1 ėkeivos, use of 38, 15: 57, 16: 60, 28 $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho la 1, 3$ έκκαρπούμαι 28, 18 έκκλησία, at Sparta 77, 1 έκκλίνω 73, 14 έκπαύομαι 75, 25 έκπέμπω 52, 5 έκπλήσσω 66, 8 έκστρατεύω—ομαι 55, 15, 21 έλασσοῦμαι 30, 18: 34, 13: 43, 16: 105, 13 έλλείπω 97, 1: 103, 6

έμέμνηντο 66, 8 έμπειρία 72, 9 ev, 'at' or 'near' 55, 2 " of the provisions in a law 49, 6 έν altia έχω 60, 12, 25, 27 with acc. and inf. 22 23 construction 65, er βλαβη 52, 18 ev καλφ 46, 8: 59, 21 êr rộ tư 45, 15 έν τούτω 98, 9 er xepol 3, 14: 10, 56: with dat. 72, 12 er \$ 60, 18 erartioupai 16, 5 Erdens 9, 7 ξνδημοι άρχαί 47, 59 ένδίδωμι 62, 11 έrθυμία 16, 22 ένθυμοῦμαι 32, 6: 111, 4 ériaboios 1, 1: 15, 12 έντέμνω 11, 6 Ertorus 70, 2 ertos, 'short of' 90, 5 ένωμοτία 66, 16: 68, 13 έξαιρώ 43, 18 έξαλλάσσω 71, 11 έξέρχομαι, of foreign service 34, 2: 75, 18 έξηγοῦμαι 66, 12 έξισω 71, 20 έξορκῶ 47, 61 έξω, 'besides' 26, 13: 97, 3 ol 14, 19 72 έξωθῶ-οῦμαι 71, 4: 72, 18 έπαγγελλω 47, 15 έπάγομαι 4, 8: 98, 11 έπαγωγή 82, 27 έπαγωγός 85, 4: 111, 16 έπαναγκάζω 31, 14 έπανίσταμαι 23, 18 έπειδή 18, 28: 22, 10 έπειτα, without δέ 7, 1 έπεξάγω 71, 19 έπεξέρχομαι 89, 3: 100, 5 έπέρχομαι 10, 13: 110, 6

INDEX II

 $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu 47, 40$ $\epsilon \pi i$, with gen. of direction 34, 9 έπι σφών αὐτών 67, 4 $\epsilon \pi i$, with dat. 'to command or menace' 7, 20: 33, 9: 51, 5 " of conditions 4, 12: 31, 9έπ' έξόδω 14, 25: 28, 11 έπι κακώ 44, 21 έπι πημονή 47, 6 έπι τη ίση 15, 9 έπι τιμωρία 90, 7 $\epsilon \pi l$, with acc. of purpose 87, 4 visiting 4, 26 ,, έπι βάθος 68, 14 έπι παν 68, 16 έπι πολύ, of time 16, 28 $\epsilon \pi i$, in composition 10, 5 έπιγράφομαι 4, 6 έπιείκεια 86, 2 έπιέναι 10, 26 έπικαλώ 56, 5: 59, 29: 83, 17 $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$, with inf. 46, 29 $\epsilon \pi \mu \alpha \chi la 48, 9$ έπιμαχώ 27, 16 έπιμελές, τό 66, 20 έπιμιξία 35, 4: 78, 4 έπιπαριέναι 10, 42 έπισπασθαι 111, 16 έπισπένδομαι 22, 10 έπισπονδαί 32, 19 έπιστρέφω 10, 20 έπισχείν 32, 28: 46, 6: 63, 15 έπιτειχισμός)(τειχισμός 17, 11 έπιτεταγμένοι 72, 19 έπιτήδειος 21, 9: 76, 6: 81, 11 with two termina-,, tions 112, 4 έπιτηδείως 82, 3: 85, 10 $\epsilon \pi i \tau l \theta \epsilon \mu a i 8, 10: 76, 11: 82,$ 6: 91, 6 έπιτρέπω 31, 15: 99, 6 έπιτροπή 41, 5 έπιφέρω αίτίαν 75, 10 έπιχείρησις 9, 5 έποικος 5, 5

έργον 26, 10: 67, 13: 108, 4: 109, 3 $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\psi$ 9, 47: 111, 17 $\epsilon \rho \eta \mu \hat{\omega}$, 'abandon' 4, 11 $\dot{\epsilon}$ s, denoting approach 2, 12 relation generally ,, 75, 11: 105, 13 ès, loosely used 7, 11: 40, 7 " with numbers 3, 23: 6, 26 ,, with *πείθω* 76, 8 $\dot{\epsilon}$ s τούs Βοιωτούs=to Boeotia 32, 17 ές χείρας ύπομένειν 72, 17 $\epsilon \sigma \beta d \lambda \lambda \omega$, 'close in' 71, 23 έσήγησις 30, 7 ésiévai, of joining a truce 30, 23: 35, 15: 40, 10 έστιν έν ols 25, 11 έστιν δτε 102, 2 έσφέρομαι 115, 14 έσφέρω περί... έs 38, 27 Erai 79, 20 $\epsilon \dot{\upsilon} \theta \dot{\upsilon} s$, with genitive of time 13, 1: 76, 1 εύθύς τε...και τότε 51, 6 εύλάκα εύλάξειν 16, 30 εύρημα 46, 12 εύρίσκομαι, ' obtain' 32, 29 εύσκεπαστότατον 71, 9 εύψυχον, τό 9, 2 έφοδοι 35, 40 *ἕχομαι* λόγου 49, 24 έχυρόν 109, 1 έχυρῶς 26, 22 $\xi \chi \omega$, 'involve' 9, 18 έχω άριστα 28, 16 έχω)(έσχον 17, 14 ¿eûyos 50, 18 ζυγόν 68, 14 η , after virtual comparative 20, 4 ή που 100, 1 ηγεμονία 16, 10 $\begin{subarray}{c} \begin{subarray}{c} \beg$ ήδη 17, 9: 37, 11: 38, 6: 59,

3; 106, 1

270

ημίθεος 16, 29 ημισείας, έξ 20, 13 ημισυ, construction of 16, 33 ην μή, adverbial 47, 36 Ηράκλειον 64, 26 θέα 7, 13: 9, 11: 10, 10 θείον, τό 70, 5: 104, 4: 105, 1: 112, 8 θεώμαι 7, 19 θεωροί 16, 28: 47, 63 $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega} 18, 5: 50, 9$ θορυβούμαι 65, 31 θροῦς 7, 8: 29, 12: 30, 1 θύομαι 10, 8: 54, 7 ίασθαι κακόν κακώ 65,8 lévai és, of accepting a truce 30, 33 ίερα κοινά 18, 4 ίερομηνία 54, 9 iepóv 18, 7: 49, 3 invéouai, only partep. found in prose 40, 3 iππηs καλούμενοι 72, 23 ίπποτοξόται 84, 10 *ίσομοιρία* 69, 8 ίσον έχειν 31, 16, 26 loos, of numbers 57, 14 ίσταμαι πρός 104, 5 *iσχυρίζομαι* 26, 22 καθαιρώ 103, 2 κaθapós 8, 8 καθίσταμαι, of political arrangements 82, 4 έπί 103, 41 22 ès 25, 17: 29, 12: 2.2 44, 12: 84, 17 катаста́я 4, 18 rai 'adheres to the standard of comparison' 13, 8 ,, coordinate, of time 27, 4 ,, corrective 20, 4: 26, 20: 74, 1 ,, disjunctive 15, 6: 20, 11 " doubtfully or irregularly placed 45, 4: 88, 3

raí emphatic 10, 50: 74, 13 καl äπas 71, 2 καl αύτός 6, 11 : 8, 2 какіζоµаι 75, 14 κακώς άκούω 28, 14 καλώς 36, 21: 57, 5: 65, 27 raptepos, of a position 10, 32 κατά, with gen. of sacrifices 47, 54 with acc. 'by way of' 1.1 " 'opposite to' 71, 5, 25: 73, 10 καθ' έτερόν τι, as one expression 116, 8 καθ' έκάστους 69, 18 κατ' δλίγον 9, 6: 82, 5 κατὰ πόδας 64, 10 κατὰ σφᾶς αὐτούς 112, 2 καταβοάν 45, 21 καταδικάζομαι 49, 6, 13 καταδίκη 49, 10 κατακλήω 83, 16 καταλαμβάνω 4, 15: 26, 6: 58, 9: 59, 10: 65, 2 κατειλημμέναι (σπονδαί) 21, 16 καταλιπείν όνομα 16, 17 καταλύω 23, 9: 81, 10: 90, 3 катататŵ 72, 28 καταπλαγείς 65, 23 κατατίθεμαι, of hostages 84, 5 κατατίθημι στήλην 47, 76 κατέρχομαι, 'land' 7, 24 κατέχω 5, 14 каторва 111, 31 καττάδε 77, 1: 79, 1 катфкура 83, 15 $\kappa \epsilon i \mu a \iota = perf.$ pass. of $\kappa a \tau a \tau l$ θεμαι 61, 22 κέκλημαι, force of perfect 9, 40 κινδυνεύεσθαι, pass. 91, 7 κλέμμα 9, 17 KOLVÓV, 'community' 37, 5 Kouvós, 'impartial' 102, 2 κοινώσας 60, 6 κράτιστα, adjective or adverb 40, 23 κυκλούμαι, middle 73, 4: passive, 73, 6

INDEX II

Κυνοσουρία $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ 14, 27: 41, 6 Κωφός λιμήν 2, 8 $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ with infinitive 21, 2 $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a l \mu \omega \nu = \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu \delta \nu \iota o \iota 25,$ 9 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, 'get' or find' 63, 7 τύχας 102, 2 22 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega = iubeo? 46, 8$ ληίζομαι 56, 14: 115, 8 λην 77, 13 ληστεύομαι 14, 19 $\lambda \hat{\eta} \psi$ is 110, 3 λιθουργοί 82, 31 λιμνώδης 7, 19 λογάδεs, ol χίλιοι, at Argos 67, 15: 72, 15 λόγοι, 'conference' 112, 2 $\lambda \delta \gamma os$, 'proposition' 76, 14: 78, 1 λόγους ποιεῖσθαι 36, 11 λόγω κοινώ χρήσθαι 37, 13 $\lambda o \chi i \zeta o \mu a \iota$, passive 115, 2 λόχοι, oi πέντε at Argos 72, 21 Λύκαιον 16, 32: 54, 4 λυμαίνομοι 103, 12 μάλιστα μέν 2, 18 μέγα μέρος 32, 11 μεγαλύνω 98, 10 μεθόριος 41, 7 μεμνήσθαι περί 41, 10 μέλλεται 111, 7 μεμονωμένος 8, 18: 58, 10 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ answered by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ 7, 2: 25, 13ĸal 71, 1 , , , $\mu \epsilon \nu \dots \delta \epsilon$, instances of construction 9, 1: 10, 1 $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ with gen., 25, 14: 44, 2: 107, 2μεταμέλομαι with ὅτι 14, 13 μεταστήναι 111, 28 μεταστήσασθαι 111, 10 μεταχωρώ 112, 1 μέχρι 1, 2 $\mu\eta$, following $d\pi\epsilon\chi_{0}\mu_{a}$ 25, 13 προσδοκία 14, 19 ,, ,,

 $\mu \eta$, redundant 8, 13 μηδέτεροι 98, 6 $\mu\eta\chi$ aval 7, 24 μισθοῦ 6, 9 μωρία ταῦτα 40, 21 νέμομαι 31, 10 νεοδαμώδεις 34, 8: 67, 6 $\nu\eta\sigma\sigma\sigma$, $\dot{\eta}$, Sphacteria 14, 18: 15, 4: 34, 10 $\nu \kappa \hat{\omega}$, use of tenses 10, 61: 49, 3:50, 18:51, 8 $vo\mu l\zeta\omega$ with direct object 98, 1 νόμισις 105, 3 νόμοι πολεμικοί 69, 18 νόμου)(νόμω 70, 5 ξυγγώμη with infinitive 88, 1 ξυγγραφή 35, 15 ξυγγράφομαι 41, 23 ξυγκαταλείπω 75, 27 ξύγκειμαι 25, 28 ξυγκλήω 64, 22: 72, 9 ξυγχέω 39, 18 ξύγχυσις 26, 33 ξυγχωρεί, impersonal 40, 24 ξυγχωρείσθαι, pass. 17, 13 ξυμβalrω 10, 38: 14, 23: 26, 22: 92, 1: 98, 5 ξυμβάλλομαι 'agree' 77, 2, 24 ξύμβασις 4, 5 ξυμβατήριος 76, 5, 20 ξύμβουλοι 63, 17 ξυμμαχία, 'allied territory' 33, 12ξυμμαχίαι 27, 2: 48, 1 ξυμμαχικόν, τό 6, 7 ξυμμαχίς 36, 5: 110, 7 ξυμμίξαι 9, 30 : 65, 13 $\xi v \mu \phi o \rho d$, of Sphacteria 75, 12 ξύμφορον, τό 98, 3 ξύν δπλοις 11, 2: 50, 9 Euvalpopal with gen. 16, 18 ξύνεδρος 86, 1 ξυνελθοῦσα, μάχη 74, 4 ξυνελών 105, 20 Euvépyopai, of an army 60, 17 ξυνεσπίπτω 3, 12

272

ξυνθήκη, ή, of the Peloponnesian league 31, 23 Euriévai, 'close' in battle 59, 26 ξυνίσταμαι 82, 5 ξύνοδος 70, 2: 71, 4 ξύνοιδα, with acc. 82, 27 ξυνταχθήναι, metaph. 9, 23 ξυντίθεμαι 32, 34 ξινωμοσία 83, 19 ξυστραφείς 10, 49 δ, emphatic 103, 6: 107, 3: 111, 19ο τι=ότιοῦν 18, 65 δγδοήκοντα, oi, at Argos 47, 61 οί μή πείσαντες 27, 19 οί περί 13, 2: 21, 14: 46, 22, 29 oixŵ with acc. 1, 10: 16, 33: 116, 17 olos repeated 7, 6 όλίγοι, oi, at Melos S4, 23 : 85, 7 ομοίως 11, 15 õµws 46, 4: 61, 4, 16 ονόματα καλά 89, 1 $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho$ with explanatory inf. 16, 16 όποτεροσοῦν, 'either' 41, 15 οράν όπως 27, 11 όργŷ 70, 3 δρθιος 58, 22 öρκον δίδωμι 77, 13 δρκος, ο μέγιστος 18, 57 όρμώμαι, perf. and pluperf. 1, 11 os, for ootis in indirect speech 41, 3 οσιος 104, 5 δσοι with μή 10, 55: 98, 6: 110, 6õvov ov 59, 26: 64, 5 őσrıs, irregular construction with 16, 19 δτι, after acc. and inf. clause 65, 29: 69, 13 , construction with dropped 61, 12

 $\delta \tau \iota$, followed by acc. and inf. clause 46, 19 , introduces actual words 10, 24 " 'noli expectare' 61, 20 ού μέντοι άλλά 43, 8 ού μή 69, 15 ov with verbal subst. 35, 7: 50, 19 ού φημι 45, 19 ovôć 7, 22: 30, 13: 60, 5 ovoč ... ovoć 7, 22 (note) ovoê µía resolved 111, 2 ous' as 55, 13: 115, 7 oudérepos resolved with prep. 48.3 cuδετέρων όντες 84, 15 ούκέτι 4, 26: 38, 27 ouros, construction of sentence with 60, 16: 75, 13 redundant 36, 10: 91, 3 'that in question' 17, 2.2 22: 24, 14: 47, 18 ούτω-ς 38, 6: 55, 10: 76, 11 ούχ ούτω 59, 20 our is 49, 22 πανταχόθεν 43, 15 πάντως 41, 22 π apá with acc. and ϵ ival 67, 14 παραβεβλημένος 113, 8 παραγγέλλω 10, 16: 71, 21 παράγγελσις 66, 17 παραγίγνομαι 68, 9 $\pi a \rho a \gamma \omega$, before the assembly 46, 38 παράδειγμα 90, 8 xapaδίδωμι 18, 23 παραθαρσύνω 4, 30: 8, 21 π apaireous 69, 2 παρακαλώ 17, 19: 55, 4 παρακινδύνευσις 100, 3 παραλαμβάνω 37, 17: 52, 3 παραμύθιον 103, 1 π aparomeis θ ai pass. 16, 24 παραρρήγνυμι 73, 2 παρατυχόντι, έν τῷ 38, 4

 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu$, in the assembly 45, 18 παρενεγκών, 'varying' 20, 4: 26, 21 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \omega$ with object and participle 35, 11 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$ with cognate acc. 90, 6 πεντηκοντήρ 66, 15 $\pi \epsilon \rho l$, of number 11, 18: 74, 16 περιαγγέλλω 17, 10 περιγίγνομαι 60, 33: 72, 11: 86, 7: 97, 2 περιέργω 11, 5 $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\epsilon\sigma\tau\eta\kappa a$ with acc. 73, 6 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi \omega$ 7, 16: 71, 18: 73, 4 περιίσχω 71, 4, 13 περιορώμαι 31, 31 περιπίπτω 14, 17: 111, 18 περιτείχισμα 2, 14: 116, 8 $\pi\eta\mu o\nu\eta$ 18, 15: 47, 6 π iouvos 14, 22 πλέον είδώς 29, 13 $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ ious in general sense 73, 21 πληγὰς λαμβάνω 50, 17 $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\epsilon$ is 14, 6 $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta$ s, 'majority' 30, 10 of small numbers 6, 17 ,, ποιείν, έντός 2, 15 μάχην 59, 27 ,, ξυμμαχίαν 38, 27 ** σπονδάς 76, 9 ,, ποιείν)(ποιείσθαι 32, 24 ποιείσθαι δρκους 41, 26 πολέμαρχοι 66, 13: 71, 12 $\pi o\lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu$, $\tau \delta$ with gen. 11, 14 πολέμων, τὰ τῶν 102, 1 $\pi \delta \lambda s$, the acropolis 18, 64 $\pi o \nu \hat{\omega}$, in battle 73, 10 $\pi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, with dat. 76, 18 τὰ ἄριστα 9, 40 ,, πρεσβευόμενοι ηλθον 39, 9 $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\dot{\upsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota$, on service 75, 2 $\pi \rho i \nu$ with inf. after neg. 10, 14 πρίν ή 61, 7 $\pi \rho \delta$, of choice 36, 18 προαπολέσθαι 61, 24 προεκφόβησις 11, 20 προεπανασείω 17, 9

προθυμούμαι with acc. 17, 7: 39, 19 προκαλούμαι with acc. of person 112, 11 προκαταλαμβάνω 30, 6: 57, 5 πρόμαντις 16, 25 $\pi \rho o \xi \epsilon \nu la 43, 12$ $\pi \rho \delta s$ with gen., 'in favour of' 90, 6: 105, 22acc. of relation generally 5, 10: 25, 9: 105, 1 in combina-1. tion with dative construction 40, 5 πρός τὰ παρόντα 14, 22 προσάγομαι 82, 23 προσγίγνομαι 61, 20 προσήκοντες, οί 96, 2 προσκαθεζόμενος 61, 18 προσλαμβάνω 111, 19 προσμίξαι 58, 4 προστέλλω 71, 6 προστίθεμαι 62, 6 $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \chi \eta \mu a$ with infinitive 30, 19 προτέρα)(προτεραία 75, 15 πρότεροι λαχόντες 35, 9 πρύτερόν τε ... καί 76, 5 προτίθεμαι 35, 14 τὰ ὅπλα 74, ὅ ,, προτρέπω 16, 31 προύπτος 99, 7 προφάσει 53, 2 $\pi \rho \phi a \sigma v$, adverbial 80, 21 $\pi \rho \delta \phi a \sigma is$, real)(pretended ground 31, 13 προφέρω 17, 13 προχωρείν 83, 8 πρώτοι 15, 6 πρωτοστάτης 71, 10 πωs ού; 98, 7 ραβδούχοι 50, 17 padius etc., of carrying on war 36, 23, 25 : 37, 11 \$nous 85, 4

ροπής, έπί 103, 6 **ρύομαι 63, 12** ρώμη 14, 8 σημαίνω 71, 19: with acc. 10, 16 ės 20, 18 Σικελιώται 4, 21 Σικελοί 4, 28 $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \delta \omega$ with acc. 16, 18 ès 37, 27 ... στήλη 18, 62: 23, 26: 56, 11 στρατεία)(στρατιά 83, 22 στρατηγία 16, 12: 26, 29 στρατοπεδεύομαι ές 84, 18 $\sigma\phi\epsilon$ is 15, 6: 30, 17: 37, 9: 38, 22: 43, 18 σφῶν 71, 17, 19: 83, 14 σφίσι 44, 7 σφâs 49, 7: 58, 25: 65, 7 σχεδόν τί 66, 18 σχολή 10, 19 σχών ές 2, 5 τά έπειτα etc. adverbial? 26, 34 τà έs.39, 19 τά πρός 46, 6 τάδε 17, 26: 23, 1: 98, 8 $\tau a \lambda a (\pi \omega \rho \hat{\omega}, in battle 73, 8: 74,$ 14 τάσσω, of payment 31, 11 $\tau\epsilon$, 'and so' 111, 9 $\tau \epsilon \dots \kappa al$ connecting main ideas 44, 1, 18: 45, 12 " disjunctive 15, 6 : 111, 2.2 " irregularity with 17, 18: 30, 7: 32, 6 τειχισμός)(έπιτειχισμός 17, 11 τείχος, 'fortress' 4, 18: 80, 7, 17 τέκτονες 82, 31 τέλει, οί έν 60, 7 τέλειos, of victims 47, 54 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$, of $\epsilon \chi ov \tau \epsilon s \tau d 47, 64$ τέλος, 'at last' 50, 28 έχω 41, 24 ,,

τηρώ 82, 6 τι emphatic 26, 27, 32: 109, τινές, 'persons concerned' 31, 25 τις, εί μή 14, 26 " position of 82, 28 with imperative 10, 27 τίθεμαι 80, 4 τίθημι ès 96, 4 τιμωρία 112, 10 τό aὐτό in apposition 98, 3 ,, ,, λέγω 31, 30 ,, ,, ποιώ 38, 7 τό έλλειπον 104, 6 τό έπειτα, adverbial 115, 16 τό τε πρότερον...καί 65, 27 τό τε πρώτον, irregular construction 58, 1 каі 43, 16 99 τοίνυν 87, 1 $\tau \alpha \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ with asyndeton 71, 1 τότε 6, 1: 10, 9: 67, 1: 75, τότε δε (δή) 16, 8 τοῦ μή with infinitive 27, 18 τρέπομαι ἐπί 88, 2 τριακονταέτης 14, 24 τρόπος 7, 10: 63, 9 τρόπω ίσχυροτάτω 23, 5 τύχαι 102, 2 ύπάγω 10, 18 ύπακούω 114, 2 ύπεριδείν 6, 17 $\delta \pi \delta$ with quasi-passive 2, 9: 50, 17 υπό σπουδής 66, 10 ύπογράφω 56, 12 υποδεέστερος 8, 6 ύπολαμβάνω 49, 20: 85, 10 υπόνοια 87, 1 ύποπτεύω 35, 6, 17 ύποτελής 111, 23 ύποτίθεμαι 90, 3 ύποτοπώ 116, 6 ύποφαίνομαι 10, 12

ύστεραία, ή 46, 1

275

INDEX II

ύστερον ού πολλώ 34. 7 χρόνω 34, 16 ... φαίνομαι, personally constructed 9, 21 $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta s$, with participle 10, 5 φέρομαι 15, 8: 16, 11: 111, 5 φέρω, 'pay' 18, 25 $\phi \epsilon \psi \gamma \omega$, of exile 16, 31; 26, 28: 72, 4 $\phi\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$ with dative 72, 5 φθίνοντος, τετάρτη 19, 2 τετράδι 54, 12 22 φιλώ, soleo 70, 8 φιλονεικώ 43, 9: 111, 25 φόβω τών 16, 33 φράζω, 'order' 66, 14 φρονείν τι 7, 12 ,, τοῦτο 85, 6 φρόνημα 40, 19: 43, 9 Φρουρικόν 80, 22 $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s 10, 54$ Χάραδρος 60, 33 χειρούμαι 96, 4 $\chi \rho \eta$ in reporting deliberation 62, 3: 63, 10

χρήσθαι έλπίδι 103, 2 χρήσιμα, 'supplies' 115, 5 χρόνιοs, of two terminations 73, 25 xpóroi, 'dates' 35, 14 oi, of half-yearly periods ,, 20, 6: 26, 20 χρόνος, ό πλείων 15, 13 $\psi \epsilon i \delta o \mu a \iota$ with acc. 83, 22 ώκησα)(ψκισα 116, 7 ώs, 'considering' 43, 6 ,, following $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ 9, 9 " of approximate number 55, 18 ώs ἕκαστοι 4, 9: 57, 15 $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$, followed by nominative 29, 10: 37, 9 каї 44, 10: 45, 11: 91, 3: 92, 2ώστε, of terms 17, 13: 27, 16: 61, 25: 94, 1: 116, 14 with ov and infinitive 40, 11 with $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega 16, 27: 35, 36$ $\dot{\omega}\phi$ έλεια)($\dot{\omega}\phi$ ελία 38, 12

χρηματίζω 5, 3: 61, 7

276

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY RICHARD CLAY AND COMPANY, LTD., BUNGAY, SUFFOLK



VEO JEMEN SUPERIOS

PEPOOROS

Thucydides

Histories

PA 4452 .A2 1391 Book V

PONTIFICAL INSTITUTE OF MEDIAEVAL STUDIES 59 DIELN'S PARK TORONTO 5, CANADA